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INTRODUCTION

During a comprehensive study of the macro- and meio-benthos of the Kwintebank, a sandbank in the Southern Bight of the North Sea, eleven female specimens of a cylindropsyllid, belonging to a new genus, were collected from one of the stations sampled. The station's coordinates are 51°18'00"N 2°40'10"E.

The sediment at this station is a pure, very well sorted, coarse sand (median grain size: 517 μm; sorting: 0.24; mud<63 μm: 0%) with a harpacticoid community similar to the Leptastacus laticaudatus-Paramesochra helgolandica-community from the “Open Sea” Zone described by Govaere et al. (1980).

All specimens have been deposited at: the “Koninklijk Belgisch Instituut voor Natuurwetenschappen”, Vautierstraat 31, B-1040 Brussels, under no. I.G.26228.

DESCRIPTION

Syrticola n. g.

Cylindropsyllidae. Body cylindrical; uroscope four-segmented in the female; anal operculum provided with dentiform processes; caudal rami extended into a strong process; rostrum triangular and well defined at the base; antennule six-segmented; antenna with an allobasis and a small, one-segmented exopodite; mandible with a distinct, two-segmented exopodite; maxillipeds normally built, a terminal claw without accessory spinules; first leg with a two-segmented exopodite; endopodite prehensile, first segment much longer than exopodite; second to fourth legs with three-segmented exopodites, endopodites all with one segment; fifth leg with baso-endopodite and exopodite fused.

Type species. — Syrticola flandricus n. g., n. sp.

Other species. — S. trispinosus (A. Scott, 1896), n. comb.

Etymology. — The generic name is a combination of ‘syrtis’, sandbank and ‘incola’, inhabitant; gender: masculine.
**Syrticola flandricus** n. sp. (figs 1-3)

The following description is based on the dissection of one female (holotype) with additional observations from three others (paratypes).

Length: 0.46–0.53 mm (with rostrum and caudal rami included; 0.41–0.47 mm without); n = 11.

Body cylindrical and elongated, tapering gently towards the posterior end. Rostrum prominent, triangular with a sensory seta on either side at some distance from the tip (fig. 2A). Genital double somite without any trace of subdivision. Dorsal and ventral posterior edges of the abdominal somites 1-3 spinulose, dorsal surface also ornamented with rows of minute spinules. Last abdominal somite with a row of spines on the ventral posterior edge (fig. 1D). Anal operculum provided with four dentiform processes. Furcal rami 2.5 times as long as broad, with one well developed terminal seta. Distal outer corner extended into a strong process. Distal edge with three setae; one short, spiniform at inner corner; one on dorsal surface with articulated base.

Antennule (fig. 2A): composed of six segments, the first being the longest. Fourth segment bears an aesthetasc.

Antenna (fig. 2B): coxa short, bare. Allobasis with a single seta on the proximal part. Exopodite very small, one-segmented, with two setae, one terminal, one subterminal. Endopodite with two lateral spines, a single terminal spine and four terminal setae: three of the latter are geniculate while the fourth is very strong, irregular and furnished with some spinules in the middle.

Mandible (fig. 2C): praecoxa long with bidentate pars incisiva; lacinia mobilis consisting of small teeth; no pars molaris; coxa-basis bearing three setae at distal lateral edge; endopodite with five setae; four terminal and one subterminal.

Maxillule (fig. 2D): arthroite of praecoxa with five setae, laterally provided with spinules; coxa with single terminal seta; basis with five distal setae and one lateral seta.

Maxilla (fig. 2E): syncoxa with one endite bearing a single armed seta; basis with one armed seta and one strong claw. Endopodite represented by a single seta.

Maxilliped (fig. 2F): basis provided with a row of spinules. First segment of endopodite bare, furnished with two triangular chitinous structures on the distal and proximal part, respectively. Second segment short with a long and strong claw.

Leg 1 (fig. 3A): coxa furnished with a row of spinules along the distal edge; basis bare with a single outer spine. Exopodite bi-segmented; first segment shortest with a single outer spine; second segment bearing three terminal setae, two of which are geniculate. Endopodite bi-segmented, prehensile. First segment almost two times as long as exopodite, ornamented with a single long seta. Second segment short, with one terminal claw and one long seta.
Fig. 1. *Syrticola flandrica* n. g., n. sp., female. A, abdomen, dorsal; B, last somite, anal operculum and furca, dorsal; C, anal operculum with five spines; D, last somite and furca, ventral.

Leg 2-4 (fig. 3B-D): coxa bare. Basis with two rows of spinules, one transverse and one at the distal edge. Outer lateral edge with one seta. Exopodites three-segmented, distal edge of first and second segments spinulose.
and elongated with spines. Each segment spinulose and prolonged with spines. Each segment with one outer spine, last segment with two terminal setae. Endopodites one-segmented. Second leg with one long lateral seta and two terminal spines; inner spine about two times as long as outer. Third leg with one lateral seta and a single apical spine. Fourth leg with two terminal spines, the inner longer than the outer (table I).
Table I

*Syrctola flandricus* n. g., n. sp., female: setal and spinal formula

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P2</th>
<th></th>
<th>P3</th>
<th></th>
<th>P4</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enp.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leg 5 (fig. 3E): fifth leg with both segments completely fused. A chitinous edge, indicating baso-endopodite and exopodite, is present in varying degrees of development. Inner corner produced into one strong spur-shaped process, well developed and entirely bare. Outer distal edge forming separate process with two long setae, one on each side of a strong apical spine.

Leg 6 (fig. 3F): forming a common plate; each leg with a single outer, slender seta.

Variability. — No differences were found between the four dissected females except for the anal operculum. Two individuals bear four spines and the two others five spines on the distal edge of this structure.

Etymology. — The specific name refers to Flemish Banks, a series of sublitoral sandbanks, which contain the Kwinte Bank, viz., from ‘flandricus’, Flemish.

DISCUSSION

The foregoing description reveals the most important characters of the Cylindropsyllidae: small elongated body, genital double somite without subdivisions, antennule of the female 6-7 segments, aesthetasc on fourth segment, exopodite of the antenna at most one-segmented, reduction of the endopodites of legs 2-4.


Judging from the segmentation of legs 2-4 our specimens seem to be most closely related to *Evansula*, *Ichnumella* and *Psammopsyllus*. However, they differ in the structure of the first leg.

The two-segmented exopodite and the two-segmented, prehensile endopodite of the first leg, undoubtedly distinguish our specimens from most of the above genera. A two-segmented exopodite is found in *Arenocaris* and *Notopontia*, however, the former genus lacks the prehensile endopodite. As far as the structure of the first and fourth leg is concerned, our specimens most
Fig. 3. *Synticola flandrius* n. g., n. sp., female. Legs: A, P₁; B, P₂; C, P₂; D, P₄; E, P₅; F, P₆.
closely resemble Notopontia stephanieae Bodiou, 1977, the only species within this genus, and Tetragoniceps trispinosus A. Scott, 1896. T. trispinosus was considered as belonging to the Cylindropsyllidae by Lang (1948) as species incerta sedis due to its original inaccurate description. Bodiou (1977) stressed the great similarity between N. stephanieae and T. trispinosus on the basis of the structure of the first and fourth leg, but there are important differences in the fifth leg, the furca, and the number of antennule segments. Since the description of Scott (1896) was inaccurate Bodiou (1977) was not able to resolve the exact status of T. trispinosus. Our specimens are almost identical with T. trispinosus in all the characteristics described by Scott: general habitus, structure of the antennule, antenna, maxilliped, fifth leg, and the furcal rami, and segmentation of first and fourth leg. However, the following differences were noticed: the antenna bears five terminal setae instead of six; the endopodite of the fourth leg has two terminal setae instead of three; the fifth leg bears two hair-like setae and one spine instead of one hair-like seta and two short, stout setae; the furcal rami are ornamented with setae instead of being naked.

The anal operculum of our specimens differs in the number of spines from Scott’s species. However, the varying number of spines observed in our specimens indicates that this character is variable. Whether the other differences, being constant within our specimens, are entirely or partly due to the less accurate description by Scott, is difficult to decide, since type material of T. trispinosus does not exist any more.

Although no toptypes of T. trispinosus were studied, our specimens are considered to be a species new to science, closely related to T. trispinosus but differing from it in the characters listed above. Based on the differences with the existing genera and in agreement with Lang (1948) both species are to be placed in a new genus within the Cylindropsyllidae which we name Sytricola.
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RÉSUMÉ

Description de Sytricola flandricus n. g., n. sp., une forme de copépode harpacticoide appartenant à la famille des Cylindropsyllidae Sars (sensu Lang,1948), provenant de la mer du Nord. L’espèce décrite est très proche de Tetragoniceps trispinosus (A. Scott, 1896), considérée species incerta sedis dans la famille des Cylindropsyllidae, et maintenant transférée dans le nouveau genre comme Sytricola trispinosus (A. Scott, 1896), r. comb.
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