Recolonisation of spawning grounds in a recovering fish stock: recent changes in North Sea herring
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SUMMARY: There is evidence that the importance of the different spawning grounds of North Sea autumn-spawning herring has changed. It has been hypothesised that as herring stocks collapse, the diversity of spawning sites also collapses. This was found to be the case in the North Sea autumn-spawning herring, which collapsed in the late 1970s. The ICES International Herring Larval Survey has been carried out since 1972 and covers most of the potential and historic spawning grounds of herring. The recovery of the stock did take place as predicted in terms of biomass, and re-colonisation of old spawning sites also did occur. We show that, despite the delayed response in re-colonisation of the southern spawning areas, there is almost no change in the number of spawning locations where the highest abundances of larvae (top 50%) are found from collapse to recovery (approximately 9 sites). It was a change in these core sites and the spread to other locations with lower larval abundance that caused the spread of herring spawning. We show that larval surveys are a useful tool for describing the dynamics of sub-stock structure in heterogeneous populations such as herring.
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INTRODUCTION

Fish stocks in the different stages of collapse or recovery show many changes in population demographics, ecology and productivity (Shelton et al., 2006; Melvin and Stephenson, 2007; Nash et al., 2009). One of the classic examples of a fisheries-induced collapse followed by stock recovery is North Sea herring (Simmonds, 2007). The collapse of North Sea herring was not spatially homogeneous. Spawning components collapsed from south to north (Cushing, 1992) and the herring became more limited in distribution (Saville and Bailey, 1980). Recovery was also different for each spawning com-
ponent (Burd, 1985; Corten, 1999). A stock is usually viewed as recovered when the biomass has passed a particular threshold (ICES, 1997): \( B_{lim} \) as a biomass threshold below which recruitment is reduced and becomes density-dependent, and \( B_{pa} \) introduced in 1997, as a precautionary point to \( B_{lim} \). However this approach does not account for recovery in terms of spatial diversity, which can have implications for the productivity of the stock and its role in the ecosystem (Nash et al., 2009; Payne et al., 2009). The environment also impacts on the spatial diversity and productivity of a stock, and there are many examples in herring of such phenomena (Bohuslän, Norwegian spring-spawning herring, the Russell cycle, see Alheit and Hagen, 1997). These variations make the sensitivity to fishing even greater.

It is widely accepted that the abundance of newly hatched larvae of North Sea herring is proportional to the biomass of spawning herring, as basically described by Postuma and Zijlstra (1974), reviewed by Heath (1993) and tested with an optimised survey design and analysis by Gröger et al. 2001. Such relationships have been found in other herring stocks as well, including Gulf of Maine, Norwegian spring spawners, Irish Sea and the Blackwater herring (Hempel and Schnack, 1971; Anthony and Fogerty, 1985; Burd, 1985; Fox 2001). Newly hatched North Sea herring larvae have been surveyed throughout most of the last 40 years. This time series of ichthyoplankton surveys thus provides a tool for describing the spatial distribution of North Sea herring spawning and the pattern of re-colonisation. It also allows us to consider whether the stock has recovered in terms of spatial distribution as well as biomass. The aim of this paper is to use the time series to describe changes in relative importance of spawning areas in order to assess the spatial recovery of the stock.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The abundance of newly hatched herring larvae has been surveyed by the International Herring Larvae Surveys since 1972. The surveys cover the four historic spawning areas and times of North Sea autumn- and winter-spawning herring (Fig. 1). The surveys used a Gulf III or a Gulf VII sampler (Nash et al., 1998) deployed in a double oblique manner to 5 m above the sea bed (see ICES 2008a for full methods). The samples were fixed and in the laboratory ichthyoplankton were sorted, identified and measured. For each of the four spawning areas an index of abundance (LAI) was calculated for larvae smaller than 10 mm (11 mm for the Southern North Sea), as described in the manual for the International Herring Larvae Survey (ICES, 2006) and Groeger et al. (2001):

\[
LAI_{year,30*30rect} = \frac{n/m^2_{year,30*30rect} \times Area_{30*30rect}}{Area_{30*30rect}}(1)
\]

where \( n/m^2_{year,30*30rect} \) is the mean number per 30*30 nm rectangle of a given year, consisting of 9 stations and \( Area_{30*30rect} \) is the area of the given rectangle. The LAI per unit (Shetland/Orkney, Buchan, Central North Sea and Southern North Sea) is calculated as follows:

\[
LAI_{year, unit} = \sum LAI_{year,30*30rect}(2)
\]

For each year the proportion of the LAI of each unit was calculated as the proportion of the total LAI for all units for that year. The time series of spawning stock biomass of North Sea herring was taken from the recent working group report (ICES, 2008b).
Area spread

For each year all stations (as midpoints of 10*10 nm rectangles) were ranked according to the relative number of larvae sampled at each station. The number of stations summing up to 50% and 90% of the total number of larvae of the smallest size group for one year were taken to show the spatial extent of spawning activity.

RESULTS

After the collapse in the late 1970s, the herring spawning stock recovered to above the biomass threshold ($B_{lim}$) of 800000 tonnes by the mid 1980s. As $B_{lim}$ was the only reference point at that time, it has been considered as recovered (Fig. 1). The biomass then decreased again and management measures were brought in to reduce fishing pressure (Simmonds, 2007). In the
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late 1990s the biomass increased again beyond $B_{lim}$ and furthermore beyond the 1.3 mil tonne threshold $B_{pa}$. The early part of the time series of herring larvae abundance shows that the northernmost spawning components were the last to collapse (Fig. 2). The time series also shows an increase in abundance in the late 1980s, but the increase did not occur simultaneously or in the same magnitude in all areas (Fig. 2). The initial “recovery” was almost entirely due to an increase in biomass of the Orkney/Shetland component, and was not replicated in the south, whereas the later population increase in the late 1990s was observed in the larval abundance in all areas. In the most recent years, the abundance in the southern North Sea is higher than in the Orkney/Shetland component. In terms of relative importance of larval abundance, the Orkney/Shetland spawning component dominated until at least the early 1990s (Fig. 2), and recently the southern North Sea component (which is also called Downs) has produced the majority of herring larvae in the North Sea.

This broader change in distribution masks another underlying factor. The number of locations (rectangles of 10°*10nm) with the highest abundances of larvae (50% of abundance per year) has remained virtually unchanged from collapse to recovery at approximately 9 (coefficient of variation =50%, Fig. 3). It is the remaining less productive areas (with 50 to 90% of larval abundance i.e. periphery spawning sites) that have increased in number (Fig. 3). The recovery in biomass in the mid-1980s can be seen in the increase of periphery sites, as can the decline with the reduction of biomass in the mid 1990s, although there is an overall increasing trend in number of periphery sites from 1972 to 2006.

DISCUSSION

As stated above, just because a stock has recovered above a biomass threshold does not necessarily mean that that stock has fully recovered. Maintaining spatial diversity is as important as rebuilding total numbers, because diversity provides resilience to local changes in environment, exploitation and fish behaviour (McPherson et al., 2001). Ichthyoplankton surveys have allowed us to monitor the abundance of newly hatched larvae and thus the spatial dynamics of the spawning biomass of herring. This information by spawning component is at present not available from any other source. Assessing the distribution by area suggests that the “recovery” in the 1980s was almost only in the northern area, so it cannot be considered as a general recovery of the North Sea herring stock. The spread of newly hatched larvae across more spawning sites suggests that recovery was more widespread by the late 1990s. However, there are still no larvae being caught on the Dogger Bank spawning site as part of the Central North Sea (Banks herring, Fig. 1), so complete re-colonisation has yet to occur.

The number of core spawning sites was maintained throughout the collapse and recovery but the core distribution shifted from north to south (Figs. 2 and 3). In addition, the increase in the number of the periphery sites indicates a wider re-colonisation. A previous shift between the spawning grounds was reported in the 1940s to 1950s, when the spawning moved from the Shetland/Orkney to the Buchan area followed by a reversal of this shift in the 1960s (Glover, 1957; Bainbridge and Forsyth, 1972). As the productivity of spawning sites also varies due to environmental or other factors (Dickey-Collas et al., 2001), it is difficult to determine what a total re-colonisation should be, and thus to declare that a stock has recovered in total. It could also be possible that this plastic ability to shift over generations between spawning sites is a buffer against short-term and localised environmental variability.

The processes that drive the re-colonisation of spawning grounds are unclear but recolonisation is thought to be caused by large year classes or migrants “re-discovering” the grounds or “re-learning” the migration routes (McQuinn, 1997; Corten, 2001). The time taken for the re-colonisation of the southern spawning grounds (approximately 25 years) also suggests that conservation measures may not have an immediate effect, and if the objective of management is to maintain spatial diversity, then monitoring...
of each spawning component is important. Whether management should conserve the core sites or the peripheral sites is unclear, particularly as they also appear to shift with time (Fig. 2). The maintenance of a number of core spawning sites (Fig. 3) throughout collapse and recovery conforms to the classic “basin effect” (MacCall 1990) and further adds to the observations of Saville and Bailey (1980) that collapse resulted in a shrinkage in the number of occupied spawning sites. However, these core sites are still important for larval production when the stock has recovered. The recent dip in the abundance of larvae in the north is likely to have been caused by changes in the environment (see Nash and Dickey-Collas, 2005; Payne et al., 2009), and if this continues it may result in another non-anthropogenic change in the relative distribution and spatial heterogeneity of herring larvae in the North Sea.
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