

Comparison of three different extraction methods for Turbellaria

Paul M. Martens

Department SBM, Limburgs Universitair Centrum, 3610 Diepenbeek, Belgium

Abstract: Three different extraction methods for Turbellaria were compared, 2 of which use living material. The first method is based on sea-water ice treatment (Uhlig-method), the second employs decantation of narcotized fauna ($MgCl_2$ -method). The third method is the Barnett-method, performed on preserved material. Three series of 12 samples each were analysed quantitatively. Turbellaria were counted and identified to the order level. For the turbellarian population, as a whole, the numbers of individuals extracted alive (Uhlig- or $MgCl_2$ -method) was less than 50 % of the number extracted from the preserved samples (Barnett-method). Differences between Uhlig-method and $MgCl_2$ -method were not statistically significant. However, for Acoela and Retronectida, the Uhlig-method was more efficient than both other methods. We conclude that for a reliable quantitative analysis of Turbellaria 2 different extraction methods are required: one should employ extraction of living material (Uhlig-method) and one extraction of fixed material. For qualitative investigations extraction of living material is recommended.

INTRODUCTION

Comparative data on extraction methods used to estimate density and species composition of turbellarians are scarce (Uhlig, 1964, 1968; Uhlig et al., 1973; Gray and Rieger, 1971; Coull et al., 1977) and data for the different orders do not exist.

In order to investigate extraction efficiencies we performed a comparative quantitative study on 3 different extraction methods: the Barnett-method for fixed material and the 2 well-known extraction methods for living material, the Uhlig- and the $MgCl_2$ -method.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sampling methods

On the sandy beach at De Panne (North Sea coast of Belgium) 36 samples were taken on 29 September 1981, on a nearly horizontal surface with water-saturated sediment. At this time of the year (summer/autumn), turbellarians from such locations are restricted mainly to the upper sediment layer (own obs.).

A square frame of 36×36 cm was placed on the bottom. In this frame chords were stretched at intervals

of 6 cm in both directions parallel to the sides of the frame. In this way a grid with 36 square cells was formed. In each cell a sample was taken using a plastic corer covering a surface area of 10 cm^2 . Samples were taken to a depth of 10 cm. The 36 samples were divided into 3 series of 12, randomly dispersed over the grid. One series of samples was directly preserved with Formalin (4 %) with Rose Bengal. The other 2 series were not fixed and transported to the laboratory in a cold storage container and extracted after 1 d to maximum of 6 d (at a ratio of 2 Uhlig-treated samples and two $MgCl_2$ -treated samples a day). Each series was extracted using a different extraction method. Three samples were also taken for sediment analysis. Analysis of the sediment was performed according to Buchanan and Kain (1971).

Extraction of turbellarians

The first series of unfixed samples was extracted with the sea-water ice method (Uhlig, 1964, 1966, 1968; Uhlig et al., 1973). This extraction method is based on the principle of changing the environment in the sediment so that the animals tend to leave it. It was carried out as follows. Each sample was divided over 2 plastic tubes with a large diameter ($\varnothing = 5.6$ cm). This

Table 3. Mean values and standard deviations for each taxon extracted by 3 different methods, highest mean of each order and its relative abundance in % of the total estimated population, both based on their highest means

Taxon	Uhlig-method	MgCl ₂ -method	Barnett-method	Highest mean	%
Acoela	34.1 ± 9.3	13.6 ± 10.5	20.3 ± 39.5	34	18
Macrostomida	1.4 ± 2.1	0.1 ± 0.3	33.1 ± 15.4	33	17
Retronectida	1.8 ± 1.1	0.6 ± 0.7	0.3 ± 0.8	2	1
Proseriata	6.3 ± 2.9	5.7 ± 2.2	9.4 ± 6.5	9	5
Typhloplanoida	1.5 ± 1.5	0.4 ± 0.7	8.2 ± 5.7	8	4
Dalyellioida	7.8 ± 4.4	3.8 ± 2.9	6.2 ± 4.5	8	4
Kalyptorhynchia	20.4 ± 13.5	18.6 ± 10.6	85.6 ± 59.6	86	44
Cumulata	0.1 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 2.4	4.1 ± 5.9	4	2
Unidentified	1.9 ± 1.4	1.2 ± 1.0	9.9 ± 4.9	10	5
Total	75.3 ± 19.6	45.9 ± 21.5	167.4 ± 100.0	194	100

Counting and identification

Living animals in the Petri dishes were inspected under the stereomicroscope. Turbellarians were identified to the order- or family-level and picked out. If an animal could not be identified under the stereomicroscope it was examined under a compound microscope. If uncertainty still remained it was recorded as unidentified. The animals were counted in all 36 samples but not identified in 2 samples extracted by the Uhlig-method and in 3 samples treated with the MgCl₂-method due to shortage of time. All turbellarians extracted with the Barnett-method were picked out under the stereomicroscope and identified under the compound microscope in squeezed preparations (2007 in total).

RESULTS

The sediment at the sampling station consists of more than 99 % well-sorted fine sand (Md.: 172 to 184; Ø: 0.259 to 0.358).

In total 3550 turbellarians were counted. Density in the 36 samples as well as distribution of the 3 series of 12 samples over the experimental square are shown in Fig. 1. Table 1 presents mean density values and standard deviations for the 3 methods. Striking differences exist in mean density values between the 3 methods (Uhlig \bar{x} = 72.1; MgCl₂ \bar{x} = 56.5; Barnett \bar{x} = 167.4). The results of a one-way analysis of variance (Sokal and Rolf, 1969) and the contrasts are also shown in Table 1. These tests indicate significant differences between the 3 methods (F = 11.62; df : (2,33); p < 0.001). The contrasts indicate that extraction with the Barnett-method is significantly better than with the Uhlig- and the MgCl₂-method.

Table 2 shows the number of identified individuals in each sample, and Table 3 gives mean values and standard deviations for each order after extraction with the different methods. For estimating the mean popu-

lation density, we took the highest mean value for each order and calculated the percentual share of each order in the total estimated population (Table 3). The highest number of Acoela, Retronectida and Dalyellioida was found by using the Uhlig-method. For all other orders, the Barnett-method yielded the best results.

A very significant overall difference in the turbellarian fauna extracted with the 3 methods was demonstrated by a MANOVA-analysis (Tatsuoka, 1971) with 9 dependent variables (turbellarian ordines) and 1 independent variable (methods: Uhlig, MgCl₂ and Barnett): Rao's R = 7.3598; df = 18,40.0; p < 0.001. With this result we are allowed to perform a one-way ANOVA for each turbellarian order. Table 4 shows the results of these analyses and the contrasts (if there was a significant difference). Only for Proseriata and Dalyellioida were no significant differences found. Acoela and Retronectida were significantly better extracted by

Table 4. One-way analysis of variance for each taxon and contrasts between methods for taxons which show significant differences

Taxon	One-way analyses of variance		Contrasts		
	F ratio	p	Uhlig-meth.	MgCl ₂ -meth.	Barnett-meth.
Acoela	7.68	***	***	***	ns
Macrostomida	40.41	***	ns	***	***
Retronectida	8.09	***	***	***	ns
Proseriata	2.20	ns			
Typhloplanoida	14.02	***	ns	***	***
Dalyellioida	2.31	ns			
Kalyptorhynchia	10.86	***	ns	***	***
Cumulata	3.00	*	ns	*	ns
Unidentified	24.67	***	ns	***	***

Table 5. Species occurring in the experimental square

<i>Haplopharynx rostratus</i> Meixner, 1938
<i>Bradynectes sterreri</i> Rieger, 1971
<i>Paromalostomum fuscum</i> Ax, 1952
<i>Pseudostomum gracilis</i> Westblad, 1954
<i>Archimonocelis oostendensis</i> Martens & Schockaert, 1981
<i>Archilopsis unipunctata</i> (Fabricius, 1826)
<i>Cirrifera aculeata</i> (Ax, 1951)
<i>Pogaina kinnei</i> Ax, 1970
<i>Proxenetes fasciger</i> Ehlers, 1974
<i>Carcharodorhynchus</i> sp.
<i>Cheliplana styliifera</i> Karling, 1949
<i>Cicerina remanei</i> Meixner, 1928
<i>Diascorhynchus rubrus</i> Boaden, 1963
<i>Gnathorhynchus conocaudatus</i> Meixner, 1929
<i>Limirhynchus danicus</i> Schilke, 1970
<i>Neoschizorhynchus parvorostro</i> Ax & Heller, 1970
<i>Paracicerina deltoidea</i> Martens & Schockaert, 1981
<i>Proschizorhynchus gullmarensis</i> Karling, 1950
<i>Proschizorhynchus triductibus</i> Schilke, 1970
<i>Ptyalorhynchus coecus</i> Ax, 1951
<i>Schizochilus marcusii</i> Boaden, 1963
<i>Thylacorhynchus conglobatus</i> Meixner, 1928
<i>Uncinorhynchus flavidus</i> Karling, 1947

the Uhlig-method than by the other 2 methods. With this method Acoela were also extracted much more rapidly (within 15 min) than Kalyptorhynchia. For Macrostomida, Typhloplanoida and Kalyptorhynchia the Barnett-method was significantly better than the methods for extraction of living animals. This method is also more efficient for the extraction of Cumulata when compared to the Uhlig-method. On the other hand, the number of unidentified specimens (order level) is higher after the extraction of a preserved sample but less than 10 % of the total number.

In total, more than 30 species were present, 23 of them were identified (Table 5). Acoela and Retronectida were not identified. The Acoela were represented by more than 5 species, the Retronectida by 1. One of the Acoela species was large and could easily be recognized. The high number of 72 Acoela in 1 sample extracted with the Barnett-method (Table 2) was due to the presence of this species. From the 3 Macrostomida species (*Haplopharynx* is included with the Macrostomida) only *Paromalostomum fuscum* was found in high numbers. More than 95 % of the Macrostomida extracted with the Barnett-method were *Paromalostomum fuscum*. Only 1 species of Cumulata was found, *Pseudostomum gracilis*, which has an epibenthic way of life (own obs.).

DISCUSSION

In contrast to data from literature, the present results show that the Uhlig-method and the $MgCl_2$ -method

60	355	52	80	198	375
56	181	108	98	123	40
73	91	65	39	118	40
109	53	34	111	80	71
76	75	142	106	53	24
95	152	27	29	88	73

Uhlig-meth.
 $MgCl_2$ -meth.
 Barnett-meth.

Fig. 1. Distribution of 3 series of 12 samples over the experimental square with the number of turbellarians found in each sample

have an extraction efficiency of less than 50 %. This discrepancy is due to the fact that no statistical comparison between extraction methods for living material and a method using preserved material has ever been made for turbellarians.

The first study concerning the efficiency of extraction of turbellarians with sea-water ice was done by Uhlig (1964). He placed 50 turbellarians in a sterile sediment. After 1 h he was able to extract 38 turbellarians (= 76 %). However, the 50 turbellarians used in his experiment had been extracted before with the same method. So a biased sample was obtained that did not necessarily reflect the true composition of the fauna but consisted of already selected animals that are easily extracted with the method. Uhlig (1968, p. 229) also discussed some data of Zinn, who used 2 different methods in succession on the same sample, i.e. a 'mechanical' extraction method (decantation-method?) and the sea-water ice method. Two samples were extracted, and for the second sample the sequence of extraction was inversed as compared to the first sample. In the first sample 23 (mechanical) and 49 (Uhlig) turbellarians were found respectively, while in the second sample 118 (Uhlig) and 21 (mechanical) turbellarians were found. Unfortunately, this experiment was not repeated, and the 2 samples appear to have been very different. Moreover, when these results are analysed carefully it can be calculated that not more than 25 % of the fauna is extracted with the mechanical method, and not more than about 58 %

with the Uhlig-method. We agree with Uhlig that the efficiency of the sea-water ice method is more than 90 % higher than the mechanical separation (Zinn), but it is also clear that the efficiency of the Uhlig-method is less than 75 to 90 % as stated by Hulings and Gray (1971). Uhlig et al. (1973) compared different extraction methods for meiofauna. They concluded that the decantation method of Elmgren and the elutriation method of McIntyre (both on preserved material; see Uhlig et al., 1973) have the same efficiency as the Uhlig-method. In fact, they showed that decantation was even better. They also demonstrated that the efficiency of the Uhlig-method depends on diameter of the extraction tube, and it is also clear that it depends on the amount of sediment extracted, the extraction time and the mesh size of the sieve, which makes this method unreliable for comparative studies.

Barnett (1968) described a new extraction method (for Harpacticoida) with better results than former extraction methods. Heip (1971, 1976, pers. comm.) found that the method gives better results for most meiofauna taxa in fine sand and sand with detritus or mud than any other method and can have an efficiency up to 100 %. Also from our results we can conclude that the Barnett-method has a higher efficiency than the Uhlig- or $MgCl_2$ -method, but the efficiency does not reach 100 %, at least not for all turbellarians.

Differences in efficiency of the methods used can be understood in part by the biology of the different turbellarian groups. Acoela are very soft and small turbellarians. This can explain why they are not extracted efficiently with the $MgCl_2$ -method: they are washed through the sieve, and damaged when the sediment is vigorously stirred. Sorting and counting Acoela after extraction with the Barnett-method is difficult since they look like small oval lumps after fixation and can easily be mistaken for detritus particles or for ciliates. On the other hand, Acoela are fast and flee quickly (mostly downwards) in a stress situation. These characteristics explain the fast and efficient extraction with the Uhlig-method.

The Barnett-method extracts Kalyptorhynchia, Typhloplanoida and Macrostomida with a greater efficiency than the other methods. These turbellarians are large and can easily be recognized. In reaction to a stress situation they use adhesive glands and stick to sand grains. This can explain the poor results with the Uhlig-method as well as with the $MgCl_2$ -method, since anaesthesia with $MgCl_2$ is not total.

Pseudostoma gracilis was the only representative of the Cumulata found in our samples. From our own observations we know that this species is epibenthic and so this animal probably dislikes to crawl through a sieve. Using the $MgCl_2$ -method this species was indeed mostly found in the last Petri dish examined.

In most ecological studies where the Uhlig-method was used, the Proseriata (Sopott, 1973) or the Acoela (Gray and Rieger, 1971; Faubel, 1976), or both (Martens and Schockaert, 1981), were found to be the dominant turbellarian taxon. In the present study, the Uhlig-method also revealed a dominance of Acoela. However, using the total information available on the 3 methods, we conclude that Kalyptorhynchia are the most abundant group. Therefore, Kalyptorhynchia may have been quantitatively underestimated in earlier studies as a result of the extraction method used, or our samples may have been relatively rich in Kalyptorhynchia. Our results indicate that the number of Kalyptorhynchia is underestimated when the Uhlig- or the $MgCl_2$ -method is used, at least in the sediment we studied. We found indeed that the fauna extracted with the Uhlig-method contained 26 % ($\bar{x} = 20$) of Kalyptorhynchia, 41 % ($\bar{x} = 19$) after extraction with $MgCl_2$ -method and 51 % ($\bar{x} = 86$) after extraction with the Barnett-method. Ehlers (1973) and Sopott (1973) report that only 10 % of the turbellarian fauna were Kalyptorhynchia (after extraction with sea-water ice) while Gray and Rieger (1971) found 7 to 30 % after extraction with $MgCl_2$ -method followed by a second extraction by decantation after rinsing the sediment with ethylalcohol (10 %). However, all these authors used medium-to-coarse sand, and it is generally accepted (Uhlig et al., 1973) that the Uhlig-method yields better results with a coarse sediment than with fine sand. So the underestimation of the number of the Kalyptorhynchia may be less pronounced than our tables would suggest. On the other hand, Kalyptorhynchia may be more abundant in coarse than in fine sand, as we are strongly inclined to believe from our experience.

CONCLUSION

None of the methods known so far are reliable for quantitative studies of turbellarians. Extraction of preserved samples yields the best results for the majority of turbellarian orders but gives an underestimation for small and delicate animals such as most Acoela. For this group the Uhlig-method is recommended. Both methods must be combined: a sample extracted first with sea-water ice is fixed and extracted a second time, or both methods are applied to 2 samples from the same locality. The extraction of preserved material can be performed with the Barnett-method; where the sediment consists of coarse sand the decantation method may be satisfactory. A difficulty with preserved material is that only turbellarians with hard structures in their genital organs can be identified and that previous knowledge of the fauna studied is required. For the

qualitative analysis of the fauna, the $MgCl_2$ -method or the Uhlig-method are the obvious methods to be used.

Acknowledgements. I wish to thank Rudy Herman and Dirk Van Gansbeke for sediment analyses and extractions with the Barnett-method at the Laboratorium voor Morfologie en Systematiek der Dieren of the State University of Ghent. I also thank Dr. H. Verhaeghe for help with statistical analysis, Professor Dr. E. R. Schockaert, Dr. C. Heip (State University of Ghent) and Luc Snoecks for enlightening discussions and critical reading of the manuscript, and Professor Dr. M. Van Poucke for revising my English. Technical assistance of Mrs. Ievens and Miss Heymans is very much appreciated.

LITERATURE CITED

- Barnett, P. R. O. (1968). Distribution and ecology of harpacticoid, copepods of an intertidal mudflat. *Int. Revue ges. Hydrobiol.* 53: 177-209
- Buchanan, J. B., Kain, J. M. (1971). Measurement of the physical and chemical environment. In: Holm, N. A., McIntyre, A. D. (ed.) *IBP Handbook N° 16*. Blackwell Scientific publication, Oxford, p. 30-58
- Coull, B. C., Ellison, R. L., Fleeger, J. W., Higgins, R. P., Hope, W. D., Hummon, W. D., Rieder, R. M., Sterrer, W. S., Thiel, H., Tietjen, J. H. (1977). Quantitative estimates of meiofauna from the deep sea off North Carolina, USA. *Mar. Biol.* 39: 233-240
- Crezée, M. (1976). Solenofilomorphidae (Acoela), major component of a new turbellarian association in the sulfide system. *Int. Revue ges. Hydrobiol.* 61: 105-129
- Ehlers, U. (1973). Zur Populationsstruktur interstitieller Typhloplanoida und Dalyellioida (Turbellaria, Neorhabdocoela). *Mikrofauna Meeresboden* 19: 1-105
- Faubel, A. (1976). Populationsdynamik und Lebenszyklen interstitieller Acoela und Macrostomida (Turbellaria). *Mikrofauna Meeresboden* 56: 1-107
- Gray, J. S., Rieger, R. M. (1971). A quantitative study of the meiofauna of an exposed sandy beach, at Robin Hood's Bay, Yorkshire. *J. mar. biol. Ass. U.K.* 51: 1-19
- Heip, C. (1971). The succession of benthic micrometazoans in a brackish water habitat. *Biol. Jaarb.* 39: 191-196
- Heip, C. (1976). The life-cycle of *Cyprideis torosa* (Crustacea, Ostracoda). *Oecologia* 24: 229-245
- Hulings, N. C., Gray, J. S. (1971). A manual for the study of meiofauna. *Smithson. Contr. Zool.* 78: 1-83
- Martens, P. M., Schockaert, E. R. (1981). Sand dwelling Turbellaria from the Netherlands Delta area. In: Schockaert, E. R., Ball, I. R. (ed.) *The biology of the Turbellaria*. *Hydrobiologia* 84: 113-127
- Sokal, R. P., Rohlf, F. T. (1969). *Biometry*. Freeman, San Francisco
- Sopott, B. (1973). Jahreszeitliche Verteilung und Lebenszyklen der Proseriata (Turbellaria) eines Sandstrandes der Nordseeinsel Sylt. *Mikrofauna Meeresboden* 15: 1-106
- Sterrer, W. (1968). Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Gnathostomulida. I. Anatomie und Morphologie des Genus *Pterognathia* Sterrer. *Ark. Zool.* 22: 1-125
- Tatsuoka, M. M. (1971). *Multivariate analyses: techniques for educational and psychological research*. Wiley, New York
- Uhlig, G. (1964). Eine einfache Methode zur Extraction der vagilen, mesopsammalen Mikrofauna. *Helgoländer wiss. Meeresunters.* 11: 178-185
- Uhlig, G. (1966). Untersuchungen zur Extraction der vagilen Mikrofauna aus marinen Sedimenten. *Zool. Anz.* 29: 151-157
- Uhlig, G. (1968). Quantitative methods in the study of interstitial fauna. *Trans. Am. Microsc. Soc.* 87: 226-232
- Uhlig, G., Thiel, H., Gray, J. S. (1973). The quantitative separation of meiofauna. A comparison of methods. *Helgoländer wiss. Meeresunters.* 25: 173-195

This paper was submitted to the editor; it was accepted for printing on August 2, 1983