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Background

• In recent years, the impacts of natural disasters are more and more severe on coastal lowland areas and barrier islands. Furthermore the impact of anthropogenic modifications produce coupled coastal problems. In the future, the impact of global warming and climate change (sea level rise, storm surges, coastal floods) will become more critical. People are increasingly occupying low-lying areas that are exposed to flooding, thus exacerbating their vulnerability to extreme events. The importance and scale of coastal defense structures will increase accordingly, and thus potentially generating greater environmental adverse impacts.

• At a global scale, effects of accelerated climate changes was demonstrated in autumn 2010 when the storm Becky reached the Santander Bay, Spain with a peak of nearshore (significant) wave height of about 8 m, and a storm surge reached 0.6m. On the Nile Delta Coast, effects of intense winter storms on Alexandria coastline and its adjacent shores appeared in the last decade to be more progressive in 2003, 2006 and recently in December 2010. The latest storm in December 2010, which hit the Nile Delta showed that generated surges, up to 1.0m as well as a maximum of 7.5m in the offshore presented a major natural hazard in coastal zones. The recent adverse impact of Super Sandy hurricane in late October 2012 on the east cost of the US is living example of progressive global climate changes.
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A. Status of World’s River Delta Coastlines, Barrier Islands and Lowland Coastlines under Global Climatic Changes

Location of case studies in the Gulf of Lions, France and the Gulf of Mexico, California, USA
Nile Delta, Egypt, as revealed by Satellite Imagery 1980

Wave Dominated Nile Delta
Deltaic barriers Islands ~parallel to shore

Coastal Retreat of Rosetta Headland (1900 to 2000) and M. Ali Seawall

Rosetta promontory
* 1800 to 1909
  Shoreline Advance (3.5 km)
  25 m/yr (E), 20 m/yr (W)
* 1900 to 1996
  Shoreline retreat 50 m/yr
  (4.8 km in 96 years)
* Operation of Aswan High Dam 1965
Beach erosion at barrier islands, Delaware, USA, (Galgano, 2007)

Subsidence at Po river delta, Italy (Syvitski, 2008)
Impact of Global Climate Change on U.S Eastern Coastline

Beachfront road and boardwalk damaged by Hurricane Jeanne (Sept. 2004)

Damaged boats in a marina

Damages by Super Hurricane Sandy (Oct. 2012)
Brilliance of the Seas Cruise Ship Faces Heavy Mediterranean Weather, Alexandria, Dec. 13, 2010
Flooding at Mohamed Ali Seawall, Dec. 2010

Restaurants Complex, Alexandria Coastal Road, Dec. 2010

Flooding at Mohamed Ali Seawall

Mohamed Ali Seawall

Flooding

Abu Qir Bay

Beach segment after 2010 storm

Alexandria Coastal Road, Dec. 2010
Objectives & Methodology

- Assess the impact of anthropogenic modifications, such as the absence of river flow on coastal morphology of Deltas.
- Evaluate impact of recent storm surge and wave overtopping on upgrading of seawalls.
- The second aim is to highlight the opportunities to use coastal soft defense measures.
- Clarify literature controversial impact of the effectiveness of seawalls to slow, mitigate or increase coastal erosion at retreating shorelines.
- The derivation of the results is based on the examination of field data collected on the Nile Delta Rosetta seawalls, constructed in 1990, after the operation of the Aswan High Dam in 1965, as well as field data on seawalls in France and the USA.
- Use has been made of recent satellite remote sensing data for the Rosetta headland in 2007, 2008 and 2012, the numerical prediction of GENESIS, and scale analysis of the governing equations of the wave-river flow to obtain the length scale for reshaping of the Nile Delta coastline at Rosetta. Laboratory data are used for verification.
B. Seawall Case Studies

Essential Methodology

Review of previous case studies of field monitoring of coastal morphology modifications at two seawalls located in Monterey Bay, CA, one seawall located at Ventura river mouth, CA, USA and one seawall located at the Rhone river mouth, the Gulf of Lions, French Mediterranean coast.
CASE STUDIES I - USA
Seasonal and Storm Erosion Patterns
Interaction of Seawalls and Monterey Beach, Calif., USA

Wave refraction analysis:
for Monterey Bay, California
(From Johnson, O'Brien, and Isaacs, 1948; Wiegel 1964)
Eight Years of Field Monitoring (1986-1995) Gary Griggs, UC Santa Cruz, USA
Monterey Seawall, after Repair 4/98

Seawall Location 41a, Monterey Calif. Winter Storm 10/97
Ventura River Delta, California, USA (November 1999)
Parking Lot and Bike Path Near the Mouth of the Ventura River (1995)

Shoreline Erosion at Revetment, Surfer’s Point, December 1996.
Sketch of Original Design at Surfer’s Point.

Managed Retreat and Restoration for Surfer’s Point.
CASE STUDY II – France
Seawall and Longshore Beach Erosion (Véran site, Gulf of Lions, France, Mediterranean), *Samat Olivier et al*, 2005

1. Rhone River
   - FRANCE
   - Lighthouse

2. Site Location and Survey
   - Cross Shore Depth Variation of the Inner Trough in front of Véran Seawall (Since 1988)

3. Site Location and Survey
Shoreline Retreat along Véran Seawall in the Long Term (1872-2004)
C. Coastal Processes at Rosetta Headland and Seawall-Beach Interaction
Morphological Changes at Rosetta Promontory Headland

- **Sediment Mean Grain Size**
  - 1987: (0.16 – 0.18 mm)
  - 2001: (0.2 – 0.23 mm)

- **Predominant Waves**
  - NW: $H_w (m) \leq 3$
  - $T_p (s) \leq 8.5$

- **Sea Level Variations**
  - +60 cm
  - -20 cm

**Map Details**

- Mediterranean Sea
- Mediterranean Sea promontory
- Burullus Lagoon
- Damietta promontory
- Rosetta promontory
- Nile Delta
- New Groin Set 5
- New Groin Set (9)
- Erosion
- Accretion
- Existing Seawalls
- Average shoreline
- LNG Terminal
- Edku inlet
- Waveshed

**Construction Images**

- Rosetta Seawall during construction 1989
Essential Methodology

Analysis of the extensive field data collected by the Coastal Research Institute in Alexandria, Egypt and Coastal Protection Authority, Cairo, on the coastal changes astride Rosetta Branch, Nile Delta Coast. Use has been made of the numerical prediction of GENESIS to confirm the measured rates of long shore and offshore sediment transport in the vicinity of the Rosetta Western Seawall. A wave-current momentum index was used to estimate the length scale of the coastline reshaping.
Evolution of Cross Shore Beach Profiles at the Western Seawall

Section W1

Section W2
Rhythmic Sea Bottom Bathymetry Patterns at Rosetta Seawall
(Ismail and El-Sayed, 2007)

Predominant Waves NW/W – NW
\( \frac{1}{2} \leq H_s (m) \leq 3 \)
\( T_p(s) \leq 8.5 \)

Rhythmic Sea Bottom Patterns:
Length at the Seawalls = 270 m
Length = 800 m

Bathymetric Sea Bottom Contours (October 2002)
Astride Rosetta Headland (CRI / CPA)
Water Depth (m) - MSL

W1, W2 locations of cross shore profiles
**Sediment Transport Rate (Genesis) along the Shoreline, West of Rosetta Headland for One Year Period (2003 – 2005 Wave Data)**

**Major Results**

- **Distance along the Shoreline (m)**

  - 0
  - 500
  - 1000
  - 1500
  - 2000
  - 2500
  - 3000
  - 3500
  - 4000
  - 4500
  - 5000
  - 5500
  - 6000

- **NET Transport Rate**

- **Sediment Transport Rate (1000 m³)**

  - 0
  - 200
  - 400
  - 600
  - 800

- **Theoretical Offshore Transport Rate**

  - 0.3x10^6 m³/year

- **Fluorescent Tracer Experiment**

- **Test 1**

- **Test 2**

- **Spatial Transport Rates**

  - Offshore: 0.28x10^6 m³/yr
  - North: 0.28x10^6 m³/yr
  - South: 0.56x10^6 m³/yr

- **Rosetta Western Seawall**

- **Rosa Branch Split**

- **Spit**

- **NET Transport Rate**
D. Prediction of Large Scale Shoreline Modifications

Comparison of Shoreline Changes over the Period 1988-95 for Rosetta Promontory
Characterization of Relative Strength of Wave Momentum Thrust to Jet Momentum Flux, $R_{sm}$

Velocity and length scales of wave-current system

$$R_{sm} \approx \frac{1}{2} \rho_s a_0^2 g \left( \frac{L_0}{h} \right) C_g / \rho_0 U^2 w$$
Effects of Wave & Current Interaction on Coastal Circulation

Flow Visualization of Wave Induced Mass Transport Pattern (Ismail, 1980)

Flow Visualization of Momentum Jet in the Absence of Waves (Ismail, 1980)

Flow Visualization of Momentum Jet in the presence of Waves (Ismail, Wegel 1981)
Major Results

Correlation between Wave-jet Relative Momentum Thrust and Length Scale of the Alongshore Zone of Accretion and Erosion

$R_{sm}$; Ratio of Wave Momentum Flux to Initial Jet Momentum Flux

Rosetta headland before 1964


Wave and River dominated delta

Wave dominated delta

Offshore Waves

Onshore Waves

Waves & Jet

Long shore currents

Stagnation zone

Shoreline

JET

Dyed Flow

Alongshore accretion length / river outlet half-width

Alongshore erosion length / river outlet half-width
Major Results

Satellite Images of Rosetta Seawalls & the Extent of Coastal Erosion Down-drift the Headland

Comparison of satellite images of Rosetta headland and coastal zone in the years 2005 & 2007 (Google Earth).

N. Ismail
**Major Results**

Satellite Images of Rosetta Seawalls & the Extent of Coastal Erosion Down-drift the Headland (2012)

- **Western Seawall (1990)**: 1.5 Km
- **Eastern Seawall (1990)**: 3.5 Km

The Mediterranean Sea shows offshore currents affecting the seawalls.
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D1. Wave Distribution across Abu Qir Bay with the Future Sea Level Rise and the Maximum Yearly Wave Condition (Wave overtopping analysis)

Wave height is denoted by the color scale in meters and wave direction by arrows.
Interaction between Abu Qir seawall and the sea in the current worst scenario.

Interaction between Abu Qir seawall and the sea in the future worst scenario due to sea level rise after 50 years.
E. Conclusions & Recommendations

- Modifications of bottom morphology in front of and astride seawalls depend on local wave climate and current conditions and sea level variations. Further, the increased rate of longshore sediment transport is strongly influenced by the cross-shore location of the seawall in the surf zone.

- Wave reflection and the generated wave patterns in front of seawalls enhance the rate of offshore sediment transport as well as the alongshore rate. This trend of increase was confirmed by the results of fluorescent tracer experiments at Rosetta Western Seawall as well as the reported results for seawalls located in France and UK. “Interaction of waves and seawalls influences coastal processes in front of the seawall”.

- The rate of scour in front of Rosetta Western Seawall appears to be diminishing currently after reaching a quasi-steady cross-shore beach profiles. The continuation of collecting field data at Rosetta headland is highly recommended to provide reliable basis for future coastal zone management of the Nile Delta coastline.
The results show that current climatic changes and human interference require the improvement of existing coastal defense structures by upgrading, i.e. by elevating the top level of the rubble mound/armor revetments and seawalls as demonstrated from the analysis of the case study of Mohamed Ali seawall, Abu Quir. Further, it is becoming necessary to improve the stability of the cross shore-beach segment to create wave overtopping resistance conditions under future storm conditions.

Despite the fact that low crested breakwaters proved to be successful in some coastal locations, the shoreline response to their placement needs to be identified. The results show that integrated-innovative systems, composed of hard and soft structures, should be explored to achieve the required level of coastal resilience.
Selection of soft protection alternatives for coastal zone management should be considered if the use of hard structures is proved to be adverse to the sustainability of the coastal zone.

The soft engineering alternatives are such as beach nourishment, stabilized sand dunes and sustainable barrier islands and coastal lagoons. These natural systems would act as a buffer zone to defend main land. The sustainability of the integrated natural systems would require (1) barrier island with shoreline restoration and (2) quality management of hydrologic and vegetation restoration of coastal lagoons.
Schematic of groundwater and surface water flow paths and discharge locations at a coastal lagoon.
“Make no little plans: they have no magic to stir men’s blood and probably themselves will not be realized. Make big plans; aim high in hope and work, remembering that a noble, logical diagram once recorded will never die, but long after we are gone will be a living thing, asserting itself with ever growing insistency. Remember that our sons and daughters are going to do things that would stagger us. Let your watchword be order and your beacon beauty.”

- Daniel Hudson Burnham (1846-1912)

Architect for the 1893 World’s Columbian Exposition held at Chicago and lead author of the 1909 Plan of Chicago, USA.