A cold and fresh ocean surface in the Nordic Seas during MIS 11: Significance for the future ocean
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Abstract: Paleoclimatic studies of Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 11 have revealed higher-than-present sea surface temperatures (SSTs) in the North Atlantic and in parts of the Arctic but lower-than-present SSTs in the Nordic Seas, the main throughflow area of warm water into the Arctic Ocean. We resolve this contradiction by complementing SST data based on planktic foraminiferal abundances with surface salinity changes using hydrogen isotopic compositions of alkenones in a core from the central Nordic Seas. The data indicate the prevalence of a relatively cold, low-salinity, surface water layer in the Nordic Seas during most of MIS 11. In spite of the low-density surface layer, which was kept buoyant by continuous melting of surrounding glaciers, warmer Atlantic water was still propagating northward at the subsurface thus maintaining meridional overturning circulation. This study can help to better constrain the impact of continuous melting of Greenland and Arctic ice on high-latitude ocean circulation and climate.

1. Introduction

Recent paleoclimatic investigations have designated Marine Isotope Stage (MIS) 11 a “super interglacial” due to up to 4°C higher terrestrial temperatures in the Arctic Eurasia in comparison to those of MIS 1 and 5e [Melles et al., 2012; Vaks et al., 2013]. Moreover, marine data indicate at least seasonally ice-free conditions in the western Antarctic sector during this period [Cronin et al., 2013] and significantly warmer-than-present surface sea temperatures (SSTs) in the middle latitudes of the North Atlantic [de Vernal and Hillaire-Marcel, 2008; McManus et al., 2003; Kandiano and Bauch, 2002, 2007], as well as a remarkably strong Atlantic meridional overturning circulation (AMOC) [e.g., Vázquez Riveiros et al., 2013]. However, puzzling differences arise when comparing foraminifera-based SST reconstructions in the Nordic Seas with those from the Arctic and the middle latitudinal North Atlantic. The planktic foraminiferal compositions, with a strong dominance of the polar species Neogloboquadrina pachyderma sinistral (s), imply that the ocean surface layer in the Nordic Seas remained relatively cold during the period of peak interglacial conditions, i.e., MIS 11 sensu stricto (ss), a period lasting from circa 419 to circa 400 ka in this region. A modest increase in the relative abundance of the subpolar Atlantic water indicative species Turborotalita quinqueloba, a counterpart of N. pachyderma (s) in the Nordic Seas, occurred in this region only toward the end of MIS 11ss. The period of enhanced T. quinqueloba abundance coincided with the minimal ice global volume and is defined as a regional climate optimum [Kandiano et al., 2012]. However, the foraminiferal assemblages imply that SSTs during MIS 11ss never reached those of the Holocene [Bauch, 1997; Kandiano et al., 2012]. We hypothesized that the unusually cold conditions of the ocean surface during the early interglacial phase of MIS 11ss was caused by prolonged melting of the surrounding ice sources, which delivered freshwater into the Nordic Seas, thereby generating a thick, relatively cold and low salinity, buoyant mixed surface layer [Kandiano and Bauch, 2007]. This mixed layer probably was reduced only during the climate optimum as can indirectly be inferred from the abundance increase of T. quinqueloba.

To confirm the hypothesis that relatively fresh sea surface waters occupied the Nordic Seas during MIS 11ss and to assess the extent of environmental changes during its climate optimum requires assessing past sea surface salinity (SSS). In this study we reconstructed SSS changes during MIS 11ss (relative to the modern values, ΔSSS) from the hydrogen isotope compositions of long chain alkenones (δD) obtained from sediment core MD992277 (the central Nordic Seas). δD is a relatively new paleoceanographical proxy which,
consistently lower in salinity, contrary to δ^{18}O, bears a salinity signal independent of temperature changes [Schouten et al., 2006; van der Meer et al., 2007, 2008]. The reconstructions of SSS changes were supported by benthic and planktic oxygen isotope measurements, planktic foraminiferal census data, and ice-rafted debris (IRD) counts.

If we are able to prove a presence of the fresh surface layer in the Nordic Seas during MIS 11, then our study may shed light on forthcoming climate development. Results of modeling experiments on a potential AMOC reaction to the fresh water input are contradictory, so far. Some of them showed a highly increased sensitivity of the AMOC strength [e.g., Hawkins et al., 2011; Brunnabend et al., 2015] in response to potential fresh water input, while others demonstrate only a minor effect [e.g., Ridley et al., 2005; Hu et al., 2011].

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Oceanographic Setting

The Nordic Seas are a partially isolated basin fed by the warm and saline Atlantic waters transported by the Norwegian Current (NC) in its eastern part and by the cold and fresh Arctic waters flowing south as the East Greenland Current (EGC) in the west. Accordingly, the oceanographic fronts are aligned in a submeridional direction [Orvik and Niiler, 2002]. Modern SST and SSS gradients clearly reflect these contrasting physical properties in the water masses (Figure 1).

Studied sediment core MD992277 was taken from a climatically sensitive area near the Arctic front, where the surface waters represent a mixture of the Arctic and Atlantic derived water masses (Figure 1). Today, this area experiences a direct influence of Atlantic water advection from the east. During glacial times, however, polar waters expanded far to the south of MD992277 site and into the subpolar North Atlantic. Since a subzonal alignment of the oceanographical fronts was characteristic for MIS 11 [Bauch, 1997; Kandiano et al., 2012], paleoceanographical
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reconstructions at site MD992277 for this period can resolve the oceanographical situation also in the eastern part of the Nordic Seas where the Atlantic water input presently occurs. In order to enable a comparison of SSS reconstructions between MIS 11ss and the late Holocene, the core top sample of a nearby core M23060 was used as a reference (Figure 1).

2.2. Chronology

The downcore position of MIS 11 in core MD992277 was firmly established by correlating carbonate content and planktic δ¹⁸O to those of core PS1243 from the same site [Helmke et al., 2005]. An age model of core PS1243 was established on the basis of planktic foraminiferal δ¹⁸O records [Bauch, 1997; Bauch et al., 2000]. The age model of core MD992277 was later improved using the benthic δ¹⁸O records of Kandiano et al. [2012]. The presented study considers the period between 424 and 380 ka which covers the final phase of glacial Termination V (MIS 12), MIS 11ss, and the onset of the ensuing glacial inception.

2.3. Sampling and Sample Preparation

Core MD992277 was sampled continuously as 1 cm thick slices. Organic and inorganic analyses were partly produced on different sample sets, using step intervals between 1 and 3 cm. All samples were freeze-dried prior processing for analyses.

2.4. Hydrogen Isotope Composition of Alkenones and Derived SSS Reconstructions

Samples for δD analysis were homogenized and extracted using an Accelerated Solvent Extractor (DIONEX AS E350, 100°C) and a mixture of dichloromethane (DCM): methanol (MeOH, 9:1 vol/vol). The alkenone fractions were obtained by separation of the organic extracts on Al₂O₃ columns using hexane: DCM (9:1 vol/vol), hexane: DCM (1:1 vol/vol), and DCM: MeOH (1:1 vol/vol) with the middle fraction containing the alkenones. The hydrogen isotopic compositions of the alkenones were determined by a GC/Thermal Conversion/isotope ratio monitoring mass spectrometer using a GC coupled to a Thermo Electron DELTA V mass spectrometer via a GC-isolink and Conflo IV interface. The procedure was described in detail by Kasper et al. [2015]. The δD correction factor was determined daily and varied between 2.81 and 2.93. The δD measurements were performed for the combined C₃₇:₂ and C₃₇:₃ alkenones following the approach of van der Meer et al. [2013]. Standard deviations of the replicate measurements in most cases did not exceed 5‰.

Since besides salinity also global ice volume changes strongly affect δD during glacial-interglacial transitions [Rohling, 2000], SSS changes were assessed only for the period of climate optimum, i.e., between 409 and 401 ka, when the global ice volume was stable. They were derived from δD following generally the approach of van der Meer et al. [2007].

First, we calculated δ¹⁸O of sea water (δ¹⁸OW) from foraminiferal temperatures (T) and δ¹⁸O in tests of N. pachyderma (s) (δ¹⁸ONp(s)) using the equation applicable for low temperatures [Horibe and Oba, 1972]:

\[ T = 17.04 - 4.34 * (\delta^{18}O_{Np(s)} - \delta^{18}O_W) + 16 * (\delta^{18}O_{Np(s)} - \delta^{18}O_W)^2. \]  (1)

Then we calculated δD of sea water (δDW) using the modern Arctic Meteoric Water Line from Cox [2010]:

\[ \delta DW = \delta^{18}O_{W} * 7.37 - 0.72. \]  (2)

Subsequently, we calculated SSS (S) using the relationship derived from Gephyrocapsa oceanica [Schouten et al., 2006] and the combined data sets of Emiliania huxleyi of Schouten et al. [2006] and M’Boule et al. [2014] (Figure 2) as follows:

\[ \text{Gephyrocapsa oceanica: } S = (\alpha - 0.676)/0.003 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ \text{Emiliania huxleyi: } S = (\alpha - 0.711)/0.0029 \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( \alpha = (\delta D_A + 1000)/ (\delta D_W + 1000). \) The δD_A values of several sediment samples were averaged in order to obtain synchronous ages with the δ¹⁸O data set.

Finally, we adjusted the SSS values obtained for MIS 11ss from core MD992277 to the SSS estimate obtained from the δD values of alkenones in the core top sample of core M23060. The reason for adjustment was that the absolute SSS estimate of core top sample in core M23060 was higher than modern SSS value at
the same site (e.g., 38.9 versus 35.1 for *E. huxleyi* equation) [Boyer et al., 2013]. In order to calculate absolute SSS values for the core top sample from core M23060, the δ18O value from Vogelsang [1990] was used. Therefore, we rely rather on ΔSSS reconstructions than on absolute SSS values. The slopes of the linear regressions describing the relation between salinity and the fractionation factor α between alkenones and water are very similar for *E. huxleyi* and *G. oceanica*. This implies that species composition has a negligible effect on ΔSSS values (Figure 3).

2.5. Foraminiferal Census Counts, Derived Temperature Reconstructions, Benthic and Planktic Oxygen Isotope Analyses, and IRD

Planktic and benthic stable oxygen isotope data, foraminiferal census data, and iceberg-rafted debris (IRD) were published in Kandiano et al. [2012]. The summer season (July–September) temperatures for this study were estimated from the foraminiferal census counts for the 0–150 m water depth level using the modern analogue technique (five best analogues; standard deviation of the residuals 1.05) [Prell, 1985]. This water layer corresponds to the presumed depth habitat of the modern foraminifers in the polar environment [Carstens et al., 1997]. For calibrations, foraminiferal core top data of Husum and Hald [2012] were linked to modern temperatures [Boyer et al., 2013] neglecting a potential difference in foraminiferal proportions between >80 μm and 100–1000 μm fractions used in this study and by Husum and Hald [2012], respectively. The foraminiferal abundances were reduced to only two dominant species following the approach applied in the Arctic region by Cronin et al. [2013].

3. Results

3.1. IRD, Planktic, and Benthic Oxygen Isotopes

A large IRD input associated with multiple high-amplitude fluctuations in benthic and planktic foraminiferal δ18O values is observed during Termination V until 419 ka (Figure 3). In spite of the sharp decrease at 419 ka, IRD deposition did not cease completely but persisted into MIS 11 ss implying a continuous iceberg delivery to the site. From circa 419 ka onward both benthic and planktic δ18O exhibited a gradual decrease reflecting a combined signal of a continued global ice volume decrease, a temperature rise, and, in the planktic record, also a freshwater component. The benthic and planktic δ18O values arrived at 4.0‰ and 2.9‰, respectively, at circa 409 ka, marking the start of the climate optimum. Surprisingly, after this time the δ18O records show a diachronic behavior. The benthic δ18O values show a plateau-like pattern between 409 and 401 ka, clearly marking the period of a stable ice volume and, at the same time, a high sea level stand. The planktic δ18O record, in contrast, exhibits a plateau-like pattern of much shorter duration, i.e., between 409 and 407 ka, with a sharp increase of 0.5‰ during the following 2 ky. After 401 ka onward both δ18O records as well as IRD content showed a gradual increase toward glacial values reflecting the onset of a new glaciation. The stratigraphy of MD992277 correlates well with the δD proxy trend (temperature) in Antarctica (Figure 3).

3.2. Planktic Foraminiferal Abundances and Temperatures

During MIS 11 ss, planktic foraminiferal assemblages at site MD992277 are dominated by two species: the polar species *N. pachyderma* (s) and the subpolar species *T. quinqueloba* (Figure 3). In contrast to the Holocene, however, *N. pachyderma* (s) remained strongly dominant in the Nordic Seas during the total period of MIS 11 [Kandiano et al., 2012]. In core MD992277 the relative abundance of *T. quinqueloba* remained negligible until 409 ka. This species increased only during the climate optimum, between 409 and 401 ka, with maximal values up to 24% between 407 and 405 ka, which corresponds to a temperature increase from 2
to 4°C (Figure 3). However, this temperature did not reach the present-day temperature of 4.5°C at this site [Boyer et al., 2013]. In relation to Antarctica, the period of maximal temperatures started about 3 ky earlier, at 412 ka. However, its end coincides at both sites (Figure 3).

3.3. Hydrogen Isotopic Composition of Alkenones and SSS Reconstruction

\( \delta^D_A \) showed a progressive decrease from −162‰ to −193‰ between 422 and 409 ka (Figure 3). This matches the period of the global ice volume decrease, which likely contributed substantially to the \( \delta^D \) change. Between 409 and 407 ka, when global ice volume reached its minimum and was relatively stable, the \( \delta^D_A \) record first reached a plateau and then \( \delta^D_A \) values abruptly increased to −183‰ around 405 ka. Since global ice volume was relatively stable at that time, this change implies an SSS increase.

Figure 3. (a) Ice core data from Antarctica, here shown on its own time scale [European Project for Ice Coring in Antarctica Community Members, 2004], in comparison with various proxy records from core MD992277 (B; top to down): ΔSSS calculated for E. huxleyi (black dash line) and G. oceanica (gray line) relative to the SSS reconstructed from the core top sample of core M23060 (note that calculated ΔSSS curves for the two species are almost identical). The colored line represents three-point average; \( \delta^D_A \), grey line with vertical bars represents measurements with standard deviations of duplicate measurements. The black line represents three-point average values; black dotted line represents core top \( \delta^D_A \) value of core M23060; Summer temperatures in 0–150 m depth layer reconstructed from planktic foraminiferal abundances, black dotted line represents appropriate modern temperature value at site MD992277; Relative abundance of the polar planktic foraminifer N. pachyderma (s) [Kandiano et al., 2012]; Relative abundance of the planktic subpolar foraminifer T. quinqueloba [Kandiano et al., 2012]; Alkenone SSTs [Kandiano et al., 2012]; planktic \( \delta^{18}O \) derived from N. pachyderma (s) [Helmke et al., 2005; Kandiano et al., 2012]; benthic \( \delta^{18}O \) derived from O. umbonatus [Kandiano et al., 2012]; IRD [Helmke et al., 2005]. MIS 11, MIS 11ss, Termination V (TV), and glacial onset are indicated on the top panel; the climate optimum is marked by a vertical bar.
coinciding with the main phase of the proliferation of *T. quinqueloba* (Figure 3). After 404 ka, $\delta D_A$ returned to values similar to ones before 407 ka.

Although salinity and global ice volume have a strong impact on $\delta D_A$ value, other factors may also have an influence such as changes in haptophyte species composition [Schouten et al., 2006; M’Boule et al., 2014; Chivall et al., 2014], light intensities [van der Meer et al., 2015] and, to a lesser degree, algal growth rate [Schouten et al., 2006]. It seems unlikely that the change in $\delta D_A$ between 407 and 404 ka could be the result of a change in growth rate because a more than twofold reduction in growth rates would be required for a $11^{\circ}$ $\delta D_A$ increase [Schouten et al., 2006]. Unrealistically strong reduction of light intensities corresponding to 60 $\mu$mol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ would also be needed to cause the observed changes in $\delta D_A$ fractionation [van der Meer et al., 2015]. Furthermore, in natural conditions *E. huxleyi*, for example, form large blooms only at light intensities > 530 $\mu$mol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ [Nanninga and Tyrrell, 1996], i.e., at the range where fractionation between $\delta D_w$ and $\delta D_A$ is constant [van der Meer et al., 2015]. With respect to a species composition, it is not expected that species composition changes in the central Nordic Seas might impact $\delta D_A$ during MIS 11ss because investigation of coccolith assemblages in core top samples have revealed a reduced diversity in the Nordic Seas due to the severity of the living conditions. It is restricted to only one open ocean alkenone synthesizing species, *E. huxleyi* [Samtleben and Schröder, 1992; Solignac et al., 2008]. We should note that during MIS 11 the Nordic Seas were dominated by *G. muellerae* [Bleil and Gard, 1989], a close ancestor of *G. oceanica* [Boillmann, 1997], while *E. huxleyi* occurred in the Nordic Seas for the first time during MIS 8 descending from Gephyrocapsid ancestor [McIntyre, 1970]. We assume that for this ancestor the relation between salinity and fractionation factor $\alpha$ between hydrogen isotopes and water was characterized by a slope similar to the ones revealed during culture experiments of Schouten et al. [2006] and M’Boule et al. [2014]. Since migrations of oceanographic fronts over site MD992277 during MIS 11 were not registered by previous investigations [Kandiano et al., 2012], seasonal changes in productivity are not expected to influence our salinity reconstructions. Moreover, in the Nordic Seas the coccoliths blooms occur simultaneously with foraminiferal blooms [Kohfeld et al., 1996; Schröder-Ritzrau et al., 2001] with the latter being dependent on food availability. Therefore, the signals of $\delta D_A$ and foraminiferal $\delta^{18}O$ of water are likely formed at the same time. Thus, an influence of all aforementioned factors on $\delta D_A$ during the climate optimum of MIS 11ss seems negligible. Therefore, the changes in the $\delta D_A$ during the climate optimum are predominantly ascribed to salinity and $\delta D_w$.

$\Delta SSS$ reconstructions derived from $\delta D_A$ analysis show that SSS values at 409 ka correspond to values $\sim$3 lower than the late Holocene SSS reconstructed from the core top sample of core M23060. The change of $\delta D_A$ between 407 and 405 ka suggests an abrupt and transient increase in SSS by $\sim$2 (Figure 3). However, the highest SSS values registered during MIS 11ss are still $\sim$1 salinity unit lower than the SSS as reconstructed from the core top sample of core M23060. The transient SSS increase during the MIS 11ss climate optimum explains the observed diachronism of benthic and planktic $\delta^{18}O$ records during the climate optimum, i.e., the early increase of planktic $\delta^{18}O$ values at 407 ka can be attributed to a change in SSS.

### 4. Paleoceanographical Implications and Conclusions

Our reconstructed $\Delta SSS$ record indicates that the ocean surface in the central Nordic Seas was fresher during MIS 11ss than during the late Holocene, as compared to the core top sample from site M23060. In combination with reduced abundances of subpolar foraminifera and lower SST, this implies the presence of a significant, relatively fresh, mixed surface layer. We assume that this relatively fresh mixed layer expanded into the eastern part of the Nordic Seas and as far south as at least 68°N taking into account an absence of oceanographic fronts between site MD992277 and site M23063 (see map Figure 1), respectively [Kandiano et al., 2012]. The resulting increased buoyancy of the surface water in the Nordic Seas during MIS 11ss likely pushed the Atlantic water mass downward. Such a scenario would resolve the controversy between the warm SSTs in the Arctic and the middle latitudes of the North Atlantic and the enigmatically low SSTs in the Nordic Seas [Kandiano and Bauch, 2007; Kandiano et al., 2012; Cronin et al., 2013].

A likely source of the freshwater feeding the surface mixed layer of the central Nordic Seas during MIS 11ss were those remnant Greenland glaciers descending down to sea level and releasing icebergs, similar to what is still happening today. Indeed, recent studies indicate intensive melting of Greenland Ice Sheet (GIS) during...
MIS 11ss which resulted in deglaciation and a development of a forest vegetation in parts over southern Greenland [Reyes et al., 2014; de Vernal and Hillaire-Marcel, 2008], while glacier ice remained at least in central Greenland [Bierman et al., 2014] A specific subzonal configuration of the surface water masses in the Nordic Seas during MIS 11 [Kandiano et al., 2012] would allow the arrival of icebergs only from east central and northeast Greenland to site MD992277. In addition, an increased freshwater export from the Arctic into the Nordic Seas could have occurred due to an enhanced hydrological cycle over Siberia [Melles et al., 2012] which would increase river runoff into the Arctic as well as seasonal ice-free conditions in the western Arctic during this period [Cronin et al., 2013]. This seems conceivable especially taking into account results of modern observations showing an increase of Arctic freshwater transport into the Nordic Seas under a negative North Atlantic Oscillation/Arctic oscillation mode due to a diversion of Eurasian runoff eastward toward the Nordic Seas [Morison et al., 2012]. Furthermore, it has been already shown for the NE Atlantic sector that MIS 11 was characterized by the dominance of a negative-like North Atlantic Oscillation/Arctic oscillation circulation pattern [Kandiano et al., 2012]. The relatively low salinity and, therefore, buoyant surface layer obstructed the propagation of near-surface Atlantic water advection into the central and eastern parts of the Nordic Seas. As a consequence the Atlantic water inflow had to be submerged below the mixed layer. A deeper flowing Atlantic water layer with a relatively high salinity stabilized the vertical water mass structure in the Nordic Seas. Such an oceanographical situation might conceptually resemble the modern situation in the eastern Fram Strait where winter sea ice formation and a close vicinity of the polar waters results in freshening of the ocean surface and a subduction of much saltier Atlantic waters to greater depth [Schauer et al., 2004]. Our foraminiferal data further imply that Atlantic water mass propagation during most of MIS 11ss occurred at depths greater than the depth habitat preferred by T. quinqueloba, i.e., ~150 m [Carstens et al., 1997].

It seems also conceivable that this fresh and buoyant layer caused the delay of the climate optimum between the Nordic Seas and other regions. A similar situation, although less pronounced, was already described for the last interglacial period [Bauch and Kandiano, 2007]. The Atlantic water layer in the central Nordic Seas shallowed only toward the end of MIS 11ss resulting in increased SSS and SST which allowed for a modest proliferation of T. quinqueloba. This all together designates the climate optimum (Figure 3).

Despite the presence of a freshwater cover in the central and eastern Nordic Seas, an intensely active AMOC was suggested for MIS 11 in several studies [e.g., McManus et al., 2003; Dickson et al., 2009; Vázquez Riveiros et al., 2013]. For the Nordic Seas, however, it is difficult to decide where and under which circumstances deep convection could have occurred during MIS 11. It is noteworthy in this respect that MIS 11 in the Nordic Seas was characterized by the near absence of an epibenthic foraminiferal assemblage, including the otherwise very ubiquitous interglacial species Cibicidoides wuellerstorfi, but dominated by endobenthic-living species during times of very high surface productivity [Bauch, 1997; Struck, 1997]. Such conditions would indicate poorly ventilated bottom waters and a rather unusual pelagic-benthic coupling [Bauch et al., 2001]. This notion on the benthic fauna and high surface productivity therefore serves as additional evidence for seasonally ice-covered conditions over large parts of the Nordic Seas. We do not rule out, however, that deep convection may have occurred south of the areas from which records of MIS 11 are presently available.

In conclusion, our findings for interglacial MIS 11 may provide useful information for climate modeling as the present climate amplification in the Arctic region is associated with accelerated melting of GIS [Rignot et al., 2011] and sea ice loss in the Arctic [Kwok et al., 2009] which together is already accounting for notable surface ocean property changes in the subarctic seas [e.g., Dukhovskoy et al., 2016].
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