Species occurrence of cetaceans in Guinea, including humpback whales with southern hemisphere seasonality
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An initial inventory of the dolphins and whales occurring in Guinea’s coastal waters is documented primarily from specimens and photographic evidence obtained from strandings and by-catches. Seven species are fully validated, four odontocetes, Tursiops truncatus, Sousa teuszii, Stenella frontalis, Kogia breviceps and three balaenopterid whales: Balaenoptera brydei, Balaenoptera acutorostrata and Megaptera novaeangliae. Another three reported species (Globicephala macrorhynchus, Steno bredanensis and Delphinus delphis) are insufficiently supported but thought to be valid. Small cetaceans landed as by-catch and a stranded whale were used for human consumption, but no evidence of substantial takes, directed or by-catch, was found. However, concern is raised about even minimal takes of the vulnerable Atlantic humpback dolphin. The seasonal presence of three confirmed humpback whales, two strandings (July and September) and a sighting (October), is synchronous with the species’ southern hemisphere wintering/breeding season in low latitudes. We hypothesize that these whales may comprise the north-westernmost range of the population that breeds/overwinters in coastal waters of the Bight of Benin, northern Gulf of Guinea.
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INTRODUCTION

There is a paucity of published information on the occurrence of dolphins and whales off the Atlantic coast of Guinea in West Africa, and no dedicated literature exists. In an extensive review of fisheries–cetacean interactions from the West African coast by Maigret (1994), no evidence was reported from its 300 km coastline stretching from Guinea-Bissau in the north (coastal border at 10°55'N 15°03'W) to Sierra Leone in the south (coastal border at 09°02'N 15°18'W) (Figure 1). The Soviet vessel RV ‘Evrika’ surveyed the Guinean coast in May–July 1984 and mapped five sightings of unidentified coastal dolphins within the 200 m isobath (two within the 20 m isobath) plus an unidentified whale between the 200 and 500 m isobaths (Anonymous, 1984). A few cetacean sightings in Tormosov et al. (1980), although possibly relevant for Guinean waters, lack coordinates and, plotted as large symbols on a small-scale map of Africa, do not allow reliable interpretation. Jefferson et al. (1997) cited and considered Guinea as a possible range state for five small cetaceans based on the literature, as reviewed below.

In May 2000, the Guinean Ministry of Agriculture, jointly with the Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS), organized a regional workshop in Conakry on the conservation and management of small cetaceans from West Africa, in which several of the authors participated. The meeting called for, inter alia, the inventory of cetacean species, the collection, treatment and compilation of data for each range state, and improved surveillance and regulation of fisheries with respect to by-catches (Archer & Van Waerebeek, 2000; CMS, 2000). Circa 2001, Guinea’s Centre National des Sciences Halieutiques de Boussoura (CNSHB) embarked on a pioneering effort to collect basic field data, evaluate evidence from various sources and produce a first inventory of cetacean biodiversity, the results of which we present below.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cetacean records documented here result mainly from observations achieved during irregular, often opportunistic, surveys of fishers’ communities by CNSHB personnel in 2001–2003, typically in follow-up on verbal accounts received from local agents stationed in key fish landing sites. Most study material originated from stranding and by-catch events as documented by voucher specimens, photographs, video and descriptions of diagnostic features. An exhaustive literature search yielded a minimal amount of additional substantiated information. References were traced back to their original sources and...
any claims based on distributional inference rather than observational data were not further considered. A cetacean morphologist (K.V.W.) critically examined all available evidence. Distances between locations were computed with GPS Mapsource 6.4 software (©2004 Garmin Corporation). ‘West Africa’ is used sensu the UN definition, i.e. the westernmost region of Africa, from Mauritania south and east to Nigeria. Records are plotted (Figure 1) on a satellite hybrid map of the study region (©2009 Google).

RESULTS

Family Delphinidae Gray, 1821

Atlantic humpback dolphin Sousa teuszii (Kükenthal, 1892)

Although thought to be widely distributed in Guinea (Cadenat, 1956a, 1959) the only documented S. teuszii specimen is a 222-cm male (Figure 2) landed by artisanal fishers at Dixinn (09°33’N 13°40’W; ’Steu’ in Figure 1), baie de...
Sangaréah, on 13 March 2002 (Van Waerebeek et al., 2004). The animal’s sexual organs, stored in formalin, are deposited at CNSHB. No other recent sightings have been reported but marine biologist Jean Cadenat (1956a, p. 558) stated [literal translation from French] ‘the characteristic shape of the dorsal fin of this species and its colour pattern [...] make me think today that the delphinids which showed exactly these characteristics and which I could observe in January 1953 in the silt-laden inshore waters of French Guinea, south of Conakry, must also belong to the species Sotalia teuszii’. This account, although not authenticated, is credible considering Cadenat had ample first-hand expertise with the species. Van Waerebeek et al. (2004) provisionally defined a ‘South Guinea’ management stock. Two of us (I.L.B. and K.V.W.) interviewed fishermen in the Baie de Sangaréah area in April 2006 who described dolphins matching the diagnostic features of humpback dolphins as occasionally entangled in their nets. It is unclear whether the Cadenat (1959) claim of the species being ‘very common’ off Guinea is still valid today.

**COMMON BOTTLENOSE DOLPHIN TURSIOPS TRUNCATUS (MONTAGU, 1821)**

A 340 cm adult female was landed in fresh condition at Bonfi, Salatougou, 200 km north-west of Conakry (13°18′N 09°03′30″W; ‘T.tru1′ in Figure 1) on 10 March 2002. The dolphin, a by-catch victim, showed net marks on the dorsum and anterior flanks. This specimen is the first documented *T. truncatus* record for Guinea and represents a new country record (Figure 3). The skull and a full set of standard cranial measurements are deposited at CNSHB. Considering slightly eroded occipital condyli in the Bonfi specimen, its minimum condylobasal length (CBL) of 556 mm is consistent with adult CBL ranges of 540–610 mm (Robineau & Vely, 1997) and 504–578 mm (Ross, 1984) for Senegal and southern Africa respectively. The high values found for CBL and rostrum length (335 mm) are in accordance with the elongate rostrum noticeable even in some free-ranging *T. truncatus* from West Africa (e.g. Van Waerebeek et al., 2008).

A second *T. truncatus* by-catch was landed in fresh state at the port of Boulinet (09°30′11″N 13°42′53″W; ‘T.tru2’ in Figure 1), Conakry, on 11 December 2005, and was examined by a CNSHB team led by S.T. Diallo on 12 December 2005. It was briefly reported but without diagnostic evidence (Diallo et al., 2007). On 9 March 2010, from a photograph archived at CNSHB, K.V.W. could confirm the species as *T. truncatus*.

**ATLANTIC SPOTTED DOLPHIN STENELLA FRONTALIS (G. CUVIER, 1829)**

M.E. Postel harpooned a ~2-m dolphin at an unspecified distance and position from Conakry (port at 09°30′N 13°43′W; ‘S.fro’ in Figure 1) on 3 January 1953 and collected the head. It was assigned to the genus *Prodelphinus* Gervais 1880 (Cadenat, 1956b, 1959; Cadenat & Lassarat, 1959) which is a junior synonym for *Stenella* Gray, 1866. Low tooth counts (32LL, 34LR, 32UL and 33UR), numerous large black spots on the lower jaw and below the eye, white spots on the otherwise dark dorsal side of the head and an upturned tip of the mandibles (see figure 27 in Cadenat 1956b; and figures 39 & 40 in Cadenat, 1959) confirm the dolphin belongs to *Stenella frontalis* (G. Cuvier, 1829). Apparently the head was taken to Senegal and deposited at the Musée de la Mer, Institut Fondamental d’Afrique Noir (IFAN), Ile de Goreé (Cadenat, 1959). When studying and re-organizing the cetacean collection at that museum in 1999, one of us (K.V.W.) assigned a new catalogue number ‘SN80’ to a slightly damaged mummified dolphin head without associated reference (Van Waerebeek et al., 2000, p. 61). Visible cranial features revealed it belonged to *Stenella*, and probably *S. frontalis*, but X-ray examination would have been necessary for species confirmation. Van Waerebeek et al. (2000) assumed the dolphin head had been collected in Senegal. However, careful re-reading of Cadenat (1959) suggests that SN80 probably is the historical *S. frontalis* specimen from Conakry.

**Family Kogiidae Gill, 1871**

**PYGMY SPERM WHALE KOGIA BREVICEPS**

(DE BLAINVILLE, 1838)

An adult female of 340 kg landed by artisanal fishermen at the Dabondi landing site, just south of Conakry (commune Matoto), on 3 May 2002 and reportedly captured off Tanène (09°56′29″N 13°51′46″W; ‘K.bre’ in Figure 1) represents the first record of *K. breviceps* in Guinea. The fresh carcass was butchered and locally consumed. The low dorsal fin set well behind body midpoint and its larger body size (Figure 4) positively distinguishes *K. breviceps* from the dwarf sperm whale *Kogia sima* (Owen, 1866). Body length of 345 cm as measured by one of us (I.B.) if not strictly axial may slightly overestimate standard length. The skull (CBL, 401 mm) and partial skeleton is deposited in the CNSHB collection. Most epiphyses are fused to the vertebral centres indicating physical maturity, in agreement with its adult body length, however some epiphyseal fusion lines persist.

**Fig. 3.** A common bottlenose dolphin, *Tursiops truncatus*, landed at Bonfi on 10 March 2002 and its skull, deposited at the CNSHB reference collection. Diameter of scale (lens cap) is 60 mm.
Family Balaenopteridae Gray, 1864

BRYDE’S WHALE BALAENOPTERA BRYDEI OLSEN, 1913

Olsen’s (1913) original description of *B. brydei* was based on whales taken off South Africa’s Cape Province, however he did not assign a holotype. A meticulous morphological account by Lönnerg (1931) is generally considered as the primary reference for *B. brydei* description (see also Yamada et al., 2008). Soot-Ryen (1961) provided further morphological evidence to distinguish *B. brydei* from Eden’s whale *Balaenoptera edeni* Anderson, 1879, a dwarf form endemic in the Indo-Pacific region. While for half a century most authors followed Junge (1950) who synonymized *B. brydei* with *B. edeni*, recently species-level differences are again recognized (e.g. Wada et al., 2003; Best, 2007; Kanda et al., 2007; Yamada et al., 2008).

A 13 m *B. brydei* stranded in northern Guinea near Koukoubaya, Kanfarandé (10°46.183’N 14°42.60’W; ‘B.bry1’ in Figure 1), Boké Préfecture, on 21 May 2001. The skull was examined by the senior author in July 2001. A photograph of the skull is the only remaining voucher available (Figure 5). Locals reported to have consumed the flesh of the whale, suggesting it stranded in fresh condition, if not alive.

A 9.8 m *B. brydei* beached alive in daytime at Kassa (reportedly during a storm), Ile de Loos (09°28’N 13°48’W; ‘B.bry2’ in Figure 1) on 29 July 2002 (Figure 6). The stomachs contained unspecified teleost fish and squids. Two photographs of the stranded animal were examined, one showing...
the straight maxillar tip and a prominent falcate dorsal fin. The complete skeleton is mounted at the CNSHB headquarters in Conakry. Several osteological features combined characteristic for B. brydei are evident, i.e. maxillae with proximally nearly parallel borders, concave anterior margin of nasal bones and bent forward on the outer side, strongly curved and very robust mandibles and vertebrae with relatively short spinous processes which are heavily inclined backwards (Lönnberg, 1931; Omura et al., 1981; Cummings, 1985).

COMMON MINKE WHALE BALAENOPTERA ACUTOOROSTRA LACÉPÈDE, 1804

A B. acutorostrata of unknown sex became entangled in a fishing net in the Bay of Tabounou (09°33′N 13°36′W; ‘B.acu’ in Figure 1) at the south end of Conakry and was landed at Gbessia-Port in March 1986 where it was inspected by one of us (B. Kaba). Two grainy photographs in a local newsletter article (Kaba & Boltachev, 1986) support the event. However the colour pattern of the whale and its flippers was not recorded. The single baleen plate available, curated in the CERESCOR collection, measures 21 cm long for 6.5 cm wide, in agreement with the 23.5 cm maximum baleen plate length for North Atlantic B. acutorostrata (Jonsgård, 1951, his figures 2 and 3). The baleen plate (Figure 7) is cream-white with, labially, a grey-brown edge, has an almost straight outer edge and fine, short, cream-coloured bristles, all characteristic for minke whales (Jonsgård, 1951; Sergeant, 1963; Mitchell & Kozicki, 1975). It is unclear whether the reported body length of 877 cm (Kaba & Boltachev, 1986) was taken partly over the body curvature which is known to overestimate standard length. Horwood (1990, cited in Perrin & Brownell, 2002) reports an average length for B. acutorostrata at physical maturity of 850–880 cm in females and 780–820 cm in males.

The Tabounou specimen is the first B. acutorostrata record for Guinea, and one of very few authenticated records in West Africa (reviewed by Van Waerebeek et al., 1999). The former southernmost documented case in the north-east Atlantic was a juvenile, also a by-catch, landed at Hann (14°41′N 17°27′W) on Senegal’s central coast, more than 5° latitude to the north (Van Waerebeek et al., 1999).

HUMBACK WHALE MEGAPTERA NOVAEANGLIAE (BOWORSKI, 1781)

The anterior half body of an adult-sized M. novaeangliae washed ashore at Téminitaye, Conakry (09°30′N 13°42.9′W; ‘M.nov1’ in Figure 1) on 26 September 2005. The elongated flippers with prominent bumps on the edge and the low number of ventral pleats (14–17 estimated from photographs) confirmed the species (Figure 8). To judge from the advanced decomposition (code 4) death may have occurred sometime during the 2nd or 3rd week of September. The missing posterior body strongly suggests a collision with a large vessel, either ante-mortem or post-mortem. A second adult M. novaeangliae stranded at Taboriya, Bofia (09°57′50′N 13°57′04′W; ‘M.nov2’ in Figure 1) on 22 July 2008 (Figure 9). No samples were taken from either whale. A third record, a live animal, was sighted on the shelf some 24.5 km from shore, at 09°19′N 13°41′W (‘M.nov3’ in Figure 1) on 1 October 2002 during a dedicated oceanographic survey (NOAL WAN, Guinea, 29 September–21 October 2002). This observation, made from the RV ‘Ala Awam’, is supported by an identifiable photograph deposited at CNSHB and CEPEC.

INCOMPLETELY DOCUMENTED AND REJECTED RECORDS

Sightings for an additional three cetacean species have been mentioned in unpublished reports, unfortunately with insufficient temporal and spatial details, thus impeding confirmation for Guinean waters. Nonetheless, we consider these records as likely valid, thanks to recognizable photographs (S.T. Diallo et al., 2002, 2004; Bamy et al., 2006). They include: short-finned pilot whale Globicephala macrorhynchus Gray, 1846, rough-toothed dolphin Steno bredanensis (G. Cuvier in Lesson, 1828) and short-snouted common dolphin Delphinus delphis Linnaeus, 1738. Common dolphins Delphinus spp. have been reported from most coastal countries in Western Africa (e.g. Cadenat, 1959; Jefferson et al., 1997; Van Waerebeek, 1997; Van Waerebeek et al., 2000; Perrin & Van Waerebeek, 2007; Weir, 2010). Cadenat (1959, table 1) lists a D. delphis record under ‘confirmed’ (‘déterminations contrôlées’) but did not provide supporting data.

Dutch ornithologist Jan Veen (in litteris, to K.V.W., 3 February 2010) sighted two Stenella longirostris in the ‘area near Iles Tristao’ during a seabird survey on 23 May 2009. No photographs are available, but J. Veen is familiar with the species from observations in Senegal’s Saloum delta.

---

*Distinct from downward tilted rostrum tip in sei whale Balaenoptera borealis Lesson, 1828.*

---

**Fig. 7.** Baleen plate of a common minke whale, Balaenoptera acutorostrata, from the Bay of Tabounou, deposited at the CERESCOR Institute.

**Fig. 8.** The anterior body of a humpback whale, Megaptera novaeangliae, stranded in advanced decomposition at Téminitaye, near Conakry, on 26 September 2005.
Hammond & Lockyer (1988) reported an offshore O. orca sighting (their record 81) off Guinea at \(10^1\text{N} \ 19^2\text{W}\). Upon re-examination, Phil Hammond (in e-mail to K.V.W., 3 January 2007) indicated the record was erroneous. Hammond & Lockyer (1988, p. 27) further state ‘Cadenat (1959) reports observations in March off the coast of Guinea’, however a careful reading of the O. orca paragraph (Cadenat, 1959, p. 1399) reveals records solely for Mauritania, Senegal and Côte d’Ivoire, but this inaccuracy has seeped into the literature (e.g. Jefferson et al., 1997, p. 90). In fact, no O. orca records are documented for the stretch of coast from southern Senegal (Casamance) to Liberia. In the broader eastern North Atlantic, O. orca is widespread, while nowhere common, in coastal and offshore areas (Hammond & Lockyer, 1988). The question remains whether the species ventures into the shallow waters of Guinea-Bissau, Guinea and Sierra Leone.

Cadenat (1957, table p. 1366) listed Guinea as range state for the harbour porpoise ‘Phocoena phocoena’ [sic] referring to an unsubstantiated sighting by the crew of a tuna boat operating at latitude \(-08^30\text{N}\), in fact, off northern Sierra Leone. The species identification is considered equivocal as there are no other harbour porpoise Phocoena phocoena (Linnaeus, 1758) accounts from south of Senegal. Specifically the southernmost substantiated record is a by-catch recovered from fishermen at Île de Fadiouth (14°09’N 16°49’W) on Senegal’s Petite Côte (Van Waerebeek et al., 2000). Waters further south and east, bathed by the Guinea Current, are almost certainly too warm for this temperate water species. The context strongly suggests that Cadenat (1957) himself did not lend much credibility to the Guinea report. Jefferson et al. (1997) also called it a questionable record.

On 13 November 2001, with logistical support from Guinean navy personnel, one of us (L.B.) collected cranial and some postcranial bones of a large unidentified balaenopterid stranded in October 2001 in the area of Kamsar (10°39.1’N \ 14°37.0’W; ‘whale’ in Figure 1), northern Guinea. Initially deposited at CNSHB, the skeleton was exported to France to an unknown destination. A single photograph of the partial skull suggests M. novaengliae, but low resolution does not permit a definitive identification.

\[\text{Aucun d’eux n’a été capturé et les essais de détermination suivants ne sont appuyés sur l’examen d’aucune pièce particulière... a) bêtes de petite taille (du type Phocaena) ...} \] (Cadenat, 1957; p. 1368).

\[\text{a) beêtes de petite taille (du type Phocaena)...} \] (Cadenat, 1957; p. 1368).

\[\text{b) bêtes de petite taille (du type Phocaena)...} \] (Cadenat, 1957; p. 1368).

**DISCUSSION**

Five of the seven cetacean species (T. truncatus, K. breviceps, M. novaengliae, B. acutorostrata and B. brydei) documented here represent first records for Guinea. This initial inventory is obviously incomplete in view of the modest survey effort and that known cetacean biodiversity in the western African region amounts to some 25–30 species (Perrin & Van Waerebeek, 2007).

Population structure in S. teuszii is unclear including to what degree distributional continuity and gene flow exists between the provisionally defined ‘South Guinea stock’ and other defined stocks (Van Waerebeek et al., 2003, 2004). The species is listed on CITES Appendix I, is classified as ‘Vulnerable’ by IUCN and in 2008 was upgraded to the CMS Appendix I after WAFRET \(^3\) research raised concern about fisheries interactions, and wide distribution hiatuses were found between communities of low numbers (Van Waerebeek, 2003a, 2003b; Van Waerebeek et al., 2003, 2004; Collins et al., 2004; Van Waerebeek & Perrin, 2007; Weir, 2009, 2010). Like in Guinea-Bissau, Guinea’s coast features prime S. teuszii habitat: warm and shallow waters (0–40 m deep) on a shelf extending up to 200 km from shore (Chavance et al., 1998), extensive creeks with mangrove forest around four main river mouths, i.e. Rio Komponi, Rio Nuie, Fatala, and Konkouré. The lack of sighting records of S. teuszii in Guinea may partly be blamed on the low level of near-shore survey effort. Nonetheless, because of both direct and circumstantial evidence of by-catches and since estimated numbers of the stocks for which some estimate was available have invariably been low, i.e. tens or hundreds of individuals (Collins et al., 2004; Van Waerebeek et al., 2004; Weir, 2009, 2010), concern is expressed about removals of any level. The Konkouré Estuary is protected since 1992 as a Ramsar wetlands site (No. 575) and is proposed as an ideal target area to initiate dedicated small-boat and shore-based surveys.

*Tursiops truncatus* in western Africa attains great body length, up to 168 cm in Senegal (Robineau & Vely, 1997) and 330 cm in South Africa (Ross, 1984). Osteological characteristics have been discussed for dolphins from Mauritania, Senegal and Côte d’Ivoire (Cadenat & Lassarat, 1959; Cadenat et al., 1959). The hypothesis of a Mauritania/Senegal population linked to the north-west African upwelling zone and characterized by a long rostrum and a relatively smaller neurocranium (Robineau & Vely, 1997) deserves further study. The Bonfi specimen suggests that such a form may have a considerably wider distribution off western Africa. So far no *T. truncatus* sightings are documented in Guinea, but as in neighbouring Guinea-Bissau where an inshore population inhabits much of the waters surrounding the Bijagos Archipelago (Spaans, 1990; Van Waerebeek et al., 2000, 2008), with very similar habitat it seems plausible that inshore *T. truncatus* also range over an appreciable part of Guinea’s shallow coast. As inshore *T. truncatus* are a target of a live-capture fishery in Guinea-Bissau (Van Waerebeek et al., 2008) managers are reminded that offshore form *T. truncatus* may also occur, and in much larger groups, which could result in abundance over-estimates if populations are not clearly distinguished during surveys.

\(^3\text{CMS-sponsored, West African Cetacean Research and Conservation Projects (WAFRET).}\)
Little is known on the status of *S. frontalis* off western Africa, except that it is widely distributed in the region and validated records exist for the Canary Islands, Mauritania, Cape Verde, Senegal, Côte d’Ivoire, Ghana, Benin and Equatorial Guinea (Jefferson *et al.*, 1997; Van Waerebeek *et al.*, 2000; Perrin & Van Waerebeek, 2007).

A few authors have studied the biology of *K. breviceps* in Atlantic Africa (Maigret & Robineau, 1981; Robineau & Vely, 1998) and it is documented only from temperate areas, i.e. north-west Africa and Namibia (Perrin & Van Waerebeek, 2007). The large number of records suggests the species is fairly common off the Canary Islands (Casinos, 1977; Vonk & Martin-Martel, 1988; De Stephanis & Urtioli, 2006). Six dead animals recovered in 1999–2005 showed collision lesions, likely from strikes by fast ferries (De Stephanis & Urtioli, 2006). There are fewer records elsewhere, one in Madeira (Maul & Sergeant, 1977), two in Mauritania (Robineau & Vely, 1998) and two strandings, four individuals in total, from Senegal (Cadat, 1959; Maigret & Robineau, 1981). No *K. breviceps* has been encountered in the Gulf of Guinea despite expanded field research (see e.g. Debrah, 2000; Ofori-Danson *et al.*, 2003; Picanço *et al.*, 2009; Van Waerebeek *et al.*, 2009). The Dabondon specimen (see above) represents a 525 km southward extension of the species’ known range in the north-eastern Atlantic, but it is unclear if this marks its approximate southern distribution boundary.

*Balaenoptera brydei* in the north-east Atlantic has not been the subject of dedicated research (see IWC, 1977; Kato, 2002). Two allopatric forms, an inshore and an offshore form, occur on the west coast of South Africa. The offshore form is thought to migrate north to the equator in winter (Best, 1977; Kato, 2002). However its relation to north-east Atlantic *B. brydei* is undocumented and in the meantime it is advisable to treat them as different stocks. Kanda *et al.* (2007), for instance, showed that gene flow between populations in the Pacific and Indian Oceans is low and that effective management actions should treat them as separate entities.

Similar uncertainties exist with respect to the population identity of *B. acutorostrata* off West Africa (Van Waerebeek *et al.*, 1999; Perrin & Brownell, 2002). The affinity of the Tabounsou specimen, which extended the documented range south from Senegal to Guinea, may lie with either the north-east Atlantic or central North Atlantic stocks (for definitions see Donovan, 1991) or it may be a member of a distinct, undescribed population. The boreal distribution range of the Antarctic minke whale *Balaenoptera bonaenris* Burmeister, 1867 in the eastern Atlantic reaches at least into cool southern Angolan waters at 15°45’S (Best, 2007) but there is no indication that it inhabits tropical waters farther north, much less transgresses the equator into the northern hemisphere.

Increasing evidence shows that *M. novaeangliae* is not uncommon in tropical waters of the north-east Atlantic south-east of the Cape Verde Archipelago (CV). Slijper *et al.* (1964; chart 4) reported ten *M. novaeangliae* groups within a large area with perimeter 0°–10°N and 10°–20°W, including Guinean waters, during February (1), March (1), April (2), September (5) and October (1), i.e. six or twice as many sightings during the southern hemisphere breeding season versus the three with northern hemisphere seasonality. The three records from Guinea documented here also show a southern hemisphere seasonality (July, September and October) in contrast with the spatially nearest stock, a northern hemisphere one, which breeds and overwinters in the CV from September until April (Lagendijk, 1984; Reiner *et al.*, 1996; Wenzel *et al.*, 2009). As CV whales annually arrive from the northern Atlantic at the earliest in September, it is highly implausible that some would by-pass the archipelago to arrive in Guinea, almost 1000 km to the south-east, in July. We hypothesize that the Guinean humpback whales documented here and the six southern hemisphere-consistent sightings from Slijper *et al.* (1964) indeed originate from the southern hemisphere. We suggest they comprise the north-western range of the population known to breed/overwinter on the shelf of the Bight of Benin, northern Gulf of Guinea, from Nigeria to eastern Côte d’Ivoire, from August until early December (Van Waerebeek *et al.*, 2001, 2007, 2009; Van Waerebeek, 2003b). We predict *M. novaeangliae* to seasonally occur also off Sierra Leone and Liberia. The northern Gulf of Guinea or Bight of Benin breeding population as discovered and named by Van Waerebeek *et al.* (2001, 2007, 2009) is, jointly with Gabon, Congo and Cabinda (Angola) referred to by the IWC as the B1 substock of Breeding Stock B in the south-east Atlantic Ocean (IWC, 2006). The three sightings with a northern hemisphere seasonality reported by Slijper *et al.* (1964) could belong to the CV population. The wide area offshore Guinea could harbour, out-of-phase, both northern hemisphere and southern hemisphere stocks, reminiscent of the hemispheric overlap off Pacific Costa Rica (Acededo & Smultea, 1995).

The mutilated *M. novaeangliae* carcass that washed ashore near Conakry was thought to have been struck by a ship, anteor post-mortem. Theoretically it could have been transported some distance on the bow bulb of a vessel, however, unlike other Balaenopteridae, only 4% of *M. novaeangliae* (*N* = 143) killed by vessels world-wide became, or remained, draped over ships’ bows (Van Waerebeek & Leaper, 2008), due perhaps to its anteriad more round shape and long flippers generating greater turbulence, causing the carcass to dislodge. Although rarely recognized, ship collisions with cetaceans occur far more frequently in African coastal waters than acknowledged and in some areas may constitute a major conservation problem (Félix & Van Waerebeek, 2005; Van Waerebeek *et al.*, 2007).

Over the past decades Guinean fisheries developed rapidly and in 1995 some 75,300 MT of fish products were landed, 69% artisanally with some 2300 canoes (Chavance *et al.*, 1998; I. Diallo *et al.*, 2004). In view of intense fishing effort and only a handful of documented by-catch cases, it is doubtful that these are representative for cetacean mortality levels. Lack of trained observers, limited port surveillance and few incentives to report illegal landings may be blamed. While there is no evidence for substantial dolphin landings, of the kind seen in Ghana for instance (Debrah, 2000; Ofori-Danson *et al.*, 2003; Van Waerebeek *et al.*, 2009), monitoring should intensify. Fin-fish, mollusc and other marine products may still largely satisfy local demand. Article 34 of Guinea’s Fisheries Code (Code de la Pêche) clearly states: “La chasse et la capture de toutes espèces de mammifères marins sont interdits en tous temps et en tous lieux.”
et al., 2009), if a strong demand for cetacean products persists or develops, mere regulations do not offer sufficient deterrence. Guinea has ratified and is an active party to most international conventions relevant to cetacean conservation and management, primarily CMS, IWC and CITES, but also Ramsar, the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) and the CMS Memorandum of Understanding concerning the conservation of the manatee and small cetaceans of western Africa and Macaronesia.

In order to pool scarce resources, a national reference collection of aquatic mammal specimens and a national database is proposed in Conakry’s Centre de Recherches Océanographiques de Rogbané (CERESCOR). It can provide ample office and laboratory space and it already curates a collection of marine biological specimens. Cetacean strandings offer good opportunities to collect valuable biological information and voucher specimens in an economic way. Unlike in Senegal, Mauritania and Cape Verde, no mass strandings are known for Guinea but events may have been overlooked.
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