


**GROWTH AND MORTALITY OF 0-GROUP PLAICE
PLEURONECTES PLATESSA L. IN DUTCH COASTAL WATERS**

Dirk M. Mengedoht



Nederlands Instituut voor Onderzoek der Zee

Mariene Ecologie

© 1995

This report is not to be cited without the
acknowledgement of the source:

Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ)
P.O. Box 59, 1790 AB Den Burg, Texel
The Netherlands

ISSN 0923 - 3210

Cover design: H. Hobbelink

**GROWTH AND MORTALITY OF 0-GROUP PLAICE *PLEURONECTES PLATESSA* L.
IN DUTCH COASTAL WATERS**

Dirk M. Mengedoht

NETHERLANDS INSTITUTE FOR SEA RESEARCH
Mariene Ecologie

SAMENVATTING

De groei en sterfte van 0-groep schol (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) werd bestudeerd in het open kustgebied langs het eiland Texel van maart tot oktober 1992, en de resultaten zijn vergeleken met die van vergelijkbaar onderzoek in een belangrijk opgroeigebied, het Balgzand, een getijdegebied in de Waddenzee. De volgende hypothesen zijn getest: [I] De sterfte onder jonge schol is hoger in het open kustgebied dan in de Waddenzee door de aanwezigheid van grotere aantallen predatoren [2] De groei in het open kustgebied is lager dan in de Waddenzee door de aanwezigheid van sterkere interspecifieke competitie voor voedsel. Beide hypothesen werden verworpen. De sterfte in het kustgebied varieerde tussen 0.015 en 0.019 d⁻¹, vergelijkbaar met waarden gevonden op het Balgzand. Groei van 0-groep schol in het kustgebied is snel van 2.5 cm aan het einde van april tot 12.5 cm aan het einde van augustus. De waargenomen groei stemde overeen met de voorspellingen van een experimenteel bepaald model dat maximale groei in relatie tot water temperatuur beschrijft. Groei in het kustgebied lijkt alleen door de watertemperatuur bepaald te worden, en niet door andere factoren zoals voedselaanbod en competitie. De kustzone lijkt een belangrijk opgroeigebied voor jonge schol en van een zelfde kwaliteit als getijdeplaten in de Waddenzee, zoals het Balgzand te zijn.

SUMMARY

Growth and mortality of 0-group plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) was studied in the open coastal zone along the isle of Texel between March and October in 1992 and compared with estimates of estuarine nursery areas (Balgzand area, Wadden Sea), to test the following hypotheses: [I] Mortality of 0-group plaice in open coastal nursery areas is higher than in the estuarine Wadden Sea, due to the presence of higher numbers of predators. [II] Growth of 0-group plaice in open coastal nursery areas is lower than in the estuarine Wadden Sea, due to more severe interspecific competition for food. Both hypotheses could be falsified. Mortality rates in the coastal zone were between 0.015 and 0.019 d⁻¹, which is similar to the mortality rates observed in the Wadden Sea. Growth of 0-group plaice appears to be high from about 2.5 cm at the end of April to about 12.5 cm at the end of August. A comparison of the observed growth with expected growth according to an experimentally established model, predicting maximal growth in relation to water temperature, revealed that growth of 0-group plaice in the coastal zone was maximal and only determined by prevailing water temperatures. The coastal zone appears to be an important nursery area for 0-group plaice, with a similar quality as the intertidal areas in the estuarine Wadden Sea.

1. INTRODUCTION

Plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) is a commercially important and well-studied flatfish species in the North Sea. Spawning occurs in offshore waters from about December until March, during which time the adults concentrate in specific areas, the main ones being the Southern Bight and the German Bight (HARDING *et al.*, 1978; VAN DER LAND, 1991). The planktonic eggs and larvae are transported to coastal waters by residual currents (TALBOT, 1976; 1978) and by successive selective tidal behaviour (RIJNSDORP *et al.*, 1985; BERGMAN *et al.*, 1989). Thereafter, metamorphosis takes place and the pelagic larvae settle at a length of 10-15 mm almost exclusively in estuaries and in shallow waters along the North Sea coast, so-called nursery areas (ZIJLSTRA *et al.*, 1982; VAN BEEK *et al.*, 1989).

Extensive research of the population dynamics of juvenile plaice in the estuarine Wadden Sea has revealed that growth and survival conditions are favourable for the major proportion of the population. (KUIPERS, 1977; ZIJLSTRA *et al.*, 1982; VAN DER VEER, 1986). The large food resources result in maximum possible growth at the prevailing water temperatures, and the relative scarcity of predators allows high survival rates (BERGMAN *et al.*, 1988; VAN DER VEER *et al.*, 1990, VAN DER VEER & WITTE, 1993). Open coastal waters are assumed to be less favourable (VAN DER VEER & BERGMAN, 1987; BERGMAN *et al.*, 1988). A higher abundance of predators - such as gadoids, turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*), larger plaice and flounder (*Platichthys flesus*), shrimps (*Crangon crangon*) and swimming crab (*Macropipus holsatus*) - is expected to cause higher mortality rates (VAN DER VEER *et al.*, 1990). Moreover, because of these higher densities of other (predatory) species also the food availability may be lower due to inter-specific competition, resulting in sub-optimal growth. Hardly any data exists for such coastal areas, except from some observation in British bays (EDWARDS & STEELE, 1968; Lockwood, 1974). DE VRIES (1974) sampled juvenile flatfish along the Dutch coast, and he compared the observed increase in mean length with growth of juvenile plaice in the Wadden Sea. His data

suggested a higher growth rate in the open coastal zone. However, his data were not corrected for net efficiency and growth observations of 0-group were restricted to the period August to October.

The subject of this investigation was to estimate growth and mortality of juvenile plaice during their first year of life (0-group) in open coastal waters and to compare the results with data on growth and mortality in estuarine nursery areas in order to test the following hypotheses:

- I. Mortality of 0-group plaice in open coastal nursery areas is higher than in the estuarine Wadden Sea, due to the presence of higher numbers of predators.
- II. Growth of 0-group plaice in open coastal nursery areas is lower than in the estuarine Wadden Sea, due to more severe inter-specific competition for food.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

Sampling was carried out at 4 stations in shallow coastal areas along the North Sea coast of Texel (The Netherlands) nearly every other week from March until October in 1992 (Fig. 1). At each station in principle two hauls were made parallel to the coastline at depths of 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 m, and in addition two hauls were done perpendicular to the coastline from 2 to 10 m depth. All samples were taken with a 2-m beam trawl rigged with a fine meshed net (mesh size 5 x 5 mm) and one tickler chain, towed by RV 'Griend'. The size of area fished was registered by a meter wheel fitted at the frame of the beam.

All catches were sorted out on board and all 0-group plaice were measured to the nearest mm total length. In case of doubt, the age of plaice was checked by means of otolith reading. Catch numbers were corrected for net efficiency following KUIPERS (1975) and DAPPER (1978) and converted into abundance indices per 1000 m² (n.1000 m²). Growth rates were estimated from the observed increase in length. Daily instantaneous mortality rates ($Z; d^{-1}$) was calculated from the decrease in abundance according to

$$Z = -1 / t * \ln (N_t / N_0)$$

in which N_t and N_0 are the abundance indices at $T = t$ and $T = 0$ and t is number of days. All statistical calculations (ANOVA) were run with SYSTAT (WILKINSON, 1989).

3. RESULTS

In spite of the good weather during the summer of 1992, the conditions were not optimal for sampling and all stations could not be sampled every week. In total 207 hauls were done between March 9th (Week 11) and October 20th (Week 43). Fig. 2 shows the sampling structure during the period. At each station hauls were taken every two weeks; however between August 20th and October 20th sampling was not possible at all.

3.1. ABUNDANCE

The sampling frequency was too irregular to permit an analysis for each station separately. ANOVA showed that neither sampling station nor depth significantly contributed to the mean abundance. Instead, the mean abundance for all stations was estimated per sampling period. Two estimates were calculated, one based on the hauls parallel to the coastline and one based on the hauls perpendicular to the coast.

Both estimates of the seasonal pattern in abundance resulted in roughly the same pattern (Fig. 3). The first 0-group plaice appeared in the catches at the beginning of May, followed by an increase in numbers to a maximum in the middle of June of about 250 to 500 ind.1000m⁻². Hereafter, numbers dropped to a density of about 100 ind.1000m⁻² at the end of August. From September onwards numbers increased again due to immigration of individuals from the Wadden Sea.

The daily instantaneous mortality rate ($Z; d^{-1}$) was estimated from the period between peak density in June and immigration in September (Fig. 4). Based on the mean abundance of the hauls parallel to the coastline, numbers decreased over this period from about 500 to 130 ind.1000m⁻² in about 75 days, which means a daily instantaneous mortality rate of about 0.019 d⁻¹. Based on the mean density of the hauls perpendicular to the coast, a slightly lower daily instantaneous mortality rate of 0.015 d⁻¹ was found.

3.2. MEAN LENGTH

In total 7060 0-group plaice were measured. Never were the length-frequency distributions significantly different from normal distributions and therefore for each sampling station and period the mean length was estimated. Table 1 shows the size-frequency distributions, corrected for the different sampling periods for net efficiency. Mean length increased from about 2.5 cm at the end of April to about 12.5 cm at the end of August (Fig. 5). Both the estimate based on the hauls parallel to the coastline and those perpendicular to the coast showed the same seasonal pattern and similar absolute increases in mean length (Fig. 6). No difference was found between stations in the estimates based on parallel hauls (Fig. 5a), but significant differences between stations in mean length were observed in the estimates based on perpendicular hauls (Fig. 5b).

Due to these differences between stations, the increase in mean length of the 0-group plaice population was analysed by means of a general linear model. In the model, time period (in weeks), depth (steps of 2 m) and location (Station 1-4) were considered as categorical independent variables. Differences caused by time and location were explained as significant ($P = < 0.001$). However, no significant difference was found in depth ($P = 0.802$), supporting the conclusion that the two estimates based on parallel hauls and on perpendicular hauls are an estimate of the mean length at the station. Fig. 7 shows the least square means estimate of the mean length of 0-group plaice corrected for depth and station effects.

The mean length increase of the 0-group plaice population over the period from week 21 (May) to week 34 (August), in which the increase was almost linear was considered to represent growth. Observed growth was compared with predicted maximal growth according to an experimentally established growth model under excess of food (GLAZENBURG, 1983):

$$\Delta L = 1.3 * T + 1.7 \quad (\text{mm.mo}^{-1})$$

in which ΔL is the predicted increase in length (mm.mo⁻¹), and T is the mean water temperature (°C) over the period of observation. Data

on water temperature are presented in Fig. 8. Water temperature increased from about 9°C at the end of April to a maximum of 20°C at the end of July, followed by a steady decrease to temperatures of about 11°C at the end of the sampling period in October. No significant differences between stations were observed. Observed growth could only be compared with predicted maximal growth over the period of Week 24 (June 10th) to 34 (August 20th), because during this period no immigration or emigration of 0-group plaice will have occurred. Predicted maximal growth corresponded with observed growth suggesting maximal growth of the 0-group plaice population in the coastal zone at the prevailing water temperatures (Fig. 9).

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. SAMPLING STRATEGY

The sampling stations along the coastline of Texel are exposed to northerly and westerly winds. Although during the summer period wind stress is relatively low, even then sampling is often impossible due to wave action in the shallow beach zone. As a consequence sampling was irregular with respect to station and depth zones. The sampling programme consisted of two types of hauls, those parallel to the coastline at fixed depth and those perpendicular on the coast covering the whole depth range, to take account for possible depth-related distributions and size ranges. Fortunately, ANOVA showed that there was no significant effect of depth and station on the abundance estimate and also of depth on the estimate of mean length. Sampling station only had a significant impact on mean length and this had to be incorporated. Therefore only accurate estimates of mean length could be obtained by means of general linear model during the period of linear increase in summer.

The design of the sampling programme was based on the outline published by MILLER *et al.* (1992). However, accurate estimates of mortality and growth also depends on the efficiency of the sampling gear. The 2-m beam trawl used at this survey has been especially designed for this type of flatfish research by KUIPERS (1975, 1977). As part of his study on juvenile plaice in the Wadden Sea, Kuipers

(1975) also tested the efficiency of the 2-m beam trawl in relation to fish size. Over a size range of 4 to 10 cm total length the net efficiency of the 2-m beam trawl is known to be high, between 70 and 100%. Therefore, all catches could be corrected for each size class and accurate estimates of abundance and size were obtained, which means that the size-frequency distributions are not biased by size-selective net efficiency.

4.2. ABUNDANCE

The seasonal pattern of abundance in the coastal zone corresponded well with those observed in the Wadden Sea. (KUIPERS, 1977; ZIJLSTRA *et al.*, 1982; VAN DER VEER, 1986). However, there appears to be a slight difference in timing. Larval immigration and settlement of 0-group plaice in the Wadden Sea starts in March and peak densities are observed in early May (ZIJLSTRA *et al.*, 1982; VAN DER VEER, 1986). In the coastal zone there appears to be a slight delay in larval settlement and hence peak densities were found in June. The maximum densities observed in the coastal zone of about 300 to 500 ind.1000 m² are in the same range as those found at the tidal flat areas in the Wadden Sea. After immigration stops, a period of decreasing numbers occurs until densities stabilize at the end of July. In autumn numbers increase due to emigration from the Wadden Sea (ZIJLSTRA *et al.*, 1982).

The period of decreasing numbers in July and August permitted the estimate of mortality rates according to the methods also used by ZIJLSTRA *et al.* (1982) and VAN DER VEER (1986) and summarized by ILES & BEVERTON (1991). Although the estimate based the parallel hauls differed slightly from that based on rectangular hauls, both estimates, respectively 0.019 and 0.015 d⁻¹, are in the same range as the mortality rates found at the tidal flats in the Wadden Sea (ZIJLSTRA *et al.*, 1982; VAN DER VEER, 1986) and in open British bays (VAN DER VEER *et al.*, 1990; ILES & BEVERTON, 1991). However, the mortality factors will differ. At the tidal flats of the Wadden Sea, the main factor is predation by crustaceans, especially shrimps on plaice smaller than 3 cm and crabs on plaice smaller than 5 cm (VAN DER VEER & BERGMAN, 1987). In the coastal zone, mortality occurs later in July and August at a size range of about between

7.5 and 12.5 cm. Although no information exists, it is expected that as in British and Swedish bays especially predatory fish will be responsible (VAN DER VEER *et al.*, 1990).

Under the assumption of no migration movements comparison between the coastal zone and the Wadden Sea of the number of settling 0-group plaice and the mortality during the first year of life suggest no important difference between the two areas. This means that the coastal zone also appears to be an important nursery area of 0-group plaice.

4.3. GROWTH

The increase of the mean length of 0-group plaice in the coastal zone corresponded with the estimated maximal growth, according to the growth model of GLAZENBURG (1983). This suggests that food conditions for 0-group plaice were optimal in the coastal zone and that growth was only determined by prevailing water temperatures.

Such a conclusion depends mainly on the accuracy of the water temperature measurements and on the growth model. FONDS *et al.* (1992) expanded the maximum growth model of GLAZENBURG (1983) to juvenile plaice between 5 and 15 cm, which resulted in a polynomial equation:

$$\Delta L = 0.0136 \cdot T^{1.5} - 6 \cdot 10^{-9} \cdot T^6 \quad (\text{mm} \cdot \text{d}^{-1})$$

A comparison of the observed growth with the expectations of this more refined growth model also resulted in a good fit, and thus in a similar conclusion.

The similarity between observed and predicted maximum growth of 0-group plaice in the open coastal zone was even higher than that at the tidal flats of the Wadden Sea (ZIJLSTRA *et al.*, 1982; VAN DER VEER, 1986). Based on such a comparison, both ZIJLSTRA *et al.* (1982) and VAN DER VEER (1986) concluded that 0-group plaice showed the maximum possible growth at the Balgzand tidal flats in the Wadden Sea. BERGMAN *et al.* (1988) suggested that such optimal food conditions were one of the key-factors making the area an important nursery for flatfish. However, recently VAN DER VEER & WITTE (1993) concluded that growth of 0-group plaice in the Wadden Sea was not always

maximal. Food quality did influence growth, although density-dependent growth did never occur. VAN DER VEER & WITTE (1993) suggested that growth was directly related to the presence of the lugworm *Arenicola marina* as a food item. Since *A. marina* is only present in the intertidal zone, this also explained the observed difference in growth between the intertidal and the subtidal. No information is present about the food sources for juvenile plaice in the coastal zone. However, *A. marina* will be almost absent. Nevertheless, the present data suggest that growth was maximal in the open coastal zone. More information about the benthic community is necessary before this discrepancy can be solved. This observation corresponds with the conclusion of DE VRIES (1974) who also found a higher growth rate in the open coastal zone than inside the Wadden Sea. However, his data were biased, because they were not corrected for net efficiency. Before definitive conclusions can be drawn the growth observations should be validated by means of otolith microstructure analysis (KARAKIRI *et al.*, 1989), to exclude the potential bias of immigration and emigration movements.

4.4. TEST OF THE HYPOTHESES

Two hypotheses were postulated: one expecting a higher mortality in the open coastal zone compared with the Wadden Sea due to the presence of more predators and the other one implying a lower growth rate due to more severe interspecific competition for food. Both hypotheses were falsified. Mortality rates in the coastal zone were comparable to those found in the Wadden Sea, and growth appeared to be maximal and only determined by the prevailing water temperatures. Investigations about the predator abundance and benthic food availability in the coastal zone are required to get more insight in the functioning of the coastal zone as nursery area for juvenile plaice. In contrast to earlier suggestions by a.o. BERGMAN *et al.* (1988), the coastal zone appears to be an important nursery area for 0-group plaice, with a quality similar to the intertidal areas in the estuarine Wadden Sea. This suggests that the habitat quality and quantity of nursery areas, as discussed by GIBSON (1994) should be reconsidered.

Acknowledgements. Thanks are due to Ewout Adriaans, the skipper of RV "Griend", for assistance during sampling. Despite her own extensive sampling programme and other activities. Hans Witte was of great help during the various stages of the preparation of this report. Loes Bolle and Henk van der Veer supervised this project.

5. REFERENCES

- BERGMAN, M.J.N., H.W. VAN DER VEER & J.J. ZIJLSTRA, 1988. Plaice nurseries: effects on recruitment. *J. Fish Biol.* **33** (Suppl. A): 201-218.
- BERGMAN, M.J.N., H.W. VAN DER VEER, A. STAM & D. ZUIDEMA, 1989. Transport mechanisms of larval plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) from the coastal zone to the Wadden Sea nursery area. *Rapp. P. v. Réun. Cons. int. Explor. Mer* **191**: 43-49.
- DAPPER, R., 1978. De Balgzand scholgegevens 1975, 1976, 1977. Internal Report Neth. Inst. Sea Res. **1978-12**: 1-53.
- DE VRIES, J., 1974. Groei en konditie van jonge schol in Noord- en Waddenzee en onder laboratorium-omstandigheden. Internal Report Neth. Inst. Sea Res. **1974-10**: 1-29.
- EDWARDS R. & J.H. STEELE, 1968. The ecology of 0-group plaice and common dab at Loch Ewe. I. Population and food. *J. exp. mar. Biol. Ecol.* **2**: 215-238.
- FONDS, M., R. CRONIE, A.D. VETHAAK & P. VAN DER PUYL, 1992. Metabolism, food consumption and growth of plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) and flounder (*Platichthys flesus*) in relation to fish size and temperature. *Neth. J. Sea Res.* **29**: 127-143.
- GLAZENBURG, B. 1983. Een experimenteel onderzoek naar de groei van 0-groep schol en bot in relatie tot de watertemperatuur. Internal Report Neth. Inst. Sea Res. **1983-5**: 1-19.
- HARDING, D.H., J.H. NICOLS & D.S. TUNGATE, 1978. The spawning of plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) in the southern North Sea and English Channel. *Rapp. P.-v. Réun. Cons. int. Explor. Mer* **172**: 102-113.
- ILES, T.C. & R.J.H. BEVERTON, 1991. Mortality rates of 0-group plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.), dab (*Limanda limanda* L.) and turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.) in European waters I. Statistical analysis of the data and estimation of the parameters. *Neth. J. Sea Res.* **27**: 217-235.
- KARAKIRI, M., R. BERGHAIN & H. VON WESTERNHAGEN, 1989. Growth differences in 0-group (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) as revealed by otolith microstructure analysis. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **55**: 15-22.
- KUIPERS, B.R., 1975. On the efficiency of a two meter beam trawl in juvenile plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*). *Neth. J. Sea Res.* **9**: 69-85.
- KUIPERS, B.R., 1977. On the ecology of juvenile plaice on a tidal flat in the Wadden Sea. *Neth. J. Sea Res.* **11**: 56-91.
- LOCKWOOD, S.J., 1974. The settlement, distribution and movements of 0-group plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) in Filey Bay, Yorkshire. *J. Fish Biol.* **6**: 465-477.
- MILLER, J.M., B. MACCURRIN & H.W. VAN DER VEER, 1992. Growth and mortality in juvenile flatfish: the design of optimal sampling programmes. *Neth. J. Sea Res.* **29**: 93-96.
- RIJNSDORP, A.D., M. VAN STRALEN & H.W. VAN DER VEER, 1985. Selective tidal transport of North Sea plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) in coastal areas. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* **114**: 461-470.
- TALBOT, J.W., 1976. The dispersal of the plaice eggs and larvae in the southern Bight of the North Sea. *J. Cons. int. Explor. Mer* **37**: 221-248.
- TALBOT, J.W., 1978. Changes in plaice larval dispersal in the last fifteen years. *Rapp. P. v. Réun. Cons. int. Explor. Mer* **172**: 114-123.
- VAN BEEK, F.A., A.D. RIJNSDORP & R. DE CLERCK, 1989. Monitoring juvenile stocks of flatfish in the Wadden Sea and the coastal areas of the southeastern North Sea. *Helgol. Meeresunters.* **43**: 461-477.
- VAN DER LAND, M.A., 1991. Distribution of flatfish eggs in the 1989 surveys in the southeastern North Sea, and mortality of plaice and sole eggs. *Neth. J. Sea Res.* **27**: 277-286.
- VAN DER VEER, H.W., 1986. Immigration, settlement and density- dependent mortality of a larval and early postlarval 0-group plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa*) population in the western Wadden Sea. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **29**: 223-236.
- VAN DER VEER, H.W. & M.J.N. BERGMAN, 1987. Predation by crustaceans on a newly settled 0-group plaice *Pleuronectes platessa* population in the western Wadden Sea. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **35**: 203-215.
- VAN DER VEER, H.W., L. PIHL & M.J.N. BERGMAN, 1990. Recruitment mechanisms in North Sea plaice *Pleuronectes platessa*. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **64**: 1-12.

- VAN DER VEER, H.W. & J.I.J. WITTE, 1993. The "maximum growth/optimal food condition" hypothesis: a test for 0-group plaice *Pleuronectes platessa* in the Dutch Wadden Sea. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. **101**: 81-90.
- WILKINSON, L., 1989. SYSTAT, The system for statistics. SYSTAT Inc. Evanston: 1-638.
- ZIJLSTRA, J.J., R. DAPPER & J.I.J. WITTE, 1982. Settlement growth and mortality of post-larval plaice (*Pleuronectes platessa* L.) in the western Wadden Sea. Neth. J. Sea Res. **15**: 250-272.




Fig. 1. Sampling stations along the coastline of Texel in 1992. At each station a number of hauls at different depths were made. For more information see text.




Fig. 2. Numbers of hauls done in 1992, per week (a), per depth zone (b) and at each station (c).





Fig. 3. Seasonal pattern of abundance of 0-group plaice corrected for net efficiency in the coastal zone along Texel in 1992. a: mean density (n.1000 m⁻²) of the hauls parallel to the coast. b: mean density (n.1000 m⁻²) of the hauls perpendicular to the coast. □ = Station 1; ▲ = Station 2; ○ = Station 3; ● = Station 4; ⊞ = Mean.




Fig. 4. Mean instantaneous mortality rate (Z ; d^{-1}) of 0-group plaice in the coastal zone along the isle of Texel in 1992, based on the hauls parallel to the coastline (□) and the hauls rectangular to the coast (▲).





Fig. 5. Mean length (cm) of 0-group plaice corrected for net efficiency in the coastal zone along Texel in 1992. a: of the hauls parallel to the coast. b: of the hauls rectangular to the coast. □ = Station 1; ▲ = Station 2; ○ = Station 3; ● = Station 4; ⊠ = Mean.




Fig. 6. Comparison of mean length (cm) of 0-group plaice corrected for net efficiency in the coastal zone along Texel in 1992 of the hauls parallel to the coast (O) and of the hauls rectangular to the coast (●).




Fig. 7. Corrected mean length (cm) of the 0-group plaice population along the coast of the isle of Texel in 1992, based on GLM. For more information see text.




Fig. 8. Seasonal pattern in mean water temperature (°C) of surface water along the coast of Texel in 1992. □ = Station 1; ▲ = Station 2; ○ = Station 3; ● = Station 4; ▣ = Mean.




Fig. 9. Comparison of mean length (cm) of 0-group plaice in the coastal zone along Texel in 1992 (○) and predicted growth according to an experimental established model of maximal growth in relation to water temperature (●). For more information see text.

TABLE 1

Mean weekly size-frequency distribution of 0-group plaice, all hauls combined after correction for net efficiency.

| Size class (mm) | Date (Week) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| | 11-Mar (11) | 18-Mar (12) | 8-Apr (15) | 22-Apr (17) | 6-May (19) | 20-May (21) | 10-Jun (24) | 17-Jun (25) | 24-Jun (26) | 8-Jul (28) | 22-Jul (30) | 19-Aug (34) | 1-Oct (43) |
| 6-10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11-15 | | | 2.7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 16-20 | | | | | 2.1 | 3.1 | | | | | | | |
| 21-25 | | | | | 2.0 | 19.4 | 1.0 | | | | | | |
| 26-30 | | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 2.0 | | | | | | |
| 31-35 | | | | 6.0 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 14.0 | 1.0 | | | | | |
| 36-40 | | | | 3.0 | 1.0 | 6.0 | 35.0 | 6.0 | | 2.0 | | | |
| 41-45 | | | | | | 6.0 | 132.0 | 12.0 | 9.0 | 2.0 | | | |
| 46-50 | | | | | | 2.0 | 335.0 | 35.0 | 31.0 | 2.0 | | | |
| 51-55 | | | | | | 1.0 | 431.0 | 77.0 | 94.0 | 14.0 | | | |
| 56-60 | | | | | | | 472.0 | 107.0 | 154.0 | 48.0 | | | |
| 61-65 | | | | | | | 499.4 | 102.3 | 203.5 | 113.3 | 3.3 | | |
| 66-70 | | | | | | | 344.7 | 156.2 | 249.2 | 166.2 | 16.1 | | |
| 71-75 | | | | | | | 257.2 | 154.3 | 271.1 | 253.0 | 65.3 | 1.4 | |
| 76-80 | | | | | | | 111.6 | 151.9 | 258.9 | 252.7 | 108.5 | 6.2 | 1.6 |
| 81-85 | | | | | | | 17.1 | 100.9 | 196.7 | 282.2 | 106.0 | 22.2 | 3.4 |
| 86-90 | | | | | | | 3.8 | 32.0 | 137.2 | 276.4 | 144.8 | 15.0 | 1.9 |
| 91-95 | | | | | | | | 12.4 | 55.6 | 226.6 | 171.0 | 49.4 | 14.4 |
| 96-100 | | | | | | | | 2.2 | 22.4 | 141.1 | 190.4 | 44.8 | 2.2 |
| 101-105 | | | | | | | | | 14.5 | 99.2 | 140.4 | 58.1 | 9.7 |
| 106-110 | | | | | | | | | | 62.9 | 102.2 | 102.2 | 18.3 |
| 111-115 | | | | | | | | | | 25.3 | 95.5 | 140.5 | 11.2 |
| 116-120 | | | | | | | | | | | 87.3 | 168.6 | 12.0 |
| 121-125 | | | | | | | | | | | 35.4 | 186.8 | 19.3 |
| 126-130 | | | | | | | | | | | 20.6 | 144.1 | 24.0 |
| 131-135 | | | | | | | | | | | 18.3 | 124.1 | 29.2 |
| 136-140 | | | | | | | | | | | 3.9 | 73.5 | 15.5 |
| 141-145 | | | | | | | | | | | | 61.4 | 20.5 |
| 146-150 | | | | | | | | | | | | 26.0 | 4.3 |
| 151-155 | | | | | | | | | | | | 18.2 | 9.1 |
| 156-160 | | | | | | | | | | | | 14.4 | 19.2 |
| 161-165 | | | | | | | | | | | | 5.0 | 5.0 |

APPENDIX 1

Summary of basic catch data of 0-group plaice of coastal survey in 1992, after correction for net efficiency. For more information, see text.

| TREK No. | WEEK | DATE | STATION | DEPTH | LENGTHCLASS (0.5cm) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Catch | Distance | Surface | Density | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|------|---------|---------|------------|---------------------|---|---|---|------|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------|----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|-------|-------|-----|---------|-------|
| | | | | | O | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | | | | | 32 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 69 | 21 | 19/5/92 | 4 | 2-4 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 66 | 439.56 | 2.28 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 70 | 21 | 19/5/92 | 4 | 4-6 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 62 | 412.92 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | 21 | 19/5/92 | 4 | 4-6 m | | | | | 1.02 | | | | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3.02 | 66 | 439.56 | 6.87 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72 | 21 | 19/5/92 | 4 | 6-8 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.00 | 81 | 539.46 | 1.85 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 73 | 21 | 19/5/92 | 4 | 6-8 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2.04 | 51 | 339.66 | 6.01 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 74 | 21 | 19/5/92 | 4 | 8-10 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2.04 | 103 | 685.98 | 2.97 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 119 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 1 m (R) | | | | | | | 1 | | 3 | 6 | 17 | 47 | 67 | 49.5 | 75.64 | 72.28 | 54.25 | 25.65 | 9.4 | 4.12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 431.84 | 38 | 108.68 | 3935.59 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 1 m (R) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 60.68 | 60 | 171.6 | 353.61 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 121 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 1 m (R) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 76.71 | 77 | 220.22 | 348.33 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 122 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 1 m (R) | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 13.43 | 106 | 303.16 | 44.30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 123 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 2-4 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 97.34 | 289 | 826.54 | 117.77 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 124 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 2-4 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 131.70 | 237 | 677.82 | 194.30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 125 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 4-6 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 54.29 | 255 | 729.3 | 74.44 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 126 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 4-6 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 43.90 | 237 | 677.82 | 64.77 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 127 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 6-8 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7.83 | 63 | 180.18 | 43.46 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 128 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 6-8 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5.92 | 184 | 526.24 | 11.25 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 129 | 25 | 15/6/92 | 4 | 8-10 m | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 26.54 | 180 | 514.8 | 51.55 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 183 | 30 | 24/7/92 | 4 | 2-10 m (L) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4.17 | 10.85 | 13.68 | 11.28 | 41.2 | 33.6 | 16.94 | 23.58 | 14.05 | 18.06 | 6.44 | 10.29 | 3.65 | 207.79 | 321 | 918.06 | 226.34 | | | | | | | | |
| 184 | 30 | 24/7/92 | 4 | 2-10 m (L) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4.17 | 6.2 | 11.97 | 35.72 | 26.78 | 33.6 | 41.14 | 18.34 | 42.15 | 12.04 | 9.66 | 3.43 | 3.65 | 3.87 | 252.72 | 283 | 809.38 | 312.24 | | | | | | |
| 202 | 34 | 20/8/92 | 4 | 2-10 m (L) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4.8 | 20.52 | 270 | 772.2 | 26.57 | | | | | | | | |
| 203 | 34 | 20/8/92 | 4 | 2-10 m (L) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2.42 | 5.24 | 2.81 | 3.01 | 3.22 | 17.15 | 33.85 | 353 | 1009.58 | 33.53 |

CONTENTS

| | |
|-----------------------------------|----|
| SAMENVATTING | 1 |
| SUMMARY | 1 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 3 |
| 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS | 3 |
| 3. RESULTS | 4 |
| 3.1. ABUNDANCE | 4 |
| 3.2. MEAN LENGTH | 4 |
| 4. DISCUSSION | 5 |
| 4.1. SAMPLING STRATEGY | 5 |
| 4.2. ABUNDANCE | 5 |
| 4.3. GROWTH | 6 |
| 4.4. TEST OF THE HYPOTHESES | 6 |
| 5. REFERENCES | 7 |
| 6. APPENDIX | 17 |