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1. Introduction

The flow through passages in large underwater topography like the Mid-Atlantic Ridge are of importance for the transport of water masses, in particular Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW), see Figure 1 (inset). During its northward transport, AABW passes through the Mid-Atlantic Ridge’s Romanche, Chain and Vema Fracture Zones to reach the East-Atlantic Basins [Morozov et al., 2010]. The passage from the near-equatorial East-Atlantic Guinea Basin to the more northerly Gambia Abyssal Plain and Canary Basin is Kane Gap. Peculiarly, AABW is found to Kane Gap’s south, coming from the Romanche and Chain Fracture Zones, and to its north, coming from the Vema Fracture Zone. The temperature (density) difference between the basins at either side of the Kane Gap are quite small (~0.01°C) near the bottom [Morozov et al., 2010].

Although the mean flow through the Kane Gap is almost negligible, as shown in the data to be presented here, little is known about variations in currents and the cause thereof.

In this paper, we study vertical mixing processes using yearlong moored high-resolution temperature observations in the range between 6 and 132 m above the bottom (mab) of the Kane Gap’s AABW. As will be demonstrated, these mixing processes vary strongly depending on the flow direction through the Kane Gap.

The mooring is located on the western slope of Kane Gap and just south of a small hill (Figure 1). From previous observational studies and supporting theory in other, mainly shallower, areas, we expected for such a mooring location above sloping topography: (a) southward flow to cause downwelling and enhanced turbulence due to static instability with reduced stratification [Weatherly and Martin, 1978; Lentz and Trowbridge, 1991; Garrett et al., 1993; Hosegood and van Haren, 2003], (b) northward flow to cause upwelling and suppressed turbulence with increased stratification [Weatherly and Martin, 1978; Garrett et al., 1993], (c) solitores to form and contribute to mixing as modeled and observed in ~100 m shallow waters [Vlasenko and Hutter, 2002; Klymak and Moum, 2003] and ~3000 m deep waters [Bonnin et al., 2006], (d) bed-generated turbulence to affect mixing close to the seabed, and (e) evidence of hydraulic jumps and solitary waves in the flow near the hill and constriction to be found [Hibiya, 1988]. None of these effects were observed as a single dominant process in the present data.

[1] The boundary layer above a 4569 m deep slope in the near-equatorial N-Atlantic Ocean Kane Gap, a throughflow for Antarctic Bottom Water (AABW), is characterized by two distinct turbulent regimes that differ by an order of magnitude in intensity depending on the direction of throughflow. During southward and downward flow, vertical mixing is vigorous. This is inferred from high-resolution temperature observations between 6 and 32 m above the bottom. For a representative case study of 2 days, average values are found for dissipation rate of ε = 2.1 ± 1 × 10−8 W kg⁻¹ and eddy diffusivity of Kz = 7 ± 4 × 10⁻⁴ m² s⁻¹. The mixing is across relatively large vertical overturns. During northward and upward flow, smaller overturns are more horizontal as in stratified shear flow (with representative 2 day mean ε = 6 ± 3 × 10⁻¹¹ W kg⁻¹, Kz = 4 ± 2 × 10⁻⁵ m² s⁻¹). Stratification is approximately the same during both flow directions. Although the different turbulence regimes are partially associated with frictional boundary layers of large-scale flows above sloping topography, but not with those over flat bottoms, and partially with flow across a hill-promontory, internal waves are a dominant process in promoting turbulence. In addition, internal waves are observed to push stratification toward the bottom thereby importantly contributing to the mixing of AABW.

2. Materials and Methods

[5] A total of 63 “NIOZ3” self-contained temperature (T) sensors sampling at 1 Hz, with precision better than 0.001 °C [van Haren et al., 2009], were mounted at 2.0 m vertical intervals on a 0.009 m diameter nylon coated steel cable. The sensors were synchronized every 6 h through induction, so that the 125 m high profile is measured quasi-instantaneously, with a delay of <0.04 s. The lowest sensor was 6 m ab. The 205 m long cable was between two Nortek AquaDopp current meters sampling once every 600 s. A third current meter was attached in the middle of the cable. Approximately 300 kg net buoyancy on top ensured mooring deflection to be <0.4 m at the upper current meter under maximum 0.25 m s⁻¹ current speeds. The line was moored at 09° 20.178’N, -19° 52.454’W, 4569 m water depth, in the near-equatorial NE Atlantic Ocean (Figure 1) between October 2010 and October 2011. The nearby actual passage of the Kane Gap is oriented (north-)north-west (NNW)–(south-)southeast (SSE), but locally near the mooring the bottom topography is directed practically N-S, and even slightly NNNE-SSSW. The mooring anchor was at the same depth as the shallowest point of the passage and about 70 m deeper than a hill-promontory along the slope to its northwest.

[6] After applying predeployment calibration information, the T-data are corrected for small drift over 4 day windows by fitting to linear profiles that are restricted to within the values of the CTD profiles which were obtained immediately before and after the mooring period. The corrected T-data are transferred to conservative (δ°C) temperature (C2) profiles. Lacking salinity data, the C2 are used as a conservative estimate for potential density variations (δρ4000) that are referenced to 4000 m, using the relation δρ4000 = αδC, with α = −0.12 ± 0.005 kg m⁻³ °C⁻¹ (Figure 2). For this relationship, the value of α is computed by linear best fit from the average of three CTD profiles near the mooring site for the 200 m range of moored observations.

[7] Vertical eddy diffusivity Kz and kinetic energy dissipation rate ε are estimated by calculating “overturning” scales using moored CTD profiles. These scales are obtained after reordering for every 1 Hz time step the potential density (δ°C) profile, which may contain inversions, into a stable monotonic profile without inversions [Thorpe, 1977, 1987]. After comparing raw and reordered profiles, displacements (d) are calculated that generate the stable profile beyond a certain threshold [Galbraith and Kelley, 1996]. As CTD profiles are obtained from a set of 63 independent sensors of which instrumental noise is very low (4·10⁻⁵°C), the threshold depends on the remaining temperature shifts after calibration, ~3·10⁻⁴°C in this case [van Haren et al., 2009]. Then, ε = 0.64d²m, where N denotes the buoyancy frequency computed from the reordered profile over Δz = 2 m vertical intervals and the constant follows from empirically relating the (rms) displacement scale with the Ozmidov

Figure 1. Mooring location (red diamond) in the near-equatorial Kane Gap, NE Atlantic Ocean. Depths from a 1° database [Smith and Sandwell, 1997] and corrected with echo-sounder data. The bottom consists of muddy sediment. In the inset, the inferred flow of AABW is indicated, with main conduits the Romanche, Chain and Vema Fracture Zones crossing the Mid-Atlantic Ridge [Morozov et al., 2010]. This leads to an AABW approach of the Kane Gap from both the south and the north.
across a “boundary thickness” $h = 100$ m roughly estimated from the thermistor string data (Figure 3c) and acceleration of gravity $g$. At 7 and 111 mab, the flow is Northwesterly, whereas at 215 mab the flow is northeast. Hence, the flow is upwelling favorable and up the promontory. The shear magnitude is larger between 111 and 216 mab than between 7 and 111 mab (Figure 3d). It is mainly in the $u$-component in the upper layer (Figures 3a, 3b, and 3d), and smaller than for the period of S-flow.

[11] During “S-flow,” e.g. between days 390 and 470, waters are 0.01°C warmer than average. This flow is downwelling favorable and down the promontory with $|U| > c$, a hydraulic supercritical flow. However, the 125 m scale near-bottom stratification is equivalent to or larger than during N-flow. In the yearlong time mean and average over the vertical range of observations, these large scale $<|S_u|> = 6.7 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$, upper layer $<|S_u|> = 8.6 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$, and lower layer $<|S_u|> = 4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$. The gradient Richardson number $Ri = N^2/S^2$, the ratio of stability over destabilization, roughly varies around $Ri = 1$ (Figure 3e) even though yearlong mean $<|S_u|>$ $\approx 2.5$ and 10 for upper and lower layers, respectively. This implies marginal stability and turbulence is expected to occur occasionally, following the condition for stability for nonlinear (three dimensional) flows [Abarbanel et al., 1984].

[12] Over the yearlong period, the vertically averaged $\langle u, v \rangle$ varies over five orders of magnitude, between $10^{-4}$ and $10^{-7}$ W kg$^{-1}$ (Figure 3f), around its yearlong and vertical mean value of $\langle u, v \rangle = 6.7 \times 10^{-10}$ W kg$^{-1}$ (the associated $<K_u> = 2.7 \pm 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$). The variation of $\epsilon$ with time reflects the burst character of turbulence. The range of this variation is larger than that of $|S|$ and much larger than that of $N$ (e.g., Figure 3d shows barely varying $N$, compared to $|S|$). Only a very high power of $N$ ($N^8$ is chosen somewhat arbitrarily) more or less visually compares with large-scale variations of $\langle u, v \rangle$, but not for all periods and not at small scales. This demonstrated that the burst character of turbulence (dissipation rate) is mainly attributable to overturning scale variations and not to variations in stable density stratification. As a result, correlation between stratification and dissipation rate is insignificant at the 95% level.

[13] The shear magnitude (Figure 3d), especially that of the upper layer, represents the time variation of $<\epsilon>$ better than (high powers of) $N$. During periods of S-flow, $|S| > N$ for the upper layer (Figure 3d), or $1/Ri > 1$ (Figure 3e) and ten times larger $<\epsilon>$ (Figure 3f) than during N-flow, on average. During periods of N-flow, $1/Ri < 1$, implying relatively large stability.

[14] Although the yearlong mean large-scale stratification is relatively low, still $<\epsilon> \gg f = 0.2 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$ the local inertial frequency (Figure 4). This implies that the (traditional) internal wave band is more than a decade wide in frequency ($\sigma$). Despite relatively large noise levels, the above internal wave bounds are confirmed in current spectra and the spectrum of log-$\epsilon$ drops off steadily at a rate of $\sigma^{-1}$ until it deviates to a lower fall-off rate at $\sigma = 3N \approx N_{\text{max}}$, the maximum thin-layer buoyancy frequency. The differing turbulence depending on throughflow direction is demonstrated in detailed case studies for two typical periods (Figures 5 and 6).
[15] During S-flow, the turbulence character is of the shear-(vertical) convection type, with relatively rapidly varying, short-lived motions and large vertically extending overturns (Figure 5). Over this two-day period, mean values are $\langle \varepsilon \rangle = 2.1 \pm 1 \times 10^{-9}$ W kg$^{-1}$ and $\langle K_z \rangle = 7 \pm 4 \times 10^{-4}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, while $\langle N \rangle^2 = 4 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-2}$, $\langle S_{u} \rangle^2 = 1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ s$^{-2}$, and $\langle S_{l} \rangle^2 = 2 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-2}$. The 2 m sensor-separation is adequate to estimate turbulence parameters given the 10's-of-meters-high overturns (Figure 5d). The thin plumes extend very close to the bottom, which is not reached however (Figures 5b–5f). The duration of the motions, $<600$ s, is much smaller than the smallest internal wave period of approximately $T_{\text{max}} \approx 3000$ s observed in layers $<10$ m in thickness. Thus, these plumes provide evidence of convective overturning, initially shear-induced by larger-scale (inertial and subinertial) flow.

[16] During N-flow, the turbulence character is of the internal wave stratified shear flow type, with relatively slowly varying high-frequency internal wave motions and overturns spread-out “horizontally” along isopycnals (Figure 6). Over this two-day period, mean values are $\langle \varepsilon \rangle = 6 \pm 3 \times 10^{-11}$ W kg$^{-1}$ and $\langle K_z \rangle = 4 \pm 2 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, which is more than an order of magnitude smaller than in Figure 5 (compare Figures 6a and 6e with Figures 5a and 5e). The associated $\langle N \rangle^2 = 5 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-2}$, $\langle S_{u} \rangle^2 = 5 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-2}$, and $\langle S_{l} \rangle^2 = 1 \times 10^{-7}$ s$^{-2}$. The $<10$ m overturns are barely resolved by the sensor separation (Figure 6d). They remain relatively far from the bottom and are bound above and below by thin stratified layers. The periodicity of the naturally, by any flow disturbance, generated short-scale internal wave motions is $\sim 3000$ s, commensurate the thin layer stratification (Figures 6b and 6c). Different stages of Kelvin Helmholtz billows that have a duration of typically $1000$ s are superposed on these waves (Figures 6c and 6f). Such periods are smaller than those of the high-frequency internal waves, but considerably larger than those of the convection during S-flow. For
the N-flow, the billows clearly evidence (high-frequency) internal wave shear-induced overturning.

4. Discussion

[17] Although the currents at 7 mab reach values of 0.25 m s^{-1}, the observed turbulence is not primarily associated with bottom friction (above a flat seafloor). This may be partially due to the lowest temperature sensor being 6 mab, although it is noted that there may be frictional influence as boundary layers can grow tens of meters high above sloping topography [e.g., Lentz and Trowbridge, 1991; Garrett et al., 1993]. Indeed, the observed distinctly different turbulence regimes are related to the direction of throughflow, the largest occurring during downwelling, down a hill-promontory (S-flow; average over ensemble of these periods: $<\varepsilon> = 1.3 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-9}$ W kg^{-1}), the smallest during up-hill, upwelling favorable (N-flow; average over ensemble of these periods: $<\varepsilon> = 1.1 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-10}$ W kg^{-1}). These turbulence parameter estimates are not very high compared with estimates near the bottom in the Romanche Fracture Zone [Polzin et al., 1996] and near
the summit of Great Meteor Seamount [van Haren and Gostiaux, 2012], but they are an order of magnitude larger than estimates for the ocean interior, e.g., Polzin et al. [1996]. They are thus important for mixing of AABW in the Kane Gap. The strong time variability of ε is better represented by (100 m scale) S than by N, confirming previous suggestions for the importance of internal wave shear [Pinkel and Anderson, 1997].

[18] Although single (125 m, 1 Hz) profiles of ε vary over several decades with time, their tendency toward equilibrium values is rather quick when averaged over a time scale O(100–1000)s that resolves the largest overturn scales. As an example, the average ε-values for the plots Figures 4a (2 days), 4e (7.2 h) and the equivalent for Figure 4f (1 h) differ by <30%. For comparison, a free-falling microstructure profiler shows similar variability over several decades with time (and in the vertical; e.g., Polzin et al. [1996]). As such an instrument takes 200 s to cover 125 m, compared to the present quasi-instantaneous sampling, a natural averaging over the larger overturn scales is performed automatically by the profiler. Given the profiler’s fast sampling rate of O(100 Hz), the average of a few of its profiles is sufficient to provide the same level of error as given above over bulk ranges like a “boundary layer height.”

[19] The present observations contrast with the model of inverse relationship between N and turbulence, especially Kz [Denman and Gargett, 1983]. This relationship is generally not found here, except during brief moments of time. The observations also contrast with large-scale flow rotational controlled (“Ekman”) frictional boundary layers, which are conjectured to be ten times, O(10)m versus O(1)m, larger for downwelling favorable flow compared to upwelling favorable flow [e.g., Garrett et al., 1993]. Here, we do observe strongest turbulence during downwelling favorable flow, but the stratification is as large as and reaches as close to the bottom as during upwelling favorable flow. This disagreement with the theory on large-scale flows is attributable to effects of smaller-scale topography, creating more turbulence during downgoing flow, and to internal waves that cause overturning and also restratification in thin layers by their movements up and down the slope. Compared with the tidally dominated Great Meteor Seamount, strongest turbulence is also found during the downslope phase but strong turbulence by an upslope bore is missing here, presumably by a lack of generation of

Figure 6. As Figure 5 with the same color ranges and (f) the same contour intervals, but for a period of north(west)ward, upgoing flow, with relatively low 100 m scale shear, high Ri, and weak convective turbulence, but more important small-scale shear-induced overturning. (d) The arrows indicate examples of small moderate (<10 m) overturn displacements, which have considerable duration in time of 1000–2000 s. (f) Two initiating, small Kelvin-Helmholtz billows are indicated by arrows.
solitary waves away from the topography [Vlasenko and Hutter, 2002].

[20] The near-bottom turbulence is thus found to vary at several unusual time scales. First, the large-scale variation having peak periods of 90+, 45, and 15 days, which suggests a near-bottom internal seiche or effects of mesoscale eddies. It is unclear however, which (stratified) basin is driven to near-resonance and why the resonance is forced to a higher mode. The smallest period of 15 days has also been observed in the Romanche Fracture Zone [Mercier and Speer, 1998]. A simple calculation of an internal seiche period gives \( T_s = 2L/(g\Delta h)^{0.5} \), in which \( L \) denotes the characteristic horizontal scale of the basins North and South of the throughflow, \( n \) is the mode number, \( g' = g\Delta \rho / \rho \). For the Kane Gap \( h = 100 \text{ m} \) and mean \( N^2 = 0.004 \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-2} \), we find \( L \approx 120 \text{ km} \) for a mode \( n = 1 \) seiche of \( T_s = 45 \text{ days} \). Likewise, although local mode-2 internal waves are observed at small scales, e.g. around day 404.65 in Figure 5, no such mode is observed in large-scale variations (Figure 3). This requires further study, which should include mesoscale eddies.

[21] Second, near-inertial period variations of about 3 days are discernible in the present data, especially in isopycnal variations (Figure 3c). Near-inertial internal waves are known to generate large shear, because their vertical length scale is small [e.g., LeBlond and Mysak, 1978]. Their shear is near-circular in well-developed stratification, resulting in a near-constant shear amplitude that varies slowly with time [van Haren, 2000]. The present (100 m scale) shear-spectra indeed show a hump at \( \sigma \approx 1.1 \text{f} \), similar to temperature variance, in addition to subinertial “peaks” (Figure 4). The latter are different for shear in the upper and lower layers. Although tidal flows are relatively strong, they are vertically coherent suggesting they are dominated by barotropic motions, which is confirmed from their narrow-peaked kinetic energy spectra and from their shear spectra that are reduced compared to the values near \( f \). The local topography is subcritical for internal tides, which thus radiate upward and outward. An (internal) tidal peak is not well visible in \( \Theta \) and \( \epsilon \) spectra.

[22] Thus, a combination of high-frequency internal waves with low-frequency near-inertial and subinertial motions creates unusual differences in near-bottom turbulence in the Kane Gap. The mixing during S-flow extends 130 mab, thereby affecting the throughflow of AABW most. Due to continuous restratification mainly by internal wave motions this mixing is relatively efficient.
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