Coccolithophore fluxes in the open tropical North Atlantic: influence of thermocline depth, Amazon water, and Saharan dust
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Abstract. Coccolithophores are calcifying phytoplankton and major contributors to both the organic and inorganic oceanic carbon pumps. Their export fluxes, species composition, and seasonal patterns were determined in two sediment trap moorings (M4 at 12° N, 49° W and M2 at 14° N, 37° W) collecting settling particles synchronously from October 2012 to November 2013 at 1200 m of water depth in the open equatorial North Atlantic.

The two trap locations showed a similar seasonal pattern in total coccolith export fluxes and a predominantly tropical coccolithophore settling assemblage. Species fluxes were dominated throughout the year by lower photic zone (LPZ) taxa (Florisphaera profunda, Gladiolithus flabellatus) but also included upper photic zone (UPZ) taxa (Umbellosphaera spp., Rhabdosphaera spp., Umbilicosphaera spp., Helicosphaera spp.). The LPZ flora was most abundant during fall 2012, whereas the UPZ flora was more important during summer. In spite of these similarities, the western part of the study area produced persistently higher fluxes, averaging 241 × 10^7 ± 76 × 10^7 coccoliths m^-2 d^-1 at station M4 compared to only 66 × 10^7 ± 31 × 10^7 coccoliths m^-2 d^-1 at station M2. Higher fluxes at M4 were mainly produced by the LPZ species, favoured by the westward deepening of the thermocline and nutricline. Still, most UPZ species also contributed to higher fluxes, reflecting enhanced productivity in the western equatorial North Atlantic. Such was the case of two marked flux peaks of the more opportunistic species Gephyrocapsa muellerae and Emiliania huxleyi in January and April 2013 at M4, indicating a fast response to the nutrient enrichment of the UPZ, probably by wind-forced mixing. Later, increased fluxes of G. oceanica and E. huxleyi in October–November 2013 coincided with the occurrence of Amazon-River-affected surface waters. Since the spring and fall events of 2013 were also accompanied by two dust flux peaks, we propose a scenario in which atmospheric dust also provided fertilizing nutrients to this area. Enhanced surface buoyancy associated with the river plume indicates that the Amazon acted not only as a nutrient source, but also as a surface density retainer for nutrients supplied from the atmosphere. Nevertheless, lower total coccolith fluxes during these events compared to the maxima recorded in November 2012 and July 2013 indicate that transient productivity by opportunistic species was less important than “background” tropical productivity in the equatorial North Atlantic. This study illustrates how two apparently similar sites in the tropical open ocean actually differ greatly in ecological and oceanographic terms. The results presented here provide valuable insights into the processes governing the ecological
dynamics and the downward export of coccolithophores in the tropical North Atlantic.

1 Introduction

The centres of subtropical gyres and tropical open-ocean regions are marked by nearly permanently stratified waters, which result in nutrient depletion at the surface and low primary production, particularly at the surface during most of the year (see Mann and Lazier, 2006). With the exception of equatorial upwelling areas where the renewal of nutrients in the mixed layer results from the upward advection of nutrient-rich deeper water, algal blooms in subtropical gyres and tropical oceans are highly dependent on the seasonal dynamics of the mixed layer depth, the latter changing as a geostrophic response to the wind field and the curl of the wind stress (e.g. Longhurst et al., 1995). Basin-scale thermocline tilting, mesoscale eddies, and vertical mixing due to wind forcing and winter cooling are recognized as the main mechanisms responsible for bringing nutrients to the upper photic layer and promoting algal blooms in tropical and subtropical areas (e.g. Longhurst, 1993; Duflos et al., 2016). In addition, millions of tons of Saharan dust blown over and into the Atlantic Ocean every year are also thought to act as major nutrient suppliers to the nutrient-depleted equatorial North Atlantic (see Goudie and Middleton, 2001 and refs. therein; Okin et al., 2011). The fertilizing potential of Saharan dust is supported by previous studies in the Amazon Basin (Mahowald et al., 2005; Bristow et al., 2010), the Gulf of Mexico and the coast of southern Florida (Walsh et al., 2006; Lenes et al., 2012), and the North Atlantic subtropical gyre (Pabortsava et al., 2017). In addition to Saharan dust inputs, N₂ fixation by marine diazotrophs (Carpenter et al., 1999) and the seasonal discharge and eastward advection of the nutrient-enriched Amazon River Plume (e.g. De Master et al., 1986) are additional nutrient sources for marine phytoplankton in the tropical Atlantic.

Despite relatively low primary production rates, tropical oceans play an important role in the global carbon cycle because of their large surface area (e.g. Wang et al., 2013; Signorini and McClain, 2012; Longhurst, 1993). How these oceanographic and atmospheric processes are linked to phytoplankton productivity on seasonal to annual timescales in the tropics, however, remains poorly understood. As longer-term phytoplankton sampling in the vast and remote open ocean is rather costly, most of the available studies are based on data from snapshots taken during research cruises or remote-sensing estimates that only cover the phytoplankton biomass at the surface of the photic layer. Time-series sediment traps collecting settling particles (organic and inorganic) from phytoplankton export productivity over longer periods of time (from weeks to years) offer a good alternative to plankton studies for assessing the seasonal variation of marine phytoplankton and the relative proportion of individual species or groups of species in the open ocean (e.g. Milliman, 1993; Baumann et al., 2005).

Coccolithophores, being at the same time photosynthetic and calcifying, are major contributors to the organic and inorganic oceanic carbon pumps (e.g. Rost and Riebesell, 2004). Due to their ability to cover their cells with tiny calcite plates (the coccoliths), coccolithophores can be studied in time series samples collected by deep-ocean sediment traps (e.g. Broerse et al., 2000; Sprengel et al., 2002; Ziveri et al., 1995; Köbrich et al., 2015), thus providing insight into the seasonal to inter-annual dynamics of open-ocean phytoplankton. Coccolithophores are amongst the most important phytoplankton groups within open-ocean, stratified oligotrophic waters (e.g. Winter et al., 1994), hence displaying features more typical of K-selected taxa (Margalef, 1978). These so-called “K-selected” taxa are typically better adapted to compete successfully for limited nutrient availability in more stable environments, such as tropical regions and subtropical gyres, within populations that are at or near equilibrium conditions for long periods of time (K; see Margalef, 1978). Still, coccolithophores also include more opportunistic taxa, so-called “r-selected”, that quickly respond to short-term changes associated with nutrient input (e.g. Guerreiro et al., 2013). The latter are characterized by living in highly variable populations (for r) and being well adapted to rapidly reproducing in unstable and nutrient-enriched conditions, such as turbulent coastal environments. Sediment trap studies using this group are based on the assumption that the settling of coccoliths in open-ocean areas is primarily related to the production occurring in the overlying photic layer (see Deuser et al., 1981; Honjo, 1982; Steinmetz, 1994; Beaufort and Heussner, 2001), allowing for the assessment of the export fluxes and seasonal trends of distinct coccolithophore species during longer periods than most plankton studies (Baumann et al., 2005).

Although a significant amount of sediment trap data on coccolithophore fluxes exists for the open ocean (e.g. Knappertsbusch and Brummer, 1995; Broerse et al., 2000) and for regions near continental margins and islands (Beaufort and Heussner, 2001; Romero et al., 2002; Köbrich et al., 2015; Sprengel et al., 2002) at subtropical and temperate latitudes in the Atlantic, there is no information available on the export and seasonal patterns of coccolithophores in the equatorial Atlantic region. Previous studies by Kinkel et al. (2000) and Winter et al. (2002) focusing on the living coccolithophore communities in the tropical Atlantic have reported Florisphaera profunda as the dominant species in the lower photic zone (LPZ) and Emiliania huxleyi as the dominant species in the upper photic zone (UPZ) close to the equatorial upwelling and in the western Caribbean Sea, whereas Umbellosphaera spp. were most abundant in the UPZ of more open-ocean oligotrophic areas. Further north, in the subtropics of offshore Bermuda, Haidar and Thierry-stein (2001) studied the relationship between the seasonal coccolithophore dynamics and several environmental param-
ters, including light, dissolved nutrients, salinity, and temperature, over a period of 3 years. The results showed that living coccolithophores display pronounced seasonal and interannual variability, with the highest standing stocks from winter to spring and the lowest during summer. *E. huxleyi* was the dominant species at the surface during spring, whereas the LPZ species *F. profunda* and *Gladialithus flabellatus* (referred to by these authors as *Thoracosphaera flabellata*) were most common below the surface during fall. Maximum stratification conditions in summer and fall, *Umbellosphaera* spp. increased in abundance in the top 75 m of water depth but in much lower cell densities than *E. huxleyi* or *F. profunda*. Several of these previous observations were confirmed by Poulton et al. (2017), who defined three floral depth groups in the subtropical gyres and equatorial waters of the Atlantic Ocean: (1) an upper euphotic zone flora characterized by high levels of surface radiance and high cell densities of *Umbellosphaera* spp. and holococcolithophores; (2) a lower euphotic zone flora characterized by intermediate to low surface radiance levels and high cell densities of *E. huxleyi* and *Gephyrocapsa ericsonii*; and (3) a subeuphotic zone flora characterized by high cell densities of *F. profunda* and *Gladialolithus* spp. thriving below the depth at which light is considered sufficient to support photosynthesis, probably by mechanisms of mixotrophy and/or phagotrophy. Therefore, more insight into the ecological preferences and environmental needs of coccolithophores can be expected from a clear distinction between the distribution and abundance of UPZ and LPZ taxa.

Here, we present new data on the coccolithophore export fluxes, seasonal patterns, and species composition from the open equatorial North Atlantic to investigate the environmental factors triggering phytoplankton productivity, including Saharan dust deposition and the discharge and eastward dispersion of the Amazon River water. To assess the spatio-temporal variability of these processes, we (a) compare results from two sediment trap moorings, M2 and M4, located in the central and western parts of the equatorial North Atlantic, respectively, and (b) relate coccolithophore data with environmental time series data obtained from satellite remote sensing for the sediment trap sampling period and with particle flux data collected from the same sediment traps and recently published by Korte et al. (2017).

2 Oceanographic and meteorological settings

Surface water circulation in the study area, involving tropical surface water (TSW) and the South Atlantic Central Water (SACW), is mostly driven by the north-easterly trade winds responsible for generating the westward-flowing North Equatorial Current (NEC) between approximately 10 and 20°N (Fig. 1). South of the NEC is the North Equatorial Counter Current (NECC) flowing in the eastward direction counter to the wind (Stramma and Schott, 1999).

Both currents are subjected to the latitudinal migration of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) between approximately 5°S and 12°S depending on the season (Basha et al., 2015). During boreal summer when the ITCZ is at its northernmost position, the SE trade winds intensify along the Equator, even penetrating into the Northern Hemisphere and intensifying the surface circulation. Between summer and late fall, the NECC flows along an equatorial band centred between 5 and 8°N (Longhurst, 1993; Garzoli and Katz, 1983). During the same period an important part of the northward-flowing North Brazilian Current (NBC) is retroflected off the South American north-eastern margin towards the east into the western tropical North Atlantic after crossing the Equator (approximately at 8°N and 50°W; Philander, 2001), feeding into the NECC (Richardson and Walsh, 1986), and often expanding northward through the shedding of anticyclonic eddies drifting in the NW direction (Schott et al., 1998; Fig. 1). During boreal winter, the southward migration of the ITCZ causes the weakening of the trade winds along the Equator and the concomitant disappearance of the NECC in the western part of the equatorial region. This results in a generally westward-flowing circulation in the equatorial North Atlantic north of the Equator west of 25°W (Stramma and Schott, 1999). At the same time, the NBC ceases to retroreflect but rather continues to flow north-westward along the continental slope off the coast of South America (Johns et al., 1998; Lux et al., 2001; Philander, 2001). This is the period of maximum northward transport of heat across the Equator (Philander, 2001), for which the NBC is the main pathway (Goni and Johns, 2001).

The western equatorial North Atlantic where station M4 was located is also seasonally influenced by the Amazon River, the world’s largest river with respect to freshwater discharge into the open ocean (Mann and Lanzier, 2006). From August to December, when the retroreflection of the NBC carries the river plume eastward in the uppermost ~ 50 m of the water column, large amounts of nutrients (Boyle et al., 1977; Sholkovitz et al., 1978; De Master et al., 1986) are entrained into the NECC far into the central equatorial North Atlantic (Muller-Karger et al., 1988; Moller et al., 2010; Field, 2005; Fig. 1). From August to October, the Amazon Plume was observed to reach speeds of ~ 90 cm s⁻¹ near 45°W with a gradual slowdown to 30 cm s⁻¹ while moving eastward. Near the African continent, a part of it continued into the Guinea Current, while another part moved northward into the NEC and then westward (e.g. Muller-Karger et al., 1988; Moller et al., 2010).

The upper water masses in the study area, including the mixed layer, consist mostly of the warm, salty, and nutrient-depleted TSW in the upper ~ 100 m (temperature ~ T: ~ 27°C, salinity ~ S: 36.7–37) and the cooler, less salty, and relatively nutrient-enriched South Atlantic Central Water (SACW) at depths down to ~ 500 m (T: 6.0–18°C, S: 34.3–35.8). Further down to 1200 m of water depth the Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) is characterized by a subsurface
oxygen maximum and a salinity minimum (T: 2–6 °C, S: 33.8–34.8; Emery and Meincke, 1986; Reid, 1994; Stramma and Schott, 1999). Below the AAIW is the cold North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW) flowing southward between 1200 and 4000 m (Stramma and Schott, 1999; see Fig. 1b).

3 Material and methods

3.1 Sediment trap sampling

Two sediment traps at sites M2 (14° N, 37° W) and M4 (12° N, 49° W) collected sinking particles in synchronous intervals of 16 days from 19 October 2012 to 7 November 2013 in the central and western equatorial North Atlantic, respectively (Fig. 1, Table 1). Details of the mooring equipment, the deployment and recovery of the sediment traps, and the treatment of the recovered sample bottles are described in Stuut et al. (2013).

3.2 Laboratory and microscope analysis

Sediment trap samples from stations M4 and M2 were initially wet-sieved over a 1 mm mesh, wet-split into five aliquot subsamples using a rotary splitter (WSD-10; McLane Laboratories), washed to remove the HgCl$_2$ and salts, and centrifuged. Average weight differences between replicate aliquots were within 2.4 % (SD = 2.2), with 87 % of all samples differing < 5 % between splits (detailed procedure in Korte et al., 2017). Micropalaeontological analysis was undertaken from one 1/5 split of each original sample after being oxidized in a low-temperature asher for approximately 4 h to remove the organic matter and obtain a sample strictly composed of mineral particles (Fallet et al., 2009). The coccolith export fluxes and species composition were assessed following the methods described in Andruleit (1996). Depending on the particle content of the samples, a split of usually 1/2000 of the original sample was filtered onto polycarbonate membrane filters (47 mm diameter, 0.45 µm pore size). The only exception was the material-loaded sample M4-24 for which a split of 1/16000 was necessary. Once dried, a segment of each filter was cut and mounted on

Figure 1. Location of the trap mooring sites M4 and M2 and a schematic representation of (a) the main surface currents in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean, with the inset showing the seasonal eastward retroflection of the North Brazilian Current (NBC) during boreal summer (adapted from Mann and Lazier, 2006). (b) The main water masses present in the study area (TWS – tropical surface water; SACW – South Atlantic Central Water; AAIW – Antarctic Intermediate Water; NADW – North Atlantic Deep Water) based on water depths reported by Emery and Meincke (1986), Reid (1994), and Stramma and Schott (1999) and on water temperature profiles performed along a transatlantic array (12° N) from 12 January and 2 February 2015 on-board RV Pelagia (cruise PE395; Stuut et al., 2015).
a scanning electron microscope (SEM) stub. A minimum of 500 coccoliths was then counted from an arbitrarily chosen transect and each coccolith was identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible at 3000× magnification using a Zeiss DSM 940A SEM at 10 kV of accelerating voltage.

The taxonomic identification of coccolithophore species followed Jordan et al. (2004) and Young et al. (2011). Coccolith species counts were converted into coccolith export fluxes (i.e. coccoliths m\(^{-2}\)d\(^{-1}\)) by extrapolating to the entire effective filter area and to the original sample and dividing by the sample interval and the trap aperture area. Finally, the Shannon–Weaver diversity index \(H'\) (e.g. Tuomisto, 2013) was determined to assess the coccolithophore species diversity at each location.

Shallowing or deepening of the nutricline was inferred from the ratio between upper photic zone (UPZ) species and lower photic zone (LPZ) species, with larger ratios (i.e. higher abundance of UPZ taxa) indicating shallower depths of the nutricline. The ratio was calculated as the sum of the fluxes of *Gephyrocapsa muellerae*, *G. oceanica*, and *Emiliania huxleyi* divided by the sum of the fluxes of *Florisphaera profunda* and *Gladioolithus flabellatus* (e.g. Molíno and McIntyre, 1990; Beaufort et al., 1997; Stoll et al., 2007). In spite of also being considered an LPZ species, *Reticulofenestra sessilis* was not included in the equation due to its much lower export fluxes and different seasonality compared to the latter two species.

### 3.3 Oceanographic and meteorological data

Time series of hydrological (sea surface temperature – SST, salinity – SSS, and Chl \(a\) concentrations) and meteorological parameters obtained from satellite data (i.e. daily precipitation rates, photosynthetically available radiance (PAR), wind speed) were used as a complementary framework for interpreting the influence of environmental variability on the coccolithophore export fluxes (Table 2). The aerosol optical depth (AOD) was used as a measure of aerosols distributed within a column of air from the top of the atmosphere to the surface of the Earth. Based on Lelli et al. (2014), spatio-temporal variations in AOD during the sampling period were interpreted as an indicator of the atmospheric aerosols originating from the African deserts. The percentage of cloud cover was estimated from MODIS data as the percentage of pixels in the image flagged (L2-flags) with “probable cloud or ice contamination”. For each trap location, satellite data were retrieved considering a 2° × 2° latitude–longitude area centred around the trap location and averaged for each 16-day interval of the sediment trap sampling period. The 2° × 2° box, corresponding to \(\sim 119 \times 119\) N mi (1° = \(\sim 59\) N mi), was assumed to be representative of the catchment area of a trap deployed at 1200 m of depth, taking into account the sinking speed for marine phytoplankton and algal aggregates (e.g. Waniew et al., 2000) and based on temperature–pressure measurements; this indicates that M2 and M4 were equally and effectively vertical during the deployment period (Korte et al., 2017). Data were downloaded from various sources as listed in the Supplement and processed for the study period 2012–2013, as shown in Fig. 2.

### 3.4 Statistical multivariate analysis

The relationship between the coccolithophore taxa and the environmental conditions during the monitored period was investigated on the basis of a statistical multivariate analysis (\(r\)-mode factor analysis; Statistica 13) performed upon a data matrix of 46 samples (i.e. cases) and 17 variables (columns). The factor analysis considered only the percentages of the 10 most common species or taxonomic groups (i.e. > 2% of the annual mean assemblage in at least one of the stations) and the hydrological and meteorological parameters obtained from satellite data (SST, SSS, Chl \(a\), PAR, precipitation rate, AOD, and wind speed). Results from the original data matrices were optimized through a varimax raw rotation and the obtained factor scores were plotted against time to assess the temporal variability of the factors at both mooring locations.

### 4 Results

#### 4.1 Oceanographic and meteorological conditions during the sampling period

The seasonal development of sea surface conditions did not differ drastically between the two mooring stations during the monitored period, despite considerable differences in the range of values of salinity and Chl \(a\) concentrations (Fig. 2). SST tended to be lower from late winter to early summer

---

Table 1. Background information regarding the DUSTTRAFFIC sediment trap moorings (M4 and M2) used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mooring station</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>12° N 49° W</td>
<td>14° N 37° W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trap depth (m)</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>1235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom depth (m)</td>
<td>4670</td>
<td>4790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of studied samples</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling resolution (days)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and higher during late summer and fall in both areas, although it was generally higher at station M4 (26.2–29.2 °C) than at M2 (24.3–28.2 °C). SST minima were recorded in late March and April 2013 at station M4 and in late March and late May of the same year at station M2. Differences were, however, encountered in terms of the range and seasonal patterns of SSS. Whereas station M2 revealed little variability in surface salinity (35.5–36.3), station M4 experienced a sharp and quite distinct decrease during the fall months, particularly in October–November 2013 (33.9–36.5). Surface Chl a concentrations were generally low during most of the year (< 0.1 µg L⁻¹) but distinctively increased in October–November 2013 at station M4 (up to 1.13 µg L⁻¹) (Fig. 2a, b).

Atmospheric conditions were similar at both locations. Despite the narrow range of PAR values observed at both stations, a clear seasonality is evidenced from slightly higher PAR during spring and summer (up to 65.615 Einstein m⁻² d⁻¹ in April 2013) towards slightly lower PAR during fall and winter (down to 65.588 Einstein m⁻² d⁻¹ in December 2012) at both stations (Fig. 2c and d). Precipitation rates were higher during summer and fall, up to 10 mm d⁻¹ in early November 2012 at M2 and up to 9 mm d⁻¹ in September–October 2013 at M4. Both stations received little to no precipitation during the winter and spring months (Fig. 2c and d). Winds were stronger albeit variable during winter and spring and then dropped during the summer months to gradually increase again during the following fall. Slightly stronger winds prevailed during winter and spring at M4 (up to 10 m s⁻¹ in December 2013, April, and June 2013) and during spring, summer, and fall at M2 (up to 9.5 m s⁻¹ in May–June and October–November 2013; Fig. 2c and d). AOD had a similar month-to-month variation at both locations, generally increasing during the spring–summer transition but reaching higher values at station M2, particularly in May–June 2013 (up to ~ 0.85), compared to M4 (only 0.35; Fig. 2e and f). Cloud cover percentage was fairly variable during the sampling period, generally higher at station M2 with a tendency to increase during spring and summer. Clouds and AOD appear weakly covariant (ascending) during winter and spring, whereas during summer and fall the discrepancy between the two parameters increases, point-
>The number of species or groups of species was similar at stations M4 and M2 (47 and 43 taxa, respectively) although slightly higher at the westernmost site as also indicated by the higher Shannon–Weaver diversity index ($H'$; Fig. 3). The $H'$ value was highest during the fall of 2012 and later in April and October–November 2013 at M4. It was highest during late November 2012 and lowest in March–April and July–August of 2013 at M2 (Fig. 3). In spite of this species diversity, only 10 taxa occurred in abundances of ≥2% in at least one of the stations and were selected for our further analysis (see Figs. 5 and 6). Mean, minimum, and maximum coccolith fluxes and percentages for each taxon or group of taxa are given in Table 3. The dominant taxa by far were the LPZ species *Florisphaera profunda* and *Gladiolithus flabellatus*, with fluxes together comprising 74 and 69% of the annual mean flux at M4 and M2, respectively. The remaining taxa, including *Emiliania huxleyi*, *Umbellosphaera* spp., *Rhabdosphaera* spp., *Helicosphaera* spp., *Umbilicosphaera* spp., *Reticulofenestra sessilis*, *Gephyrocapsa muellerae*, and *G. oceanica*, exhibited mean percentages of 2–7% (Table 3, Fig. 5).

4.3 Coccolithophore species fluxes and relative abundances

Most of the taxa produced much higher coccolith fluxes at the western station M4. Such was particularly the case of *F. profunda*, *G. flabellatus*, and *E. huxleyi*, with maximum fluxes nearly 3–5 times higher at M4 than at M2. It was also the case of the UPZ species *G. muellerae* and *G. oceanica*, which recorded flux maxima up to 72 and 26 times higher at M4, respectively. Species within *Umbellosphaera*, *Rhabdosphaera*, *Umbilicosphaera*, *Helicosphaera*, and *R. sessilis* had more similar ranges of abundance at the two sites. In terms of relative abundance, however, all the species fluxes below and above the corresponding annual means, respectively (Figs. 3 and 4).
recorded higher percentages at station M2 compared to M4, with the exception of *G. flabellatus*, *E. huxleyi*, and species within *Gephyrocapsa* spp.

### 4.3.1 Site M4

Coccoliths produced by *F. profunda* (29–56 %) were high in November 2012 and late July 2013, with lower fluxes in October 2012, late March, late August, and late September 2013. In terms of relative abundances, *F. profunda* was most common in early November 2012 and least common in late April 2013 (Fig. 6a). A similar seasonal pattern was shown by *G. flabellatus* (18–47 %) but with a less abrupt decrease in the transition to spring compared to *F. profunda*. Lower fluxes of *G. flabellatus* occurred in October 2012, March, and October–November 2013, whereas its relative abundances generally followed the same seasonal pattern of the fluxes (Fig. 6b). Seasonality in *Umbellosphaera* spp. (2–8 %) and *R. sessilis* (0.2–2 %) was somewhat similar to that of *G. flabellatus*. Maximum fluxes of *Umbellosphaera* spp. occurred in December 2012 and September 2013, and lower fluxes occurred from late winter to early spring, with higher percentages in October and December 2012 and later in September 2013 (Fig. 6d). Flux maxima of *R. sessilis* occurred in late December 2012 and late July and early October 2013, and flux minima occurred in October 2012, late March, late April, and late May 2012 (Fig. 6h).

*Rhabdosphaera* spp. (0–3 %) and *Umbilicosphaera* spp. (1–3 %) revealed similar seasonal patterns, with the highest fluxes recorded during spring and summer and maxima in late July 2013 and later in April and June 2013 (Fig. 6e and g). In the case of *Umbilicosphaera* spp., flux increases were also noticed in early December 2012 and November 2013. The lowest fluxes of both taxa were recorded from January to March 2013. The seasonal pattern of their relative abundance generally followed that of the coccolith export fluxes. In spite of some similarity with the latter taxa, *Helicosphaera* spp. (0.1–2 %) was clearly more abundant in late April and late July, with the lowest fluxes from late summer to late winter (Fig. 6i), whereas *E. huxleyi* (0.1–2 %) showed two distinct and very sharp peaks in late April 2013 and in October–November 2013 (Fig. 6c).

*Gephyrocapsa muellerae* (0–25 %) occurred in persistently low fluxes and percentages throughout the year but remarkably increased in January 2013 (Fig. 6i). Seasonality in *G. oceanica* (0.1–9 %) was somewhat in between *G. muellerae* and *E. huxleyi*, but it was slightly more abundant from December 2012 until April 2013 and strikingly increased in October–November 2013 (Fig. 6j).

### 4.3.2 Site M2

In comparison to the western site where different species revealed distinct seasonal variations, at the more central site M2 most of the taxa revealed a very similar seasonality, with the highest fluxes in late October 2012 and early July 2013 and lower fluxes in early November 2012, late May, and August 2013 (Fig. 6). The exceptions were *G. flabellatus* (Fig. 6b), which was the only one of the most abundant species without a flux increase in early March 2013, and *Helicosphaera* spp. (Fig. 6f), which were clearly more abundant from late winter to early summer 2013.

*F. profunda* (53–72 %) stood out for being much more common at site M2 compared to M4 (Fig. 6a), and species within *Umbellosphaera* spp. (4–10 %), *H. carteri* (1–9 %), *Rhabdosphaera* spp. (1–5 %), *Umbilicosphaera* spp. (1–5 %), and *R. sessilis* (1–4 %) were also generally more common in this area than at M4 (Fig. 6d–h). By contrast, *G. flabellatus* (4–16 %), *E. huxleyi* (3–13 %), *G. oceanica* (0–2 %), and *G. muellerae* (0–1 %) revealed much lower fluxes and percentages as well as comparatively low month-to-month variation in fluxes compared to M4 (Fig. 6b, c, i, and j).

### 4.4 Coccolithophore variability from multivariate factor analysis

Four factors were extracted from the multivariate factor analysis, together explaining 63 % of the total variability within the data (Fig. 7, Table 3). Factor 1 (F1, explaining 30 % of the total variance) is represented by *F. profunda*, *R. sessilis*, *Umbellosphaera* spp., *Helicosphaera* spp., and *Rhabdosphaera* spp. (Fig. 7a).
Figure 6. Coccolith export fluxes and relative abundance of the most important species at stations M4 and M2: (a) *F. profunda*, (b) *G. flabellatus*, (c) *E. huxleyi*, (d) *Umbellosphaera* spp., (e) *Rhabdosphaera* spp., (f) *Helicosphaera* spp., (g) *Umbilicosphaera* spp., (h) *R. sessilis*, (i) *G. muellerae*, and (j) *G. oceanica*. Dark and light grey bars indicate boreal fall and summer, respectively. Numbers 7, 12, and 24 indicate the sample reference for the time intervals during which *G. muellerae*, *E. huxleyi*, and *G. oceanica* produced “pulse-like” maxima at station M4 (i.e. late January, middle April, and late October to early November 2013, respectively), whereas number 9 indicates the sample reference for the time interval during which enhanced fluxes of *E. huxleyi* occurred at station M2 (i.e. early March 2013).

spp. in opposition to *G. flabellatus* and SST. Whereas both groups of variables do not show a clear seasonal pattern throughout the study period, they indicate contrasting ecological conditions between M2 and M4. The first group was clearly more associated with station M2 during the entire year, particularly during spring (most negative score in late March 2013), whereas the second group was persistently more associated with station M4 (most positive scores in November 2012 and later from May to September 2013).
Table 2. Annual mean and range of coccolith fluxes and relative abundances of the most abundant coccolithophore taxa (mean > 2%) for mooring stations M4 (left) and M2 (right). Loadings marked in bold refer to the strongest significant correlations ($r \geq 0.4$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxa</th>
<th>Coccolith fluxes ($\text{cocoliths m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1} \times 10^7$)</th>
<th>Relative abundances (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M4</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total fluxes</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>117–423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UPZ TAXA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. oceanica</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.2–26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. huxleyi</em></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4–69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. muellerae</em></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Helicosphaera</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Rhabdos.</em> spp.</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Umbellos.</em> spp.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LPZ TAXA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. sessilis</em></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. profunda</em></td>
<td>97</td>
<td>51–235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>G. flabellatus</em></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>36–146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factor 2 (F2 – 16%) is represented by precipitation, SST, and Chl a in opposition to SSS, AOD, PAR, and to a lesser extent, wind and *Helicosphaera* spp. The two groups of variables showed a similar seasonal pattern at both stations, with precipitation, SST, and Chl a displaying a stronger negative signal during the fall months, particularly at M4 (most negative score in October 2013). The second group was most strongly correlated during spring and early summer at both stations (most positive scores in late May and early June 2013; Fig. 7, Table 3).

Factor 3 (F3, 10%) is represented by *G. muellerae* and to a lesser extent *G. oceanica*, in opposition to *Rhabdosphaera* spp., *Umbilicosphaera* spp., PAR, and to a lesser extent, *Umbellosphaera* spp. The latter group revealed little statistical significance throughout the year at either location (i.e. scores close to zero) except in January 2013 when *G. muellerae* recorded high negative scores at station M4 (Fig. 7, Table 3).

Factor 4 (F4, 8%) reflects *F. profunda* in opposition to *E. huxleyi*, *G. oceanica*, and to a lesser extent, wind speed. F4 reveals a weak signal at both locations during most of the year, except in April and October 2013 when two sharp positive peaks at station M4 reflected the short-term and sharp increases in *E. huxleyi* and *G. oceanica*. Relatively minor but still significant positive peaks occurred in January at M4 and in March at M2. Negative peaks were recorded in November 2012 at both stations, later in early May at M4, and in late August 2013 at both stations, reflecting the higher presence of *F. profunda* during these periods (Fig. 7, Table 3).

5 Discussion

5.1 Similar temporal variations in the tropical flora in the western and central equatorial North Atlantic

Comparable seasonal patterns in total coccolith fluxes observed at stations M4 and M2 point to similar environmental background conditions during the sampling period at both sites (Figs. 3 and 4). This is better expressed in Factor 2 (explaining 16% of the variance), showing that the overall atmospheric and oceanographic conditions did not vary considerably between the two locations (Fig. 7). In the tropical North Atlantic, meteorological conditions are mostly controlled by seasonal variations in the trade winds and the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ), the latter being a zone of low pressure and increased cloudiness and precipitation near the Equator (e.g. Oschlies and Garçon, 1998). The ITCZ migrates in latitude during summer and winter months in the Northern Hemisphere, shifting on average between 5° S during January and 12° N during July (e.g. Basha et al., 2015; see Fig. 8). Maxima in coccolith flux in October–November 2012 and July 2013 (Figs. 3 and 4) appear to have occurred under the direct influence of the ITCZ. This was especially the case in the fall months, as revealed by the prevailing high precipitation rates, weaker winds, and low PAR conditions during this period (Figs. 2c, d and 7; F2 negative scores). Whereas persistently high SSTs can be interpreted as an indicator of the generally stratified conditions typical of tropical open-ocean regions (e.g. Mann and Lazier, 2006; Haidar and Thierstein, 2001), the highest temperature values during the fall of 2012 and from mid-summer to the fall of 2013 suggest that stratification was the strongest under the influence of the ITCZ, probably reflecting the weakening of...
the winds during these periods. The highest coccolith fluxes recorded under these conditions suggest that higher stability of the photic layer favoured the development and/or the settling of coccolithophores during these periods. In contrast, minima in coccolith flux during the winter–spring period occurred when the ITCZ was displaced further south of the study area, and hence surface circulation was mostly influenced by the NE trade winds and by the westward-flowing NEC (see Sect. 2). This appears to be reflected in the positive correlation between wind strength and high PAR (F2 positive scores) and the negative correlation between wind and precipitation (Fig. 7). SST minima and the intensification of the wind during winter and spring suggest that deepening of the mixed layer due to winter cooling combined with some wind-forced vertical mixing (Fig. 2a, b, e, and f) could have resulted in some nutrient entrainment from below (see Sect. 5.2.2). The lowest coccolith fluxes under these conditions seem to indicate that enhanced wind-forced mixing was less favourable to the productivity and/or downward transfer of coccoliths compared to the more stable conditions in the fall and summer.

Comparable seasonal developments in total coccolith export fluxes at stations M2 and M4 were also reflected in the species composition. A predominantly tropical assemblage was found throughout the investigated period in both areas (Figs. 5 and 6), in general agreement with previous studies from nearby tropical and subtropical areas (Kinkel et al., 2000; Haidar and Thierstein, 2001; Winter et al., 2002; Boeckel and Baumann, 2008; Poulton et al., 2017). It included species considered well adapted to the high-nutrient and low-light conditions prevailing in the LPZ, such as *F. profunda, G. flabellatus*, and *R. sessilis* (e.g. Okada and Honjo, 1973; Young, 1994; Haidar and Thierstein, 2001; Winter et al., 2002), and taxa that are more often found in the nutrient-depleted and well-illuminated UPZ, such as *Umbedtlsphaera* spp., *Rhabdosphaera* spp., and *Umbilicosphaera* spp. (e.g. Winter et al., 1994, 2002; Young, 1994; Haidar and Thierstein, 2001). The presence of taxa with a higher affinity for mesotrophic conditions, such as *Helicosphaera* spp. (Haidar and Thierstein, 2001; Boeckel et al., 2006; Ziveri et al., 2004), and species with affinity with more turbulent and eutrophic environments, such as *E. huxleyi, G. muellerae*, and *G. oceanica* (e.g. Winter et al., 1994, 2002; Kinkel et al., 2000; Guerreiro et al., 2013), point to occasionally enhanced environmental variability promoting nutrient input, as discussed in Sect. 5.2.2.

In terms of seasonal patterns, however, little is known about the living coccolithophore communities thriving in the tropical Atlantic. In the subtropical Atlantic near Bermuda, 2200 km further north, seasonal variability appears more pronounced with the highest standing stocks from winter to spring and the lowest during summer (Haidar and Thierstein, 2001). This is opposite to our observations in the tropical Atlantic showing coccolith flux maxima during fall and summer (Figs. 3 and 4). Species relative proportions are different as well; whereas the subtropical Bermuda living coccolithophore community was largely dominated by the fast-blooming *E. huxleyi*, the settling coccolith assemblages at stations M4 and M2 were persistently dominated by the LPZ species *F. profunda* and *G. flabellatus* (Fig. 5). The difference between the two areas appears to reflect the much stronger winter cooling, vertical mixing, nutrient entrainment, and summer stratification in the subtropical Atlantic off Bermuda compared to the more oligotrophic and persistently strati-
Figure 8. Climatological seasonal means of wind (speed and direction; reference vector length: 3 m s\(^{-1}\)) and precipitation rates (colour shading; mm/day) over the central-western equatorial Atlantic Ocean for boreal (a) winter (December–February), (b) spring (March–May), (c) summer (June–August), and (d) autumn (September–November) illustrating the seasonal latitudinal migrations of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ). Wind data (years: 1988–2015) were obtained from the CCMP Ocean Surface Wind Vector Analyses (Atlas et al., 2011) and precipitation data (years: 1979–2015) from the CPC Merged Analysis of Precipitation (CMAP; Xie and Arkin, 1997). Red and black stars refer to the location of sites M4 and M2, respectively.

fied conditions in the tropical Atlantic (Molfino and McIntyre, 1990; Haidar and Thierstein, 2001; Mann and Lazier, 2006 and references therein). Nevertheless, higher coccolith and cocolithsphere concentrations of *F. profunda* (∼30–100 liths per sphere; Okada and Honjo, 1973) and possibly also *G. flabellatus* compared to *E. huxleyi* (∼9–50 liths per sphere; Cros and Fortuno, 2002) could result in overestimation of their abundance in the traps. This means that the dominance of the LPZ flora in the settling coccolith assemblages from the equatorial North Atlantic may not necessarily reflect overwhelmingly higher productivity compared to *E. huxleyi* but simply a high production rate combined with higher settling of coccoliths per cell.

That *F. profunda* and *G. flabellatus* revealed similar seasonal patterns and the highest abundances during strong stratification conditions in fall and summer, which is consistent with observations from the open subtropical North Atlantic (Haidar and Thierstein, 2001; Broerse et al., 2000; Fig. 6a and b). The increase in both fluxes and percentages during fall at both stations appears to reflect their better adaptation to high stratification conditions and lower light intensities compared to other species. Conversely, the increase in several UPZ tropical species within *Umbellosphaera* spp., *Rhabdosphaera* spp., *Helicosphaera* spp., and *Umbilicosphaera* spp. during summer appears to be related to slightly higher PAR levels during this period (Figs. 2c, d and 7). The general affinity of *Umbellosphaera* spp., *Rhabdosphaera* spp., and *Umbilicosphaera* spp. for stratified and well-illuminated conditions was also evidenced by their higher percentages and positive correlation with PAR from late spring to early fall at both stations (F3; Fig. 7). This is also consistent with previous studies from other tropical and subtropical areas in the North Atlantic (Haidar and Thierstein, 2001; Kinkel et al., 2000; Winter et al., 2002; Poulton et al., 2017).

5.2 Spatial variations in coccolith fluxes: western vs. central equatorial North Atlantic

In spite of similar seasonal developments in both coccolith fluxes and species composition, stations M2 and M4 revealed striking differences in export fluxes by most species, pointing to the influence of environmental factors that are specific to
Table 3. Factor loadings (varimax raw), eigenvalues, and percentage of the explained variance extracted from the data matrices referring to the period from October 2012 to October–November 2013 at stations M4 and M2 (r-mode factor analysis by Statistica 13; marked loadings are > 0.4). Chl a – surface phytoplankton biomass, SST and SSS – sea surface temperature and salinity, PAR – photosynthetic available radiation, AOD – aerosol optical depth, Prec – daily precipitation rate, and Wind – wind speed. In Factor 3, numbers 7 and 12 indicate the sample reference for the time intervals during which G. muellerae and E. huxleyi produced “pulse-like” maxima at station M4 (i.e. late January and middle April 2013, respectively; see Fig. 6i and c), whereas in Factor 4, number 24 indicates the sample reference for the time interval during which the pulse-like maxima of sea surface Chl a and G. oceanica, together with a sharp increase in E. huxleyi, occurred at station M4 (i.e. late October to early November 2013; see Fig. 6c and j). In Factor 2, numbers 23 and 24 indicate the time intervals during which SSS minima were recorded during fall at the same site (see Fig. 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>F1</th>
<th>F2</th>
<th>F3</th>
<th>F4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. profunda (Fp)</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. flabellatus (Gf)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. huxleyi (Eh)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbellopsphaera spp. (Umbel)</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhabdosphaera spp. (Rhab)</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helicopphaera spp. (Helii)</td>
<td>−0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Umbilicosphaera spp. (Umbil)</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. sessilis (Roes)</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. muellerae (Gm)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. oceanica (Go)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chl a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SST</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>−0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOD</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSS</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prec</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>−0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>−0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eigenvalues</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expl. Var. (%)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each location. Below we highlight the main differences between the two areas and discuss the factors that potentially trigger them.

5.2.1 Higher productivity and/or transfer efficiency of the LPZ flora at the western site M4

Fluxes recorded at station M4 were high not only in comparison to station M2, but also to several other locations in the Atlantic Ocean, including open-ocean temperate and subtropical settings (e.g. Knappertsbusch and Brummer, 1995; Broeर et al., 2000; Sprengel et al., 2002), areas in the vicinity of islands (Sprengel et al., 2002), and more marginal regions, even when under the influence of coastal upwelling (Beaufort and Heussner, 1999; Köbrich and Baumann, 2009). Furthermore, most of these flux studies referred to the opportunistic E. huxleyi as being the dominant species, while the unexpectedly high total coccolith fluxes we found in the presumably oligotrophic western equatorial North Atlantic were mostly due to the LPZ dwellers F. profunda and G. flabellatus. Mean fluxes of G. flabellatus, in particular, were almost 14 times higher at M4 than at M2 (Fig. 6b, Table 2) and contributed importantly to Factor 1, explaining the highest percentage of the variability within the taxa and environmental parameters (i.e. 30 %) compared to the other factors (16, 10, and 9 % for F2, F3, and F4, respectively; Fig. 7). That F1 is clearly characterized by the opposition between the central station M2 and the western station M4 highlights the much higher abundances of G. flabellatus further west as the most important feature or difference between the two sites and indicates the presence of spatial variability shown by the LPZ flora as the statistically more relevant factor explaining our flux records. This finding is consistent with what it is known about the well-constrained enhancement of this species in surface sediments from the western equatorial Atlantic and Brazilian continental margin (Kinkel et al., 2000; Boeckel et al., 2006). That Umbellosphaera spp., Rhabdosphaera spp., Umbilicosphaera spp., E. huxleyi, and gephyrocapsids also produced higher coccolith fluxes in this area points to enhanced productivity throughout the entire photic zone at M4.

The persistent and overwhelming dominance of the LPZ flora suggests profiting from some subsurface and year-round nutrient supply. Forced by the trade winds, the westward deepening of the equatorial mixed layer and associated nutrient-reef (see Hensen and Merle, 1987; Longhurst, 1993; Philander, 2001) could have promoted higher production of the LPZ flora in the western equatorial Atlantic (Fig. 10). In situ CTD and nutrient measurements at both stations do indicate a consistently deeper nutricline at M4, particularly during spring when the deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) was found deeper at station M4 compared to station M2 (data not shown; Roepert and Brummer in Stuut et al., 2016).

In addition to the westward tilting of the nutricline, changes in the depth range of the Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW) flowing in from the south-west (Reid, 1994) may have also contributed to the enhanced fluxes of the LPZ flora further west (see http://whp-atlas.ucsd.edu and Fig. 10). Originating from the surface region of the Antarctic circum-polar layer, this water mass is known to follow the South Atlantic subtropical gyre to enter the western equatorial Atlantic (Stramma et al., 2003; Stramma and England, 1999). It crosses the Equator and spreads along the Brazilian shelf (Talley, 1996), contributing high nutrients and low oxygen to the Gulf Stream and North Atlantic Current (Reid, 1994). Furthermore, Poulton et al. (2017) have recently reported that F. profunda and Gladiolithus spp. thrive below the depth at which light is thought to be sufficient to support photosynthesis in equatorial waters, probably by mechanisms of mixotrophy and/or phagotrophy. This means that these two species may be able to live even deeper in the water column than originally thought.
Higher fluxes at station M4 may also be related to the higher production of faecal pellets by zooplankton grazers acting as vehicles for the downward flux of coccolithophores in this area. Recent observations by Knebel (2016) report higher fluxes of spinose planktonic foraminifera at station M4 compared to M2. Knipperstbusch and Brummer (1995) argued earlier that the export of coccolithophores is intimately related to day-to-day fluctuations in faecal pellet production by migrating zooplankton and nektom in the overlying mesopelagic zone. Therefore, higher zooplankton grazing in the western equatorial North Atlantic may have increased the coccoliths’ transfer efficiency, hence contributing to the much higher coccolith fluxes compared to the central equatorial North Atlantic (Fig. 10).

5.2.2 Transient productivity of the r-selected UPZ flora at the western site M4

Spatial environmental variability in the equatorial North Atlantic is most clearly expressed by the much higher fluxes and the pulsed maxima of the more opportunistic species *E. huxleyi, G. muellerae*, and *G. oceanica* at station M4 compared to their persistently low abundances and weak seasonality at station M2 (Figs. 6c, i, j, and 5). Their occurrence in the form of short-term high-flux events in January, April, and October–November 2013 at station M4 was associated with strikingly increasing ratios between these species and the LPZ flora (Fig. 9). Stoll et al. (2007) have used similar ratios as indicators of upwelling in the Bay of Bengal based on the idea that the decrease in the LPZ flora reflects the shallowing of the nutricline (Molfino and McIntyre, 1990; Beaufort et al., 1997). The sporadic sharp increase in the UPZ/LPZ ratio at station M4 hence suggests that the nutricline was temporarily shallower, resulting in a fast production response of the more opportunistic placolith-bearing species to increased nutrient input. Higher fluxes of these UPZ taxa at station M4 support the argument that the equatorial North Atlantic becomes generally more productive further west.

Influence of wind-forced water mixing and dispersal of the Amazon River Plume

The pronounced maximum of *G. muellerae* in January 2013 (samples M4-6 and M4-7; Figs. 6i and 9a) was strong enough to be reflected in Factor 3, explaining 10% of the variance (Fig. 7). This event occurred when the wind had just started to intensify and PAR was gradually increasing, as expected from the fall–winter transition in the equatorial North Atlantic (Fig. 2). This probably resulted in some degree of vertical mixing, as suggested by the decrease in SST, which in turn may have led to the supply of nutrients from below the pycnocline to shallower levels in the photic zone (see Sect. 5.1). The lack of a significant response by *E. huxleyi* and *G. oceanica* during this period suggests that nutrient availability was lower and/or not persistent enough for these species to bloom. Previous studies reported *G. muellerae* to have an affinity for intermediate to higher nutrient conditions in more transitional water conditions where competition with *E. huxleyi* and *G. oceanica* is lower (e.g. Giraudaud and Bailey, 1995; Boeckel et al., 2006; Guerreiro et al., 2013) and to occupy a deeper position in the photic zone than other species of the genus *Gephyrocapsa* (Boeckel and Baumann, 2008; Guerreiro et al., 2013). Low surface Chl *a* concentrations (Fig. 9a) and the absence of any significant increase in biogenic mass fluxes during this January period (Korte et al., 2017; Fig. 9b) point to a scenario in which only a few species profit from short-term nutrient supply and moderate light intensities at intermediate levels in the photic zone.

Similar conditions appear to have occurred in April 2013 (sample M4–12), with a pulsed maximum of *E. huxleyi* occurring under maximum PAR and lower SST following a period of more persistent wind strength increase that lasted from early winter until late spring (Figs. 2, 6c, and 9). This suggests that the water masses were stratified enough but still nutrient enriched due to previous wind-forced vertical mixing, hence promoting favourable conditions for blooming species to rapidly develop in the UPZ. The observed response of *E. huxleyi* is consistent with previous observations from other open-ocean areas reporting this species to dominate spring coccolithophore blooms (Haidar and Thierstein, 2001) and to induce enhanced coccolith fluxes (Broere et al., 2000; Sprengel et al., 2002) following the water mixing and concomitant nutrient replenishment of the photic zone typical of late winter and early spring. That *E. huxleyi* responded in April but not in January suggests that it was more efficiently growing under presumably higher nutrient availability and maximum light levels during spring. This is consistent with previous observations of *E. huxleyi* bursting into a bloom within only a few days in response to nutrient availability and clear sky conditions in surface waters (Guerreiro et al., 2013). The high tolerance of this species to high levels of light has been considered crucial for its capacity to dominate coccolithophore assemblages (Nannenga and Tyrrell, 1996; Tyrrell and Merico, 2004). Although to a lesser extent compared to *E. huxleyi*, other taxa that also increased during this April period include *Helicosphaera* spp., *Rhabdosphaera* spp., and *Umbilicosphaera* spp. This event was strong enough to be reflected in Factor F4, explaining 8% of the variance (Fig. 7) in enhanced total coccolith fluxes and species *H*′ (Fig. 3), and by a striking flux increase in CaCO3, organic matter, and biogenic silica (Korte et al., 2017; Fig. 9b). This suggests that nutrient and light conditions were sufficiently favourable and persistent enough to promote the development of the entire UPZ plankton community during this short period.

The pulsed maxima of *G. oceanica* and *E. huxleyi* in October–November 2013 (sample M4–24) were also strong enough to be revealed by Factor 4 (Fig. 7) and by a slight increase in the total coccolith export production (Figs. 3 and 6c, j). Higher fluxes of these species were accompanied by in-
increased surface Chl \( a \) concentrations (Fig. 9a), pointing to high productivity in the uppermost photic zone (Figs. 6c, j and 9a). During the SEM counting of coccoliths throughout sample M4-24, extremely high amounts of large diatom fragments were found, strongly supporting a scenario in which nutrient enrichment at the surface and clear sky conditions promoted the development of more competitive phytoplankton species. This is further supported by a striking flux increase in organic matter, biogenic silica, and to a lesser extent, \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) during the same period (Korte et al., 2017; Fig. 9b). The ability of \( E. \text{huxleyi} \) and \( G. \text{oceanica} \) to compete with diatoms in surface waters and to rapidly respond to nutrient availability was observed in coastal waters off central Portugal, confirming its capacity for rapid population growth in nutrient-rich environments (Guerreiro et al., 2013).

During the fall 2013 event, SSS had dropped to a minimum of 33.9 at station M4 compared to \( \sim 36.25 \) recorded at station M2 during the same period (Figs. 2a, b and 9a, b). Since both areas are under the influence of the ITCZ with a comparable precipitation regime (see Fig. 8) and given that higher precipitation during the fall of 2012 at M2 was associated with much higher SSS than at M4 for the same period (Fig. 2c and d), the distinctly lower salinity at M4 in October–November 2013 likely reflected advected Amazon River water (Figs. 9 and 10). A strong positive correlation between high surface Chl \( a \) concentrations and the salinity minimum revealed by Factor 2 (Fig. 7) along with the sharp increase in surface-dwelling opportunistic species, biogenic silica, and organic matter during this period (Fig. 9; Korte et al., 2017) suggest that this nutrient-rich buoyant plume promoted the development of phytoplankton at the surface of the western equatorial North Atlantic. The presence of the plume at station M4 was also noticed in a CTD profile taken during the recovery of the sediment trap mooring in late November 2013, showing a relatively shallow chlorophyll maximum associated with Amazon-related phytoplankton productivity (unpublished data, not shown). Previous satellite observations from this area have shown the north-eastward dispersion of the Amazon River Plume forced by the NBC retroflexion at around 5–10\(^\circ\) N, 50\(^\circ\) W, typically from August to December (e.g. Muller-Karger et al., 1988; Moller et al., 2010; see Sect. 2). The plume resulted in a dramatic surface salinity contrast (Wilson et al., 1994) and promoted a gradient in environmental conditions that evolved while the plume was meandering northward and mixing with the open-ocean waters, with a strong impact on the magnitude and composition of phytoplankton communities (refs. in Goes et al., 2014). The influence of the Amazon River water on the living coccolithophore communities has been previously reported by Winter et al. (2002) in the Caribbean Sea where enhanced cell densities of \( E. \text{huxleyi} \) and \( G. \text{oceanica} \) were seen coupled with this buoyant water mass. Our trap record clearly testifies to its impact by changing the flux and species composition of the coccolithophore communities in
Figure 10. Schematic figure summarizing the main environmental mechanisms interpreted as being at the origin of the ecological contrasts observed between stations M4 and M2 from October 2012 to October–November 2013: (A) nutrient supply by AAIW depth range oscillations (dark blue lowermost layer) combined with (B) nutricline E–W basin-scale tilting (dashed black line), promoting the development of the LPZ species *G. flabellatus* and *F. profunda* further west; (C) wind-forced surface ocean mixing and (D) eastward dispersion of the Amazon River Plume, resulting in transient “pulse-like” increases in the opportunistic UPZ species *E. huxleyi*, *G. muellerae*, and *G. oceanica*; (E) higher production of faecal pellets by zooplankton grazers (white vertical arrow) at M4 contributing to increase the coccolith export efficiency; (F) Saharan dust deposition (yellow dots) influencing the study area, particularly at M4 where the Amazon River Plume acts as a surface density retainer of nutrients settling from the atmosphere; (G) sea surface CHL a concentrations averaged for the sampling period in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean illustrating the contrast between higher and lower surface productivity at stations M4 and M2, respectively. Abbreviations: UPZ – upper photic zone; LPZ – lower photic zone; *E. huxleyi* – Eh; *G. muellerae* – Gm; *G. oceanica* – Go; *Fp* – *F. profunda*; *Gf* – *G. flabellatus*; TSW – tropical surface water; SACW – South Atlantic Central Water; AAIW – Antarctic Intermediate Water.
the oligotrophic western equatorial North Atlantic. Furthermore, despite the limitations of using environmental data that only represent the atmospheric conditions and the surface of the ocean, it highlights the remarkably good statistical correlation between surface satellite data (i.e. drastic salinity decrease and associated increase in Chl a) and enhanced coccolith fluxes of *G. oceanica*, *E. huxleyi*, organic matter, and biogenic silica (Korte et al., 2017) in the trap at 1200 m of depth.

**Influence of Saharan dust deposition**

The present-day deposition of Saharan dust has been recently quantified based on a transatlantic array of four sediment trap moorings between NW Africa and the Caribbean, which included mooring traps M4 and M2 (Korte et al., 2017; van derDoes et al., 2016). The increase in AOD from spring to mid-summer at both stations (Fig. 2e and f) and its positive correlation with wind speed during this time (F2 positive scores; Fig. 7) corresponds to the same period when Korte et al. (2017) found the best accordance between dust outbreaks detected from satellite and high fluxes of dust-driven lithogenic particles. This agrees with previous observations that transatlantic Saharan dust fluxes are the highest during summer (e.g. Prospero et al., 2014). Since precipitation started to increase at the beginning of July at both stations (Fig. 2c and d), wet dust deposition probably contributed to the observed enhanced coccolith fluxes (Figs. 3 and 4). Through the exposure of the dust particles to cloud processes and mixing with anthropogenic species such as HNO₃ in the atmosphere, wet dust deposition is thought to provide more bioavailable (soluble) nutrients compared to dry dust deposition and hence to have a greater fertilizing effect for primary production (Ridame et al., 2014). With the exception of *R. sessilis*, all the tropical taxa, including both UPZ and LPZ flora, showed increased fluxes during the July period at both locations, suggesting that coccolithophores benefited from the nutrient input by dust along the entire photic zone. A positive correlation between AOD and *Heliococapsa* species thought to thrive in waters of moderately high fertility (Roth and Bergen, 1975; Haidar and Thierstein, 2001; Boeckel et al., 2006) points to an ecological response to increased nutrient levels in July (F2; Fig. 7). Whereas *E. huxleyi* and *G. oceanica* also slightly increased during this period, one would expect these species to have a more significant response compared to the tropical assemblage. This was, however, not the case, suggesting that the dust acted more as a ballast than as a fertilizer during summer.

Two prominent dust flux peaks not detected by satellite were recorded in April and October–November of 2013 at station M4 (Korte et al., 2017), precisely when *E. huxleyi* and *G. oceanica* revealed a pulse-like increase in abundance (Figs. 6c, j and 9). This points to a scenario in which Saharan dust also acted as a nutrient fertilizer in this area in addition to surface–ocean mixing (spring) and the discharge and advection of the Amazon River Plume (fall). In fact, our observations suggest that the Amazon acted not only as a nutrient supplier during fall, but also as a buoyant surface density retainer of dust nutrients in the surface layer. Such a combination would explain the much higher fluxes of biogenic silica reported for this period by Korte et al. (2017) and the drastic increase in Chl a at the surface of the ocean (Figs. 2a and 9a). A similar case was observed offshore of central Portugal where the stabilization of a river buoyant plume was seen providing optimum stratification and nutrient-rich conditions for phytoplankton to bloom at the surface, resulting in a striking increase in Chl a concentrations, *E. huxleyi*, *G. oceanica*, and long-chain diatoms within only a few days (Guerreiro et al., 2013). Given the huge amounts of Saharan dust continuously blown into and over the Atlantic Ocean (e.g. Prospero et al., 2014), one could argue that the massive algal blooms reported to occur in the western equatorial North Atlantic, until now interpreted as being solely associated with nutrients provided by the Amazon, could actually result from the combination of surface buoyancy and nutrients provided by atmospheric dust deposition. In addition, the fall event was also marked by high precipitation rates, possibly resulting in higher nutrient bioavailability by means of wet dust deposition. In contrast, the Amazon Plume was not yet present in the study area during spring and the nearly non-existent precipitation possibly resulted in comparably lower nutrient bioavailability by dry dust deposition (see Ridame et al., 2014). Such differences in atmospheric–sea surface conditions between the two events apparently had an effect on phytoplankton species composition, resulting in higher development of several opportunistic phytoplankton species during the fall and only *E. huxleyi* during spring.

The observed short-term shift from a more typically tropical (*K*-selected) to a more opportunistic (*r*-selected) settling coccolith assemblage during April and October–November 2013 at station M4 supports the dust fertilization hypothesis earlier proposed by Martin (1990) and later corroborated by observations from the Amazon Basin (Bristow et al., 2010), the Gulf of Mexico, and the coast of southern Florida (Lenes et al., 2012). High fluxes of organic material recently observed in a sediment trap in the North Atlantic subtropical gyre (23° N, 41° W) have been associated with enhanced phytoplankton productivity resulting from stimulated nitrogen fixation by *Trichodesmium* species following the deposition of dust-derived nutrients (Pabortsava et al., 2017). The role of aeolian dust for nitrogen fixation in subtropical and tropical oligotrophic regions has been previously reported by several authors (e.g. Falkowski et al., 1998; Mills et al., 2004; Jickels et al., 2005 and refs. therein; Martino et al., 2014). In the tropical North Atlantic where phytoplankton communities are nitrogen limited, experiments conducted by Mills et al. (2004) have shown Saharan dust addition to stimulate nitrogen fixation in this area, presumably by supplying iron and phosphorous. This is supported by Baker et al. (2006), who reported soluble phosphorous concentra-
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tions to be the highest in Saharan aerosols from the North Atlantic. Guieu et al. (2014), on the other hand, report the importance of strong and short-term (pulse-like) dust deposition events for marine productivity in low-nutrient, low-chlorophyll (LNLC) areas, whereas Romero et al. (2011) add that when dust input is accompanied by turbulence (i.e. strong winds), its potential effects on phytoplankton are prone to be amplified. This fits well with the episodic nature of the dust deposition events at station M4 and the fact that they were accompanied by some degree of wind intensification.

In spite of all this evidence, mineral dust deposition is also thought to increase carbon sequestration to the deep ocean by acting as a mineral ballast of sinking particles (Pabortsava et al., 2017). Van der Jagt et al. (2017) report more abundant and faster-sinking aggregates when formed from a natural plankton community that has been exposed to Saharan dust deposition compared to less abundant and slower-sinking aggregates when formed without dust. The same authors argue that such dust-influenced aggregates would become heavily ballasted with lithogenic material at the surface and hence without scavenging any additional particles during their settling. This could at least partially explain why the dust peaks in spring and fall were marked by maxima of surface-dwelling species but not maxima of the deep-dwelling species. To confirm whether the pulsed flux maxima of opportunistic species presented in our study truly reflected the response of living coccolithophores thriving in the overlying photic layer to such a combination of factors (ecological signal) or resulted from enhanced particle transfer efficiency (e.g. ballasting by dust; faecal pellet production by zooplankton grazers; Armstrong et al., 2002; Ziveri et al., 2007; Fischer and Karakas, 2009; Fischer et al., 2016), a comparison between settling coccolith assemblages and the living coccolithophore communities as well as with in situ atmospheric–oceanographic observations would be required.

Whereas the spring and fall r-selected pulse events were accompanied by a slight increase in the total coccolith export, such an increase was never as high as in the peaks of November 2012 and July 2013 (see Sects. 4.1 and 5.1). This suggests that r-selected transient productivity is comparatively less important than tropical background productivity for the overall bulk coccolith export production in the equatorial North Atlantic. Our observations indicate that enhanced productivity and/or transfer efficiency in the LPZ in the context of highly stratified tropical regions may be at least as important from a long-term perspective as that of the fast-blooming taxa more often found at higher latitudes and within productive coastal neritic areas.

5.2.3 Typical oligotrophic open-ocean conditions at the central site M2

In contrast to station M4, persistently lower abundances of opportunistic coccolithophore species, in particular the gephyrocapsids, and the absence of major pulse-like increases in these species at station M2 point to comparably more stable and oligotrophic conditions in this area. A narrower range and lower values of the UPZ/LPZ ratios at station M2 suggest that the UPZ was more persistently stratified and nutrient depleted in the central equatorial North Atlantic (Fig. 9). An exception occurs in early March 2013 when an increase in the UPZ/LPZ ratio at M2 and a species composition similar to that recorded in April at M4 is observed. It coincides with a slight flux increase in dust and carbonate (Korte et al., 2017), suggesting that M2 was also subjected to some degree of wind-forced water mixing (Fig. 2c and d) combined with Saharan dust deposition (Fig. 9). There are no indications of influence from Amazon River water at M2, as revealed by persistently low Chl a concentrations at the surface and low fluxes of opportunistic taxa during the fall of 2013 (Fig. 9a). Our observations suggest that, compared to M4, M2 was less affected by continental influences whether from Amazon River water or Saharan dust. The location of station M2, which is more central and 2° further north than station M4 closer to the centre of the North Atlantic subtropical gyre, may have contributed to the more oligotrophic character of this station (Fig. 10).

That the evidence we found for ocean fertilization by Saharan dust is more significant at station M4 than at station M2 may be due to the presence of buoyant Amazon water retaining nutrients and phytoplankton near the surface at M4 and to higher wet dust deposition during fall 2013 at station M4 (e.g. Ridame et al., 2014; Fig. 2c and d). A longer distance to the dust source in Africa at M4 compared to M2 may have also contributed to increasing the bioavailability of dust-driven nutrients further west (see Stuut and Prins, 2014). This could also help explain the lack of clear evidence for dust fertilization in open-ocean regions west of Africa (see Fischer et al., 2016; Neuer et al., 2004) since aerosols sinking in these areas would not be fine and chemically processed enough to act as fertilizers.

6 Conclusions

Our study provides important insights into the environmental factors governing the spatio-temporal variability of coccolithophores in the equatorial North Atlantic and illustrates how this supposedly oligotrophic and stable open-ocean region actually reveals significant ecological variability. The main findings from our sediment trap study are as follows.

1. A predominantly tropical coccolith settling assemblage and a generally similar seasonality in total coccolith fluxes at the western station M4 and central station M2 point to comparable background environmental conditions at both sites. Flux maxima were associated with stronger stratification conditions under the influence of the Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) during summer and fall, whereas flux minima occurred during
stronger NE trade winds and lower SSTs during winter and spring.

2. Low-light- and deep-nutricline-dwelling *F. profunda* and *G. flabellatus* dominated at both locations during the entire sampling period, especially during fall. Upper photic zone taxa such as *Umbellosphaera* spp., *Rhabdosphaera* spp., *Helicosphaera* spp., and *Umbilicosphaera* spp. were more abundant during summer.

3. In spite of the similar seasonal pattern, the two open-ocean locations in the oligotrophic equatorial North Atlantic revealed striking differences in coccolith export fluxes, species proportions, and oceanographic processes.

4. Total coccolith fluxes were almost 4 times higher at the western station M4 than at the central station M2, mostly due to *G. flabellatus* and *F. profunda*, although many other species showed higher fluxes year-round. We interpret this as resulting from (i) westward deepening of the north equatorial nutricline and nutrient supply by the Antarctic Intermediate Water (AAIW), both favouring the LPZ flora, and (ii) higher transfer efficiency, possibly by enhanced zooplankton grazing in this area.

5. Higher abundances and pulsed flux maxima of more opportunistic species at station M4 point to the occurrence of transient productivity in this area: (a) the increase in *E. huxleyi* in April reflected a fast ecological response to intermittent nutrient input promoted by sea surface cooling and wind-forced vertical mixing combined with dry dust deposition, whereas (b) pulsed maxima of *E. huxleyi* and *G. oceanica* in October–November reflected a response to surface nutrient enrichment derived from Amazon River discharge combined with wet dust deposition.

6. Enhanced surface buoyancy provided by the relatively less saline Amazon River Plume appears to have contributed through retaining dust-derived nutrients in the surface layer during the fall of 2013, promoting the development of several opportunistic phytoplankton groups in the western site M4.

7. In contrast, persistently low coccolith fluxes in the central site M2, in particular of more opportunistic species, and the absence of major *r*-selected pulsed maxima point to comparatively more stable and oligotrophic conditions prevailing in the centres of subtropical gyres.

Our findings (i) provide relevant evidence to support the hypothesis of Saharan dust acting as a fertilizer for marine phytoplankton in the Atlantic Ocean and (ii) highlight the importance of LPZ coccolithophore species in terms of coccolith export production in the tropical Atlantic, with possible implications for the global oceanic carbonate budget.
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