Production of *Tachidius discipes* (Copepoda: Harpacticoida)
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ABSTRACT: The secondary production of the harpacticoid copepod *Tachidius discipes* Giesbrecht 1881 was estimated during spring 1979. The population was sampled every 3 d. Three generation peaks were observed. These were clearly distinct in the first copepodite stages, but gradually showed more overlap in older stages. Production of copepodites and adults was estimated in 2 ways: (1) Peaks were separated and the forward shifting of peaks in consecutive stages was used to estimate stage durations; (2) the size-frequency method was applied. Both estimates of the production of copepodites and adults are in good agreement: 1.10 g dwt m\(^{-2}\) and 1.02 g dwt m\(^{-2}\). Egg production amounts to 0.31 g dwt m\(^{-2}\); naupliar production is roughly estimated at 1.11 g dwt. The production efficiency, \(P/(P+R)\), of copepodites and adults is 0.36; for the total population it is 0.43. This value corresponds well to the value estimated from culture experiments with this population.

INTRODUCTION

Meiobenthic populations are among the least studied from the point of view of energetics. Only recently have papers appeared dealing with direct production estimates of field populations of meiobenthic crustaceans (Feller, 1982; Fleeger and Palmer, 1982). The limited information available reveals that the productivity (measured by yearly production/biomass rates, \(P/B\)) of meiobenthic populations can differ widely from the speculative and often used value \(P/B = 9\). This value was proposed by Gerlach (1971), based on the life cycle characteristics of 2 nematode species. Whereas the use of a single \(P/B\) value for all populations is not advisable, the scaling of \(P/B\) by body mass (Banse and Mosher, 1980) may be more useful (Heip et al., 1982). This scaling reveals a remarkable pattern, already anticipated by Banse and Mosher (1980), in that the \(P/B\)-body weight line for meiobenthic populations lies considerably lower than would be expected from the extrapolation of values for larger organisms. The scaling of the intrinsic rate of natural increase \(r_m\) by body weight (Banse, 1982) corroborates this observation, since both \(r_m\) and \(P/B\) are highly correlated with the generation time, and thus with each other.
in respiration rates between the 2 populations of this species examined (Herman and Heip, 1983). In this paper we treat in more detail the production of the Dievengat population so that a more accurate calculation of the production efficiency is possible.

Tachidius discipes is a widely distributed member of estuarine meiofauna (Mugy, 1967). In the community we studied, it typically occurs in large numbers during spring (Heip, 1979; Herman and Heip, in press). Maximum densities are ca. 100,000 individuals m\(^{-2}\), one peak value exceeding 300,000 ind. m\(^{-2}\). The species lives epibenthically and feeds on benthic microalgae.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

During spring 1979 (March–June), a population of Tachidius discipes was studied in a 10 cm deep brackish water pond, the 'Dievengat', northern Belgium. Salinity fluctuated between 11 and 16% (mean: 14.6%) during the period of investigation. The sediment is a well-sorted fine sand (median grain size 0.223 mm), covered with large amounts of detritus.

Samples were taken every 3 d with a 6.06 cm\(^2\) glass corer, to a depth of 5 cm, and fixed in a neutral 4% formaldehyde solution, heated to 70 °C. The copepods were extracted from the sediment as described by Heip et al. (1974), except that centrifugation of the finer fractions was done with LUDOX, a silica-gel, instead of sucrose (De Jonge and Bouwman, 1977).

It was impossible to sample the nauplii quantitatively in this detritus-rich sediment. Copepodites and adults were extracted with high efficiency. On each date the number of copepods in each developmental stage (from Cop I to adult) was recorded. The description of the copepodites of Tachidius discipes by Teare (1978) were used.

Dry weights were determined on a Mettler ME22 microbalance to a precision of ± 1 μg. Batches of 50 to 100 individuals belonging to the same developmental stage were dried for 2 h at 110 °C before weighing.

In order to suppress the random variation ('noise') in the density data, a weighted running mean was applied with length 3 and weight factors 0.23, 0.54, 0.23 (Velleman, 1977). Inspection of the density curves of the 6 developmental stages revealed the existence of several peaks which can be interpreted as representing separate generations. These peaks are well separated in the first stages, but become gradually less distinct and more overlapping in the older ones. In order to separate them we used a method devised for splitting statistical frequency distributions into Gaussian components (Bhattacharya, 1967). In this method the mean and variance of the constituting Gaussians are determined graphically on a plot of ln(Y,+/N,) against X, (where X, is the class midpoint of the i-th class, and Y, the corresponding frequency). The absolute numbers N, in each of the Gaussian components are determined by solving a system of k equations (k being the number of Gaussians). This gives a good fit, although it does not consider error terms explicitly (Bhattacharya, 1967).

The results of this analysis can be used for a production estimate with the method of Rigler and Cooley (1974): the means of the Gaussian components correspond to their 'mean pulse time' of the peaks, and the numbers N, to the surfaces under a peak's curve. For each generation the production is estimated as:

\[
P = \sum_{i=1}^{5} \left( \frac{N_{i+1}}{D_{i+1}} - \frac{N_{i}}{D_{i}} \right) \times (W_{i+1} - W_{i})^{1/2}
\]

where i = stage number; D, = duration (days) of the stage i; N,/D, = number of copepods in the stage.

As an independent test of the whole procedure we also estimated the production with the size-frequency method, as modified for the analysis of populations grouped in developmental stages by Herman et al. (1983). This method gives an approximation of the relative duration of each stage (giving Stage I the arbitrary duration of 1) by assuming exponential mortality. In the case of Tachidius discipes we also need an estimate of the relative duration of egg + naupliar stages. This is provided by culture experiments of Smol and Heip (1974) from which it can be concluded that this duration is 3% of the copepodite stages combined. The method further requires only an estimate of the number of generations occurring during the study period, which in this case is 3.

The number of eggs produced was calculated from the observed density of females carrying eggs by the formula:

\[
N_{e} = \sum \frac{N_{i} \times c}{T_{i}} \times E
\]

where summation is over all sampling dates t; c = interval (days) between 2 samplings; T, = embryonic development time at the prevailing temperature at time t; E = number of eggs per egg sac. Both T, and E are available from culture experiments by Smol and Heip (1974) and Heip and Smol (1976).

This estimate of the number of eggs produced allows a rough calculation of the naupliar production. Assuming that within the naupliar phase both growth and mortality are exponential, we have:

\[
W_{i} = W_{0} e^{Gt}
\]

\[
N_{i} = N_{0} e^{Zt}
\]

where G and Z = instantaneous growth and mortality rates, respectively.
Production is given by Allen (1971) as:

\[ P = \frac{(G/(G-Z))}{(N_T W_T - N_o W_o)} \]  

(5)

where \( N_o, W_o, N_T, W_T \) = numbers present and individual weight at times 0 and T, respectively. We estimated \( W_o \) as the weight of an egg, and \( W_T \) as the weight of a Copepodite I. \( N_T \) is the number entering the Copepodite I stage, and \( N_o \) is the number of eggs produced. \( G \) and \( Z \) can be calculated from Eq. (3) and (4), provided an estimate of T is available. An estimate of \( G \), and thus of \( T \) is necessary for the biomass integral (see below), but for the production estimation by Eq. (5) it is sufficient to estimate GT and ZT, since the factor \( T \) disappears in the division \( G/(G-Z) \). GT and ZT are estimated as \( \ln(W_T/W_o) \) and \( -\ln(N_T/N_o) \), respectively.

One complication arises because this estimate of naupliar production is based on the growth increment principle, whereas the production of copepodites is based on the principle of summation of elimination (see Heip et al., 1982 for a discussion). As a consequence of this difference, it can be seen that the production of Copepodite I is calculated twice. As this production belongs most logically to the naupliar stage, it is subtracted from the copepodite production for the calculation of production efficiency.

In order to estimate the mean biomass of the nauplii we use the relation (Allen, 1971)

\[ P / B^* = G \]  

(6)

which is valid in the case of exponential growth and mortality. Here \( B^* = \) biomass - integral:

\[ B^* = \int_0^T N_i W_i \, dt \]  

(7)

Once \( B^* \) is known for the nauplii, the calculation of the mean biomass of the total population is straightforward.

The mean respiration of the different stages of copepodites and adults at 20 °C was determined by Herman and Heip (1983) with Cartesian Diver microrespirometry. The respiration-body weight relation was given by \( R = 13.18 \, W^{0.82} \), with \( R \) in nl O2 ind.\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\), and \( W \) in µg dwt. Total population respiration was calculated from these values after adjustment for temperature in the field with Krogh's normal curve (Wenberg, 1971). The production efficiency was calculated directly for copepodites and adults.

Respiration of nauplii was estimated in the following way. Assuming exponential growth and mortality (cf. above) we have at any time \( t : W_i = W_o \, e^{Gt} \) and from \( R_i = a \, W_i^{0.82} \, e^{0.82 \, Gt} \) we get

\[ R_i = a \, W_o^{0.82} \, e^{0.82 \, Gt} \]  

(8)

The respiration integral, by analogy to the biomass integral, is given by:

\[ R^* = a \, N_o W_o^{0.82} \int_0^T e^{0.82 \, G - Z} \, dt \]

\[ R^* = a \, (N_T W_i^{0.82} - N_o W_o^{0.82}) / (0.82 \, G - Z) \]  

(9)

This respiration is compensated for temperature effects by the same factor as was obtained for females carrying eggs.

For the conversion of dry weights to energy units we used the following conversion factors: 1 l O2 consumed is assumed equivalent to 0.4 g C metabolized (Crisp, 1971); 1 g C = 45.8 kJ (= 10.92 kcal) (Salonen et al., 1976), and organic carbon is 52 % of ash free dry weight (Salonen et al., 1976).

All production estimates given in the text are production over the study period of 99 d.

**RESULTS**

The raw data (i.e. counts 6.06 cm\(^{-2}\) of the different stages) are given in Table 1. Mean dry weight of the stages, and geometric mean weight of consecutive stages are given in Table 2. Fig. 1(a–f) shows the density curves of copepodite and adult stages (running means), with the combined fitted Gaussian distributions superimposed. We found 3 generations during the study period, well distinguished in the first stages, but gradually more overlapping in the older ones.

For calculations of the stage duration with Rigler and Cooley's (1974) method it is necessary to draw a smooth curve on a plot of mean pulse times against stage numbers. Rigler and Cooley (1974) advise performing the smoothing in such a way that each stage is longer than the preceding one, and that negative mortality is minimal. Fig. 2 shows the effect of this smoothing. The only important modification is that the smoothed mean pulse time \( \mu \) of Copepodite IV in the first peak is shifted forward. There is no negative mortality. The resulting stage durations and the number of copepods in each stage are shown in Table 3. A problem is posed by the adult stage. The method of Rigler and Cooley (1974) implies that all mortality occurs at the transition of one stage to the next. This assumption does not lead to serious bias as long as the stages are of short duration, but when they last longer and there is considerable mortality (as in the adult stage, where all individuals eventually die) it results in a relative forward shift of the mean pulse time. Therefore the mean pulse time of the adults is not reliable as a basis for the estimation of its duration. Fortunately there is almost no somatic growth between Copepodite V and adults. The assumption that all Copepodite V become adult therefore introduces only
Table 1. *Tachidius discipes*. Original counts (No. 6.06 cm\(^2\)) on which the production estimates were based. CI, ..., CV: Copepodites 1 to 5; AD: total number of adults; FCE: number of females carrying eggs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>CH</th>
<th>CII</th>
<th>CIII</th>
<th>CIV</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>AD</th>
<th>FCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21.3.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.3.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.3.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.3.79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4.79</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.4.79</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.4.79</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.4.79</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.4.79</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.4.79</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.4.79</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.4.79</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5.79</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5.79</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.5.79</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.5.79</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5.79</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.5.79</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.5.79</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.5.79</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.5.79</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6.79</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.6.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.6.79</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.6.79</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.6.79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. *Tachidius discipes*. Dry weights \(W_i\) of copepodite stages. \(N\) = number of copepods weighted in a batch. \(\left(W_iW_{i+1}\right)^{1/2}\) = geometric mean of the weights of 2 consecutive stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>(N)</th>
<th>(W_i) ((\mu g))</th>
<th>(\left(W_iW_{i+1}\right)^{1/2})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COP I</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP II</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP III</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP IV</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP V</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egg sac</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. *Tachidius discipes*. Duration \((D)\) of copepodite stages and number of copepods recruited to the stage for the 3 peaks in spring 1979, determined by the method of Rigler and Cooley (1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Duration ((D))</th>
<th>Recruitment ((\text{per} , 10 , \text{cm}^2))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st peak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP I</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP II</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP III</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP IV</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP V</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd peak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP I</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP II</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP III</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP IV</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP V</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd peak</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP I</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP II</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP III</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP IV</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP V</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a very light bias, and circumvents the tricky problem of estimating adult-stage duration. The production of copepodites and adults thus calculated is 1.57 g dwt m\(^{-2}\). This value includes the production of the biomass entering the copepodite stage as Copepodite I (see 'Material and Methods'): this amount of 0.47 g dwt m\(^{-2}\) is better included in the naupliar production. \(P_c\), the production of copepodites and adults, is then estimated as \(P_c = 1.10 \, \text{g dwt} \, m^{-2}\).
Fig. 1. (a–f): Tachidius discipes. Densities (N 10 cm$^{-2}$) of copepodite stages I (a), II (b), III (c), IV (d), V (e) and of the adults (f) in a brackish water pond during the spring of 1979. Full lines: trendline (see text) through observations. Broken lines: combined Gaussians fitted to the data.

Table 4 gives the production estimation with the size-frequency method. The $P_c$, corrected for Copepodite I production by the value 0.47 g dwt m$^{-2}$ (of the previous estimate) is: $P_c = 1.02$ g dwt m$^{-2}$.

Table 4. Tachidius discipes. Production estimation by the size-frequency method. $a_j$ = relative duration of Stage $j$ (where $a_1$ = arbitrarily chosen as 1); $\bar{n}_j$ = mean number of copepods in Stage $j$; $N_j$ = an estimate of the recruitment into Stage $j$. 'P$_j$' of the production of Stage $j$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>$a_j$</th>
<th>$\bar{n}_j$</th>
<th>$N_j$</th>
<th>'P$_j$'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COP I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.13</td>
<td>1199.36</td>
<td>28.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP II</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>17.49</td>
<td>1074.36</td>
<td>50.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP III</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>20.26</td>
<td>927.38</td>
<td>90.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP IV</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>20.63</td>
<td>776.50</td>
<td>182.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP V</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>21.49</td>
<td>621.65</td>
<td>137.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>73.27</td>
<td>544.03</td>
<td>995.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1483.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Egg production, estimated by Eq. (2) is: $P_e = 0.31$ g dwt m$^{-2}$. Number of eggs produced is 29,161 10 cm$^{-2}$.

Naupliar production, estimated by Eq. (5) is: $P_n = 1.1$ g dwt m$^{-2}$.

Total production amounts to 2.52 g dwt m$^{-2}$ and 2.44 g dwt m$^{-2}$, depending on whether the first or second estimate of $P_c$ is used.

Duration of the copepodite stages is 23, 14.5 and 7.5 d for the 3 peaks. Duration of the naupliar stage is about half that of the copepodite stage (Smol and Heip, 1974). Using this experimentally obtained ratio, the duration of the naupliar phase is estimated to be 8 d.

The biomass integral $B^*$ for the nauplii then becomes: $B^* = 2.96$ g dwt $\times$ days $\times$ m$^{-2}$. The biomass integral for copepodites, adults and eggs is 22.77 g dwt $\times$ days $\times$ m$^{-2}$. Mean biomass $B = 25.73/99 = 0.26$ g dwt m$^{-2}$. P/B is 9.7 over the study period, or 3.2 generation$^{-1}$.

Respiration of copepodites and adults is estimated as $R_c = 3.31$ O$_2$ m$^{-2}$ over the sampling period. Their production efficiency is 0.30.
When egg production is attributed to the adult population, production efficiency becomes 0.36. With an estimated naupliar respiration of 1.12 l O₂ m⁻² (Eq. [9]), the production efficiency of the total population is calculated as 0.43.

**DISCUSSION**

*Tachidius discipes* produced 3 generations in spring 1979. In a 5 d interval sampling in 1980 (essentially aimed at another population) we could distinguish the same pattern. It was, as a whole, shifted to 10 to 12 d later.

Therefore we suppose this pattern occurs consistently each year. Previously, it was not revealed by Heip (1980) in fortnightly samples with all copepodite stages pooled. One single peak was described, and it was concluded that growth is slower under natural conditions than in laboratory cultures. It is shown here that sampling intervals should be very short, and that the copepods should be subdivided into short-living stages in order to reveal the dynamics of a rapidly developing population such as *Tachidius discipes*.

We estimated the production in 2 different ways, each characterized by a number of simplifying assumptions. In the first method, the smoothing of the mean pulse times may well be the most critical step, especially in Copepodites IV and V. Because of the bias, possibly introduced by this extensive data handling, we made a second, independent estimate. This has a weakness in the assumption of a constant mortality rate in all copepodite stages. Both estimates are relatively close to one another, though. This adds some confidence to our estimates.

Due to the rapid development, the production of *Tachidius discipes* is relatively high. The species is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>P/B (yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Huntemannia jadensis</em></td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Feller (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Microarthridion littoralis</em></td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>Fleeger &amp; Palmer (1982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyprideis torosa</em></td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>Herman et al. (1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Tachidius discipes</em></td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. P/B of meiofaunal crustaceans determined from field observations.
only found during spring in this habitat. Expressing
the P/B on a yearly base by changing the time dimen-
sion, it becomes P/B = 35 yr⁻¹. Compared to other
meiobenthic populations for which direct production
estimates have been made, this is very high (Table 5).
It should be kept in mind here that T. discipes does not
realize this high productivity. As it is restricted in
appearance to the most favourable season, many ‘ma-
intenance costs’ experienced by other species in
verse seasons, are probably less important to this
species. Therefore the value P/B = 35 yr⁻¹ is of limited
significance. Only when the mean biomass would be
estimated from samples, regularly spaced over a full
year, this biomass would have to be multiplied by 35 to
find the yearly production.

The production efficiency of Tachidius discipes is
much higher than 21 %, the mean value given by
Humphreys (1979) for non-insect invertebrate herbi-
vores. It is nearly equal to 39 %, the mean value for
non-social insect herbivores, and it also approaches
the mean for non-insect invertebrate detritivores (36 %).
The formation of the 2 groups ‘non-social
insects’ and ‘non-insect invertebrates’ was the best
possible statistical inference Humphreys (1979) could
make on the basis of the existing data. In these data
certain taxonomical ‘and probably also ecological)
groups are overemphasized, whereas others are almost
unstudied. It is highly improbable that the grouping in
non-social insects and non-insect invertebrates will
remain unchanged when more data are accumulated.

Anyway, T. discipes has a production efficiency very
near to that of the non-social insects, to which it is both
taxonomically and ecologically more akin than to the
molluscs, which constitute the bulk of Humphreys’
non-insect invertebrates.

It must be noted here that Banse (1979) did not find
differences in production efficiency according to the
species’ weight at maturity, longevity or feeding type.
He used a limited, but more critically selected data
base. When sample size is small, it is, of course, dif-
ficult to prove the existence of significant differences.

Nevertheless, Banse’s (1979) study indicates that the
differences between groups in Humphreys (1979)
could, at least in part, also be due to different
methodologies used in the study of different groups.
This is an important ecological question which
requires further study.

The production efficiency calculated here is consid-
erably lower than the value calculated by Warwick
(1981) for the same species. This discrepancy is
to the combination of field data and respi-
ration data from 2 different populations. Table 6 lists
the efficiencies for different temperatures using War-
wick’s calculation method, with the appropriate respi-
ration data. That calculation is performed for an expo-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>P (J. J⁻¹ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>R (J. J⁻¹ d⁻¹)</th>
<th>P/(P + R)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>0.259</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The formation of the 2 groups ‘non-social
insects’ and ‘non-insect invertebrates’ was the best
possible statistical inference Humphreys (1979) could
make on the basis of the existing data. In these data
certain taxonomical ‘and probably also ecological)
groups are overemphasized, whereas others are almost
unstudied. It is highly improbable that the grouping in
non-social insects and non-insect invertebrates will
remain unchanged when more data are accumulated.

Moreover, Banse’s (1979) study indicates that the
differences between groups in Humphreys (1979)
could, at least in part, also be due to different
methodologies used in the study of different groups.
This is an important ecological question which
requires further study.

The production efficiency calculated here is consid-
erably lower than the value calculated by Warwick
(1981) for the same species. This discrepancy is
to the combination of field data and respi-
ration data from 2 different populations. Table 6 lists
the efficiencies for different temperatures using War-
wick’s calculation method, with the appropriate respi-
ration data. That calculation is performed for an expo-

nentially increasing population, with stable age dis-
tribution, where the production per unit biomass is
estimated as $r_m$, the intrinsic rate of natural increase.

(A more consistent measure of it is the birth rate b,
which, however, is not known for Tachidius discipes,
and will not be much higher than $r_m$ in an exponenti-
ally increasing population with little mortality and a
short generation time.) The population structure in the
field is clearly different from a stable age distribution,
and obviously the mortality is quite important.

Nevertheless, the production efficiency found in this
study is exactly equal to the predicted value of 43.5 %
at 15 °C. This corroborates the findings of Woodland and
Cairns (1980) that, given certain parameters (respira-
tion rate, longevity, ratio final : initial weight), the pro-
duction efficiency is almost independent of the precise
population age structure within the rather wide limits
of ‘biologically reasonable’ mortality patterns.
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