

Conference Abstract

Convolutional Neural Networks for Phytoplankton identification and classification

Lara Lloret[‡], Ignacio Heredia[‡], Fernando Aguilar[‡], Elisabeth Debusschere[§], Klaas Deneudt[§], Francisco Hernandez[|]

[‡] Instituto de Física de Cantabria, Santander, Spain

[§] Flanders Marine Institute (VLIZ), Ostend, Belgium

[|] VLIZ, Oostende, Belgium

Corresponding author: Lara Lloret (lloret@ifca.unican.es), Ignacio Heredia (iheredia@ifca.unican.es), Fernando Aguilar (aguilarf@ifca.unican.es), Elisabeth Debusschere (elisabeth.debusschere@vliz.be), Klaas Deneudt (klaas.deneudt@vliz.be), Francisco Hernandez (francisco.hernandez@vliz.be)

Received: 12 Apr 2018 | Published: 28 May 2018

Citation: Lloret L, Heredia I, Aguilar F, Debusschere E, Deneudt K, Hernandez F (2018) Convolutional Neural Networks for Phytoplankton identification and classification. Biodiversity Information Science and Standards 2: e25762. <https://doi.org/10.3897/biss.2.25762>

Abstract

Phytoplankton form the basis of the marine food web and are an indicator for the overall status of the marine ecosystem. Changes in this community may impact a wide range of species (Capuzzo et al. 2018) ranging from zooplankton and fish to seabirds and marine mammals. Efficient monitoring of the phytoplankton community is therefore essential (Edwards et al. 2002). Traditional monitoring techniques are highly time intensive and involve taxonomists identifying and counting numerous specimens under the light microscope. With the recent development of automated sampling devices, image analysis technologies and learning algorithms, the rate of counting and identification of phytoplankton can be increased significantly (Thyssen et al. 2015). The FlowCAM (Álvarez et al. 2013) is an imaging particle analysis system for the identification and classification of phytoplankton. Within the Belgian Lifewatch observatory, monthly phytoplankton samples are taken at nine stations in the Belgian part of the North Sea. These samples are run through the FlowCAM and each particle is photographed. Next, the particles are identified based on their morphology (and fluorescence) using state-of-the-art Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) for computer vision. This procedure requires learning sets of expert

validated images. The CNNs are specifically designed to take advantage of the two dimensional structure of these images by finding local patterns, being easier to train and having many fewer parameters than a fully connected network with the same number of hidden units.

In this work we present our approach to the use of CNNs for the identification and classification of phytoplankton, testing it on several benchmarks and comparing with previous classification techniques. The network architecture used is ResNet50 (He et al. 2016). The framework is fully written in Python using the TensorFlow (Abadi, M. et al. 2016) module for Deep Learning.

Deployment and exploitation of the current framework is supported by the recently started European Union Horizon 2020 programme funded project DEEP-Hybrid-Datacloud (Grant Agreement number 777435), which supports the expensive training of the system needed to develop the application and provides the necessary computational resources to the users.

Keywords

deep learning, phytoplankton, Convolutional Neural Networks, identification, machine learning, classification

Presenting author

Lara Lloret

Presented at

Biodiversity Information Standards (TDWG) 2018, Dunedin, NZ

Funding program

EU Horizon 2020 framework programme project DEEP-Hybrid-Datacloud (Grant Agreement number 777435)

References

- Abadi, M., Ashish, A., Barham, P. (2016) TensorFlow: A System for Large-Scale Machine Learning. Proceedings of the 12th USENIX Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation., Volume 16. OSDI'16, Savannah, GA, USA. 265-283 pp.

- Álvarez E, Moyano M, López-Urrutia Á, Nogueira E, Scharek R (2013) Routine determination of plankton community composition and size structure: a comparison between FlowCAM and light microscopy. *Journal of plankton research* 36 (1): 170-184. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbt069>
- Capuzzo E, Lynam CP, Barry J, Stephens D, Forster RM, Greenwood N, Engelhard GH (2018) A decline in primary production in the North Sea over 25 years, associated with reductions in zooplankton abundance and fish stock recruitment. *Global change biology* 24 (1): e352-e364. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13916>
- Edwards M, Beaugrand G, Reid PC, Rowden AA, Jones MB (2002) Ocean climate anomalies and the ecology of the North Sea. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 239: 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps239001>
- He K, Zhang X, Ren S, Sun J (2016) Deep residual learning for image recognition. *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*. 8 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1109/cvpr.2016.90>
- Thyssen M, Alvain S, Lefebvre A, Dessailly D, Rijkeboer M, Guiselin N, Artigas LF (2015) High-resolution analysis of a North Sea phytoplankton community structure based on in situ flow cytometry observations and potential implication for remote sensing. *Biogeosciences* 12 (13): 4051-4066. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4051-2015>