The presence of adult barnacles of *Fistulobalanus pallidus* (Darwin) and *Fistulobalanus albicostatus* (Pilsbry) attached to field-readable plastic leg rings on the Lesser Black-backed Gull *Larus fuscus* in Northern Europe is reported. *L. fuscus* is a long-distance palaearctic migrant, breeding in temperate areas spreading widely over inland and marine habitats outside the breeding season. The species is known to perform long-distance migration to Africa and the Middle East. Combining present knowledge on the birds’ migratory pattern and the home range of the barnacle species, it is concluded that the cypris larvae of *F. pallidus* must have settled in African waters, whereas the area where *F. albicostatus* settled on the bird leg rings is less certain. The barnacles were of adult size and must thus have been attached for a period of no less than 2 months. More than 30 individual barnacles could occur together on a single field-readable plastic leg ring. The barnacles could therefore, if ported alive to a new area, reproduce successfully and thus either introduce the species or genetically affect other native populations. This may pose a new and wholly unexpected transportation pathway for barnacles as invasive species.
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**Introduction**

Stalked and sessile barnacles (Cirripedia; Thoracica) are important marine animals. They are abundant and key components in many marine ecosystems, notably the upper rocky intertidal, and their biology with pelagic larvae and sessile, suspension feeding adults means that they are among the most important marine fouling organism. As a result of human activity, barnacles are often introduced to new areas which they could not reach by natural dispersal of larvae from their home range. This can occur either by transporting larvae in ballast water tanks or by adults attached to ships’ hulls (Foster and Willan 1979; Piola and Johnston 2008; Yamaguchi et al. 2009). Dispersal by ballast water can introduce barnacles through barriers, such as freshwater, where the adults cannot survive. *Amphibalanus improvisus* was introduced to the Caspian Sea by ballast water transport through the freshwater filled Volga-Don Canal (Riedel et al. 2006) and *Amphibalanus amphitrite* was introduced to the Salton Sea in S. California by similar means (Newman and Abbott 1980). [For alternative generic names of *A. improvisus* and *A. amphitrite*, see Clare and Høeg (2008) and Carlton and Newman (2009).] Several species of barnacle, including *A. amphitrite*, *Megabalanus tintinnabulum* and *Megabalanus coccopoma* have been dispersed by shipping throughout the subtropic and tropic waters of the world due to increasing marine traffic (Yamaguchi et al. 2009). However, the most notable example of dispersal to an entirely new area is the introduction of the Australian *Austrominius modestus* (formerly *Elminius modestus*) to European temperate waters in the middle of the twentieth century (see Bishop (1947); O’Riordan et al. (2009)). This process was followed closely and the species is now a naturalized component of the local European intertidal systems. The dispersal was possible only because of the fast sailing of modern ships through hot tropical waters, which this species cannot endure for extended periods. Transport of adult barnacles over land barriers not linked by water has not previously been believed possible.

Lesser black-backed gulls, *Larus fuscus*, are Palaearctic migrants breeding in temperate areas and spreading widely over inland and marine habitats in the non-breeding period (Figure 2). Ring-recoveries have shown that the *L. fuscus fuscus* populations breeding in the Baltic Sea and Northern Scandinavia perform a long-distance migration to Africa and the Middle East (Bakken et al. 2003; Bønløkke et al. 2006; Pu¨ tz et al. 2007, 2008; Fransson et al. 2008). Furthermore, satellite tracking has shown that *L. fuscus* is able
to migrate long distances in a short period of time. One bird traveled non-stop from the Mediterranean Sea to Lake Victoria in 92 h (≈ 38 km h⁻¹) (Bonløkke et al. 2006; Rahbek (unpublished data)). *L. fuscus* is a generalist feeder and feeds in many different terrestrial and marine habitats eg estuary soft shores, marshes, and mangroves (Cramp and Simmons 1983).

This paper reports on a new epibiotic substratum for intertidal barnacles, field-readable plastic leg rings on the migratory *L. fuscus*, and the potential for long-distance dispersal of barnacle species by air.

**Materials and methods**

As part of the Finnish and Norwegian ringing schemes *L. fuscus* were ringed with field-readable plastic leg rings and subsequently recovered by visual observations. This method is applied to increase the number of recoveries otherwise limited by re-trapping of the individuals to achieve the ring information (traditional metal rings are used; Bakken et al. (2003)). In this study, information is presented on *L. fuscus* individuals observed and photographed in southern Finland (61.33N, 23.59E) and northern Norway (69.03N, 16.20E) with barnacles attached to the field-readable leg rings. From the digital photographs, the leg rings were further magnified in the computer to facilitate barnacle species identification, based on morphology of external parietal plates. Comparative materials of balanid barnacles from African waters were examined from the collections of the Zoological Museum, Copenhagen, Denmark (Figure 1A) and Asian barnacles were collected from Taiwan (Figure 1B and C; also see Chan et al. (2009)). From the photographs the rostral-carinal basal diameter of the barnacles attached to the bird leg ring was measured using the computer software, Sigma Scan Pro (methodology follows Chan et al. (2007a,b)). Calibrations were based on the known length of the leg ring (25 mm). The number of barnacles per leg ring was also recorded.

**Results**

Barnacles were observed attached to field-readable plastic rings on *L. fuscus* in the Baltic Sea and in northern Norway (Table 1, Figure 2). A total of nine barnacle specimens was identified on the leg rings of seven birds, excepting a single bird individual (White CX20) that had a large clump of barnacles (> 30 individuals) attached on one of the leg (Figure 1O). *L. fuscus* usually spend their first year away from the breeding area (Cramp and Simmons 1983) and the individuals included in this study were not seen in Finland and Norway before their second- and third-summers, indicating that they acquired these barnacles during their stay at wintering grounds. The number of barnacles attached on the birds’ leg rings ranged from 1 to 3 per leg ring, excepting the individual with one leg ring carrying > 30 barnacles (Figure 1O; Table 1), and the basal (rostro-carinal) diameter ranged from 11.0 to 20.1 mm.

Based on the appearance of the barnacle parietal wall plates, they were identified as two species of the genus *Fistulobalanus* (see Figure 1A and B; Table 1). *Fistulobalanus pallidus* (on bird individuals CNKH, CUCE, White CX20 and C32A; Figure 1G, H, L, M, and O; Table 1) had smooth conical shells and the color pattern varied from white, tinged purple or with faint purple stripes (Harding 1962; Henry and Mclaughlin 1975; Figure 1A). *Fistulobalanus albicostatus* (on bird individuals CZ21, CU19, 1010; Figure 1D, E, F, I, J, and N; Table 1) is characterized by having strong white ribbings on the surface of the parietal plates and occasionally with purple strips or are pale grey in color.

**Discussion**

Darwin (1859) suggested that migratory water birds can act as a long-distance transport for aquatic invertebrates and plants. Recent studies indicate birds can play a major role in the expansion of exotic species (Green and Figuerola 2005; Gittenberger et al. 2006). Invertebrates are often transported by birds by staying in their digestive tracts. External long-distance transport of aquatic invertebrates by birds has been reported for bivalves clipped onto birds’ legs and for bryozoans attached to their plumage (Green and Figuerola 2005). This study is the first report of long-distance dispersal of barnacles by birds.

The barnacles identified on the rings are not European species. *F. pallidus* is common in mangroves and estuary waters (Sandison 1966a,b; Sandison and Mill 1966; Stubbings 1967). It occurs in west (Stubbings 1967) and east African waters, the north Indian Ocean (including the Red Sea, Zevina and Litvinova (1970)), the Mediterranean Sea (see review in Henry and Mclaughlin (1975)), South West Australia, the Gulf of Mexico and Argentina (Newman and Ross 1976). *F. albicostatus* is common in mangroves (Figure 1C), estuary waters and also as a fouling species on ships’ bottoms (Chan et al. 2006) and occurs in Japan, S. China and Malaysian waters (Newman and Ross 1976; Chan (unpublished data); Figure 2). *F. albicostatus* has also been recorded in Catania, the Mediterranean sea and at Senegal, West Africa by Kolosvary (1943), but Stubbings (1967) did not find this species in west Africa and suggested that such records need further verification.
Settlement of barnacles appears to have occurred when *L. fuscus* individuals were in the sub-tropical and tropical non-breeding area, during feeding or resting, with their legs immersed in the water for considerable periods of time. Prior to settlement, barnacle cyprids actively explore the substratum walking on the antennules, during which period they can react to a variety of physical, chemical, and biological properties and use these to select where and when to attach permanently (Crisp 1979; Clare 1995; Walker 1995; Lagersson and Høeg 2002; Aldred and Clare 2008; Bielecki et al. 2009; Figure 1D). This exploratory phase of the cyprids can last for minutes, but they can remain and survive on a field-readable plastic leg ring even if the bird alights and flies for a short distance as long as the larva remains reasonably wet. A cemented cyprid cannot detach and will also survive provided desiccation does not become a problem (see Foster (1971)).

Settled *Semibalanus* cyprids have 50% mortality in their population when maintained in 0% humidity and 15°C air temperature for 7 h (Foster 1971).

The largest of the barnacles observed on the field-readable plastic leg rings had almost certainly grown to sexual maturity. The biggest rostral-carinal basal diameter of ring settled barnacles was 20 mm. The age of barnacles attached could be 51 year. The leg ring White CX20 (Figure 1O) carried no barnacles in the photograph from the summer of 2009, but it had a whole clump of barnacles in the photograph from April 2010 (Figure 1O). In Nago harbour, W. Africa, settlement of *F. pallidus* occurs in both summer and winter. The post-settlement growth rate during winter months ranges from 2 to 4 mm per month (Sandison 1966a,b), and *F. pallidus* can therefore grow...
to maturity in < 1 year. Barnacles in lower salinity water have a higher growth rate (Sandison 1966a,b). Ring-recovery data indicate that Northern European *L. fuscus fuscus* stay in tropical and sub-tropical habitats for at least 1–2 months (Bønløkke et al. 2006; Fransson et al. 2008) during which period the birds will have regularly frequented waters suitable for the barnacle and long enough for sufficient suspension feeding to take place. Balanomorphan barnacles are cross fertilizing hermaphrodites that normally can reproduce only if settled at least two together within reach of their exceptionally long penises. Self-fertilization is known for few species (Barnes and Crisp 1956; Landau 1976; Furman and Yule 1990), but could also happen for *Fistulobalanus* species. In the present study, two or more individuals, or even a large clump of barnacles (> 30 individuals) were observed settled on the rings and such small groups of breeding barnacles are similarly common on their natural substratum in the mangroves (Figure 1C). This suggests that barnacles attached to a field-readable plastic leg ring on birds cannot only reach adult size but also reproduce and release larvae into the water. The observation of multiple specimens attached close together on the field readable leg rings furthermore shows that the gregarious settlement common to barnacles occurs also on this substratum.

The barnacles growing on the field-readable plastic rings must obviously have been out of the water frequently. Species of *Fistulobalanus* can easily survive out of water for at least 6 h at low tide and, by comparison with other intertidal barnacles, very likely much longer (Foster 1971; Chan et al. 2006). For example, ~ 50% of a *Semibalanus balanoides* population suffered mortality when exposed to 0% relative humidity, 10°C air temperature for 192 h (Foster 1971). Staying for short periods in freshwater would not kill the barnacles, since they can close the opercular valves to keep the mantle water isolated from the outside environment (Chan et al. 2001). This enables balanomorphans to survive even in the harsh environment of the splash zone, where they are not regularly submerged at each high tide and where they are occasionally exposed to either very long periods of desiccation or immersion in fresh water by rain showers. Intertidal barnacles are therefore eminently suited to survive on field-readable plastic leg rings of marine birds, where they are often and at random exposed to both desiccation (during flight and stays on land) and immersion in fresh water. In the present case it is unknown if the barnacles survived transportation all the way to the Baltic. If so, they most likely did not survive long after arrival because the birds on which they occurred were breeding in pure freshwater localities. Several leg rings with empty barnacle shells were observed, suggesting that some barnacles cannot survive well upon arrival in the Baltic (Figure 1E, F, L, and N). The cold winter regime would also prevent establishment of a permanent breeding population even though *Fistulobalanus* larvae are known to survive the salinity conditions prevalent in the Baltic Sea (Chan and Leung 2007). Whether or not live barnacles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Ring no.</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Barnacle notes</th>
<th>Barnacle species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3th year</td>
<td>CU19 (Figure 1E)</td>
<td>10 June 2007</td>
<td>2 barnacle present (RC basal diameter: 14.4 mm, 11.0 mm)</td>
<td><em>F. albicostatus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>CU19 (Figure 1F)</td>
<td>6 July 2008</td>
<td>Mostly disappeared; basic parts visible</td>
<td><em>F. albicostatus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3th year</td>
<td>CZ21 (Figure 1I and J)</td>
<td>25 May 2007</td>
<td>3 barnacles present (RC basal diameter: 19.6 mm, 11.1 mm, 12.2 mm)</td>
<td><em>F. albicostatus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>CNKH (Figure 1G and H)</td>
<td>20 July 2006</td>
<td>≥3 fully developed barnacles present, (RC basal diameter: 20.1 mm, 13.8 mm, 14.5 mm)</td>
<td><em>F. pallidus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>CUCE (Figure 1M)</td>
<td>25 May 2009</td>
<td>1 fully developed barnacle present (RC basal diameter: 18.6 mm)</td>
<td><em>F. pallidus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>4th year</td>
<td>C32A (Figure 1K and L)</td>
<td>7 June 2009</td>
<td>1 fully developed barnacle present (RC basal diameter: 16.9 mm)</td>
<td><em>F. pallidus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>1010 (Figure 1N)</td>
<td>30 July 2009</td>
<td>1 fully developed barnacle present (RC basal diameter: 18.0 mm)</td>
<td><em>F. albicostatus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>White CX20 (Figure 1O)</td>
<td>10 April 2010</td>
<td>&gt; 30 barnacles (RC basal diameter 10.8 ± 1.3 mm, n = 17); note only 17 individuals with correct aspects for measurements</td>
<td><em>F. pallidus</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Individuals A-E, G were seen at Tarastenjärvi dump, Tampere, SW Finland (61.33N, 23.59E). Individual F was seen at Froholman, Bjarkøy, Tromso, Norway (69.03N, 16.20E).
reached the Baltic, the observation shows that specimens settled on field-readable plastic leg rings can survive and grow for several months. During this period they could be transported considerable distances through air and survive and breed if they arrived in suitable habitats. This offers a new and unexpected means for the dispersal of barnacles that can even pass land barriers. This might conceivably result either in the introduction of unwanted marine immigrants or genetic exchange between populations otherwise separated by climatic or geographic barriers. Such ‘air’ transport of barnacles differs from the common invasive pathway via ballast water and ship fouling in being, at present, beyond human control measures.
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