

used these topologies were  $\pm$  comparable to those obtained with the Distance Wagner method ; (6) Fitch & Margoliash and UPGMA trees were clearly susceptible to data input orders. Hence, none of the methods used in this contribution could confirm the placement of *L. striata* within the genus *Littorina*.

#### REFERENCES

- BACKELJAU, T. & T. WARMOES, 1992. *Proc. Third Int. Symp. Littorinid Biology* (eds J. Grahame, P.J. Mill & D.G. Reid), 9-24.  
 JANSON, K., 1985. *Ophelia*, 24 : 125-134.

### The relationship between size, position on shore and shell ornamentation in *Littorina striata*

Joseph C. Britton

Department of Biology  
Texas Christian University  
Fort Worth, Texas 76129 U.S.A.

Shells of small (< 7 mm) *Littorina striata* are frequently nodulose, but shells of larger individuals are striate. Nodulose *L. striata* dominated the littoral fringe of a black basalt Azorean shore where daytime rock temperatures rise significantly higher than nearby shores of different rock composition or colour. There was no evidence of intraspecific size-partitioning on the latter shores, where the numbers of striate and nodulose *L. striata* were approximately equal between high eulittoral (low-shore) and high littoral fringe (high-shore) localities. It is hypothesized that small *L. striata* attain a resting posture better able to minimize heat absorption from the substratum than attained by larger individuals. Smaller individuals also take advantage of both posture and a nodulose shell surface to more effectively re-radiate absorbed incident radiant thermal energy to the atmosphere by convection. Thus, small, nodulose *L. striata* are especially well adapted to occupy geologically young basaltic rocks commonly fringing islands of the mid-Atlantic. This investigation was conducted during the Second International Workshop of Malacology of the Azores and is to be published in full in the Proceedings of the Workshop.

### Morphometrics of small rough periwinkles

K.J. Caley, J. Grahame, and P.J. Mill

Department of Pure and Applied Biology, The University of Leeds, Leeds LS2 9JT, U.K.

The taxonomic status of *Littorina neglecta* has been the subject of controversy since its description in 1844. Later regarded as a subspecies of the widespread, variable *L. saxatilis* (eg. James 1964), it was then re-established as a full species (Heller 1975). Recently *L. neglecta* has been considered a barnacle-dwelling 'ecotype' of *L. saxatilis* (Johannesson & Johannesson 1990). Similar morphs exist in other species of rough periwinkle which may support an 'ecotype' status for *L. neglecta* (Reid 1993). Prior results have relied on comparatively small data sets. The present study provides results from an analysis of shell shape in about 2 500 specimens of rough periwinkles from around the North Atlantic, using the methods of Grahame and Mill (1989). The majority of specimens analysed were less than 5.5 mm in columella height, the largest recorded size for *L. neglecta* in this study.