We provide molecular phylogenetic evidence that the obscure genera Palmophyllum Kütz. and Verdigellas D. L. Ballant. et J. N. Norris form a distinct and early diverging lineage of green algae. These palmellloid seaweeds generally persist in deep waters, where grazing pressure and competition for space are reduced. Their distinctness warrants recognition as a new order, the Palmophyllales. Although phylogenetic analyses of both the 18S rRNA gene and two chloroplast genes (atpB and rbcL) are in agreement with a deep-branching Palmophyllales, the genes are in conflict about its exact phylogenetic placement. Analysis of the nuclear ribosomal DNA allies the Palmophyllales with the prasinophyte genera Prasinococcus and Prasinoderma (Prasinococcales), while the plastid gene phylogeny placed Palmophyllum and Verdigellas as sister clade to all other Chlorophyta.
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Current hypotheses on the evolution of green plants (Viridiplantae) posit the early divergence of two discrete clades from an ancestral green flagellate unicell (Lewis and McCourt 2004). One clade, the Streptophyta, comprises the land plants and the charophytes. The other clade, the Chlorophyta, comprises the remainder of the green algae. Recent multimarker and genome-scale phylogenetic studies have sought to determine the origins of the land plants and have primarily focused on the green algal progenitors of the Streptophyta (Lemieux...
et al. 2007, Rodríguez-Ezpeleta et al. 2007). In contrast, no multimarker assessments of the diversity and early evolution of the Chlorophyta have been undertaken. The 18S phylogenetic studies of Chlorophyta have identified the prasinophytes as a paraphyletic basal assemblage (Guillou et al. 2004), reinforcing the notion that the ancestral Chlorophyta were marine prasinophytes. Relationships among the prasinophyte lineages and the nature of the earliest diverging lineage of the Chlorophyta, however, remain poorly understood (Turmel et al. 2009).

The origin of the Viridiplantae is ancient—estimated to be from 500 to 1,500 million years before present (Yoon et al. 2004, Berney and Pawlowski 2006, Cavalier-Smith 2006). Such antiquity often confounds phylogenetic reconstruction of early diversifications due both to the lack of information in DNA sequence data and to methodological biases (Philippe et al. 2000). The massive amount of information in genome-scale phylogenetic data sets have the potential to resolve ancient branching events, but, as yet, their contribution to understanding early divergences is limited by sparse taxon sampling. Accurate reconstruction of the earliest radiations of the green lineage will require a rich sampling both in terms of exemplar taxa and molecular markers.

Identification of early branching lineages is also crucial to mitigate the effects of methodological biases in phylogenetic reconstruction and to make robust inferences about the nature of the common ancestor of the green plant lineage. Contrary to expectations, environmental sequencing of marine picoplankton has not led to the discovery of major new green algal lineages (Guillou et al. 2004). Conversely, sampling of challenging habitats has revealed novel phylodiversity, as exemplified by studies of hypersaline, desert-soil, and Antarctic ecosystems (Lewin et al. 2000, Lewis and Lewis 2005, De Wever et al. 2009).

Marine, low-light, benthic ecosystems present another challenging environment for photosynthetic eukaryotes, and only a few algae live at the lower limits of the photic zone (Littler et al. 1985). Palmophyllum, Verdigellas, and Palmoclathrus Womersley comprise a group of green algae that thrive in deepwater and other dimly lit, benthic marine habitats (Womersley 1984, Nelson and Ryan 1986, Ballantine and Norris 1994, Ballantine and Aponte 1996). Verdigellas has mostly been recorded from depths >100 m, whereas Palmophyllum and Palmoclathrus species occur in somewhat shallower water, generally between 20 and 100 m. These seaweeds feature a unique type of multicellularity, forming firm, well-defined macroscopic thalli (Fig. 1, A and B) composed of isolated spherical cells in a gelatinous matrix (palmelloid thallus organization) (Fig. 1C). Although cells throughout the gelatinous matrix are morphologically and ultrastructurally identical, certain members have evolved relatively large, erect thalli with specialized gross morphological features. Individuals of the deepwater genus Verdigellas attach to substrate by means of one or more distinct holdfast structures (Fig. 1B), from which thalli expand above, yielding an umbrella-like morphology well adapted to maximally capture the sparse light penetrating from the sea surface and reflected from the underlying substratum. Palmoclathrus, a genus from seasonally changing temperate waters, features a stout, perennial holdfast system consisting of a basal disk and one to several cylindrical stalks from which seasonal peltate blades grow (Womersley 1984). Despite careful investigation (Nelson and Ryan 1986, O’Kelly 1988, Pueschel et al. 1997), motile stages and their accompanying basal bodies and flagellar roots have never been observed. The lack of evidence for these crucial ultrastructural features obscures the systematic position of these genera within the green algae. Even so, recent authors have attempted to classify them, variously placing them in the chlorophycean order Tetrasporales, the family Palmellaceae (Womersley 1984) or Palmellopсидaceae (Kraft 2007), or the order Chlorococcales (Abbott and Huismann 2004).

Our goal is to assess the affinities of Palmophyllum and Verdigellas by means of phylogenetic analysis of DNA sequence data of two large plastid-encoding genes (atpB and rbcL) and the nuclear-encoded 18S rRNA gene.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DNA extraction and amplification. DNA extraction followed a modified cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction with the use of Phase Lock Gel (5 Prime Inc., Gaithersburg, MD, USA) during the first phenol/chloroform spin to separate DNA-containing aqueous phase from polysaccharide matrix. PCR and sequencing protocols followed Lam and Zechman (2006), with the exception that lower annealing temperatures (35°C) were used for the PCR amplification. New atpB (897 bp), rbcL (1,273 bp), and 18S (1,620 bp) sequences were generated for two Palmophyllum isolates, and new rbcL (514 bp) and 18S (1,394 bp) sequences were generated for Verdigellas (Table S1 in the supplementary material). Palmophyllum and Verdigellas specimens were deposited in the Bishop Museum Herbarium (BISH 730325, Palmophyllum umbraculata), the Herbarium of the Museum of New Zealand (WELT A26526, P. umbraculata), and the Harbor Branch Oceanographic Institute Herbarium (HBFH 7821 and 7822, Verdigellas peltata).

Alignment creation. For the 52 selected ingroup and additional outgroup taxa, DNA sequence data sets of two plastid genes (rbcL and atpB) and 18S nrDNA were assembled (Table S1). The rbcL and atpB data sets were based on ClustalW (Larkin et al. 2007) alignments of their corresponding amino acid sequences, and were respectively 1,386 and 1,380 bases long. The 18S sequences were manually aligned based on a comparative analysis of RNA secondary structure as described in Cocquyt et al. (2009), resulting in an alignment of 2,000 bases. Two alignments were created for phylogenetic analysis: the plastid data set (concatenated atpB and rbcL sequences) and the nuclear (18S) data set. We did not concatenate 18S with the plastid genes because phylogenetic analyses indicated conflict between these data sets (see Results). Alignments are available through TreeBase (http://www.treebase.org).
Partitioning strategy, model choice, and phylogenetic analyses. Partitioning strategy and model selection followed the methodologies described in Verbruggen and Theriot (2008) and are detailed in Appendix S1 in the supplementary material.

The alignments were analyzed using Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic methods. The 18S alignment was partitioned into stems and loops, and a general time reversible (GTR)+\( \Gamma \) model was applied to each partition. The plastid data were partitioned into codon positions, with a GTR+\( \Gamma \) model for each. BI was carried out in MrBayes v.3.1.2 (Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003). Two runs of four chains each were run in parallel for 5 million generations, applying the default priors. Convergence of the log-likelihood and model parameters was visually checked in Tracer v. 1.4 (Rambaut and Drummond 2007). Burn-in was set at 3 million generations, well beyond the point of convergence of all analyses. ML analyses were carried out with TreeFinder, which allows likelihood tree searches under partitioned models (Jobb et al. 2004). Tree space coverage in the TreeFinder program is low compared to other ML programs. Therefore, independent tree searches were run from different starting trees. The starting trees were produced by randomly modifying the guide tree used for model selection by a number of nearest neighbor interchange (NNI) steps. The departure from the guide tree was 100 and 200 NNI steps (50 replicates each). ML tree searches started from each of the resulting trees and used the same partitions and models as the BI. The second-level tree search was used, and partition rates were optimized under the proportional model. Branch support was calculated using the bootstrap resampling method (1,000 pseudoreplicates) (Felsenstein 1985). Bootstrap analyses used the same settings but started from the ML tree. The glaucocystophyte \textit{Cyanophora paradoxa} was used as outgroup taxon for the plastid gene analysis; the reason why we did not use a red alga as outgroup is that this group has acquired the \textit{rbcL} gene by lateral gene transfer (Delwiche and Palmer 1996). For the 18S analysis, \textit{C. paradoxa}, the cyanidiophyte \textit{Cyanidioschyzon merolae}, and the red alga \textit{Porphyra yezoense} were used as outgroups.

Supplementary phylogenetic analyses were performed to evaluate the effect of outgroup selection, removal of fast-evolving sites, alignment method, partitioning strategy, and model selection on tree topology and branch support (see Appendix S2 in the supplementary material).

Topological conflict. To assess the significance of some conflicting relationships observed in the 18S and plastid trees, Shimodaira–Hasegawa (SH) and approximately unbiased (AU) tests were carried out (Shimodaira and Hasegawa 1999, Shimodaira 2002). Three hypothesis derived from the plastid phylogeny were constrained on the 18S data and compared to the original 18S tree (Fig. 3, A–C). Three hypotheses derived from the 18S phylogeny were constrained on the plastid data and compared to the original plastid tree (Fig. 3, D–F). The six
RESULTS

Our phylogenetic results indicate that *Palmophyllum* and *Verdigellas* (PV) comprise a distinct, highly divergent, and strongly supported lineage of green algae (Fig. 2), yet analyses of the 18S and plastid gene alignments resulted in two different tree topologies. The plastid gene phylogeny (Fig. 2A) recovered the PV clade as sister to all other Chlorophyta with moderate support (BI posterior probability = 0.97, ML bootstrap proportion = 77). In contrast, analyses of the 18S data set, aligned using secondary structure information or aligned automatically using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004), were congruent in placing PV, *Prasinococcus*, and *Prasinodera* in a single clade. The analysis of the 18S data set with *Cyanophora* as the only

The plastid gene phylogeny (Fig. 2A) indicates the relationships among which were not resolved (Fig. 2B). One lineage is composed of the PV clade and two coccoid prasinophyte genera, *Prasinococcus* and *Prasinoderma* (Prasinococcales). The second and third lineages are the Streptophyta and a clade formed by the remaining prasinophytes and UTC (Ulvoiphyceae-Trebouxiophyceae-Chlorophyceae) taxa. Thus, according to the 18S data, prasinophytes represent a nonmonophyletic assemblage that has given rise to the PV clade and the UTC clade, while the plastid phylogeny recovers the prasinophytes as monophyletic (except for the genus *Tetraselmis*).

Analyses with alternative outgroup combinations, removal of rapidly evolving sites, and less complex partitioning strategies yielded virtually identical results (Appendix S2). Trees inferred from the 18S data set, aligned using secondary structure information or aligned automatically using MUSCLE (Edgar 2004), were congruent in placing PV, *Prasinococcus*, and *Prasinodera* in a single clade. The analysis of the 18S data set with *Cyanophora* as the only
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outgroup, and the analyses excluding fast-evolving regions, differ from the tree shown in Figure 2B in that they recover the *PV*-Prasinococcales clade as sister to the remaining Chlorophyta (Appendix S2). However, support for this association is low (posterior probabilities < 0.79), reinforcing the notion of three main lineages with uncertain branching order.

A graphical representation of the topological differences between the plastid and 18S trees is given in Figure 3. The tree inferred from the 18S data set constrained to conform to the plastid phylogeny had a significantly lower likelihood than the original 18S tree (Fig. 3A). However, trees constrained only with a unique *PV* clade sister to the Chlorophyta or *PV*-Prasinococcales clade as sister to the remaining Chlorophyta (Appendix S2). However, support for this association is low (posterior probabilities < 0.79), reinforcing the notion of three main lineages with uncertain branching order.

Our data provide evidence that the genera *Palmophyllium* and *Verdigellas* form a distinct and early diverging lineage of green algae. The highly divergent nature of these genera warrants their recognition as a discrete group at the order level.

**Palmophyllales** Zechman, Verbruggen, Leliaert, Ashworth, M. A. Buchheim, Fawley, H. Spalding, Pueschel, J. A. Buchheim, Verghese et Hanisak ord. nov.


**Palmophyllaceae** Zechman, Verbruggen, Leliaert, Ashworth, M. A. Buchheim, Fawley, H. Spalding, Pueschel, J. A. Buchheim, Verghese et Hanisak fam. nov.: Cum characteribus ordo. Characters as for order.
Genera *Palmophyllum* (type), *Verdigellas*.
The relatedness between *Palmophyllum, Verdigellas*, and *Palmoclathrus* had already been hypothesized on the basis of microscopic and ultrastructural features (Ballantine and Norris 1994, Pueschel et al. 1997). The close relationship between *Palmophyllum* and *Verdigellas* is now confirmed by molecular evidence. No sequence data are currently available for *Palmoclathrus*.

The classification of *Palmophyllum, Verdigellas*, and *Palmoclathrus* among other green algae has been debated. Motile stages, which contain basal bodies and flagellar roots that serve as the principal characters underlying the classification of the Chlorophyta, have not been observed in any species of the three genera (Nelson and Ryan 1986, Pueschel et al. 1997). The absence of these crucial ultrastructural characters has forced a reliance on gross morphological traits that are prone to convergence. Earlier studies assigned the three genera to the chlorophycean orders Chlorococcales (Nelson and Ryan 1986, O’Kelly 1988), whose members feature a similar pattern of cell division, or Tetrasporales (families Pammelaceae or Palmellopsidaceae) (Ballantine and Norris 1994), with which the three genera share a palmelloid organization (i.e., small spherical cells embedded in mucilage). Both hypotheses are rejected by our molecular results, indicating that the characters used to infer these relationships have evolved independently in the respective lineages. In the taxonomic treatise by Silva (1982), the genera *Palmophyllum, Verdigellas*, and *Palmoclathrus* were included in the tetrasporalean family Palmellopsidaceae, but the author remarked that information essential to the confirmation of this placement is lacking and that the genera probably constitute a distinct family. Our phylogenetic results indicate that the PV clade deserves recognition at a higher taxonomic rank, hence their description as a new order. The different signal about the phylogenetic position of the Palmophyllales in the 18S and plastid data hampers the placement of the order into one of the classes of recent chlorophyta classification schemes (Marin and Melkonian 2010). For now, we recognize the Palmophyllales as an order within the Prasinophyceae sensu lato (Moestrup and Throndsen 1988).

Our analyses of the 18S data suggest a sister relationship of the Palmophyllales and the coccoid genera *Prasinoderma* and *Prasinococcus* (Prasinococcales), which have been shown to form an early diverging prasinophyte lineage (Gescher et al. 2008, Turmel et al. 2009). Several cytological similarities between these taxa can be identified, none of which, however, is unique to the alliance. Cell size (<10 μm), cell wall structure during the stationary phase, the cell division mechanism, and the absence of flagella and centrioles in the main vegetative stage comprise these nonapomorphic similarities. Cytokinetic in *Prasinoderma* is very similar to that described in *Palmoclathrus*, both being characterized by asymmetrically binary cell division in which one daughter cell is released while the other retains the parent wall (O’Kelly 1988, p. 250, figs. 3–11; Hasegawa et al. 1996, pp. 174–5, figs. 10–26; Jouenne et al., in press). A similar cell division mechanism has also been described in the unrelated prasinophyte *Pycnococcus*. The overall arrangement of organelles is also similar, but this may simply be due to the spatial constraint of a cup-shaped chloroplast surrounding a cytoplasmic pocket (Fig. 1D). *Verdigellas* and *Prasinococcus* also have what has been interpreted to be a mucus-secreting system, consisting of an elaborate Golgi body and a set of pores through the cell wall adjacent to the cytoplasmic pocket (Pueschel et al. 1997, Sieburth et al. 1999). Despite the similarities in this system, the pores of *Verdigellas* (Pueschel et al. 1997) are much simpler in structure than the Golgi-decapore complex of *Pycnococcus* (Sieburth et al. 1999). Thus, the only indication of pore homology is in the context of the 18S phylogenetic result, and it is clear that homology of such functional adaptations should not be assumed without further study. Interestingly, the cells of *Prasinoderma singularis* are sometimes surrounded by a mucus-like secretion (Jouenne et al., in press). Although it is interesting to speculate on potential synapomorphies for the Palmophyllales and Prasinococcales, the topology obtained with plastid data does not lead to such inferences. However, given that a paraphyletic branching pattern of prasinophytes has been demonstrated by several molecular phylogenetic studies of both single genes and complete plastid genomes (e.g., Turmel et al. 2009, Marin and Melkonian 2010), the prasinophyte relationships in the present plastid phylogeny are probably an artifact related to insufficient phylogenetic information in the two plastid genes and the missing atpB data for most prasinophytes.

It is striking that an ancient lineage of green algae such as the Palmophyllales almost exclusively occurs in dimly lit deepwater habitats. Phylogenetic relics of several other groups of organisms also persist in deepwater habitats. For example, the continental slopes are home to ancient lineages such as the hagfishes (Jorgensen et al. 1997), chimaeras and cow sharks (Weitzman 1997), and stalked crinoids and other ancient invertebrate lineages (Briggs 1974). The onshore-offshore hypothesis describes the onshore origination and offshore retreat of marine organismal groups in the fossil record (Jablonski et al. 1983, Bottjer and Jablonski 1988). The onshore-offshore hypothesis has been cited in relation to the ecological diversification of coralline red algae (Aguirre et al. 2000). The early branching position of the taxon-poor, deepwater Palmophyllales compared to the taxon-rich and predominantly shallow-water prasinophytes and UTC taxa may be interpreted as another instance of photosynthetic organisms supporting this hypothesis. The minimum light requirement for photosynthesis is an
important determinant of the depth distribution of algal species and explains the paucity of algae on the continental slope. Only 0.05% of the irradiance at the surface (PAR) penetrates to the continental shelf habitats where *Verdigellas* typically occurs (Littler et al. 1985), and its primary productivity is a mere fraction of that of shallow-water green algae (Littler et al. 1986). *Palmophyllum* lacks the green light-harvesting photosynthetic pigments siphonoxanthin and siphonin typically found in other low-light-adapted green algae (Nelson and Ryan 1986, Sartoni et al. 1993). Instead, the genus seems to have adapted to low-light conditions by maintaining increased chl/b/a ratios (Hooks et al. 1988, Sartoni et al. 1993).

The ability to grow in deep habitats with low, attenuated light conditions may be of key importance to the persistence of these algae. Deep habitats feature diminished abiotic stressors (e.g., wave action, temperature variation) and lower levels of competition for substrata. Herbivores also decline sharply with increasing depth, both in abundance and species richness (Thresher and Colin 1986, Brokovich et al. 2008). Unlike entities derived from younger green algal radiations, especially those in the UTC lineage, whose morphological and biochemical adaptations allow them to withstand such stresses (Duffy and Hay 1990), *Verdigellas* is readily consumed by common shallow-water herbivores in feeding experiments (M. Littler and D. Littler, personal communication). The deepwater habitats likely provide *Palmophyllum*, *Verdigellas*, and *Palmocladophyllum* with an ecological refuge in which they experience reduced competition and herbivory.

In conclusion, *Palmophyllum* and *Verdigellas* form a distinct and ancient lineage of green algae, and it is clear that this group requires more attention, from a morphological, ecological, and evolutionary perspective. It is generally accepted that the ancestor of the UTC lineage, whose morphological and biochemical adaptations allow them to withstand such stresses (Duffy and Hay 1990), *Verdigellas* is readily consumed by common shallow-water herbivores in feeding experiments (M. Littler and D. Littler, personal communication). The deepwater habitats likely provide *Palmophyllum*, *Verdigellas*, and *Palmocladophyllum* with an ecological refuge in which they experience reduced competition and herbivory.
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