Trophic interactions between ciliates and nematodes from an intertidal flat

Ilse Hamels1*, Tom Moens2, Koenraad Muylaert1, Wim Vyverman1

1Section of Protistology and Aquatic Ecology, and 2Marine Biology Laboratory, Department of Biology, University of Gent, K.L. Ledeganckstraat 35, 9000 Gent, Belgium

ABSTRACT: The present study investigated the possibility of a trophic link between ciliates and nematodes in fine sandy sediments of the Molenplaat intertidal flat (Schelde estuary, SW Netherlands). Grazing experiments were conducted under controlled laboratory conditions, with ciliate species isolated from enrichment cultures and nematodes collected directly from the field. Significant reductions in ciliate numbers were found in the presence of the predatory nematode Enoploides longispiculosus, a prominent species (and genus) in fine to medium sandy sediments of the North Sea and adjacent estuaries. No such effects were found when ciliates were inoculated with a mix of mainly deposit-feeding nematodes from the same sampling site. On the basis of these results, ciliate predation by E. longispiculosus was tested for several benthic ciliate species and abundances, at a range of predator abundances and temperatures, and in the presence of alternative prey (in casu nematodes). E. longispiculosus significantly reduced the densities of 5 out of 6 ciliate species offered as prey. Depending on the experimental conditions and the prey species, predation rates ranged from 0.19 to 10.8 ciliates predator⁻¹ h⁻¹, corresponding to a biomass consumption of 0.001 to 0.33 µg C predator⁻¹ d⁻¹. An overall positive relation between available ciliate biomass and predation rate was found. Comparison of experimental data with field conditions suggests that a considerable part of the ciliate production in fine sandy sediments of the Molenplaat is likely to be consumed by E. longispiculosus, which largely dominates meiofaunal biomass there. Estimated carbon requirements for the predator and production estimates of ciliate and nematode prey at the study site strongly suggest that ciliates are probably a far more important carbon source for E. longispiculosus than nematode prey, at least between late spring and autumn. This implies that carbon transfer from primary producers and bacteria to predatory nematodes may to a large extent be mediated through the microbial food web. In view of the generally high densities and biomasses of ciliates as well as predacious nematodes in fine sandy sediments, similar patterns are to be expected in many other estuarine and marine sediments.
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INTRODUCTION

In pelagic ecosystems, the microbial food web has been found to form a loop to the classical algae-zooplankton-fish food chain (Azam et al. 1983, Sherr & Sherr 1994). Bacteria growing on algal exudates are consumed by flagellates, which in turn are food for the microzooplankton, mainly ciliates and rotifers. Consumption of flagellates, ciliates and other microzooplankton by mesozooplankton (e.g., copepods and cladocerans) forms a link to the traditional food chain (e.g., Sanders & Porter 1990, Stoecker & Capuzzo 1990, Arndt 1993, Levinsen et al. 2000). In contrast to pelagic systems, this microbial food web concept has been much less studied in aquatic sediments. Benthic protozoa, especially flagellates and ciliates, are often highly abundant (e.g., Gasol 1993, Hamels et al. 1998, Dietrich & Arndt 2000), and have been shown to consume various food sources, including bacteria, algae and dissolved organic matter (Fenchel 1968, Sanders 1991).
Remarkably little is known, however, about links between benthic protozoa and higher trophic levels. Yet this sort of information is related to arguments about whether protozoa are sinks or links for carbon in benthic environments, and to the discussion about the relative strengths of top-down and bottom-up controls on heterotrophic protozoan biomass. One obvious reason why predation of flagellates and ciliates by metazoans has hitherto been largely ignored is that their soft bodies are seldom recognizable in the gut contents of potential consumers, while direct observations of trophic interactions in sediments are problematic.

Most previous experimental studies addressing interactions between benthic proto- and metazoans have used designs in which the effect of an increase or reduction in meio- or macrobenthic abundances on protozoan density or community composition was studied (Epstein & Gallagher 1992, Walters & Moriarty 1993, Bott & Borchardt 1999, Wickham et al. 2000). The observed effects reflected positive as well as negative interactions, but the experimental designs did not allow confirmation of which (trophic) interactions caused the changes in protist density or community composition. Although one should be careful in extrapolating results from controlled laboratory experiments to the field situation, this type of study allows the evaluation of the role of single trophic interactions. Predation of ciliates by marine (epi)benthic harpacticoid copepods has been shown in laboratory experiments (Rieper & Flotow 1981, Rieper 1985), with predation rates on ciliates of the genus *Uronema* amounting to a maximum of 192 ciliates copepod$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$. These experiments were, however, performed in water, and it is unclear to what extent the observed rates can be translated to a benthic environment.

In marine sediments, ciliates typically have a maximum occurrence in fine sands (Fenchel 1969), and high ciliate abundances and biomasses have been found in several marine and estuarine fine sandy sediments with low silt content (Fenchel 1967, Al-Rasheid & Sleigh 1995, Epstein 1997, Wickham et al. 2000). In the Schelde estuary (SW Netherlands), ciliate abundances ranging from $1.6 \times 10^3$ to $5.6 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-2}$, corresponding to a biomass of 2.4 to 12.6 $\mu$g C cm$^{-2}$, were found in fine sandy sediments of an intertidal flat (Hamels et al. 1998, Hamels pers. obs.). In nearly all estuarine and marine sediments, nematodes are numerically the dominant metazoans. This also holds true for intertidal sediments of the Schelde estuary, where they constitute on average over 90% of total meiofaunal densities (Soetaert et al. 1994). Although both ciliates and nematodes are numerous in marine fine sandy sediments, and although some nematode species were shown to be capable of ingesting ciliates (von Thun 1968, Bouwman et al. 1984, Moens & Vincx 1997, E. Olafsson pers. comm.), quantitative data concerning nematode predation on ciliates are lacking.

The present study addressed the following question: Can nematode predation on ciliates constitute a significant trophic link in fine sandy estuarine tidal flat sediments? That is, are nematodes capable of regulating ciliate biomass in intertidal sediments or may ciliates contribute significantly to the nematode diet? Feeding experiments were performed under controlled laboratory conditions, with ciliate cultures and nematodes originating from the Molenplaat, an intertidal flat in the Schelde estuary.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Prey and predatory organisms.** The organisms used in our experiments originated from the Molenplaat, an intertidal flat in the polyhaline reaches of the Schelde estuary (SW Netherlands). This tidal flat has been intensively studied in terms of ecological, biogeochemical and physical processes in the framework of the ECOFLAT (Eco-metabolism of an estuarine tidal flat) project. Major emphasis was on 2 study sites with contrasting sediment characteristics (see Middelburg et al. 2000 and Herman et al. 2000 for a description of these sites, S2 and S4). For our experiments, sediment was collected at a fine sandy site (S4 in the aforementioned studies), where ciliates are very abundant (Hamels et al. 1998). Ciliate species were cultured in the laboratory, whereas nematodes were collected from the field before each experiment.

Six ciliate species were used in our experiments (Table 1): the hypotrichs *Aspidisca* sp., *Euplotes bisulcatus* Kahl and *Euplotes mutabilis* Tuffrau, 2 scuticociliates ranging from $1.6 \times 10^3$ to $5.6 \times 10^3$ cm$^{-2}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ciliates</th>
<th>Length (µm)</th>
<th>Width (µm)</th>
<th>Biovolume/ biomass (µm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspidisca</em> sp.</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euplotes bisulcatus</em></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euplotes mutabilis</em></td>
<td>98</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>85000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyclidium</em> sp. 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyclidium</em> sp. 2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chlamydodon triquetrus</em></td>
<td>108</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>80000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nematodes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nematodes</th>
<th>Length (µg C ind.$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Monhystera</em> sp.</td>
<td>1030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enoploides longispiculosus</em></td>
<td>2300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Identified after protargol-staining
ciliates from the genus *Cyclidium* (sp. 1 and 2) and *Chlamydodon triquetrus* (Müller) Dragesco from the order Cyrtophorida. Ciliates were isolated from Molenplaat sediments (after enrichment by the addition of rice grains or diatoms to sediment suspensions; Caron 1993) and grown monospecifically (unless stated otherwise) in Petri dishes containing filtered (Whatman GF/C) habitat water, adjusted to a salinity of 18 with Milli-Q water. Not all these ciliate species are very common on the Molenplaat; rather, they are the most easily cultivated species. *C. triquetrus* is a strictly herbivorous species (Fenchel 1968), which can be temporarily very abundant on the Molenplaat (up to ~500 cells ml⁻¹). The other species were cultured with bacteria as a food source, even though the *Euplotes* spp. are also capable of feeding on small diatom species (authors’ pers. obs.). In contrast to *Euplotes*, the genera *Cyclidium* and *Aspidisca* are very common on the Molenplaat (up to ~1100 and 700 cells ml⁻¹, respectively). *Cyclidium* species swim freely in the interstitial water, while the other species are dorsoventrally flattened and usually creep along the surface of sediment particles. Stock cultures were stored at 10°C under a 12 h light:12 h dark cycle. Incubations were stopped at Time 0. Control tubes received no nematodes and allowed the transfer of all predators to the tubes at Time 0. Predatory nematodes (30 per experimental unit, unless stated otherwise) were manually transferred to filtered habitat water and stored overnight at 4°C before the experiments. This procedure strongly reduced the risk of cotransferring ciliates with the nematodes and allowed the transfer of all predators to a single experimental unit within 10 min. At the same time starvation was minimal.

Prey and predator abundances used in our experiments are within the range of abundances of ciliates and nematodes in the field.

**General experimental conditions and statistical evaluation.** Sediment (median grain size 168 µm) from the sampling site was used as a substratum in our experiments. It was washed with running tap water over a 53 µm sieve and oven sterilized at 170°C for 4 h. One gram aliquots of dry sediment were transferred to 2 ml screw-capped test tubes and rehydrated by the addition of 600 µl of ciliate suspension. This resulted in approximately 1 ml of wet sediment. The tubes were then acclimated in an incubator to the experimental temperature (16°C unless stated otherwise) for at least 2 h. Preatory nematodes (30 per experimental unit, unless stated otherwise) were manually transferred to the tubes at Time 0. Control tubes received no nematodes. Grazing and control tubes were incubated horizontally for 24 h in the dark. Incubations were stopped...
by the addition of 1 ml of ice cold glutaraldehyde to a final concentration of 2%. For each prey species or abundance (see Table 2), 3 or 4 extra replicate tubes were preserved at Time 0 to determine exact initial ciliate densities. Just before cell counts, Rose Bengal was added to stain the ciliates. The samples were homogenized and a 1 ml subsample was withdrawn just after settling of the sand particles. Ciliates were counted under a light microscope at 100x magnification in at least 350 µl of this supernatant using a Sedgwick-Rafter cell. In each experiment, we used 3 or 4 replicate grazing tubes for each treatment (see below and in Table 2) and 3 or 4 control incubations. All samples were analyzed within 1 wk after termination of the experiment.

Predation rates were calculated according to Frost (1972), using the following equations:

\[ I = \frac{g \times C}{P} \]  

(1)

\[ g = \ln\left(\frac{C_2}{C_2^*}\right) \times t^{-1} \]  

(2)

\[ C = \frac{C_2^* - C_1}{\ln C_2^* - \ln C_1} \]  

(3)

where \( I \) is predation rate (ciliates predator\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)), \( g \) is grazing coefficient (h\(^{-1}\)), \( t \) is incubation period (= 24 h), \( C \) is mean ciliate abundance during the incubation (assuming exponential increase or decrease of abundances during the incubation period), \( P \) is predator abundance (ind. ml\(^{-1}\)), \( C_1 \) is initial ciliate abundance, and \( C_2 \) and \( C_2^* \) are ciliate abundance in control and grazing tubes, respectively, at the end of the incubation period. All ciliate abundances are expressed as number of cells ml\(^{-1}\). Replicate initial and control abundance values were averaged for the calculations and predator mortality was assumed to be insignificant. Predation rates were calculated only when the difference in ciliate abundance between grazing and control tubes proved significant (t-test). Between-treatment differences in predation rates were tested using 1-way ANOVA. The Student-Newman-Keuls multiple comparisons test was used for post hoc pairwise comparisons. Statistical analyses were performed with STATISTICA 5.1 for Windows (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). Data were log(x + 1) transformed where necessary to meet the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances.

**Feeding experiments.** Our first experiment tested whether nematodes from the sampling site were capable of reducing ciliate numbers at all. To this end, a mixture of 2 ciliate species, *Euplotes bisulcatus* and *Cyclidium sp.* 1, was incubated with *Enoploides longispiculosus* or with a mix of other nematodes, most of which were deposit feeders (Moens & Vincx 1997), as candidate predators. Predator density was 35 or 45 nematodes per grazing tube, respectively (Table 2). Prey preference was analyzed using Chesson’s \( \alpha \) selectivity index (Chesson 1983). The null hypothesis for no preference (i.e., \( \alpha_3 = \alpha_2 = 0.5 \)) was tested by calculating a \( t \)-statistic (Chesson 1983).

In the first experiment we found no grazing of the mix of (mainly deposit-feeding) nematodes on ciliates. Therefore, all subsequent experiments used *Enoploides longispiculosus* as the predator. In a second experiment, the susceptibility of 5 ciliate species (*Cyclidium sp.* 1, *Cyclidium sp.* 2, *Euplotes mutabilis*, *Chlamydodon triquetrus* and *Aspidisca sp.*) to predation by *E. longispiculosus* was tested (Table 2). Two different densities of *Cyclidium sp.* 1, *Cyclidium sp.* 2 and *E. mutabilis* were used. The remaining 2 species were reared in a mixed culture yield-

---

**Table 2. Summary of the experiments and the experimental conditions. Predator abundance (number of ind. ml\(^{-1}\)) is given in brackets. Prey species with the same superscripted letter were simultaneously offered to the predators.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predator species (ind. ml(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Prey species</th>
<th>Mean initial prey abundance(s) (ind. ml(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expt 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoploides (35)</td>
<td><em>Euplotes bisulcatus</em>(^a)</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cyclidium sp.</em> 1(^a)</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematode mixture (45)</td>
<td><em>Euplotes bisulcatus</em>(^b)</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cyclidium sp.</em> 1(^b)</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expt 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoploides (30)</td>
<td><em>Cyclidium sp.</em> 1</td>
<td>168–962</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Cyclidium sp.</em> 2</td>
<td>462–2024</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Euplotes mutabilis</em></td>
<td>428–1745</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Aspidisca sp.</em> (^c)</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Chlamydodon triquetrus</em> (^c)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expt 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoploides (30)</td>
<td><em>Cyclidium sp.</em> 1</td>
<td>387–908–2426–5739</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expt 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoploides (30)</td>
<td><em>Euplotes mutabilis</em>(^d)</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Monhystera sp.</em> (^d)</td>
<td>0–10–30–60–100</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expt 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoploides (10–30–45–60)</td>
<td><em>Cyclidium sp.</em> 1</td>
<td>5435</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoploides (30)</td>
<td><em>Cyclidium sp.</em> 1</td>
<td>5435</td>
<td>10–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoploides (10–30–45–60)</td>
<td><em>Euplotes mutabilis</em></td>
<td>2758</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enoploides (30)</td>
<td><em>Euplotes mutabilis</em></td>
<td>2758</td>
<td>10–22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ing only low abundances. Hence, they were offered to *E. longispiculosus* as a 2-species mix at a single density.

The results of the second experiment suggested that predation rates depend on prey density. This effect was examined in more detail in a third experiment with *Cyclidium* sp. 1 as the prey species (Table 2). Initial ciliate abundances were 387 ± 125, 908 ± 168, 2426 ± 355 and 5739 ± 232 cells ml⁻¹. Ingestion rates were related to mean ciliate abundances within the incubation period, as estimated according to Eq. (3).

The main question in the fourth experiment was whether and how predation rates of *Enoploides longispiculosus* on ciliates would be affected by the presence of alternative prey, *in casu* nematodes. This is of particular relevance to the field situation, where alternative prey is always available. *Monhystera* sp., a bacterivorous nematode species heavily preyed upon by *E. longispiculosus* (Moens et al. 2000), was used as nematode prey. These were handpicked from monospecific cultures, originating from a saltmarsh in the polyhaline reach of the Schelde estuary close to the Molenplaat (see Moens & Vincx 1998 for details on culture conditions). *Euplotes mutabilis* was used as the prey ciliate. Initial ciliate abundance was constant (2330 ± 132 ml⁻¹), whereas 5 different prey nematode densities were used: 0, 10, 30, 60 and 100 nematodes per grazing tube (Table 2). Controls contained ciliate and nematode prey at the same densities as in the grazing tubes but did not contain the predator, *E. longispiculosus*. At the end of the incubation, ciliate numbers in control tubes without and with *Monhystera* sp. at different densities did not differ significantly (ANOVA, p = 0.16), suggesting no interaction between the prey organisms. Predation rates of *E. longispiculosus* on *Monhystera* sp. were also determined in this experiment. Part of the prey nematodes was counted from the subsamples withdrawn for ciliate counts. The remainder was extracted by decantation after sample homogenization in 1 ml of filtered habitat water. This procedure was repeated 6 times and nematodes were counted in the pooled supernatant using a dissecting microscope. Carbon ingestion was estimated using an individual carbon content of 0.047 µg C for *Monhystera* sp. (Moens et al. 2000, our Table 1). Prey preference was analyzed as described above. Chesson’s α selectivity index is unaffected by the relative abundance of food types, thus allowing meaningful comparisons between treatments (Lechowicz 1982).

A final set of experiments aimed at extending the relevance of the observed predation rates on ciliates to field conditions, where, among other factors, predator abundance and temperature are not constant. The separate effects of predator density and temperature on predation rates of *Enoploides longispiculosus* on *Cyclidium* sp. 1 and *Euplotes mutabilis* were tested (Table 2). Four predator densities were used: 10, 30, 45 or 60 per grazing tube. Grazing and control tubes were incubated at 16°C. Additional replicate grazing tubes with 30 predator nematodes and associated control tubes were incubated at 10 and 22°C. Predation rates at 10 and 22°C were then compared with rates obtained at 16°C with a predator density of 30 ind. ml⁻¹.

**RESULTS**

In the first experiment, the mix of (mainly deposit-feeding) nematodes did not significantly reduce *Euplotes bisulcatus* nor *Cyclidium* sp. 1 densities during the 24 h incubation period (data not shown). Predation of *Enoploides longispiculosus* on *E. bisulcatus* and *Cyclidium* sp. 1, on the other hand, was significant. Predation rates were 0.15 ± 0.07 and 0.21 ± 0.07 ciliates predator⁻¹ h⁻¹ for *E. bisulcatus* and *Cyclidium* sp. 1, respectively. Since *E. bisulcatus* and *Cyclidium* sp. 1 were offered as a mixture to *E. longispiculosus*, a predator preference could be analyzed. *E. longispiculosus* preferred *Cyclidium* to *Euplotes*, as more *Cyclidium* was captured than expected based on the relative prey proportions offered. This is also reflected by the value of Chesson’s selectivity index α for *Cyclidium* (0.74 ± 0.11), which significantly exceeded 0.5 (t-tests of Chesson’s index versus 0.5, df = 3, p < 0.05).

Susceptibility of different ciliate species to predation by *Enoploides longispiculosus* was evaluated in the second experiment (Table 3). For *Cyclidium* sp. 1, a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ciliate species</th>
<th>Initial abund. (cells ml⁻¹)</th>
<th>Predation rate Cells predator⁻¹ h⁻¹ µg C predator⁻¹ h⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyclidium</em> sp. 1</td>
<td>168 ± 29</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>962 ± 213</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cyclidium</em> sp. 2</td>
<td>462 ± 36</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2024 ± 83</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Euplotes mutabilis</em></td>
<td>428 ± 65</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1745 ± 188</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Aspidisca</em> sp.</td>
<td>251 ± 18</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Chlamydomon triquetus</em></td>
<td>184 ± 115</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
significant predator effect was only found for the lower density tested (predation rate: 0.19 ± 0.01 ciliates predator⁻¹ h⁻¹). At the higher Cyclidium sp. 1 density, the average ciliate abundance in grazing tubes was considerably lower than in control tubes (1137 vs 1723 ml⁻¹), but variability between replicates was high, giving rise to a non-significant difference between the means. Cyclidium sp. 2, the smallest of the ciliate species tested, was not grazed upon by E. longispiculosus at either of the densities used. Euplotes mutabilis numbers were significantly reduced by E. longispiculosus at high as well as low densities. The predation rate increased from 0.22 ± 0.03 to 1.08 ± 0.11 ciliates predator⁻¹ h⁻¹, for a 4-fold increase in initial ciliate abundance (on average 428 and 1745 ciliates ml⁻¹, respectively). Densities of Aspidisca sp. and Chlamydododon triquetrus, offered as a mixture, were significantly reduced by E. longispiculosus during the incubation period. Predation rates were 0.18 ± 0.02 ciliates ml⁻¹ for Aspidisca sp. and 0.20 ± 0.02 ciliates ml⁻¹ for C. triquetrus. No preference for either of the ciliates was observed in this case. Carbon ingestion ranged from 0.001 to 0.221 µg C predator⁻¹ d⁻¹ and was lowest for Cyclidium sp. 1 and highest for E. mutabilis as prey species.

In the third experiment, a positive relation between predation rates of Enoploides longispiculosus and the density of its prey (Cyclidium sp. 1) was found (Fig. 1), but there was no saturation of the predation rate over the range of prey densities tested. Predation rates increased from 0.41 ± 0.14 to 4.1 ± 1.5 ciliates predator⁻¹ h⁻¹ over a range of 759 ± 79 to 5138 ± 677 ciliates ml⁻¹. Corresponding carbon uptake rates were 0.003 to 0.027 µg C predator⁻¹ d⁻¹.

In a fourth experiment, the effect of the presence of alternative food (the bacterivorous nematode Monhystera sp.) on predation rates of Enoploides longispiculosus on ciliate prey (Euplotes mutabilis) was considered. E. mutabilis numbers were significantly reduced by E. longispiculosus in the absence as well as in the presence of nematode prey (all p < 0.01). In the absence of nematode prey, the predation rate was 1.6 ± 0.2 (Fig. 2A). The same predation rate was found with 10 prey nematodes ml⁻¹. In the presence of 30, 60 or 100 Monhystera sp. ml⁻¹, predation rates were lower (1 to 1.3 ciliates predator⁻¹ h⁻¹) than in the absence of prey nematodes, although this difference was not always significant (p < 0.05 for 30 or 100 prey nematodes ml⁻¹, p > 0.05 for 60 prey nematodes ml⁻¹). The predation rate of E. longispiculosus on the nematode Monhystera sp. increased significantly from 0.28 ± 0.02 nematodes predator⁻¹ 24 h⁻¹ at an initial prey nematode density of 10 ind. ml⁻¹, to 2.26 ± 0.54 nematodes predator⁻¹ 24 h⁻¹ at an initial prey nematode density of 100 ind. ml⁻¹ (all p < 0.05; Fig. 2A). Total biomass consumption (ciliate plus nematode prey) ranged from 0.25 ± 0.03 to 0.34 ± 0.05 µg C predator⁻¹ d⁻¹ and was not significantly affected by nematode prey density (p = 0.21; Fig. 2B).
Hamels et al.: Ciliate-nematode interactions

for nematode over ciliate prey: $\alpha$ for Monhystera sp. always significantly exceeded 0.5 (t-tests of Chesson’s index versus 0.5, df = 2, all p < 0.02; Fig. 3). This selectivity was independent of the relative proportion of prey types in the predator’s ration.

In a final set of experiments the separate effects of predator density and temperature on predation rates of Enoploides longispiculosus were tested with Cyclidium sp. 1 and Euplotes mutabilis as prey. Cyclidium sp. 1 was significantly reduced at all predator densities. Individual predation rates decreased significantly from 10.8 ± 0.8 to 2.7 ± 0.4 ciliates predator$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$ with an increase in predator abundance from 10 to 60 ind. ml$^{-1}$ (all p < 0.05; Fig. 4A). Total consumption of ciliate prey was highest at 45 predators ml$^{-1}$, but pairwise differences for 30, 45 or 60 predators ml$^{-1}$ were not significant (p > 0.05; Fig. 4B). Ciliate consumption by 10 predators ml$^{-1}$ was significantly lower than that by higher predator densities. Ten predators ml$^{-1}$ did not significantly reduce numbers of E. mutabilis, but all higher predator densities did. Predation rates on E. mutabilis were not significantly affected by an increase in predator density from 30 ml$^{-1}$ to 45 and 60 ml$^{-1}$ (p = 0.18; Fig. 4A), although the predation rate for 30 predators ml$^{-1}$ was higher (1.1 ciliates predator$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$) than for higher predator densities (0.7 ciliates predator$^{-1}$ h$^{-1}$). No significant differences (p > 0.1) were detectable between the total ciliate consumption by 30, 45 or 60 predators (Fig. 4B).

The effect of temperature on predation rates was most pronounced with Cyclidium sp. 1 as a prey species. Densities of this species were not significantly reduced by Enoploides longispiculosus at 10°C, but they were at 16 and 22°C. At 22°C, the mean predation rate was 25% higher than at 16°C, but this difference was not significant (p = 0.26; Fig. 5). Euplotes mutabilis numbers were significantly reduced at all temperatures tested, but temperature differences did not result in significantly different predation rates (p = 0.35; Fig. 5). On average, predation rates on E. mutabilis were slightly higher at 16°C than at 10 and 22°C.

Fig. 3. Chesson’s coefficient of selectivity of Enoploides longispiculosus for a ciliate (Euplotes mutabilis) and a nematode (Monhystera sp.) prey species (±1 SD, n = 3) for different Monhystera sp. abundances. E. mutabilis abundance was constant. The dashed line represents neutral selection.

Fig. 4. (A) Predation rates of Enoploides longispiculosus on Cyclidium sp. 1 and Euplotes mutabilis (mean ± 1 SD, n = 3), and (B) total consumption of all predators for both prey species at different E. longispiculosus abundances.

Fig. 5. Predation rates of Enoploides longispiculosus on Cyclidium sp. 1 and Euplotes mutabilis (mean ± 1 SD, n = 3) at different temperatures.
DISCUSSION

Our results show that the predatory nematode *Enoploides longispiculosus* significantly reduced densities of 5 out of 6 ciliate species offered as prey. These ciliates belonged to different taxonomic groups, were of different sizes, and included swimming as well as creeping, and bacterivorous as well as a strictly herbivorous species. Since *E. longispiculosus*, a voracious predator of several small metazoans (Moens & Vincx 1997, Moens et al. 1999, 2000), probably does not feed on bacteria nor diatoms (Moens et al. 1999), resource competition between nematodes and ciliates is a highly improbable explanation for the observed decline in ciliate numbers. Furthermore, we regularly observed fragments of *Euplotes* cells in grazing tubes (never found in controls without predators), indicating capture and incomplete uptake of these large ciliates by *E. longispiculosus*. In combination with the design of our experiments, this leaves little doubt that the observed reductions in ciliate densities resulted from direct predation by *E. longispiculosus* on ciliates. The mix of (mainly deposit-feeding) nematodes used in our first experiment and the bacterivorous nematode *Monhystera* sp. offered as alternative prey to *E. longispiculosus* did not prey on the ciliate species used in our experiments. In contrast to *E. longispiculosus*, which has a buccal cavity armed with teeth and mandibles used to tear apart variably sized prey items, these nematodes have an unarmed mouth and are probably incapable of ingesting food particles exceeding the size of their widened buccal cavity (Moens & Vincx 1997).

To our knowledge, our experiments provide the first quantitative data on predation of benthic ciliates by nematodes. Anecdotal observations of a few nematode species ingesting ciliates in cultures have been reported (von Thun 1968, Bouwman et al. 1984, Moens & Vincx 1997, E. Olafsson pers. comm.), but predation rates were not determined. Walters & Moriarty (1993) found indirect evidence for consumption of marine benthic ciliates and flagellates by a meiofaunal community dominated by nematodes and copepods. Epstein & Gallager (1992) showed variable effects of an increase in nematode numbers on different ciliate species from a sandflat, with densities of only 2 out of 7 ciliate species examined being negatively affected. However, there was no unequivocal evidence that direct predation by nematodes was involved in these cases.

The ‘standard’ conditions used in our experiments (i.e., 30 *Enoploides longispiculosus* ind. ml–1, 16°C) reflect late spring to early autumn field conditions at our sampling station on the Molenplaat. The temperature of the Schelde water near the Molenplaat is generally 16°C or more between May and October. During this part of the year, *E. longispiculosus* was found in densities of about 15 to 30 ind. ml–1 in the upper 1.5 to 2 cm of the sediment at this site (Steyaert et al. in press) and dominates the nematode community; this dominance was confirmed at each of our sampling dates. Our experiments give only a limited insight into the role of temperature and predator abundance. For both *Cyclidium* sp. 1 and *Euplotes mutabilis*, predation rates of *E. longispiculosus* at 22°C did not differ significantly from those at 16°C. Whereas total ciliate consumption at low predator abundance (10 ml–1) was lower than with 30 predators ml–1, no significant differences between total consumption by 30, 45 or 60 predators ml–1 were detectable. Temperature in the field rises above 16°C in late spring to autumn; predator densities are probably quite variable due to patchiness (Arlt 1973). Even so, our experiments suggest a limited influence of such temperature and predator density fluctuations. Hence, predation rates from our experiments under standard conditions may well be used to estimate the potential impact of nematode predation on ciliates at the study site.

In our functional response experiment, predation rates of *Enoploides longispiculosus* increased with increasing ciliate (*Cyclidium* sp. 1) density and hence biomass, but there was no saturation within the range of prey abundances used. Although the highest *Cyclidium* sp. 1 density used in this experiment was higher than field densities of ciliates, ciliate biomasses, viz. 0.1 to 1.6 µg C ml–1, were lower than biomasses found at our sampling site (2 to 3.5 µg C ml–1 in the upper 2 cm in late spring to autumn) due to the relatively small size of *Cyclidium* sp. 1. The range of ciliate biomasses used in all our experiments conducted under standard conditions, including those with the large *Euplotes mutabilis* as prey, encompassed a broader range of ciliate biomasses, up to biomasses exceeding those encountered at the Molenplaat. These data show an increase in predation rates up to a biomass of 20 µg C ml–1 (Fig. 6), without a clear sign of saturation up to a ciliate biomass of at least 4 µg C ml–1. On the basis of these results and field biomasses for ciliates (see above), a predation rate of about 0.04 µg C predator–1 d–1 can be expected in the field (Fig. 6). Twenty predators ml–1 would then consume about 0.8 µg ciliate C ml–1 d–1. The impact of this predation on the ciliate community can only be assessed after comparison with ciliate production rates. Maximum growth rates (µmax) for ciliates can be estimated using a multiple regression equation based on extensive laboratory data (Müller & Geller 1993), which relates temperature and species size to growth rate. Maximum production of a mixed assemblage of ciliates can then be estimated by addition of the products of growth rate
ties, for instance, (e.g., because of food limitation). In pelagic communities, the field are probably lower than the estimated rates. This is a conservative estimate, since growth rates in amount to about 18 to 40% of daily ciliate production. Given the above-mentioned estimated consumption of ciliate carbon in the field, nematode grazing would amount to about 18 to 40% of daily ciliate production. This is a conservative estimate, since growth rates in the field are probably lower than the estimated rates (e.g., because of food limitation). In pelagic communities, for instance, in situ ciliate growth rates were found to be 2 to 5 times lower than the estimated maxima (Taylor & Johannsson 1991, Leakey et al. 1994, Macek et al. 1996). Nematode grazing may thus be an important, if not the major, fate of ciliate production in intertidal sediments at our sampling site on the Molenplaat.

Apart from regulating ciliate biomass, nematode predation may also affect ciliate community composition. Only 1 out of 6 ciliate species used in our experiments was not consumed by Enoploides longispiculosus, namely Cyclidium sp. 2, the smallest ciliate species in our experiments. When a mix of Euplotes bisulcatus and Cyclidium sp. 1 was offered as prey in our experiments, however, E. longispiculosus significantly selected for the smaller Cyclidium sp. 1. Particle size is often an important factor determining food selection, and observations indicate that next to an upper size limit, there may also be a lower size limit for particle selection in nematodes (Cheng et al. 1979). In addition to size, the swimming behavior of ciliate prey may also influence its susceptibility to predation by metazoans (Jack & Gilbert 1993, Rabette et al. 1998). In the field, many more species co-occur and shifts in species composition due to differences in predation rates are likely to occur due to selective predation on certain species. This is in agreement with the results of Epstein & Gallagher (1992), who found changes in relative species abundances in response to an increase in nematode density.

In the field, ciliates are not the only prey available to predacious nematodes. Higher predation rates on other prey types, when both are available, could have a profound effect on the impact of nematode predation on ciliates. Nematodes of the genus Enoploides have been shown to forage on other nematodes as well as on oligochaetes and probably other small metazoans as well (Moens & Vincx 1997, Moens et al. 1999). At the Molenplaat, as in most estuarine intertidal sediments, nematodes are the dominant meiofauna (99% in terms of abundance at the study site, M. Steyaert unpubl. data). In our experiments with both nematode (Monhystera sp.) and ciliate (Euplotes mutabilis) prey, predation rates on ciliates decreased only in the presence of at least 30 nematode prey ml⁻¹, and even then, the difference was small. Even so, a preference for nematode over ciliate prey was found. However, further research will have to elucidate whether this preference is of general relevance or merely holds for the specific prey species combination used here. Moreover, production rather than extant biomass probably determines the relative contribution of different prey types to the predator’s ration, and hence the impact on their respective populations. In the depth stratum where Enoploides longispiculosus occurs (upper 2 cm), the biomass of potential prey nematodes is about 5.2 µg C ml⁻¹ (compared with about 19 µg C ml⁻¹ for E. longispiculosus) at the study site (M. Steyaert unpubl. data). Assuming an annual production/biomass ratio of 9 (Gerlach 1971), prey nematode production in this stratum can be expected to be in the order of 45 µg C ml⁻¹ yr⁻¹ or about 0.13 µg C ml⁻¹ d⁻¹. This is several times lower than estimates of ciliate production at this site. This difference is expected given the small difference in standing stock between nematodes and ciliates and the generally much higher metabolic rate of protozoa. If we assume for E. longispiculosus (1) 1 to 3 generations annually (e.g., Wieser & Kanwisher 1960, Schütz 1966, Skoolmun & Gerlach 1971, Lorenzen 1974, Smol et al. 1980); (2) a life cycle turnover of 3, as for other nematodes (Gerlach 1971); (3) a production efficiency (P[roduction]/A[ssimilation], with A = P + R[espiration]) of 75% (mean value based on Heip et al. 1985 and references therein); and (4) an assimilation efficiency (A/C[onsumption]) ranging from 12 (Duncan et al. 1974) to 60% (Marchant & Nicholas 1974), then a predatory nematode with a mean individual biomass of 1.2 µg C (Table 1) has carbon requirements of at least 8 (for 1 generation annually and A/C = 60%) and at most 120 µg C yr⁻¹ (for 3 generations annually and A/C = 12%). This corresponds to a mean daily carbon uptake of 0.022 to 0.33 µg C predator⁻¹ d⁻¹, which...
is comparable with the carbon ingestion estimates derived from our experiments (0.001 to 0.33 µg C predator⁻¹ d⁻¹). This comes down to a carbon flux of 0.33 to 9.9 µg C m⁻³ d⁻¹ for the E. longispiculosus population at our sampling site at the extant summer density. Both upper and lower values are extremes (as are the experimentally determined ingestion rates), with a value of about 1.5 µg C m⁻³ d⁻¹ probably being a plausible average (assuming 2 generations annually and A/C = 40%). Even if we consider the prey nematode production/biomass ratio of 9 as a conservative estimate (Vranken et al. 1986), this strongly suggests that prey nematode populations at the study site on the Molenplaat provide insufficient carbon to sustain the extant predator population. Hence, at least in summer, ciliates are probably a far more important carbon source for E. longispiculosus than nematodes. This implies that carbon transfer from primary producers and bacteria to predatory nematodes may be mediated largely by the microbial food web. Comparison of these values with ciliate production also suggests that, even in the presence of alternative prey, a considerable part of ciliate production is probably consumed by E. longispiculosus in the field. The fate of predatory nematode carbon in Schelde sediments is at present not very clear. Li et al. (1996) modeled temporal fluctuations in nematode (whole community) densities at different sites in the estuary and concluded that they are regulated primarily by macrobenthic infauna. So far, there are no experimental studies supporting this claim. On the other hand, surface-dwelling meiofauna has long been shown to be important prey to epibenthic fish and crustaceans (see, among others, Gee 1989, Coull 1990). Harpacticoid copepods are generally considered to be more susceptible to predation by sediment-dwelling fauna, but this view may be partly biased because nematode remains are not easily recognizable in gut content analyses. The large (3.3 to 4.2 mm long, 76 to 145 µm wide) predacious Mesacanthion diplechma, a nematode showing clear preference for superficial sediment layers, was disproportionately abundant in sand goby guts in sediments of the Southern Bight of the North Sea and of the nearby Oosterschelde Estuary (Hamelryck & Vanreusel 1993). Whether such trophic relations also exist in the Schelde estuary and, if so, how important they are in terms of carbon fluxes from the microbial food web to higher trophic levels remains to be established.

Our experiments, as well as the situation at the sampling site on the Molenplaat, are, of course, a case study, the general importance of which remains to be established. However, densities of ciliates at our study site are generally comparable with those found in other marine and estuarine fine sandy sediments (Fenchel 1967, Al-Rasheid & Sleigh 1995, Epstein 1997, Wickham et al. 2000). Enoploides longispiculosus and other members of this genus occur in high densities (comparable with those found on the Molenplaat) in this type of sediments in the North Sea (Skoolmun & Gerlach 1971, Vincx et al. 1990), the Schelde estuary and some other European estuaries (Platt & Warwick 1983, Li & Vincx 1993, Soetaert et al. 1994, 1995). Since we know of no other reports of a relative dominance similar to that found in the upper 2 cm of the fine sandy sediment on the Molenplaat, the (nematode) prey limitation of E. longispiculosus at this site may be unusual.

However, Warwick (1971) found that nematodes in muddy sediments in the Exe estuary tended to be small and mainly deposit feeders, while species from sandy sediments tended to be predators or epigrowth feeders with long bodies. Hence, density and relative abundance of supposedly predatory nematodes are probably typically much higher in sandy than in silty sediments. Hence, in view of the generally high densities and biomasses of ciliates as well as of predatory nematodes in sandy sediments, nematode predation on ciliates probably constitutes a significant trophic link in many estuarine and marine sediments.
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