By-catch in a tropical shrimp fishery: are TEDs and BRDs effective in excluding elasmobranchs?

Tomas Willems[1,2], Kris Hostens[1] & Magda Vincx[2]

[1]Institute for Agricultural and Fisheries Research - Biological Environmental Research
[2]Ghent University, Department of Biology, Marine Biology Section

INTRODUCTION

TROPICAL SHRIMP FISHERIES are highly wasteful. Using fine-meshed demersal trawl nets, they generate a third of the world's fisheries by-catch, mostly thrown back overboard dead or dying. Technical gear adaptations are often applied to tackle this problem. A **TED** (Turtle Excluder Device) excludes large organisms, mainly seaturtles, from the net while a **BRD** (Bycatch Reduction Device) reduces by-catch of small fish. **ELASMOBRANCHS** (Chondrichthyes) are cartilaginous fish including rays and sharks. In general, their reproductive biology makes them highly vulnerable to overfishing: many species occuring on tropical shrimp fishing grounds are **IUCN red listed**. A **CASE STUDY** on trawling for Atlantic seabob-shrimp *Xiphopenaeus kroyeri* in Suriname is presented here. We assessed the effectiveness of existing gear adaptations (TED and BRD) in reducing elasmobranch by-catch.


BRD (Square-mesh window)
Trawlnet opening
TED with escape opening

Trawl codend with gear modifications: TED and BRD

By-catch of rays

DATA COLLECTION

- Commercial shrimp trawler
- Offshore Suriname
- 34 comparative hauls of 1 h
- February – July 2012
- All elasmobranchs identified and measured

NO TED
NO BRD

TED
BRD

MAJOR FINDINGS

8 SPECIES of rays and sharks occur in by-catch. Overall, TED and BRD cause a **40%-REDUCTION** in elasmobranch by-catch. This reduction is mainly caused by the exclusion of **3 LARGE RAY SPECIES** which are commonly caught (83% of by-catch). Hence, by-catch is the result of the **TED** and **NOT BRD**, through which only small-bodied species could possibly escape. **ESCAPE THROUGH THE TED** is *highest* for *D. geijskesi* and *lowest* for *G. micrura*. Escape through the TED is size-dependent.

Species	By-catch with TED and BRD
All species	**- 40.0 %** *
Dasyatis guttata	**- 51.5 %** *
Dasyatis geijskesi	**- 69.7 %** *
Gymnura micrura	**- 38.6 %** *

* Exact binomial test, p<0.001

COMPOSITION OF ELASMOBRANCH BY-CATCH

Rhinoptera bonasus 1%
Rhinobatos percellens 1%
Narcine brasiliensis 1%
Dasyatis geijskesi 4%
Urotrygon microphthalmum 7%
Mustelus higmani 7%
Gymnura micrura 52%
Dasyatis guttata 27%

Longnose stingray

Sharpsnout stingray

Smooth butterfly ray

Dasyatis guttata

54% escapes through TED

Dasyatis geijskesi

75% escapes through TED

Gymnura micrura

38% escapes through TED

Estimated exlusion rate
Body width (cm)
Av.

Exclusion rates at different sizes for three ray species : output of logistic regression model on body width measurements. X's on x-axis indicate individual measurements (n = 548, 86 and 1033 respectively, Av. = average body width)

CONCLUSIONS

A TED prevents **LARGE-BODIED RAYS** from being captured. It is least effective, however, for the most abundant ray in by-catch, *G. micrura*.

SURVIVAL AFTER ESCAPE through TED remains completely unknown.

TEDs nor BRDs exclude **SMALL-BODIED ELASMOBRANCHS**. Development of new devices reducing by-catch of small and juvenile elasmobranchs is desirable, since they make up a major part of the total by-catch in the Suriname seabob trawl fishery.

Marine Biology Ghent University

VLIR UOS — Vlaamse Interuniversitaire Raad, University Development Cooperation

Universiteit van Suriname

Heiploeg Suriname

Pictures ©Tomas Willems/ILVO