

## ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Ecology and Evolution

Open Access

WILEY

# Ocean warming and acidification alter the behavioral response to flow of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus*

Mishal Cohen-Rengifo<sup>1,2</sup>  | Antonio Agüera<sup>2,3</sup> | Tjeerd Bouma<sup>4</sup> | Saloua M'Zoudi<sup>2</sup> | Patrick Flammang<sup>1</sup> | Philippe Dubois<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes Marins et Biomimétisme, Institut de recherches en Biosciences, Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Biologie Marine (CP160/15), Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>Institute of Marine Research, Austevoll Research Station, Storebø, Norway

<sup>4</sup>Department of Estuarine and Delta Systems, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), Utrecht University, Yerseke, The Netherlands

## Correspondence

Mishal Cohen-Rengifo and Philippe Dubois, Laboratoire de Biologie Marine, Université Libre de Bruxelles (CP160/15), 50 Av. F.D. Roosevelt, 1050 Brussels, Belgium.  
Emails: mishal.cohen.r@gmail.com and phdubois@ulb.ac.be

## Funding information

This work was funded by the Fund for Research in Industry and Agriculture (F.R.I.A.) through a PhD fellowship to MC-R. PhD and PF are Research Directors of the National Fund for Scientific Research (FRS-FNRS, Belgium).

## Abstract

Ocean warming (OW) and acidification (OA) are intensively investigated as they pose major threats to marine organism. However, little effort is dedicated to another collateral climate change stressor, the increased frequency, and intensity of storm events, here referred to as intensified hydrodynamics. A 2-month experiment was performed to identify how OW and OA (temperature: 21°C; pH<sub>T</sub>: 7.7, 7.4; control: 17°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.9) affect the resistance to hydrodynamics in the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* using an integrative approach that includes physiology, biomechanics, and behavior. Biomechanics was studied under both no-flow condition at the tube foot (TF) scale and flow condition at the individual scale. For the former, TF disk adhesive properties (attachment strength, tenacity) and TF stem mechanical properties (breaking force, extensibility, tensile strength, stiffness, toughness) were evaluated. For the latter, resistance to flow was addressed as the flow velocity at which individuals detached. Under near- and far-future OW and OA, individuals fully balanced their acid-base status, but skeletal growth was halved. TF adhesive properties were not affected by treatments. Compared to the control, mechanical properties were in general improved under pH<sub>T</sub>7.7 while in the extreme treatment (21°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.4) breaking force was diminished. Three behavioral strategies were implemented by sea urchins and acted together to cope with flow: improving TF attachment, streamlining, and escaping. Behavioral responses varied according to treatment and flow velocity. For instance, individuals at 21°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.4 increased the density of attached TF at slow flows or controlled TF detachment at fast flows to compensate for weakened TF mechanical properties. They also showed an absence of streamlining favoring an escaping behavior as they ventured in a riskier faster movement at slow flows. At faster flows, the effects of OW and OA were detrimental causing earlier dislodgment. These plastic behaviors reflect a potential scope for acclimation in the field, where this species already experiences diel temperature and pH fluctuations.

## KEYWORDS

behavior, biomechanics, climate change, flow, hydrodynamics, ocean acidification, ocean warming, physiology, sea urchin

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2019 The Authors. *Ecology and Evolution* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

## 1 | INTRODUCTION

Over the past ~300 million years of Earth's history, several elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> events have been reported, but nowadays, CO<sub>2</sub> is being released at unprecedented fast rates due to anthropogenic activity (Honisch et al., 2012). Indeed, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration increased from preindustrial levels of 280 ppm to the current value of 410 ppm (Dlugokencky & Tans, 2018) and might rise to approximately 1,000 ppm by the end of this century (Caldeira & Wickett, 2003; IPCC, 2014). Consequently, sea-surface temperature has increased by approximately 0.8°C in the past 150 years and is predicted to rise by a further 2–4.5°C by the end of this century (IPCC, 2014). Simultaneously, the ocean has absorbed ~26% of anthropogenic atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> (Le Quéré, Takahashi, Buitenhuis, Rödenbeck, & Sutherland, 2010), inducing changes in the carbonate system equilibrium such as reduced carbonate ion concentration and pH. These processes are merged under the term ocean acidification (OA, Feely et al., 2009). Seawater pH is predicted to decrease by 0.3–0.4 units by 2,100 and by a further 0.7 by 2,300 according to the RCP 8.5 scenario (Caldeira & Wickett, 2003; IPCC, 2014; Orr et al., 2005).

The effects of predicted ocean warming (OW) and/or OA on marine invertebrates range from individual physiologies (Pörtner, 2010; Somero, 2002), to changes in population dynamics (Ling, Johnson, Ridgway, Hobday, & Haddon, 2009), food availability (Hoegh-Guldberg & Pearse, 1995; O'Connor, Piehler, Leech, Anton, & Bruno, 2009), increased diseases (Lester, Tobin, & Behrens, 2007), or mortality (Coma et al., 2009). OA increases the energetic cost of building calcified skeletons (Bach, 2015; Pörtner, 2008) and could influence dissolution of existing skeletons if they are not protected by organic layers (Dery, Collard, & Dubois, 2017; Manno, Sandrini, Tositti, & Accornero, 2007; Melzner et al., 2011). In addition, significant interactive effects of OW and OA have been observed on fertilization and early development, survival, calcification, or growth (e.g., Byrne, 2011; Kroeker et al., 2013; Kroeker, Kordas, Crim, & Singh, 2010).


Ocean warming and acidification take often the leading role in discussions about how global climate change will alter marine biota, while little attention has been dedicated to another collateral stressor, here referred to as intensified hydrodynamics. There is strong evidence suggesting that the frequency and intensity of extratropical cyclones in the North Atlantic basin have increased since the 1950s (Hartmann et al., 2013). As cyclones get their energy from warm water (Gautam, Cervone, Singh, & Kafatos, 2005), it is suggested that the recent increase in storminess is in nexus with human-induced global warming (Donat et al., 2011; Komar, 2007; Latif, Keenlyside, & Bader, 2007), though there is no absolute consensus about this relationship (IPCC, 2013; Ulbrich, Leckebusch, & Pinto, 2009). In addition, increased storminess can intensify the severity of wind-driven waves. A rise of the yearly mean wave height in the North East Atlantic by 20% to 40% has been already observed during the 20th century (Bacon & Carter, 1991; Bertin, Prouteau, & Letetrel, 2013).

As wave-induced water motion can potentially dislodge organisms from the substratum, hydrodynamics is considered as a major driver shaping the benthic intertidal and upper infralittoral communities (Denny, 1988). To resist dislodgement caused by hydrodynamic forces, benthic organisms rely on both the mechanics of their adhesive organs and their behavior (Hofmann & Todgham, 2010). If OW and OA affect the ability of benthic organisms to withstand the hydrodynamic stress, the structure and dynamics of the ecosystems where they play key roles can be significantly affected (Agüera, Koppel, Jansen, Smaal, & Bouma, 2015; Britton-Simmons, Foley, & Okamoto, 2009; Duggins, 1981).

The reported effects of low pH on biomechanics of noncalcified materials include no significant effect on TF mechanical properties in the starfish *Asterias rubens*, reduced mechanical performance of the byssus in bivalves, decreased clapping force in the scallop *Pecten maximus*, and lowered spore attachment in intertidal rhodophyta algae (Collard, Catarino, Bonnet, Flammang, & Dubois, 2013; George & Carrington, 2018; Guenther, Miklasz, Carrington, & Martone, 2017; Li, Liu, Zhan, Xie, & Zhang, 2017; O'Donnell, George, & Carrington, 2013; Schalkhauser et al., 2013). Behavioral studies under climate change conditions mainly concentrate on OA effects on fishes (Cripps, Munday, & McCormick, 2011; Dixon, Munday, & Jones, 2010; Domenici, Allan, McCormick, & Munday, 2012; Ferrari et al., 2012; Hamilton, Holcombe, & Tresguerres, 2014; Jutfelt, Bresolin de Souza, Vuylsteke, & Sturve, 2013; Munday et al., 2009; Nilsson et al., 2012; Simpson et al., 2011) and, to a lesser extent, on marine invertebrates focusing on predator–prey relationships (Bibby, Cleall-Harding, Rundle, Widdicombe, & Spicer, 2007; Chan, Grünbaum, Arnberg, & Dupont, 2016; Dodd, Grabowski, Piehler, Westfield, & Ries, 2015; Manríquez et al., 2013).

In adult echinoids, the effects of simultaneous OW and OA vary according to the stressors magnitude, acclimation period, species, and response variable (e.g., Dubois, 2014; Kroeker et al., 2010; Wittmann & Pörtner, 2013). Regarding physiology, metabolism upregulation resulted from both warming and acidification in *Heliocidaris erythrogramma* (Carey, Harianto, & Byrne, 2016), while in *Paracentrotus lividus*, it resulted only from acidification (Catarino, Bauwens, & Dubois, 2012). However, a longer exposure to these stressors can follow acclimation as observed in *Sterechinus neumayeri* (Morley, Suckling, Clark, Cross, & Peck, 2016; Suckling et al., 2015). Concerning behavior, simultaneous OW and OA increased grazing activity in *Amblypneustes pallidus*, while in *H. erythrogramma*, feeding rate increased with warming (Burnell, Russell, Irving, & Connell, 2013; Carey et al., 2016). After long exposure to OW and OA, *Loxechinus albus* feeding preference disappeared in response to acidification and not warming, while the vertical foraging speed and tenacity were not affected (Manríquez et al., 2017).

The impact of hydrodynamics on mechanical and behavioral responses of echinoids have been extensively studied. High flow velocity or wave exposure reduced movement distance and speed, feeding rate and particle capture efficiency, influenced righting and spine streamlining behavior, and enhanced TF mechanical properties (Cohen-Rengifo et al., 2018; Cohen-Rengifo, Moureaux, Dubois, & Flammang, 2017; Dance, 1987; Denny & Gaylord, 1996; George &



**FIGURE 1** (a) Sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* showing its extended tube feet. (b) Unit circle illustrating the zone between 180 and 10° where spines angle was measured with respect of the positive Y axis mathematical axis that corresponds to 0°. F: flow direction

Carrington, 2014; Jacinto & Cruz, 2012; Kawamata, 1998; Lauzon-Guay, Scheibling, & Barbeau, 2006; Lissner, 1980; Morse & Hunt, 2013; Stewart & Britton-Simmons, 2011; Tuya, Cisneros-Aguirre, Ortega-Borges, & Haroun, 2007). However, mechanical resistance and behavioral responses of sea urchins under the simultaneous impact of OW and OA coupled to an additional hydrodynamic stress have never been investigated. As echinoids play a major structuring role in many coastal ecosystems through their grazing activity results in a reference (Steneck, 2013), the impact of OW and OA in a more hydrodynamic ocean could be of tremendous importance.

The present study seeks to understand how OW and OA influence the resistance to an increasing flow regime in the echinoid *P. lividus* (Figure 1a). During a two-month experiment, echinoids were exposed to 6 fully crossed treatments including two temperatures (17 and 21°C) and three  $pH_T$  (7.9, 7.7, and 7.4) according to the RCP8.5 scenario (IPCC, 2014). Potential functional effects on TF adhesive and mechanical properties under no-flow conditions, as well as behavioral responses associated with movement and shape modification (through spine reorientation) under flow conditions, were evaluated to identify their role in the resistance to dislodgment. Compensation of extracellular pH, respiration rate, and somatic growth was also studied to address the overall physiological state.

## 2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 | Sea urchin collection and experimental setup

In September 2014, 216 sea urchins (ambital test diameter,  $d_{test} = 17.1\text{--}34.4$  mm) were hand-collected from intertidal pools in Aber beach (48°14'15"N 4°27'18"W), France. Animals were transported alive to Belgium and let to acclimate for 12 days (Cohen-Rengifo et al., 2018). At day 3, individuals were soaked during 24 hr in the fluorescent marker calcein (20 mg/L) to tag their skeletal components (Rodríguez, Hernández, & Clemente, 2016; Russell & Urbaniak, 2004).

Aquaria were held within climate rooms to allow constant seawater temperature (°C). Between 10 and 20% of the water volume within each tank was renewed each day. Once a day, temperature, salinity, pH in NIST scale ( $pH_{NIST}$ ), and electromotive force (mV) were measured as in Cohen-Rengifo et al. (2018). Daily electromotive

force measurements were converted to pH in total scale ( $pH_T$ ) using Tris/AMP buffer calibration (DeValls & Dickson, 1998). At day 5 of acclimation, temperature and pH were progressively modified from control values (17°C- $pH_T$  7.9, +0.5°C/day, -0.05 pH per day). Target treatments (17°C- $pH_T$  7.7, 17°C- $pH_T$  7.4, 21°C- $pH_T$  7.9, 21°C- $pH_T$  7.7, 21°C- $pH_T$  7.4) were reached after 12 days and were maintained for 12 more weeks. A computer-controlled IKS system was employed to manage experimental pH by bubbling  $CO_2$  independently into each aquarium. IKS-pH measurements were calibrated against Metrohm pH meter daily measurements. Treatments were triplicated independently (1 aquarium = 1 replicate, 6 treatments = 18 aquaria).

To determine seawater total alkalinity ( $A_{T-SW}$ ), a 50 ml sample was collected once a week from each aquarium and immediately filtered (0.22  $\mu m$  Millipore) and fixed with  $HgCl_2$  7% (w/vol). Potentiometric titrations according to Gran (1950) were carried out using a Titrino 718 STAT (Metrohm AG). Quality control was performed using reference material supplied by Dickson laboratory (University of California, Batch 135), with measures being always within  $\pm 2\%$  of the reference value.  $pCO_2$  and the concentration of the carbonate system components ( $CO_2$ ,  $HCO_3^-$ ,  $CO_3^{2-}$ ) and calcite and aragonite saturation states ( $\Omega$ ) were calculated using the software  $CO_2SYS$  (Pierrot, Lewis, & Wallace, 2006) and the dissociation constants for carbonate from Mehrbach, Culbertson, Hawley, and Pytkowicz (1973) refitted by Dickson and Millero (1987).

In each of the 18 aquaria, 12 sea urchins were separated into three compartments (plastic-mesh cages). One compartment contained three individuals that were employed to monitor physiological state. Another compartment housed three individuals employed to evaluate biomechanics under no-flow conditions, and the last compartment housed six individuals employed to evaluate skeletal growth as well as biomechanics and behavior under hydrodynamic conditions. Samplings were carried out at week 1 (w1, just after the 12-day acclimation), 8 (w8), and 12 (w12). Individuals were fed ad libitum with Zeigler Bros., Inc. (USA) food pellets.

### 2.2 | Physiological state

Physiological state was assessed by evaluation of growth, respiration rate ( $\mu mol\ O_2\ hr^{-1}\ g^{-1}$ ), coelomic fluid (CF) pH ( $pH_{NIST-CF}$ ), total alkalinity ( $A_{T-CF}$ , mmol/kg $_{SW}$ ), and buffer capacity. CF buffer capacity

was calculated as the difference between total alkalinity of the CF and that of the seawater ( $\Delta A_{T-CF} = A_{T-CF} - A_{T-seawater}$ , mmol/kg<sub>SW</sub>). Measurements were taken at w1 and w8 on three individuals per aquarium ( $n = 3$ ). Only growth was measured at w1 and w12 in 6 individuals per aquarium ( $n = 3$ ), considering the increment (%) in ambital sea urchin test diameter with spines ( $d_{urchin}$ ), test diameter ( $d_{test}$ ) and height ( $h_{test}$ ) without spines, ambital spine length ( $l_{spine}$ ), and the increment (mm) in jaw size. See S2 in Appendix S1 for details.

## 2.3 | Microhabitat conditions

At Aber beach, sea urchins inhabiting intertidal pools are mainly wedged in self-burrowed pits where water movement and gas exchange are reduced during low tide. We hypothesized that sea urchins could be locally preadapted to low pH at the scale of the microhabitat. Therefore, pH<sub>T</sub> and A<sub>T</sub> over, below, and inside (i.e., the CF) an echinoid within its pit (Figure S1) were measured in two tide pools at different tidal periods. See S3 in Appendix S1 for details.

## 2.4 | No-flow biomechanics

Biomechanical variables were measured at w1 and w8 following Cohen-Rengifo et al. (2018). Tenacity tests were performed on a whole individual or on a single tube foot disk. An individual was clamped with a metal grab and let to attach to a glass aquarium, while a single tube foot was directly let to attach to a glass piece. The metal grab or the glass piece was connected to an Instron 5543 force stand (© Illinois Tool Works Inc). A force perpendicular to the substratum was applied at a constant speed of 25 mm/min. Force and displacement were recorded at a frequency of 10 Hz until detachment. Sea urchin detachment force ( $F_{urchin}$ , N) or tube foot disk detachment force ( $F_{disk}$ , N) was documented. The adhesive surface area of a single tube foot disk ( $S_{disk}$ , mm<sup>2</sup>) was estimated from stained footprints (Cohen-Rengifo et al., 2017; Santos & Flammang, 2007) while that of the sea urchin ( $S_{urchin}$ ) was calculated by multiplying  $S_{disk}$  and the number of adoral TF (Cohen-Rengifo et al., 2018).  $F_{urchin}$  was measured for three individuals per aquarium while  $F_{disk}$  and  $S_{disk}$  for three TF per sea urchin per aquarium (1 aquarium = 1 replica,  $n = 3$ ). Tenacity of the sea urchin ( $T_{urchin}$ , MPa) and disk ( $T_{disk}$ , MPa) was calculated as the respective detachment force per unit of adhesive surface area.

Traction tests were performed on single tube foot stem that was clipped and connected to the same instrument. A pulling force perpendicular to the test was applied at a constant speed of 25 mm/min until stem breakage. Breaking force (N) was recorded. Transverse histological sections of 3 stems per individual per aquarium ( $n = 3$ ) were prepared to measure the cross-sectional surface area of the stem connective tissue layer ( $S_{CT}$ , μm<sup>2</sup>), as this tissue bears all the external load exerted on a tube foot (Santos & Flammang, 2005).  $S_{CT}$  was then used to calculate stem mechanical properties such as tensile strength (MPa), extensibility (unitless), stiffness (MPa), and toughness (MJ/m<sup>3</sup>).

## 2.5 | Biomechanics and behavior under hydrodynamic conditions

### 2.5.1 | Flume tank setup and sea urchin dislodgement

At week 10, the whole experimental setup together with 107 alive sea urchins (18 aquaria, each containing 6 individuals; 1 died) was transported (duration 90 min) to the Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ-Yerseke). Hydrodynamic trials were performed in a recirculating flume tank (maximal speed: 90 cm/s; working section: 0.6 m wide × 2 m length) that was calibrated with a Vectrino Acoustic Doppler Velocimeter (Nortek Group). Before the trials, individuals were acclimated to their respective treatments for one week. In the aquaria, temperature, pH<sub>T-SW</sub>, and salinity were measured and controlled daily as described above. Hydrodynamic trials lasted 14 days ( $n = 14$ ). In the flume tank, seawater parameters (mean ± SD) were salinity = 31.6 ± 0.5 ( $n = 14$ ) and pH<sub>T-SW</sub> = 7.90 ± 0.01 ( $n = 14$ ), while temperature was measured during the first 7 days for the control = 17.0 ± 0.4°C ( $n = 7$ ) and during the last 7 days for the high-temperature treatment = 20.9 ± 0.5°C ( $n = 7$ ). Because individuals were maintained for less than 30 min in the flume tank, pH<sub>T-SW</sub> was not manipulated.

A unidirectional flow parallel to the substratum was generated (Bouma et al., 2005). A transparent polymethyl methacrylate plate was employed as attachment substratum. Flow velocity ( $V_F$ , in cm/s) in the flume tank was set at 30 cm/s to create an abrupt transition of water motion conditions. Each individual (6 per aquarium,  $n = 3$ ) was placed alone, oral-side down in the middle of the working section under a plastic basket to limit displacement and allow attachment. After 5 min, the basket was removed, and  $V_F$  was increased by 5 cm/s every 2 min (flow2' regime) until reaching 90 cm/s or until detachment. During a hydrodynamic trial, detachment velocity ( $V_{Det}$ , cm/s) and behavioral variables (see below) were measured for each individual. Afterward, skeletons were dried at 50°C for 48 hr and cleaned with NaOCl 2.5% for 2 hr. Four additional hours were needed to clean Aristotle's lanterns.

### 2.5.2 | Active movement

Sea urchin active movement velocity ( $V_{Mov}$ , cm/min) and direction ( $Dir_{Mov}$ , degrees, circular variable) under flow conditions were measured following Cohen-Rengifo et al. (2018). Briefly, individuals were photographed from above to track their position, and pictures were analyzed with the ImageJ v1.50i software MTrackJ plug-in. Sea urchin coordinates per picture were extracted with the software R v3.4.1 (R Development Core Team, 2015) and used to estimate  $V_{Mov}$  and  $Dir_{Mov}$ . To determine at which  $V_F$   $V_{Mov}$  is significantly different from zero, a 95% confidence interval was calculated with a confidence level of 95% ( $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

### 2.5.3 | Spine orientation and shape analysis

Sea urchin planform silhouettes were photographed with a Canon Powershot SX260HS camera at every  $V_F$  to measure spine angle

**TABLE 1** Seawater and carbonate system parameters (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 81$  except for  $A_{T-}$ ,  $n = 12$ ) averaged over 12 weeks of experiment

Nominal treatment	Effective temperature (°C)	Effective pH <sub>T- sw</sub> (pH units)	Salinity (PSU)	$A_{T-sw}$ (mmol/kg <sub>sw</sub> )	$\rho CO_2$ ( $\mu$ atm)	$CO_2$ ( $\mu$ mol/kg <sub>sw</sub> )	$HCO_3^-$ ( $\mu$ mol kg <sub>sw</sub> <sup>-1</sup> )	$CO_3^{2-}$ ( $\mu$ mol/kg <sub>sw</sub> )	$\Omega Ca$	$\Omega Ar$
17°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.9	17.3 $\pm$ 0.3	7.90 $\pm$ 0.06	31.8 $\pm$ 0.01	2.31 $\pm$ 0.34	607 $\pm$ 97	22 $\pm$ 3	2,035 $\pm$ 280	126 $\pm$ 31	3.1 $\pm$ 0.8	2.0 $\pm$ 0.5
17°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.7	17.4 $\pm$ 0.3	7.69 $\pm$ 0.07	32.1 $\pm$ 0.04	2.18 $\pm$ 0.30	961 $\pm$ 223	34 $\pm$ 8	1,980 $\pm$ 266	76 $\pm$ 18	1.9 $\pm$ 0.4	1.2 $\pm$ 0.3
17°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.4	17.7 $\pm$ 0.3	7.42 $\pm$ 0.09	32.1 $\pm$ 0.03	2.17 $\pm$ 0.37	1817 $\pm$ 415	64 $\pm$ 14	2,057 $\pm$ 332	45 $\pm$ 16	1.1 $\pm$ 0.4	0.7 $\pm$ 0.3
21°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.9	21.1 $\pm$ 0.2	7.85 $\pm$ 0.06	31.9 $\pm$ 0.01	2.20 $\pm$ 0.27	661 $\pm$ 96	21 $\pm$ 3	1,921 $\pm$ 214	122 $\pm$ 27	3.0 $\pm$ 0.7	1.9 $\pm$ 0.4
21°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.7	20.9 $\pm$ 0.7	7.67 $\pm$ 0.09	32.1 $\pm$ 0.03	2.10 $\pm$ 0.37	1,019 $\pm$ 395	33 $\pm$ 1	1,895 $\pm$ 341	79 $\pm$ 2	1.9 $\pm$ 0.5	1.3 $\pm$ 0.3
21°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.4	21.4 $\pm$ 0.7	7.44 $\pm$ 0.10	32.1 $\pm$ 0.04	2.05 $\pm$ 0.44	1695 $\pm$ 451	53 $\pm$ 14	1,926 $\pm$ 385	51 $\pm$ 22	1.2 $\pm$ 0.5	0.8 $\pm$ 0.4

Note:  $A_{T-sw}$  total alkalinity, calcite ( $\Omega Ca$ ), and aragonite ( $\Omega Ar$ ) saturation state.

(Spine°, degrees, circular variable). A single spine situated between  $180 \pm 10^\circ$  (considering that  $0^\circ$  corresponds to the positive Y mathematical axis; Figure 1b) was chosen for each individual. Using ImageJ v1.50i software, the angle formed by the tip of the spine with respect to  $0^\circ$  was measured in a clockwise direction (Cohen-Rengifo et al., 2018).

To determine whether the streamlined shape under climate change conditions was adopted earlier than in the control (i.e., 55 cm/s), planform shape was analyzed using pictures taken between 30–55 cm/s and at  $V_{Det}$ . Shape analyses were performed with MATLAB v2015 software Image Processing Toolbox™, based on shape indices (S1 in Appendix S1) and elliptic Fourier coefficients (Agüera & Brophy, 2011; Cohen-Rengifo et al., 2018). Briefly, twenty elliptic Fourier harmonics were calculated per individual and  $V_F$ . Shape of individuals from the same  $V_F$  was averaged, so that a reconstructed overall shape can be visualized per  $V_F$  according to treatment.

## 2.5.4 | Attached tube feet

A waterproof Canon Powershot d10 camera was placed under the transparent working section and took 10 frames/min of individuals' oral side. Individuals moved very fast reaching areas where photographs could not be taken. Therefore, a flow velocity gradient in which velocity increased every minute (Flow1' regime) was implemented. Sea urchin photographs were used to count the number of attached TF was counted. The density of total attached TF relative to oral test surface area ( $TF_{att}$ , mm<sup>-2</sup>) and the percentage of total attached TF relative to the number of adoral TF ( $TF_{att}\%$ , %) were calculated. The number of adoral TF (from the ambitus toward the oral peristome) was estimated on cleaned tests, considering that a pair of ambulacral pores corresponds to a single tube foot (Santos & Flammang, 2007; Smith, 1978).

## 2.6 | Statistics

Statistical tests are explained in detail in S4 in Appendix S1. A first general linear model (model 1) was developed to determine the probability of dislodgement according to flow velocity ( $V_F$ ), morphology ( $d_{test}$ ,  $h_{test}$ ,  $l_{spine}$ ), and flow regime (Flow2', Flow1') between treatments. A second model (model 2) was conceived to identify the behavioral variables controlling  $V_{Det}$ , while a third model (model 3) aimed to determine whether  $V_{Mov}$  varied according to treatment and/or  $V_F$ . See Tables S1–S3 in Appendix S1 for model details. Model selection and validation were performed using R v3.4.1 software (R Development Core Team, 2015) as in Cohen-Rengifo et al. (2018).

## 3 | RESULTS

Target treatments were maintained stable during the 81 days of experiment. Seawater and carbonate system parameters per treatment, averaged over the whole experiment, are available in Table 1.



**TABLE 2** Means values ( $\pm$ SD,  $n = 3$ ) for physiological parameters in *Paracentrotus lividus* according to experimental treatment at w1 and w8

	Treatments							ANOVA	
	Time	17°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.9 control	17°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.7	17°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.4	21°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.9	21°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.7	21°C-pH <sub>T</sub> 7.4 extreme	F <sub>5,12</sub>	p-Value
Respiration rate (O <sub>2</sub> μmol hr <sup>-1</sup> g <sup>-1</sup> )	w1	0.72 ± 0.24	0.82 ± 0.20	0.81 ± 0.22	0.89 ± 0.16	0.95 ± 0.15	0.86 ± 0.15	1.234*	.357
	w8	0.88 ± 0.22	1.08 ± 0.23	1.03 ± 0.36	1.28 ± 0.39	1.17 ± 0.17	1.02 ± 0.15	2.111	.134
pH <sub>NIST-CF</sub> (pH units)	w1	7.61 ± 0.08	7.56 ± 0.10	7.58 ± 0.09	7.62 ± 0.02	7.69 ± 0.08	7.64 ± 0.04	0.975	.471
	w8	7.61 ± 0.09	7.55 ± 0.01	7.54 ± 0.07	7.54 ± 0.11	7.58 ± 0.08	7.62 ± 0.07	0.796	.573
A <sub>T-CF</sub> (mmol/kg <sub>SW</sub> )	w1	3.89 ± 0.26 <sup>a</sup>	5.41 ± 0.81 <sup>b</sup>	5.99 ± 0.61 <sup>b</sup>	3.65 ± 0.32 <sup>a</sup>	4.92 ± 0.20 <sup>ab</sup>	5.44 ± 0.58 <sup>b</sup>	10.361	<.001
	w8	5.54 ± 0.85	5.11 ± 0.47	5.64 ± 0.22	5.02 ± 0.11	4.45 ± 0.05	5.81 ± 0.82	1.272	.338
Buffer capacity (mmol/kg <sub>SW</sub> )	w1	1.25 ± 0.19 <sup>bc</sup>	2.58 ± 0.86 <sup>ab</sup>	3.04 ± 0.67 <sup>a</sup>	0.95 ± 0.36 <sup>c</sup>	2.32 ± 0.17 <sup>ab</sup>	2.82 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	5.051	<.001
	w8	3.59 ± 0.95	3.21 ± 0.60	3.97 ± 0.27	2.98 ± 0.20	2.62 ± 0.02	4.05 ± 0.77	2.357	.104
Test diameter increment (%)	w12	21 ± 6	15 ± 2	17 ± 2	15 ± 3	14 ± 1	10 ± 5	2.675	.075
Sea urchin diameter with spines increment (%)	w12	19 ± 3 <sup>a</sup>	11 ± 2 <sup>ab</sup>	9 ± 4 <sup>b</sup>	16 ± 4 <sup>a</sup>	15 ± 3 <sup>a</sup>	2 ± 3 <sup>b</sup>	8.965	.001
Jaw size increment (mm)	w12	0.69 ± 0.10 <sup>a</sup>	0.33 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.31 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.38 ± 0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.32 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.27 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup>	17.064	<.001
*F <sub>5,11</sub>									

Note: ANOVA results showing  $F$  statistic and  $p$ -values are shown. pH<sub>NIST-CF</sub> pH of the coelomic fluid in NIST scale;  $A_{T-CF}$  total alkalinity of the coelomic fluid, buffer capacity: ( $\Delta A_T = A_{T-CF} - A_{T-SW}$ ). Significant differences between means of treatments are indicated by letters in superscript; means sharing the same superscript are not significantly different ( $p$ -Tukey  $\geq .05$ ).

Values for seawater parameters and all linear variables are throughout expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD.

### 3.1 | Physiological state

Increment in echinoid diameter, with and without spines, showed the highest values in control conditions ( $21 \pm 6\%$  and  $19 \pm 3\%$ , respectively) and the lowest ones in the extreme treatment ( $10 \pm 5\%$  and  $2 \pm 3\%$ , respectively). However, these differences were only significant for sea urchin diameter with spines ( $p = .001$ , Table 2). Jaw size increment of control individuals significantly doubled that of individuals in all other treatments ( $p < .001$ ).

Respiration rate and pH<sub>NIST-CF</sub> did not vary between treatments at any time ( $p > .13$ ) and ranged between 0.72 and 1.28  $\mu\text{mol O}_2 \text{hr}^{-1} \text{g}^{-1}$  or between 7.54 and 7.69 pH units, respectively (Table 2). Buffer capacity of the CF (i.e.,  $\Delta A_{T-CF} = A_{T-CF} - A_{T-seawater}$ ) was significantly higher at pH<sub>T</sub>7.4 at w1 ( $p < .001$ ) but it was not affected by treatment at w8 (Table 2).

### 3.2 | Microhabitat conditions

Coastal pH<sub>T-SW</sub> was  $8.10 \pm 0.05$  ( $n = 4$ ) while tide pool pH<sub>T-SW</sub> ranged from 7.4 to 8.8 and varied with time and compartment (S5 in Appendix S1). pH<sub>T-SW</sub> below the sea urchins was significantly lower than that over them during the day low tides ( $p \leq .013$ ), but not during the night low tides (S5 in Appendix S1, Figure S3). Seawater  $A_{T-SW}$  was not affected by time nor by compartment (S5 in Appendix S1).


### 3.3 | No-flow biomechanics

#### 3.3.1 | Individual and tube foot disk adhesive properties

None of the disk properties varied with treatment at any time (Table S4). Sea urchin detachment force significantly differed with treatment only at w1 ( $p = .013$ ), but not compared to the control. Interestingly, whereas  $F_{\text{urchin}}$  declined with time,  $T_{\text{disk}}$  remained stable.

#### 3.3.2 | Tube foot stem mechanical properties

Breaking force varied significantly at w1 ( $p = .018$ ) and at w8 ( $p = .020$ ), but only between the two extreme treatments (17°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.9 vs. 21°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.4, Figure 2a).  $S_{CT}$  differed significantly only at w1 ( $p < .001$ ), being the largest at 17°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.7 and the smallest at 21°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.4 compared to the control (Figure 2b). Extensibility did not differ between treatments at any time (Figure 2c). Tensile strength, stiffness, and toughness (Figure 2d-f) showed significant differences at both times ( $p < .021$ ; Table S5). At w1, these properties were the highest in the control and differed significantly from those at 17°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.7, in which the lowest values were observed. At w8, these properties were not significantly different between the control and other treatments.



**FIGURE 2** Tube foot mechanical properties (mean  $\pm$  SD,  $n = 3$ ) of *Paracentrotus lividus* per treatment at weeks 1 (w1) and 8 (w8). (a) Breaking force (N), (b)  $S_{CT}$ : cross-sectional surface area of the stem connective tissue layer ( $\mu\text{m}^2$ ), (c) extensibility (unitless), (d) tensile strength (MPa), (e) stiffness (MPa), and (f) toughness ( $\text{MJ m}^{-3}$ ). Significant differences between treatments for w1 or w8 are indicated by lowercase or uppercase letters, respectively; means sharing the same letter are not significantly different ( $p$ -Tukey  $\geq .05$ )

### 3.4 | In-flow biomechanics

In the flume tank, individuals detachment started to occur at a flow velocity of 40 cm/s ( $V_{F40}$ ) at 17°C, while at 21°C detachment started at  $V_{F30}$  (Figure 3a). At pH<sub>T</sub>7.7, 40% of sea urchins detached at slow flows ( $V_{F45}$ ). Mean detachment velocity ( $V_{Det}$ ; Figure 3c) significantly varied with treatments ( $F_{5,85} = 3.54$ ,  $p = .006$ ). Control animals detached at the fastest flow ( $V_{Det} = 67.3 \pm 5.7$  cm/s), while those at pH<sub>T</sub>7.7 detached at the slowest flow for both 17°C ( $V_{Det} = 49.3 \pm 2.1$  cm/s,  $p$ -Tukey = .017) and 21°C ( $V_{Det} = 51.7 \pm 6.6$  cm/s,  $p$ -Tukey = .046).  $V_{Det}$  in every treatment was modulated by the density of attached TF ( $p = .007$ ) and by the interaction of both shape variables (spine° circularity:  $.003 \leq p \leq .007$ , estimate = 1.13; circularity is a shape index, see S1 in Appendix S1) and movement variables ( $V_{Mov}$ -Dir<sub>Mov</sub>:  $.044 \leq p \leq .045$ , estimate = -0.005; Table S2, Figure S4). The probability of dislodgment increased with  $V_F$  for every treatment (Figure 2b, Table S1), being the lowest in control conditions while the highest at 21°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.7 (Figure 3b); this probability was higher for wider and taller individuals presenting longer spines (Table S1).

### 3.5 | Active movement

Active movement velocity ( $V_{Mov}$ ) was affected by the interaction between treatment and  $V_F$  ( $p < .047$ ; Table S3, Figures S5 and S6). Sea urchins reduced their  $V_{Mov}$  with increasing  $V_F$  in all treatments. The combined effect of temperature and pH increased  $V_{Mov}$  at 17°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.7 ( $p < .001$ ), 21°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.9 ( $p < .001$ ), and 21°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.7 ( $p = .017$ ), while it did not affect  $V_{Mov}$  at pH<sub>T</sub>7.4 ( $p > .051$ ; Table S3,

Figure S7). The median maximal  $V_{Mov}$  was higher with increased temperature for pH<sub>T</sub>7.9 (17°C:0.059 cm/s; 21°C:0.123 cm/s), pH<sub>T</sub>7.7 (17°C:0.071 cm/s; 21°C:0.100 cm/s), and pH<sub>T</sub>7.4 (17°C:0.091 cm/s; 21°C:0.101 cm/s). According to confidence intervals, individuals stopped moving at  $V_{F65}$  in the control and at 17°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.4 while in the other treatments they stopped at  $V_{F55}$ .


At initial flow velocities ( $V_{F30}$ - $V_{F35}$ ), the proportion of sea urchins moving upstream was >78% in the 17°C treatments, but <59% in the 21°C treatments (Figure S8). At pH<sub>T</sub>7.9 (Figure 4a,d), individuals shifted to the downstream at  $V_{F50}$  at 17°C ( $p$ -Tukey<sub>Moore's<sub>Test</sub></sub> = .02) and at  $V_{F45}$  at 21°C ( $p$ -Tukey<sub>Moore's<sub>Test</sub></sub> = 0.002). At pH<sub>T</sub>7.7, the relationship between  $V_F$  and direction of movement (Dir<sub>Mov</sub>) is poor and nonsignificant. Indeed, at 17°C (Figure 4b) there is no clear shift in direction, while at 21°C most sea urchins moved downstream (Figures 4e and S8). At pH<sub>T</sub>7.4, a significant shift in movement direction occurred sooner than in the control, at  $V_{F40}$  (at 17°C,  $p$ -Tukey<sub>Moore's<sub>Test</sub></sub> = 0.002 and at 21°C,  $p$ -Tukey<sub>Moore's<sub>Test</sub></sub> = 0.02). But, from  $V_{F40}$  on, the small lengths of vector (Figure 4c,f) indicate that individuals got progressively dispersed; hence, Dir<sub>Mov</sub> seemed disconnected from  $V_F$ .

### 3.6 | Attached tube feet

The density of total attached TF ( $TF_{att}$ ) decreased with  $V_F$  ( $p_{reg} \leq 0.001$ ) in all treatments (Figure 5), though  $V_F$  only accounted for 11%–34% of the variation.  $TF_{att}$  significantly differed between treatments ( $F_{5,754} = 10.8$ ,  $p < .001$ ), being the lowest at 21°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.7. The percentage of detached TF at  $V_{F90}$  was the highest (83%) in the control and the lowest (42%) at 17°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.4 (Table S6).

### 3.7 | Shape and spine analyses

The shape outline of the sea urchins differed according to  $V_F$  and treatments ( $F_{\text{two-way\_MANOVA}(30,210)} = 1.33$ ,  $p \leq .001$ ). Variation in shape according to  $V_F$  was not significant at  $pH_{T7.7}$  for both temperatures ( $p_{\text{pairwise\_MANOVA}} \geq .37$ ). In the control, shape significantly started to change at  $V_{F60}$  ( $p_{\text{pairwise\_MANOVA}} \leq .001$ ) and was mainly modulated by homogeneous movements of upstream spines toward the downstream, that is, symmetrically to the right and left of the flow (Figure 6). At  $17^\circ\text{C-pH}_{T7.4}$ , shape started to change at  $V_{F55}$  ( $p_{\text{pairwise\_MANOVA}} = .016$ ), but the final streamlined shape was poor (Figure 6). At  $21^\circ\text{C-pH}_{T7.9}$ , shape variation occurred at  $V_{F50}$  ( $p_{\text{pairwise\_MANOVA}} = .011$ ), and the streamlined shape was more pronounced than in the control (Figure 6). Finally, at  $21^\circ\text{C-pH}_{T7.4}$ , shape started to change at  $V_{F50}$  ( $p_{\text{pairwise\_MANOVA}} = .024$ ), but as spines situated upstream moved asymmetrically (Figure 6) and spines situated perpendicular to the flow moved very little ( $p_{\text{Moore's\_Test}} > 0.2$ ), the resulting shape was not streamlined.



**FIGURE 3** (a) Proportion of attached *Paracentrotus lividus* per flow velocity (cm/s) and treatment. (b) Probability of dislodgement, gray dots represent probability of detachment per flow, while lines reflect their mean values per treatment. (c) Detachment velocity according to treatment ( $n = 3$ ), means sharing the same superscript are not significantly different ( $p$ -Tukey  $\geq .05$ )

Spine angle (Spine°) analysis revealed that spines situated perpendicular to the flow moved significantly downstream at  $V_{F60}$  in the control ( $p_{\text{Moore's\_Test-VF30\_Vs\_VF60}} = .04$ ) and at  $V_{F65}$  ( $p_{\text{Moore's\_Test-VF30\_Vs\_VF65}} = .03$ ) at  $17^\circ\text{C-pH}_{T7.4}$ . At  $21^\circ\text{C-pH}_{T7.9}$ , spine° differed between  $V_{F35}$ - $V_{F70}$  ( $p_{\text{Moore's\_Test}} = 0.03$ ) and  $V_{F50}$ - $V_{F60}$  ( $p_{\text{Moore's\_Test}} = .03$ ) indicating an unclear shift in spine orientation. Spine° did not vary with  $V_F$  at  $pH_{T7.7}$  for both temperatures ( $17^\circ\text{C}; p_{\text{Moore's\_Test}} > 0.6$ ,  $21^\circ\text{C}; p_{\text{Moore's\_Test}} > 0.1$ ). Mean Spine° is shown in Figure S10.

## 4 | DISCUSSION

The integrative approach of this study revealed that resistance to hydrodynamism in *Paracentrotus lividus* resulted from a complex array of behavioral and mechanical strategies performed to trade-off for negative effects in physiology, TF biomechanics, and behavior that occurred under a mid-term exposure to simultaneous OW and OA. Furthermore, our experiments revealed plastic responses that were highly variable according to treatments and increasing flows.

### 4.1 | Physiological state and microhabitat preadaptation


Within pits, *P. lividus* experiences dual  $pH_{T-SW}$  values at the same temporal scale, being significantly lower ( $-0.2$  units) below the individual than over it. This difference in  $pH_{T-SW}$  is probably linked to (a) the absence of water motion at low tide which restrains water renewal and gas exchange and to (b) the respiratory activity of the rock biofilm and the sea urchin. So, the natural pH and temperature diel fluctuations (Kwiatkowski et al., 2016; Moulin, Catarino, Claessens, & Dubois, 2011; Truchot & Duhamel-Jouve, 1980), together with the dual pH conditions, can explain *P. lividus* plastic physiological responses observed under a broad pH spectrum, and the tolerance to experimental chronic low pH that allows to maintain stable both coelomic fluid pH and respiration rate (Catarino et al., 2012; Collard et al., 2013; Collard, Dery, Dehairs, & Dubois, 2014).

Jaw and sea urchin (test diameter with spines) growths were 2.6-fold and 2.5-fold, respectively, lower in the treatments compared to the control. Coping with warming and extracellular acidosis over the course of 8 weeks could have led to a lower resource allocation to growth (Hofmann & Todgham, 2010; Stumpp, Trübenbach, Brennecke, Hu, & Melzner, 2012). Although individuals were fed equally in every treatment, a possible modified digestive efficiency due to OW and/or OA (not measured) could account for the observed growth differences.

### 4.2 | No-flow tube foot mechanical performance

At w1, control breaking force is comparable with values previously reported for *P. lividus* (Cohen-Rengifo et al., 2017). Overall, mechanical properties showed no clear pattern at w1, which could reflect the different individual rates of acclimation to seawater changes. At w8, stems at  $17^\circ\text{C-pH}_{T7.7}$  can absorb more energy during deformation (toughest stems) and therefore are more resistant to an external load





**FIGURE 4** Mean vectors of displacement direction (in degrees) per flow velocity ( $V_F$ ) and treatment in *Paracentrotus lividus*. Colored arrow length is inversely proportional to data dispersion. White arrow showing the flow provenance (F), with angles between 0 and 180° implying a downstream displacement direction and angles between 180 and 360 an upstream displacement. Displacement direction at 17°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.9 from Cohen-Rengifo et al. (2018)

(strongest stems). In contrast, at 21°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.4 (extreme) stem breaking force seemed deteriorated, being 1.5-fold lower than that in the control. In the starfish *Asterias rubens*, TF strength was not affected by pH<sub>T</sub>7.4, while several mechanical properties of the byssus threads in the bivalves *Pinctada fucata* and *Mytilus trossulus* (O'Donnell et al., 2013) were reduced probably due to a shift in energy allocation or to a pH-induced alteration during the adhesive curing process (Collard et al., 2013; George & Carrington, 2018; Li et al., 2017; O'Donnell et al., 2013).


The lower adhesive strength of whole individuals observed at w8 compared to w1 could be related, to some extent, to a downregulation of the adhesive protein Nectin under experimental conditions (Toubarro et al., 2016). However, since neither disk tenacity nor disk detachment force declined with time, reduced adhesive strength of the whole individual is more likely due to a lower number of attached TF, in response to a lack of external stimuli (Cohen-Rengifo et al., 2018) under no-flow conditions.

It is important to highlight that a sevenfold higher force is needed to break the stem than to detach the disk from the substratum,

meaning that, under a given external load, tube foot detachment can occur before tube foot breakage. It is probably more cost-effective to produce new adhesive compound than to lose an entire adhesive organ. Support for this statement comes additionally from nonquantified observations during flume tank trials in which TF detachment involved very low rates of stem breakage. In addition, the fact that mechanical properties of the stem decrease with time, while adhesive properties of the disk did not, can indicate that mechanical properties of the stem played a less relevant role in attachment capacity than adhesive properties of the disk. Indeed, the noncovalent adhesive and cohesive interactions between the adhesive compound and the substratum and, within the adhesive itself, could be influenced by pH, but larger pH changes would be necessary to significantly modify these interactions (Flammang, Demeuldre, Hennebert, & Santos, 2016). Our observation that adhesion strength is not influenced by reduced pH seems to corroborate this hypothesis. In the light of this, sea urchin dislodgement should be mainly modulated by behavioral responses to cope with the impact of high temperature and low pH.

### 4.3 | In-flow behavioral strategies in warmer and more acidic ocean

Three main behavioral strategies were observed to avoid detachment: (a) improving TF attachment, implemented during the whole flow velocity range; (b) escaping the flow, at slow flow velocities ( $V_{F30}$ – $V_{F45}$ ); and (c) streamlining, at fast flow velocities ( $V_{F50}$ – $V_{F90}$ ). The latter two have been already reported for *P. lividus* under current seawater conditions by Cohen-Rengifo et al. (2018).



**FIGURE 5** Regression slopes with  $R^2$  and  $p$ -values for the density of total attached TF relative to ambient test surface ( $TF_{att}$ ) per treatment and flow velocity. Data were transformed with  $X' = \log_{10}(X + 1)$ . Significant differences between treatments are indicated by letters; means sharing the same letter are not significantly different ( $p$ -Tukey  $\geq .05$ ). \*Data from Cohen-Rengifo et al. (2018)

#### 4.3.1 | Improving tube foot attachment strategy


Intuitively, the first reaction of an organism that depends on its adhesive appendages to resist flow is by using them. According to our results, a first behavioral strategy, when climate change had mechanically weakened these organs, was to compensate by increasing the number of attached TF or by controlling the percentage of detached TF. This either amplifies the total adhesive force or avoids its decrease. The mean percentage of detached TF at  $V_{F90}$  was lower in every climate change treatment, being even halved at 17°C-pH<sub>T</sub>7.4 (–42%) with respect to the control (–83%). In the extreme condition, animals initially improved the density of attached TF, but the persistent hydrodynamic stress combined with OW and OA was detrimental (TF detachment up to 69%) and lead to earlier detachment.

#### 4.3.2 | Escaping strategy

$V_{Mov}$  increased with temperature at pH<sub>T</sub>7.9 and pH<sub>T</sub>7.7. Enhanced locomotion and activity have been observed in response to warming (Kidawa, Potocka, & Janecki, 2010; Pewsey, 2004; Young, Peck, & Matheson, 2006) and acidification (Cripps et al., 2011; Manríquez et al., 2013; Nilsson et al., 2012; Spady, Watson, Chase, & Munday, 2014), but there is a poor understanding about the effects of combined stressors. As with the echinoid *Loxechinus albus* (Manríquez et al., 2017), our results revealed positive synergistic effects of OW and OA, since sea urchins were driven to adopt a riskier behavior by moving faster despite the hydrodynamic stress. On the contrary, negative synergistic effects of OW and OA were reported on locomotion of jumbo squid (Rosa & Seibel, 2008) and a decapod (Dissanayake & Ishimatsu, 2011) as a result of reduced metabolic scope.

At slow flow velocities, sea urchins reared under climate change conditions displayed an escaping behavior by moving fast, looking for less hydrodynamically stressful zones. Yet, their movement stopped sooner, probably because they cannot move safely anymore. In the control, at  $V_{F50}$ , 31% of sea urchins moved at the slowest  $V_{Mov}$  and stopped moving at  $V_{F65}$  (Cohen-Rengifo et al., 2018). This proportion increased to 50%–69% in individuals reared under climate

**FIGURE 6** Initial and final shape of *Paracentrotus lividus* for treatments in which shape varied significantly with flow velocity. Reconstructed outlines in white and planform shape in gray. Arrow represents flow provenance



change conditions, in which a complete interruption of movement occurred mainly at  $V_{F55}$ .

Modified behavior resulted from three main pathways: elevated metabolic load, avoidance behavior away from the localized source of change, and information disruption (Briffa, Haye, & Munday, 2012; Lüring & Scheffer, 2007). The observed negative effects on growth and on behavior were probably due to an overall increase in metabolic load to cope acidosis and a shift in energy allocation. In addition, the implementation of an escaping behavior suggests that detection of the hydrodynamic stressor was well accomplished but as sea urchins adopted a riskier behavior, their interpretation of the hazardous hydrodynamic conditions may have been altered. Indeed, in marine vertebrates and crustaceans, behavioral changes often take place through info-disruption that occurs when their ability to gather and assess information and consequently their decision-making are impaired (Briffa et al., 2012; de la Haye, Spicer, Widdicombe, & Briffa, 2011).

Control *P. lividus* favored a mean downstream displacement at fast flows while in the other treatments, movement was random and characterized by constant back and forth. A change in movement patterns in acidified waters has been observed in a teleost probably because  $\text{CO}_2$  affected its neurophysiology or because  $\text{CO}_2$  was detected as a constant stressor (Green & Jutfelt, 2014).

### 4.3.3 | Streamlining strategy

Whereas spine movements led to a change in the overall shape, the direction and amplitude of these movements determined whether the final shape was streamlined or not. For instance, at  $21^\circ\text{C-pH}_{7.4}$  even though shape significantly changed, the final shape was not streamlined (Figure 6). The lack in coordination between spines movements and shape modification demonstrated that individuals displayed streamlining behavior in an atypical way. Although atypical or absent streamlining is detrimental for detachment, it can also favor feeding behavior as spines in a "up position" can capture drifting algae.

Control *P. lividus* displayed a first reaction to increasing flows at  $V_{F35}$  as observed in *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus* that perceives flow variations at flow velocities as slow as  $<10\text{ cm/s}$ , a behavior that hitches always leads to a streamlining behavior (Stewart & Britton-Simmons, 2011). In the climate change treatments, no early reaction to flow was observed in *P. lividus*, attesting for a possible disruption in information processing. In various organisms, behavioral abnormalities have been attributed to changes in seawater chemistry that leads malfunctions in neurological mechanisms (such as information processing) involving type A  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid ( $\text{GABA}_A$ ) receptors (Chivers et al., 2014; Domenici et al., 2012; de la Haye et al., 2011; Nilsson et al., 2012; Tuomainen & Candolin, 2011). These ionotropic receptors present a high conductivity for  $\text{Cl}^-$  and for  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  (Bormann, Hamill, & Sakmann, 1987; Nilsson et al., 2012). Echinoids including *P. lividus* protect themselves against acidosis through accumulation of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  in the extracellular fluid, inducing compensatory reductions in  $\text{Cl}^-$  (Collard et al., 2014; Miles, Widdicombe,


Spicer, & Hall-Spencer, 2007; Stumpp et al., 2012). The excitatory action of GABA resulting in increased  $\text{Cl}^-$  has been already reported in echinoid tube feet (Florey, Cahill, & Rathmayer, 1975). We hypothesize that high  $\text{CO}_2$  could alter information processing through the  $\text{GABA}_A$  pathway, leading to the behavioral modifications observed in *P. lividus*.

### 4.3.4 | Integration of responses and ecological implications

Responses facing simultaneous OW and OA did not follow an intuitive pathway and were sometimes conflicting, making difficult to identify causalities and to discriminate the drivers governing one behavior or another. For instance, animals held at  $21^\circ\text{C-pH}_{7.7}$  showed improved TF mechanical properties but worst behavioral performance than animal held at  $21^\circ\text{C-pH}_{7.4}$ . This might indicate that the biochemical paths and neurological mechanisms operate better in acidic environments. Likewise, sea urchins that attached with the lowest density of TF ( $21^\circ\text{C-pH}_{7.7}$ ) did not move consequently at the lowest velocity, but on the contrary, showed the same median maximal velocity as individuals that attached with the highest number of TF ( $21^\circ\text{C-pH}_{7.4}$ ). Therefore,  $\text{TF}_{\text{att}}$  did not modulate the velocity at which sea urchins moved, at least not directly or not only by itself.

Figure 7 provides a conceptual framework showing the effects of OW and OA on physiology, biomechanics and behavior according to flow velocity. Weakened TF stems and unaltered TF disks under OW and OA seemed to have a moderate role in sea urchin overall attachment. Concerning physiology, the energy flux to maintain acid-base balance probably disfavored energy allocation for skeleton and spine growth. However, under increased hydrodynamics, a smaller size can reduce dislodgement risk. Alteration in information processing leads to varied behavioral modifications. To avoid detachment in a warmer and more acidic environment with simultaneous increase in flow velocity, sea urchins implemented a first strategy by increasing the density of attached TF and controlling the percentage of TF detachment. Info-disruption could have altered risk assessment of the hydrodynamic stress and decision-making, and drove animals through two routes. First, at slow flow velocities ( $V_{F30}$ – $V_{F45}$ ), spines did not react normally to flow variations, favoring the second strategy, escaping the flow. Echinoids in every climate change treatment moved faster to optimize shelter search. Yet, their movement stopped sooner. Second, at fast flow velocities ( $V_{F50}$ – $V_{F90}$ ), the third strategy, streamlining was performed atypically or was not achieved at all. In both cases, this accounted for an earlier dislodgement.

When animals experience an environmental change, their earliest response is often a plastic modification of their behavior (Tuomainen & Candolin, 2011). The success of the behavioral change will depend on the rapidity of their reaction (Price, Qvarnstrom, & Irwin, 2003) and whether populations have or not encountered similar conditions during their recent evolutionary history (Ghalambor, McKAY, Carroll, & Reznick, 2007). Adjustments in behavior could be beneficial if they improve fitness by increasing survival or reproductive



**FIGURE 7** Conceptual framework showing physiological, mechanical, and behavioral responses favoring (+), impairing (−), or not affecting (=) sea urchin attachment under ocean warming (OW) and acidification (OA). Sea urchins were subjected to 6 treatments including two temperatures (17°C and 21°C) and three  $pH_T$  (7.9, 7.7, and 7.4). Compared to the control (C), responses increased (↑), decreased (↓), did not change (↔), were atypical (X), or not achieved (XX). Dashed lines stand for deficiency. Physiology and tube foot biomechanics were measured under no-flow, while behavior was evaluated under increasing flow velocity ( $V_F$ : 30–90 cm/s). To avoid dislodgement, three behavioral strategies were undertaken: improving tube foot attachment, escaping the flow, and streamlining. See list of abbreviations in Appendix S2

success (Tuomainen & Candolin, 2011). Moving fast to escape the flow can be considered as an adaptive response as it reduces the probability of dislodgment and therefore improves survival. On the contrary, atypical or impaired streamlining can be maladaptive as it causes earlier dislodgment which reduces survival. Individuals showing plasticity are often selected to constitute populations that can survive rapid environmental changes and ensure population persistence (Kinnison & Hairston, 2007), which might be the case for the *P. lividus* Aber population.

In intertidal pits, sea urchins experience fluctuating pH that is often close to 7.7 or even lower below the animal. Whereas this reality induced adaptive physiological and mechanical responses when only two stressors were evaluated (OW and OA), maladaptive behavioral responses appeared when another factor (hydrodynamics) was included. In the near-future, *P. lividus* inhabiting pits will experience more severe pH/ $pCO_2$  conditions. However, this could be partially compensate by diel natural fluctuations since animals will be exposed progressively to reduce pH (Jarrold, Humphrey, McCormick, & Munday, 2017). It is probable that *P. lividus* would successfully face

pH stress, yet the outcome of the interaction with a fluctuating temperature remains unknown.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank four anonymous reviewers for their fruitful and constructive comments. We thank P. Pernet, M. Bauwens, G. Bilodeau, and G. Martellacci for their support during fieldwork; N. Roelvros and L. Chou for providing Tris/AMP buffers; M. Bauwens for taking morphological measurements and footprint pictures; G. Martellacci, P. Pernet, G. Bilodeau, and N. Puozzo for graphical edition; P. Pernet for the manual tracking; J. Vandewalle for spine measurements; L. van Jzerloo, J. van Dalen, and B. Sinke for their technical support at NIOZ-Yerseke; M. Collard for her support during experimental setup; and A. Pewsey and C. Detrain for circular statistical advises.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None declared.



## AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION

MC-R, PF, and PD conceived the project. MC-R, AA, TB, PF, and PD provided ideas and initiatives. MC-R, AA, TB, PF, and PD designed the experimental design and setup. MC-R, AA, and SM collected and treated the data. MC-R, AA, and PD conceived the statistical treatments. MC-R led the writing of the manuscript. All authors contributed significantly to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Datasets are available in <https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.123t3gr>.

## ORCID

Mishal Cohen-Rengifo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3404-1479>

## REFERENCES

- Agüera, A., & Brophy, D. (2011). Use of sagittal otolith shape analysis to discriminate Northeast Atlantic and Western Mediterranean stocks of Atlantic saury, *Scomberesox saurus saurus* (Walbaum). *Fisheries Research*, 110(3), 465–471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2011.06.003>
- Agüera, A., van de Koppel, J., Jansen, J. M., Smaal, A. C., & Bouma, T. J. (2015). Beyond food: A foundation species facilitates its own predator. *Oikos*, 124(10), 1367–1373. <https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.01949>
- Bach, L. T. (2015). Reconsidering the role of carbonate ion concentration in calcification by marine organisms. *Biogeosciences*, 12(16), 4939–4951. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-4939-2015>
- Bacon, S., & Carter, D. (1991). Wave climate changes in the North Atlantic and North Sea. *International Journal of Climatology*, 11, 545–558. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370110507>
- Bertin, X., Prouteau, E., & Letetrel, C. (2013). A significant increase in wave height in the North Atlantic Ocean over the 20th century. *Global and Planetary Change*, 106, 77–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.03.009>
- Bibby, R., Cleall-Harding, P., Rundle, S., Widdicombe, S., & Spicer, J. (2007). Ocean acidification disrupts induced defences in the intertidal gastropod *Littorina littorea*. *Biology Letters*, 3, 699–701. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2007.0457>
- Bormann, J., Hamill, O. P., & Sakmann, B. (1987). Mechanism of anion permeation through channels gated by glycine and gamma-aminobutyric acid in mouse cultured spinal neurones. *The Journal of Physiology*, 385(1), 243–286. <https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.1987.sp016493>
- Bouma, T. J., De Vries, M. B., Low, E., Peralta, G., Tanczos, I. C., van de Koppel, J., & Herman, P. M. J. (2005). Trade-offs related to ecosystem engineering: A case study on stiffness of emerging macrophytes. *Ecology*, 86(8), 2187–2199. <https://doi.org/10.1890/04-1588>
- Briffa, M., De la Haye, K., & Munday, P. L. (2012). High CO<sub>2</sub> and marine animal behaviour: Potential mechanisms and ecological consequences. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 64, 1519–1528. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2012.05.032>
- Britton-Simmons, K. H., Foley, G., & Okamoto, D. (2009). Spatial subsidy in the subtidal zone: Utilization of drift algae by a deep subtidal sea urchin. *Aquatic Biology*, 5, 233–243. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-016-0101-5>
- Burnell, O. W., Russell, B. D., Irving, A. D., & Connell, S. D. (2013). Eutrophication offsets increased sea urchin grazing on seagrass caused by ocean warming and acidification. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 485, 37–46. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps10323>
- Byrne, M. (2011). Impact of ocean warming and ocean acidification on marine invertebrate life history stages: Vulnerabilities and potential for persistence in a changing ocean. *Oceanography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review*, 49, 1–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marenvres.2011.10.00>
- Caldeira, K., & Wickett, M. E. (2003). Anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH. *Nature*, 425, 365. <https://doi.org/10.1038/425365a>
- Carey, N., Harianto, J., & Byrne, M. (2016). Sea urchins in a high-CO<sub>2</sub> world: Partitioned effects of body size, ocean warming and acidification on metabolic rate. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 219(8), 1178–1186. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.136101>
- Catarino, A. I., Bauwens, M., & Dubois, P. (2012). Acid-base balance and metabolic response of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* to different seawater pH and temperatures. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 19(6), 2344–2353. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-012-0743-1>
- Chan, K. Y. K., Grünbaum, D., Arnberg, M., & Dupont, S. (2016). Impacts of ocean acidification on survival, growth, and swimming behaviours differ between larval urchins and brittlestars. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73(3), 951–961. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsv073>
- Chivers, D. P., McCormick, M. I., Nilsson, G. E., Munday, P. L., Watson, S. A., Meekan, M. G., ... Ferrari, M. C. (2014). Impaired learning of predators and lower prey survival under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: A consequence of neurotransmitter interference. *Global Change Biology*, 20(2), 515–522. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12291>
- Cohen-Rengifo, M., Agüera, A., Detrain, C., Bouma, T. J., Dubois, P., & Flammang, P. (2018). Biomechanics and behaviour in the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* (Lamarck, 1816) when facing gradually increasing water flows. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 506, 61–71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2018.05.010>
- Cohen-Rengifo, M., Moureaux, C., Dubois, P., & Flammang, P. (2017). Attachment capacity of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* in a range of seawater velocities in relation to test morphology and tube foot mechanical properties. *Marine Biology*, 164(4), 164–179. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-017-3114-0>
- Collard, M., Catarino, A. I., Bonnet, S., Flammang, P., & Dubois, P. (2013). Effects of CO<sub>2</sub>-induced ocean acidification on physiological and mechanical properties of the starfish *Asterias rubens*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 446, 355–362. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2013.06.003>
- Collard, M., Dery, A., Dehairs, F., & Dubois, P. (2014). Euechinoidea and Cidaroidea respond differently to ocean acidification. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology*, 174, 45–55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2014.04.011>
- Coma, R., Ribes, M., Serrano, E., Jiménez, E., Salat, J., & Pascual, J. (2009). Global warming-enhanced stratification and mass mortality events in the Mediterranean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 16, 6176–6181. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0805801106>
- Cripps, I. L., Munday, P. L., & McCormick, M. I. (2011). Ocean acidification affects prey detection by a predatory reef fish. *PLoS ONE*, 6(7), e22736. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0022736>
- Dance, C. (1987). Patterns of activity of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* in the Bay of Port-Cros. *Marine Ecology*, 8(2), 131–142.
- de la Haye, K. L., Spicer, J. I., Widdicombe, S., & Briffa, M. (2011). Reduced sea water pH disrupts resource assessment and decision making in the hermit crab *Pagurus bernhardus*. *Animal Behaviour*, 82, 495–501. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2011.05.030>
- DelValls, T., & Dickson, A. (1998). The pH of buffers based on 2-amino-2-hydroxymethyl-1, 3-propanediol ('tris') in synthetic sea water. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 45(9), 1541–1554. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(98\)00019-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(98)00019-3)



- Denny, M. W. (1988). *Biology and the mechanics of the wave-swept environment*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Denny, M. W., & Gaylord, B. (1996). Why the urchin lost its spines: Hydrodynamic forces and survivorship in three echinoids. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 199, 717–729.
- Dery, A., Collard, M., & Dubois, P. (2017). Ocean acidification reduces spine mechanical strength in Euechinoid but not in Cidaroid sea urchins. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 51(7), 3640–3648. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b05138>
- Dickson, A. G., & Millero, F. J. (1987). A comparison of the equilibrium constants for the dissociation of carbonic acid in sea-water media. *Deep Sea Research*, 34, 1733–1743. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(87\)90021-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90021-5)
- Dissanayake, A., & Ishimatsu, A. (2011). Synergistic effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature on the metabolic scope and activity in a shallow-water coastal decapod (*Metapenaeus joyneri*; Crustacea: Penaeidae). *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 68(6), 1147–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsq188>
- Dixon, D. L., Munday, P. L., & Jones, G. P. (2010). Ocean acidification disrupts the innate ability of fish to detect predator olfactory cues. *Ecology Letters*, 13, 68–75. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01400.x>
- Glugokencky, E., & Tans, P. (2018). *Trends in atmospheric carbon dioxide*. Retrieved from NOAA/ESRL, [https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/gl\\_data.html](https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/trends/gl_data.html)
- Dodd, L. F., Grabowski, J. H., Piehler, M. F., Westfield, I., & Ries, J. B. (2015). Ocean acidification impairs crab foraging behaviour. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 282, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.0333>
- Domenici, P., Allan, B., McCormick, M. I., & Munday, P. L. (2012). Elevated carbon dioxide affects behavioural lateralization in a coral reef fish. *Biology Letters*, 8, 78–81. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2011.0591>
- Donat, M. G., Renggli, D., Wild, S., Alexander, L. V., Leckebusch, G. C., & Ulbrich, U. (2011). Reanalysis suggests long-term upward trends in European storminess since 1871. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 38, L14703. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011GL047995>
- Dubois, P. (2014). The skeleton of postmetamorphic echinoderms in a changing world. *Biological Bulletin*, 226(3), 223–236. <https://doi.org/10.1086/BBLv226n3p223>
- Duggins, D. O. (1981). Interspecific facilitation in a guild of benthic marine herbivores. *Oecologia*, 48, 157–163. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00347958>
- Feely, R. A., Orr, J. C., Fabry, V. J., Kleypas, J. A., Sabine, C. L., & Langdon, C. (2009). Present and future changes in seawater chemistry due to ocean acidification. In B. McPherson, & E. Sudquist (Eds.), *Carbon sequestration and its role in the global carbon cycle* (vol. 183, pp. 175–188). Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union.
- Ferrari, M. C. O., McCormick, M. I., Munday, P. L., Meekan, M. G., Dixon, D. L., Lönnstedt, O., & Chivers, D. P. (2012). Effects of ocean acidification on visual risk assessment in coral reef fishes. *Functional Ecology*, 26, 553–558. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01951.x>
- Flammang, P., Demeuldre, M., Hennebert, E., & Santos, R. (2016). Adhesive secretions in echinoderms: A review. In A. M. Smith (Ed.), *Biological adhesives* (pp. 193–222). Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing.
- Florey, E., Cahill, M. A., & Rathmayer, M. (1975). Excitatory actions of GABA and of acetylcholine in sea urchin tube feet. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology*, 51, 5–12.
- Gautam, R., Cervone, G., Singh, R. P., & Kafatos, M. (2005). Characteristics of meteorological parameters associated with Hurricane Isabel. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(4), L04801. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GL021559>
- George, M. N., & Carrington, E. (2014). Spine reorientation influences drift particle capture efficiency in sea urchins. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 461, 102–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2014.08.001>
- George, M. N., & Carrington, E. (2018). Environmental post-processing increases the adhesion strength of mussel byssus adhesive. *Biofouling*, 34, 388–397. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08927014.2018.145392>
- Ghalambor, C. K., McKAY, J. K., Carroll, S. P., & Reznick, D. N. (2007). Adaptive versus non-adaptive phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments. *Functional Ecology*, 21, 394–407. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01283.x>
- Gran, G., Dahlenborg, H., Laurell, S., & Rottenberg, M. (1950). Determination of the equivalent point in potentiometric titrations. *Acta Chemica Scandinavica*, 4, 559–577. <https://doi.org/10.3891/acta.chem.scand.04-0559>
- Green, L., & Jutfelt, F. (2014). Elevated carbon dioxide alters the plasma composition and behaviour of a shark. *Biology Letters*, 10, 20140538. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2014.0538>
- Guenther, R., Miklasz, K., Carrington, E., & Martone, P. T. (2017). Macroalgal spore dysfunction: Ocean acidification delays and weakens adhesion. *Journal of Phycology*, 38(1), 42–49. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12614>
- Hamilton, T. J., Holcombe, A., & Tresguerres, M. (2014). CO<sub>2</sub>-induced ocean acidification increases anxiety in rockfish via alteration of GABA A receptor functioning. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 281, 20132509. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2509>
- Hartmann, D. L., Klein Tank, A. M. G., Rusticucci, M., Alexander, L. V., Broenniman, B., Charabi, Y., ... Kent, E. C. (2013). Observations: Atmosphere and surface. In T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G. K. Plattner, & M. Tignor, et al. (Eds.), *Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Hoegh-Guldberg, O., & Pearse, J. S. (1995). Temperature, food availability, and the development of marine invertebrate larvae. *The American Zoologist*, 35, 415–425. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/35.4.415>
- Hofmann, G. E., & Todgham, A. E. (2010). Living in the now: Physiological mechanisms to tolerate a rapidly changing environment. *The Annual Review of Physiology*, 72, 127–145. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-021909-135900>
- Honisch, B., Ridgwell, A., Schmidt, D. N., Thomas, E., Gibbs, S. J., Sluijs, A., ... Williams, B. (2012). The geological record of ocean acidification. *Science*, 335(6072), 1058–1063. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1208277>
- IPCC (2013). Summary for policymakers. In T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, & G. K. Plattner, et al. (Eds.), *Climate change 2013: The PHYSICAL SCIENCE Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC (2014). Climate change 2014: Synthesis report. In Core Writing Team, R. K. Pachauri, & L. A. Meyer (Eds.), *Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 151). Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC.
- Jacinto, D., & Cruz, T. (2012). Paracentrotus lividus (Echinodermata: Echinoidea) attachment force and burrowing behavior in rocky shores of SW Portugal. *Zoosymposia*, 7, 231–240.
- Jarrold, M. D., Humphrey, C., McCormick, M. I., & Munday, P. L. (2017). Diel CO<sub>2</sub> cycles reduce severity of behavioural abnormalities in coral reef fish under ocean acidification. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 10153. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10378-y>
- Jutfelt, F., Bresolin de Souza, K., Vuylsteke, A., & Sturve, J. (2013). Behavioural disturbances in a temperate fish exposed to sustained high-CO<sub>2</sub> levels. *PLoS ONE*, 8(6), e65825. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0065825>

- Kawamata, S. (1998). Effect of wave-induced oscillatory flow on grazing by a subtidal sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus nudus* (A. Agassiz). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 224(1), 31–48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981\(97\)00165-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-0981(97)00165-2)
- Kidawa, A., Potocka, M., & Janecki, T. (2010). The effects of temperature on the behaviour of the Antarctic sea star *Odontaster validus*. *Polish Polar Research*, 31(3), 273–284. <https://doi.org/10.2478/v10183>
- Kinnison, M. T., & Hairston, N. G. (2007). Eco-evolutionary conservation biology: Contemporary. *Functional Ecology*, 21, 444–454. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01278.x>
- Komar, P. D. (2007). Ocean waves. In M. Denny, & S. Gaines (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of tidepools and rocky shores* (pp. 408–413). Berkeley, Los Angeles, CA: University of California Press.
- Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., Crim, R., Hendriks, I. E., Ramajo, L., Singh, G. S., ... Gattuso, J.-P. (2013). Impacts of ocean acidification on marine organisms: Quantifying sensitivities and interaction with warming. *Global Change Biology*, 19(6), 1884–1896. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12179>
- Kroeker, K. J., Kordas, R. L., Crim, R. N., & Singh, G. G. (2010). Meta-analysis reveals negative yet variable effects of ocean acidification on marine organisms. *Ecology Letters*, 13(11), 1419–1434. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01518.x>
- Kwiatkowski, L., Gaylord, B., Hill, T., Hosfelt, J., Kroeker, K. J., Nebuchina, Y., ... Caldeira, K. (2016). Nighttime dissolution in a temperate coastal ocean ecosystem increases under acidification. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22984>
- Latif, M., Keenlyside, N., & Bader, J. (2007). Tropical sea surface temperature, vertical wind shear, and hurricane development. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(1), 12–15. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GL027969>
- Lauzon-Guay, J. S., Scheibling, R. E., & Barbeau, M. A. (2006). Movement patterns in the green sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 86(1), 167–174. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025315406012999>
- Le Quéré, C., Takahashi, T., Buitenhuis, E. T., Rödenbeck, C., & Sutherland, S. C. (2010). Impact of climate change and variability on the global oceanic sink of CO<sub>2</sub>. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 24(4), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003599>
- Lester, S. E., Tobin, E. D., & Behrens, M. D. (2007). Disease dynamics and the potential role of thermal stress in the sea urchin, *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus*. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 64, 314–323. <https://doi.org/10.1139/F07-010>
- Li, S., Liu, C., Zhan, A., Xie, L., & Zhang, R. (2017). Influencing mechanism of ocean acidification on byssus performance in the pearl oyster *Pinctada fucata*. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 51(13), 7696–7706. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.7b02132>
- Ling, S. D., Johnson, C. R., Ridgway, K., Hobday, A. J., & Haddon, M. (2009). Climate-driven range extension of a sea urchin: Inferring future trends by analysis of recent population dynamics. *Global Change Biology*, 15(3), 719–731. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01734.x>
- Lissner, A. L. (1980). Some effects of turbulence on the activity of the sea urchin *Centrostephanus coronatus* Verrill. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 48(2), 185–193. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981\(80\)90016-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-0981(80)90016-7)
- Lürling, M., & Scheffer, M. (2007). Info-disruption: Pollution and the transfer of chemical information between organisms. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 22(7), 374–379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2007.04.002>
- Manno, C., Sandrini, S., Tositti, L., & Accornero, A. (2007). First stages of degradation of *Limacina helicina* shells observed above the aragonite chemical lysocline in Terra Nova Bay (Antarctica). *Journal of Marine Systems*, 68, 91–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.11.002>
- Manriquez, P. H., Jara, M. E., Mardones, M. L., Navarro, J. M., Torres, R., Lardies, M. A., ... Lagos, N. A. (2013). Ocean acidification disrupts prey responses to predator cues but not net prey shell growth in *Concholepas concholepas* (loco). *PLoS ONE*, 8(7), e68643. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0068643>
- Manriquez, P. H., Torres, R., Matson, P. G., Lee, M. R., Jara, M. E., Seguel, M. E., ... Pereira, L. (2017). Effects of ocean warming and acidification on the early benthic ontogeny of an ecologically and economically important echinoderm. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 563, 169–184. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps11973>
- Mehrbach, C., Culberson, C. H., Hawley, J. E., & Pytkowicz, R. M. (1973). Measurements of the apparent dissociation constants of carbonic acid in seawater at atmospheric pressure. *Limnology and Oceanography*, 18, 897–907.
- Melzner, F., Stange, P., Trübenbach, K., Thomsen, J., Casties, I., Panknin, U., ... Gutowska, M. A. (2011). Food supply and seawater pCO<sub>2</sub> impact calcification and internal shell dissolution in the blue mussel *mytilus edulis*. *PLoS ONE*, 6(9), e24223. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0024223>
- Miles, H., Widdicombe, S., Spicer, J. I., & Hall-Spencer, J. (2007). Effects of anthropogenic seawater acidification on acid-base balance in the sea urchin *Psammechinus miliaris*. *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 54(1), 89–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2006.09.021>
- Morley, S. A., Suckling, C. C., Clark, M. S., Cross, E. L., & Peck, L. S. (2016). Long-term effects of altered pH and temperature on the feeding energetics of the Antarctic sea urchin, *Sterechinus neumayeri*. *Biodiversity*, 17(1–2), 34–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14888386.2016.1174956>
- Morse, B. L., & Hunt, H. L. (2013). Effect of unidirectional water currents on displacement behaviour of the green sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis*. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom*, 93(07), 1923–1928. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002531541300060X>
- Moulin, L., Catarino, A. I., Claessens, T., & Dubois, P. (2011). Effects of seawater acidification on early development of the intertidal sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus* (Lamarck 1816). *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 62(1), 48–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2010.09.012>
- Munday, P. L., Dixon, D. L., Donelson, J. M., Jones, G. P., Pratchett, M. S., Devitsina, G. V., & Døving, K. B. (2009). Ocean acidification impairs olfactory discrimination and homing ability of a marine fish. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(6), 1848–1852. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809996106>
- Nilsson, G. E., Dixon, D. L., Domenici, P., McCormick, M. I., Sørensen, C., Watson, S.-A., & Munday, P. L. (2012). Near-future carbon dioxide levels alter fish behaviour by interfering with neurotransmitter function. *Nature Climate Change*, 2, 201–204. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1352>
- O'Connor, M. I., Piehler, M. F., Leech, D. M., Anton, A., & Bruno, J. F. (2009). Warming and resource availability shift food web structure and metabolism. *PLoS Biology*, 7, e1000178. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1000178>
- O'Donnell, M. J., George, M. N., & Carrington, E. (2013). Mussel byssus attachment weakened by ocean acidification. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(4), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1846>
- Orr, J. C., Fabry, V. J., Aumont, O., Bopp, L., Doney, S. C., Feely, R. A., ... Yool, A. (2005). Anthropogenic ocean acidification over the twenty-first century and its impact on calcifying organisms. *Nature*, 437(7059), 681–686. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04095>
- Pewsey, A. (2004). Testing for circular reflective symmetry about a known median axis. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 31(5), 575–585. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02664760410001681828>
- Pierrot, D., Lewis, E., & Wallace, D. W. R. (2006). *MS excel program developed for CO<sub>2</sub> system calculations*. ORNL/CDIAC-105a. Oak Ridge, TN: Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory. U.S. Department of Energy.
- Pörtner, H.-O. (2008). Ecosystem effects of ocean acidification in times of ocean warming: A physiologist's view. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 373, 203–217. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps07768>

- Pörtner, H.-O. (2010). Oxygen- and capacity-limitation of thermal tolerance: A matrix for integrating climate-related stressor effects in marine ecosystems. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 213, 881–893. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.037523>
- Price, T. D., Qvarnstrom, A., & Irwin, D. E. (2003). The role of phenotypic plasticity in driving genetic evolution. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 270(1523), 1433–1440. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2003.2372>
- R Development Core Team (2015). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Retrieved from <http://www.R-project.org>
- Rodríguez, A., Hernández, J. C., & Clemente, S. (2016). Efficiency of calcein tagging on juveniles of the sea urchins *Diadema africanum* and *Paracentrotus lividus*. *Marine Ecology*, 37(2), 463–469. <https://doi.org/10.1111/maec.12287>
- Rosa, R., & Seibel, B. A. (2008). Synergistic effects of climate-related variables suggest future physiological impairment in a top oceanic predator. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105(52), 20776–20780. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0806886105>
- Russell, M. P., & Urbaniak, L. M. (2004). Does calcein affect estimates of growth rates in sea urchins? In T. Heinzeller & J. H. Nebelsick (Eds.), *Echinoderms: Munchen Proceedings of the 11th International Echinoderm Conference, 6–10 October 2003, Munich, Germany* (pp. 53–57). London: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Santos, R., & Flammang, P. (2005). Morphometry and mechanical design of tube foot stems in sea urchins: a comparative study. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, 315(2), 211–223.
- Santos, R., & Flammang, P. (2007). Intra- and interspecific variation of attachment strength in sea urchins. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 332, 129–142. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps332129>
- Schalkhauser, B., Bock, C., Stemmer, K., Brey, T., Pörtner, H.-O., & Lannig, G. (2013). Impact of ocean acidification on escape performance of the king scallop, *Pecten maximus*, from Norway. *Marine Biology*, 160(8), 1995–2006. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-012-2057-8>
- Simpson, S. D., Munday, P. L., Wittenrich, M. L., Manassa, R., Dixon, D. L., Gagliano, M., & Yan, H. Y. (2011). Ocean acidification erodes crucial auditory behaviour in a marine fish. *Biology Letters*, 7, 917–920. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2011.0293>
- Smith, A. B. (1978). A functional classification of the coronal pores of regular echinoids. *Paleontology*, 21(4), 759–789.
- Somero, G. N. (2002). Thermal physiology and vertical zonation of intertidal animals: Optima, limits, and costs of living. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 42, 780–789. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/42.4.780>
- Spady, B. L., Watson, S.-A., Chase, T. J., & Munday, P. L. (2014). Projected near-future CO<sub>2</sub> levels increase activity and alter defensive behaviours in the tropical squid *Idiosepius pygmaeus*. *The Company of Biologists*, 3, 1063–1070. <https://doi.org/10.1242/bio.20149894>
- Steneck, R. S. (2013). Sea urchins as drivers of shallow benthic marine community structure. In J. M. Lawrence (Ed.), *Sea urchins: Biology and ecology* (pp. 195–212). Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Elsevier.
- Stewart, H. L., & Britton-Simmons, K. H. (2011). Streamlining behaviour of the red urchin *Strongylocentrotus franciscanus* in response to flow. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*, 214, 2655–2659. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.056580>
- Stumpp, M., Trübenbach, K., Brennecke, D., Hu, M. Y., & Melzner, F. (2012). Resource allocation and extracellular acid-base status in the sea urchin *Strongylocentrotus droebachiensis* in response to CO<sub>2</sub> induced seawater acidification. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 110–111, 194–207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquatox.2011.12.020>
- Suckling, C. C., Clark, M. S., Richard, J., Morley, S. A., Thorne, M. A. S., Harper, E. M., & Peck, L. S. (2015). Adult acclimation to combined temperature and pH stressors significantly enhances reproductive outcomes compared to short-term exposures. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 84(3), 773–784. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12316>
- Toubarro, D., Gouveia, A., Ribeiro, R. M., Simões, N., da Costa, G., Cordeiro, C., & Santos, R. (2016). Cloning, characterization, and expression levels of the nectin gene from the tube feet of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus*. *Marine Biotechnology*, 18(3), 372–383. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10126-016-9698-4>
- Truchot, J.-P., & Duhamel-Jouve, A. (1980). Oxygen and carbon dioxide in the marine intertidal environment: Diurnal and tidal changes in rockpools. *Respirin Physiology*, 39, 241–254. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-5687\(80\)90056-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-5687(80)90056-0)
- Tuomainen, U., & Candolin, U. (2011). Behavioural responses to human-induced environmental change. *Biological Reviews*, 86(3), 640–657. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2010.00164.x>
- Tuya, F., Cisneros-Aguirre, J., Ortega-Borges, L., & Haroun, R. J. (2007). Bathymetric segregation of sea urchins on reefs of the Canarian Archipelago: Role of flow-induced forces. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, 73(3–4), 481–488. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2007.02.007>
- Ulbrich, U., Leckebusch, G. C., & Pinto, J. G. (2009). Extra-tropical cyclones in the present and future climate: A review. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 96, 117–131. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-008-0083-8>
- Wittmann, A. C., & Pörtner, H. O. (2013). Sensitivities of extant animal taxa to ocean acidification. *Nature Climate Change*, 3(11), 995–1001. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate1982>
- Young, J. S., Peck, A. L. S., & Matheson, A. T. (2006). The effects of temperature on walking and righting in temperate and Antarctic crustaceans. *Polar Biology*, 29, 978–987. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300-006-0140-7>

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

**How to cite this article:** Cohen-Rengifo M, Agüera A, Bouma T, M'Zoudi S, Flammang P, Dubois P. Ocean warming and acidification alter the behavioral response to flow of the sea urchin *Paracentrotus lividus*. *Ecol Evol*. 2019;9:12128–12143. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5678>