THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN



THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

1809-1882

With original omissions restored Edited with Appendix and Notes by his grand-daughter

NORA BARLOW

COLLINS ST JAMES'S PLACE, LONDON

© APPROVING AND DISTRESS SOME BARRION, 1918
PRINCIPLE OF GREAT SERVICES
COLLING CLARACTER PROVINCES AND CLARACTER
COLLING CLARACTER PROVINCES AND CLAR

The Complete Work of Charles Darwin Online

PREFACE

In unt old see Christe Daxino scient disors his resolutions for this new amistraria and the incursor in this children and their descendant. His fraidable the main neutrino of a 12 raggs between they and singust, 17%, whiting as he folks a for an hour on most airmonem. During the has use years of the fifth he enlarged on what he had already written as tries, manueries occurred in him, hearing the history-work hardening and which has their heart of the history-work had been already to the size of a complete transmission of the white memorative, now knowed in its a complete transmission of the white memorative, now knowed in its

old bather blooding in the Cambridae University Library.

The Josephingsofty first appeared in point us part of Life and
Latters of Charle Dancies edited by his son Frances and published
in 1889 by John Zhuriay, five years after Charles's death, when
many monitorin went creations are consistent was consistent and

Twa nepture law been solubihold. In 1930 the Arbiblyough was issued as a synthesis values in Timbines Library, No. 7 (Watt 8 Co.), with two appealutes, the little a theory of Report of Person, Burst, and the second a substrant also be Practic Description, the second a substrant also be Practic Description, and the second a substrant also be Practic Description, the second a substrant also be Reacted to the Second and the S

Laws followed the original cloudy, restoring commissions amounts for early side broader when when the controling many trivial errors and distractions that had except into the scalier reméeting; and when measured. These changed except poncession and fields in purely formal abbreviations, both of which checked smooth reads. Throughout, Charles Durwin's pranchases are in round brackets; my own additions are indicated by square ones. Procession Paramis Investigation of the Anticology also gainst a concentration of the scale Anticology also gainst indicated by my are installed N. B. To maken in the centrality of the scale. There are installed N. B. To maken in the centrality of the scale. There are contended the scale consistant as they occur, but there is a page and the screeness as the scale and the book for there who with to trace

An Appendix and Norm enlarge on matters arising in the test, and include unpublished letters. The Samuel Budger controversy has been given at considerable length in Part Two of the Appendix, where to some it may appear over-emphasised. But I felt that the enquibilished length shows a during legit on the complex story, so often misundestrood. Moreover it has a wider interest as the sequel to Charles Davidn's twent on the early evolutionists.

My thanks are due to Sir Charles Darwin, who let me keep the bound volume of the manuscript for mony months before it was handed over to the Cambridge University Liberry. The Liberrin has allowed me facilities for a final revision and I am indebted to him for his kindons, and to the helpfuiness of Mr. R. V. Kerr and to Mr. Pilerrin.

Help has come from many quarters; from my husband and from my sons; from my sister, Mrs. Ress Thomas; and from my cowsins, Mrs. Comford and the late Mrs. Raveret; and from Miss Sybli Founcian, Mr. Argent and Dr. Pudel.

CONTENTS

- Maria Car	-00
INTRODUCTION	
THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY	
APPENDIX	
c. On Clarke Mysele and dis grandfather Dr. Harris Darwin	
a The Darwer-lanks Coursemy	
rirti i	
t. Unpublished letter from Dr. Brasmus Daram	
a Haw Dr. Roser Darwel's algoritors in site	
3. The pencil mates of 1837-8: " The W	
4 Alex Charges's Jupers on Religion	
s. De Elimbo Durwin's Hi-Health	
It. Pay an in reference is precisely sected paragra	G.

"If I lived results that years and was able to work, how I should have to modify the Orgin, and how much the wars on all points will have to be modified I Well is a beginning, and that is resenting..."

Chris Diraje & J. D. Huder, 1869.

LIST OF PLATES

Charles Darwin aged 31 frontiples

Dr. Robert Darwin fasing page 30

Charles Darwin with his eldest child, William 111

Charles Darwin aged 72 116

The frontispinse is from a photograph by Mauli & Fox

The last plate is from a photograph by Elliost etc. Fra

PARTEY TREE OF CHARLES DATES!

ACCUMANT et all there exist. and had more Tipone. Section 1991 IF (Colon Name of P OHERWAY 1000 many same of parks Windpublic of Aller State CHARLES BORNESS IN THE PERSON. ARTHUR LINES suppress of facilities or Steams 1200-1208 on Sense Wasser 444 Block a bod.

t. - William Erseann, m. 1877 San Sedgwick d.s.p. 1810-1914

s. - Anne Ejoubeth

Alary Hearne
 Hazd, an infect.
 —Herritza kimma, m. 18ya Richard Buckley Lirebifeld d.s.p.

1843-1999 1854-1995 --George Howard, m. 1884 Naud Du Pay and had lower

1845-1912 --Blizabeth dasp. 1847-1926

7. —Peanels, m. (1) 1974 Anny (1) 1885 Elleo Words—(5) 1913 Flore 1496—1924 Extension Reads; worth Grofes; Maid 1826—1924 and had issue and had issue and had issue the second in (1) 1888 Elleobeth (1) 1920 Challotte

1861-1917

8. — Leonard III. (1) 1381 Elisabeth (2) 1900 Charlotte 1810—1983 Francis France; Midded Missioberd d n to 1845—1808 d n to 1865—1940.

 House in 1880 himma Carilla Ferres (Ido) 1832-1946 star. of Lord 1811-1928 Farrer by his first marriage, and had base to -Clurics Westing

1436-1656

INTRODUCTION

CHARLES DARWIN'S OWN reflections on his life and work. written between the snes of 67 and 74, most remain an important work of reference, whether in the history of ideas or in a portrait gallery of men. He still stands as the leading figure of that revolution in scientific thought which followed the publication of the Origin of Spaces in the middle of the geth century, a revolution soon involving all realms of knowledge. But posterity must continually reassess the past, and accurate contemporary sources are specially needed to provide insight into those stormy seasons when the wind of accepted belief changes. The great figures must be seen in their own setting and their own words must be heard. cleared of the posthumous growth of later dogmas. In the Autobiography Charles Darwin tells the story of the slow maturing of his mind and of his theories, leading to the publication of the Linnean paper with A. R. Wallace in 1818, and of the Origin of Species in 1810.

The time has cause for nestoring the suppressions made in 1817. The occasional astrongener of some passage had to be censored seventy years ago out of deference to the feelings of friends; now these comments are only seen harmless, but are nevertaing distributing up the pasts.

The major impressions, however, stone from the memory of the intense feelings roused direct the publication of the Origin, and still allive in the early elighties, when Francis Durwin was working at Life and Latters. The family was, in fact, divided concerning the publication of some of the passages relating to Charles Durwin's religious beliefs. Francis, the editor, held the view that complete publication was the right

INTERBUCTION

course, whilst other members of the family felt strongly that Charles's views, so privately recorded and not intended for publication, would be damaging to himself in their crudity.

I write as one of the next generation, and it is difficult now to imagine the state of tension that existed in what had always seemed to us a solid and united phalanx of uncles and aunts. Yet soon after Charles's death, before the publication of Life and Letters, feelings were so strong that litigation was suggested. Leonard Darwin's wrote to me in 1942 :- "I am now the only person alive who can remember what her feelings . were aroused at the time about the publication of the Autobiography. Etty2 went so far as to speak of legal proceedings to stop its publication. These could only have been against Frank. She felt that on religious questions it was vende and but half thought-out, and that in these circumstances it was not only points to his memory to publish it, but that he would have objected strongly. I should not be surprised if my Mother, unknown to us all, out in the final word against in [publication of the suppressed passages] to Frank." The suggestion of Mrs. Darwin's intervention is supported by a comment in her own handwriting in a manuscript copy of the Autobiography written out by Francis. This comment is given as a footnote in its appropriate place. The underlining of the worst "speak" an Leonard's lenge shows, I think, that ac felt sure that Henricity, his water, would never have taken legal action. Nevertheless it is close that opinions were allyment and reelings ran high in this united family, perhaps best explained by a divided loyalty amongst the children between the science of their father and the religion of their mother: shough the differences of view that existed exused no estrangement between the parents. This desire for reticence was an aftermath of the scientific-religious storm that had raped in the 60's and 70's with a fury that is now difficult to

2 Charles Darwn's fourth son, became a Major in the Royal Engineers.

* Henriests, Charles's elden doughter, married R. B. Lindsheld.

understand. Charles's own shrinking from anything verging on public or personnel dispuse, also found an echo in this family difference after his death. Francis refers to Charles's religion and to his sections in Chap. VIII of Life wall Letters, Vol. 1, considerable parts of which are drawn from the Austraphy—assages which were presumably passed by the family ceasorship, and which are here reinstated in their cisht place.

Evolution has now been widely accepted, and the author of the Origin of Species has been dead for over seventy years. Omissions that were made so soon after his death should now be replaced, for all available evidence is of value concerning those who transform fundamental beliefs; how frondamental the change was it is difficult to remember to-day, when it is a more than the change was it is difficult to remember to-day, when it is

D is some that he coming of evolution had a long history techind it and me me those who used place Charles throw and kind of tasks assert a time image it made and broad part. The cond-united Court was at the spiretty manage spire, and prove. He has the production to the instrumentation of theories in the light of new Your and of the Same. The American state of thrown's whole much of thought was against facile speculaman, and manufact be wed freely through his mind ready for the second rest of Directors and company. He sade Treasure small oil comments tricetting and her finding he prepare his case f = evolution in the face of a predominantly and but within action in wall common other, and indoubts are as freely rest and as a command. Markets lie like stepping-some months in wledge. Dognate

fixity was wholly at a constant of the second of the secon

Tale to the State of the Land

theme of the Origin of Species, so that his name remains more closely linked than any other with the admission of evolutionary beliefs into nineteenth-century orthodoxy. In the Autobiography he is seen taking his place in the historic procession, and much is revealed beyond the conscious statements. We can see the picture of the Darwin-Wedgwood ancestry, both as genetic forebears and at representatives of the Utiliturian and White traditions. We can worth his dominating love of natural history changing from his youthful passion for collecting and shooting, into the maturer passion of the theorises: we can watch his diffidence slowly giving way to scientific assurance, though never to dogmetic finality. In the later editions of the Origin Darwin showed an increasing belief in the inheritance of acquired characters and in the importance of use and disuse in the total picture of evolution, which led to some ambiguity of expression as to their respective roles in relation to Natural Selection.1 Darwin's faith in Natural Selection as the main apent never wavered, but this admission of other causes showed his awareness of difficulties still unsolved; indeed his vacillations may prove his wisdom in the light of recent work."

The passage from the Autobiography reproduced in facsimile opposite, demonstrates these doubts, and shows how his thoughts jostled each other for priority, leading to additions

and excisions. The passage occurs on p.p. 88, 89.

True portain of great men in their settings are specially needed at this time; for two schools of thought incline to take the figures of history and mould them into demonstration of their own doctries. To the Manistan the individual man is made by his economic environment; the zerodationary, determined the setting economic needs, in pushed up like a bubble out of the seathing economic need. The Freudam diverse, though on Take C. Bedengest segain at the date, which are the Only Name & Co.

^{*} See C. Waddington, Printiples of Embryology, 1956.

to the a a few of minds of a second to be a second

thing - I prome the topings begin to belong - I fe to in the segment a milk your many and to any on the armonic property of the pro-

the temporary and a few states on to provide

the many the many than a part of the many than the many the man



THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY



TABLE OF CONTENTS

There headings are as Charles Darwin wrote them down. It will be found that they do not exactly tally with the text.

page 11

116

From my birth to going to Cambridge

Cambridge life 200 for Bengh 2 71

From my raturn home to my marriage 8x

From my marriage and rationae in London to ear

settling at Down 96

Rathborn of Down 1144

Residence at Down

An account how several books arose

An estimation of my mental powers



May 215t, 1876

Recollections of the Development of my mind

A Genual Engron having written to me to set for an account of the development of my mind and character account of the development of my mind and character account of the development of my sunchingepshy. I have thought with some steeth of my sunchingepshy in have thought a more my children or their children. I have that if a most account of the mind of the my children or their children. I have that if a most account of the mind of the mind of my grandfuther written by himself, and what he hought and did and how he worked. I have attempted to write the following how writen by himself, and with a my canded the mind of the mind of my grandfuther account of my care of the mind of the mi

I was born at Shrewsbury on February 12th, 1800.

I was born at Shrewsbury on February 12th, 1800.

hith powerful minds generally had memories extending fat back to a very early period of life. This is not my case for my earliest recollection goes back only to when I was a few months over four years old, when we went

taken no pains about my style of writing,

THE AUTOMORAPHY OF CHARLE; MARTIN

to near Abetgele for sea-bathing, and I recollect some events and places there with some little distinctness.

My mother died in July 1817, when I was a little over eight years old, and it is odd that I can remember hardly anything about her except her death-bed, her black velvet gown, and her curiously constructed work-table. I believe that my forgetfulness is partly due to my sisters, owing to their great grief, never being able to speak about her or mention her name; and partly to her previous invalid state. In the spring of this same year I was sent to a day-school in Shrewsbury, where I staid a year. Before going to school I was educated by my sister Caroline, but I doubt whether this plan answered. I have been told that I was much slower in learning than my younger sister Catherine, and I believe that I was in many ways a naughty boy. Caroline was extremely kind, clever and zealous; but she was too zealous in trying to improve me : for I clearly remember after this long interval of years, saying to myself when about to enter a room where she was-" What will she blame me for now?" and I made myself dogged so as not to care what she might say-

By the time I went to this day-achool my tast for ansural history, and more especially for collecting, was well developed. I tried to make our the names of plants, I have been a surface of the collection of the

THE ASTURBULEAPHY

and collected all sorts of things, shells, seals, franks, coins, and minerals. The passion for collecting, which leads a man to be a systematic naturalist, a virtuoso or a miser, was very strong in me, and was clearly innate, as none of my sisters or brother ever had this taste.

One little event during this year has fixed itself very firmly in my mind, and I hope that it has done so from my conscience having been afterwards sorely troubled by it; it is curious as showing that apparently I was interested at this early age in the variability of plants? I told another little boy (I believe it was Leighton,1 who afterwards become a well-known Lichenologist and boganist) that I could produce variously coloured Polyanthuses and Primroses by watering them with certain coloured fluids, which was of course a monstrous fable. and had never been tried by me. I may here also confess that as a little boy I was much given to inventing deliberate falsehoods, and this was always done for the sake of causing excitement. For instance, I once gathered much valuable fruit from my Father's trees and hid them in the shrubbery, and then ran in breathless baste to spread the news that I had discovered a hoard of serden fruit.

1800 W. A. Contactor, where are a returning of the 1000-A at the Control fribate, brightness for feelinging a fitting to provide and antitud disc but absence the same term on the market of the same of Winners Administ Easterning (1980) of the Enterior Administration of the Autor Commit-Controller | portroduct | Vers of colourers, Larler Farry of Crear Brytols, and

I the factor would never the section of the time, and the section of the time,

THE APPROPRIATED OF PHARTY DARWIN.

About this time, or as I hope at a somewhat earlier age. I sometimes stole fruit for the sake of eating it : and one of my schemes was ingenious. The kitchen garden was kept locked in the evening, and was surrounded by a high wall, but by the aid of neighbouring trees I could easily get on the coping. I then fixed a long stick into the hole at the bottom of a rather large flower-pot, and by dragging this upwards pulled off peaches and plums. which fell into the pot and the prizes were thus secured. When a very little boy I remember stealing apples from the orchard, for the sake of giving them away to some boys and young men who lived in a cottage not far off. but before I gave them the fruit I showed off how quickly I could run and it is wonderful that I did not perceive that the surprise and admiration which they expressed at my powers of running, was given for the sake of the apples. But I well remember that I was delighted at them declaring that they had never seen a boy run so Kard I.

I remember clearly only one other incident during the years whilst at Mr. Care's daily echool—namely, the bursal of a dragoon-todolic; and it is surprising how clearly I can still see the forse with the man's compty boost and carbine suspended to the saddle, and the fring over the grave. This scene deeply stirred whatever poetic fairner there are here.

ARICY IDEAC WAS IN 1000."

**It is assume that marched themselvery for which from their properties of the second to the second t

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY

In the summer of 1818 I went to Dr. Butler's great school in Shrewsbury, and remained there for seven years till Mid-summer 1821, when I was sixteen years old. I boarded at this school, so that I had the great advantage of living the life of a true school-boy; but as the distance was hardly more than a mile to my home. I very often ran there in the longer intervals between the callings over and before locking up at night. This I think was in many ways advantageous to me by keeping up home affections and interests. I remember in the early part of my school life that I often had to run very quickly to be in time, and from being a fleet runner was generally successful; but when in doubt I prayed earnestly to God to help me, and I well remember that I artibuted my success to the prayers and not to my quick running, and marvelled how generally I was aided.

I have heard my father and elder sisten asy that I had, as a very young boy, a strong stear for long politing with all, as a very young boy, a strong stear for long politing with the had been as the standard of the standard stan

~)

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

I must have been a very simple little fellow when I first went to the school. A boy of the name of Garnett took me into a cake-shop one day, and bought some cakes for which he did not pay, as the shopman trusted him. When we came out I asked him why he did not pay for them, and he instantly answered, "Why, do you not know that my uncle left a great sum of money to the Town on condition that every tradesman should give whatever was wanted without payment to anyone who wore his old hat and moved it in a particular manner:" and he then showed me how it was moved. He then went into another shop where he was trusted, and asked for some small article, moving his hat in the proper manner, and of course obtained it without payment. When we came out he said, " Now if you like to go by yourself into that cake-shop (how well I remember its exact position). I will lend you my hat, and you can get whatever you like if you move the hat on your head properly." I gladly accepted the generous offer, and went in and asked for some cakes, moved the old hat. and was walking out of the shop, when the shopman made a rush at me, so I dropped the cakes and ran away for dear life, and was astonished by being greeted with shours of laughter by my false friend Garnett.

I can say in my own favour that I was as a boy humane, but I owed this entirely to the instruction and example of my sisters. I doubt indeed whether humanity is a natural or innate quality. I was very fond of collecting eggs, but I never not more than a single egg out of a

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY

bird's nest, except on one single occasion, when I took all, not for their value, but from 2 sort of bravado.

I had a strong taste for angling, and would sit for any number of hours on the bank of a river or pond watching the flost; when at Maer I was told that I could kill the worms with salt and water, and from that day I never spitted a living worm, though at the expense, probably, of some loss of success.

Once as a very little boy, whilst as the day-echool, or before that time, I steed cruelly, for I bees a puppy I believe, simply from enjoying the sense of power; is the the beating could not have been server, for the puppy did not howl, of which I feel sure as the sport was near to the house. This set lay heavily on my conscience, as is shown by my remembering the cease spot whene the more my lower of them. It is not the state of the country after the country of the country of the country of the after words, a passion. Dogs seemed no know this, for I was an adore in robbing their love from their masters,

Nothing could have been wome for the development of my mind than Dr. Buder's school, as it was strictly classical, nothing else being taught except a little societies recycaply and history. The school as a nears of education to me was simply a blank. During my whole life. I have been singularly incapable of masseting any language. Uspecial attention was paid to verse-making, and this I could never do well. I had many friends,

and this I could never do well. I had many triends, and The house of his mide, Justic Wedgersod, the pusager, P. D. Bees lived a family of Wedgerood assains, the groungue of whose heraum Charles's web. Mace lie in the heart of the Shripphire courtry, on ya an mide's tide from Shrewbur, "N. B.

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

got together a grand collection of old verses, which by parching together, sometimes aided by other hove. I could work into any subject. Much attention was paid to learning by heart the lessons of the previous day; this I could effect with great facility learning forty or fifty lines of Virgil or Homer, whilst I was in morning chapel; but this exercise was utterly useless, for every verse was forgotten in forty-eight hours. I was not idle, and with the exception of versification, generally worked conscientiously at my classics, not using cribs. The sofe pleasure I eyer received from such studies, was from some of the odes of Horace, which I admired greatly. When I left the school I was for my age neither high nor low in it; and I believe that I was considered by all my masters and by my Father as a very ordinary boy, rather below the common standard in intellect. To my deep mortification my father once said to me, "You care for nothing but shooting, dogs, and rar-catching, and you will be a diserace to yourself and all your family." But my father, who was the kindest man I ever knew, and whose memory I love with all my heart, must have been anery and somewhat unjust when he used such words.

I may here add a few pages about my Father, who was

in many ways a remarkable man.¹

He was about 6 feet 2 inches in height, with broad shoulders, and very compulent, so that he was the largest

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

man whom I ever saw. When he last weighed himself, he was 24 stone, but afterwards increased much in weight. His chief mental characteristics were his powers of observation and his sympathy, neither of which have I ever seen exceeded or even equalled. His sympathy was not only with the distresses of others, but in a prester degree with the pleasures of all around him. This led him to be always scheming to give pleasure to others, and, though hating extravagance, to perform many generous actions. For instance, Mr. B ..., a small manufacturer in Shrewsbury, came to him one day, and said he should be bankrupt unless he could at once borrow £10,000, but that he was unable to give any legal security. My furher heard his reasons for believing that he could ultimately repay the money, and from my Father's intuitive perception of character felt sure that he was to be trusted. So he advanced this sum, which was a very large one for him while young, and was after a time repaid.

It suppose that it was his sympathy which gave him unbounded power of winning confidence, and as a consequence made him highly successful as a physician between the legan to practise before he was recently one could not be fore two horses and a servant. On the following year his practice was larger, and so continued for above sixty expected was larger, and so continued for above sixty success as a doors own with more translating, as he says success as a doors with the more translating, as he says the success as a doors with the more translating, as he had been sure of the smallest princes, or, if his fisher had been sure of the smallest princes, or, if his fisher success as a door of the smallest princes, or, if his fisher success as a door of the smallest princes, or, if his fisher success as a door of the smallest princes, or, if his fisher success as a door of the smallest princes, or, if his signature is the success as a door of the smallest princes, or, if his signature is the success as a door of the smallest princes, or, if his signature is the success as a door of the smallest princes, or, if his signature is the success as a door of the smallest princes, or, if his signature is the success as a door of the smallest princes, or, if his signature is the success as a door of the smallest princes, or if his signature is the success as a door of the smallest princes.

had given him any choice, nothing should have induced him to follow it. To the end of his life, the thought of an operation almost sickened him, and he could scarcely endure to see a person bled-a horror which he has transmitted to me-and I remember the horror which I felt as a schoolboy in reading about Pliny (I think) bleeding to death in a warm bath. My Father told me two odd stories about bleeding; one was that as a very young man he became a Freemason. A friend of his who was a Freemason and who pretended not to know about his strong feeling with respect to blood, remarked essually to him as they walked to the meeting, "I suppose that you do not care about losing a few drops of blood?" It seems that when he was received as a member, his eyes were bandaged and his coat-sleeves turned up. Whether any such ceremony is now performed I know not, but my Father menrioned the case as an excellent instance of the power of imagination, for he distinctly felt the blood trickling down his arm, and could hardly believe his own eyes, when he afterwards could not find the smallest prick on his arm.

A goest slaughtering butcher from London once consulted my grandfather, when another man very ill was brought in, and my grandfather wished to have him instantly bled by the secont-paring aporthecary, the botcher was saked to hold the patient's arm, but he made some excess and left the room. Afterwash better plained to my grandfather that although he believed har it had tilled with his own honds more animate have



Dr. Robert Darwer, from a contemporary silhouette.

and the second s



THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

other man in London, yet absurd as it might seem he assuredly should have fainted if he had seen the patient bled.

Owing to my father's power of winning confidence, many patients, especially ladies, consulted him when suffering from any misery, as a sort of Pather-Confessor, He told me that they always began by complaining in a vague manner about their health, and by practice he soon guessed what was really the matter. He then suggested that they had been suffering in their minds. and now they would pour out their troubles, and he heard nothing more about the body. Family quarrels were a common subject. When gentlemen complained to him about their wives, and the quarrel seemed serious, my Father advised them to act in the following manner; and his advice always succeeded if the gentleman followed it to the letter, which was not always the case. The husband was to say to the wife that he was very sorry that they could not live happily together,-that he felt sure that she would be happier if separated from him -that he did not blame her in the least (this was the point on which the man oftenest failed)-that he would nor blame her to any of her relations or friends and lastly that he would settle on her as large a provision as he could afford. She was then asked to deliberate on this proposal. As no fault had been found, her remper was unruffled, and she soon felt what an awkward position she would be in, with no accusation to rebut, and with her husband and not herself proposing a separation. Invariably the lady begged her husband not to think of

TACD

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

separation, and usually behaved much better ever afterwards.

Owing to my father's skill in winning confidence he

Owing to my inner's such it withing connected me received many strategy confessions of minery and guilt. He often remarked how many miserable wives he had known. In several instances furthusands and wives had gone on pretry well together for between twenty and thirty years, and then haved each other birearly: this he attributed to their having lost a common bond in their young children having grown up.

But the most remarkable power which my father possessed was that of reading the characters, and even the thoughts of those whom he saw even for a shore time. We had many instances of this power, some of which seemed almost supernatural. It saved my father from ever making (with one exception, and the character of this man was soon discovered) an unworshy friend. A strange clergyman came to Shrewsbury, and seemed to be a rich man: everybody called on him, and he was invited to many houses. My father called, and on his return home told my sisters on no account to invite him or his family to our house: for he felt sure that the man was not to be trusted. After a few months he suddenly bolted, being heavily in debt, and was found out to be little better than an habitual swindler. Here is a case of trustfulness which not many men would have ventured on. An Irish gentleman, a complete stranger, called on my father one day, and said that he had lost his purse, and that it would be a serious inconvenience to him to wait in Shrewsbury until he could

receive a remittance from Ireland. He then asked my father to lend him I so, which was immediately done, as my father felt certain that the story was a true one. As soon as a letter could arrive from Ireland, one came with the most profuse thanks, and enclosing, as he said. a fac Bank of England note; but no note was enclosed. I asked my father whether this did not stagger him, but he answered " not in the least." On the next day another letter came with many apologies for having forgotten (like a true Irishman) to put the note into his letter of the day before.

A connection 1 of my Father's consulted him about his son who was strangely idle and would settle to no work. My Father said "I believe that the foolish young man thinks that I shall bequeath him a large sum of money. Tell him that I have declared to you that I shall not leave him a penny." The Father of the youth owned with shame that this preposterous idea had taken possession of his son's mind; and he asked my Father how he could possibly have discovered it, but my Father said he did not in the least know.

The Earl of - brought his nephew, who was insane but quite pentle, to my father: and the young man's insanity led him to accuse himself of all the crimes under heaven. When my Father afterwards talked about the case with the uncle, he said, "I am sure that your nephew is really guilty of . . . a beinous crime." Whereupon the Earl of - exclaimed, "Good God, Dr. Darwin,

¹ Robert's non-in-law, Henry Parker, who had married his cidest daughter, Manaries, in 1844.—N. B.

who told you; we thought that no human being knew the fact except ourselves!" My Father told me the story many years after the event, and I saked him how he distinguished the true from the false self-accusations; and it was very characteristic of my Father that he said he could not explain how it was.

The following story shows what good guesses my Father could make. Lord Sherburn,3 afterwards the first Marquis of Lansdowne, was famous (as Macaulay somewhere remarks) for his knowledge of the affairs of Europe, on which he greatly prided himself. He consulted my Father medically, and afterwards harangued him on the stare of Holland. My farher had studied medicine at Leyden, and one day went a long walk into the country with a friend, who took him to the house of a clergyman (we will say the Rev. Mr A---, for I have forgotten his name), who had married an Englishwoman, My father was very hungry, and there was little for luncheon except cheese, which he could never ext. The old lady was surprised and prieved at this, and assured my father that it was an excellent cheese, and had been sent her from Bowood, the seat of Lord Sherburn. My father wondered why a cheese should be sent her from Bowood, but thought nothing more about it until it flashed across his mind many years afterwards, whilst Lord Sherburn was talking about Holland. So he answered, "I should think from what I saw of the Rev. Mr A-, that he was a very able man and well acansigned with the state of Holland." My father saw

quainted with the state of Holland." My father saw

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY

that the Earl, who immediately changed the conversation, was much startled. On the next morning my father received a note from the Earl, saving that he had delayed starting on his journey, and wished particularly to see my father. When he called, the Earl said, "Dr. Darwin, it is of the utmost importance to me and to the Rev. Mr A- to learn how you have discovered that he is the source of my information about Holland." So my father had to explain the state of the case, and he supposed that Lord Sherburn was much struck with his diplomatic skill in guessing, for during many years afterwards he received many kind messages from him through various friends. I think that he must have told the wory to his children: for Sir C. Lyell asked me many years ago why the Marquis of Lansdowne (the son or grandson of the first marquis) felt so much interest about me, whom he had never seen, and my family. When forty new members (the forty thieves as they were then called) were added to the Athengum Club, there was much canvassing to be one of them; and without my having asked any one, Lord Lansdowne proposed me and got me elected. If I am right in my supposition, it was a queet concatenation of events that my father not eating cheese half-a-century before in Holland led to my election as a member of the Athen-

Early in life my father occasionally wrote down a short account of some curious event and conversation, which are enclosed in a separate envelope.

The sharpness of his observation led him to predict

3.5

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DIRWIN

with remarkable skill the course of any illness, and he suggested endless small details of relief. I was rold that a young Doctor in Shrewsbury, who disliked my father, used to say that he was wholly unscientific, but owned that his power of predicting the end of an illness was unparalleled. Formerly when he thought that I should be a doctor, he talked much to me about his patients. In the old days the practice of bleeding Jargely was universal, but my father maintained that far more evil was thus caused than good done; and he advised me if ever I was myself ill not to allow any doctor to take from me more than an extremely small quantity of blood. Long before typhoid fever was recognised as distinct, my father told me that two utterly distinct kinds of illness were confounded under the name of typhus fever. He was vehement against drinking, and was convinced of both the direct and inherited evil effects of alcohol when habitually taken even in moderate quantity in a very large majority of cases.1 But he admitted and advanced instances of certain persons, who could drink largely during their whole lives without apparently suffering any evil effects; and he believed that he could often beforehand tell who would thus not suffer. He himself never drank a drop of any alcoholic fluid. This remark reminds me of a case showing how a witness under the most favourable circumstances may be wholly mistaken. A gentleman-farmer was strongly urged by my father not to drink, and was encouraged by being told that he

not to drink, and was encouraged by being told that he

*See Note 1, p. 201 This hence from Robert's Sabar, Dr. Ensures Durwin, discusses the question of detak.—N. B.

blesself newer touched any splitinous liquon. Whereapon the genileman said, "Come, come, Dortor, that word to —though it is very kind of you to say so for my sale—for I know that you take a very large glass of hair and water every evening after your dinner." So my interest saled him how he knew this. The man snawered, "My cook was your kitchen-mald for two or three years, and the saw the butter every day prepare and take to you the gin and water." The explanation was that my take the solid of the control of the property of t

My father used to tell me many little things which he had fooun uterful in his medical practice. Thus ladies often cried much while telling him their troubles, and that scaused much loss of his precious time. He soen found that begging them to command and restrain themselves, slaveys much efform were pit he more, so that safetwards he alwayst encouraged them to go on crying, saying that had would relieve them more than anything else, with the invariable result that they soon ceased to cry, and the could hear what they had to say and give his advice. When patients who were very ill, caved for some strange and unnavaral food, my father asked them what had put such in the ladies of the sound that the sound that the sach in this latio their beads: if they snawced that they did not know, he would allow them to try the food, and

³ This belief still nervives, and was mentioned to my brother in 1944 by an old inhabitant of Shrewshoty, -F. D.

often with success, as he trusted to their having a kind of instinctive desire; but if they answered that they had heard that the food in question had done good to someone else, he firmly refused his assent.

He gave one day an odd little specimen of human nature. When a very young man he was called in to consult with the family physician in the case of a gentleman of much distinction in Shropshire. The old doctor told the wife that the illness was of such a nature that it must end fatally. My father took a different view and maintained that the gentleman would recover : he was proved quite wrong in all respects, (I think by autopsy) and he owned his error. He was then convinced that he should never again be consulted by this family; but after a few months the widow sent for him, having dismissed the old family doctor. My father was so much surprised at this, that he asked a friend of the widow to find out why he was again consulted. The widow answered her friend, that "she would never again see that odious old doctor who said from the first that her husband would die, while Dr. Darwin always maintained that he would recover I " In another case my father told a lady that her husband would certainly die. Some months afterwards he saw the widow who was a very sensible woman, and she said, "You are a very young man, and allow me to advise you always to give, as long as you possibly can, hope to any next relation nursing a patient. You made me despair, and from that moment I lost strength." My father said that he had often since seen the paramount importance, for the sake of the

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

parient, of keeping up the bope and with it the strateght of the nurse in charge. This he sometimes found it difficult to do compatibly with truth. One dl gentieman, however, M. Pem'estron, caused him no such perplexity. He was sent for by M.e. Pembetton asid, "From all that I have seen and heard of you I believe you are the sort of man who will speak the truth, and if I ask you will tell me when I am dying. Now I much clearly the Markov in the M

My father possessed an extraordinary memory, especially for dates, so that he knew, when he was very old the day of the birth, marriage, and death of a multirude of persons in Shropshire; and he once told me that this power annoyed him: for if he once heard a date he could not forget it; and thus the deaths of many friends were often recalled to his mind. Owing to his strong memory he knew an extraordinary number of curious stories, which he liked to teil, as he was a great talker, He was generally in high spirits, and laughed and joked with every one-often with his servants-with the umost freedom; yet he had the art of making every one obey him to the letter. Many persons were much afraid of him. I remember my father telling us one day with a laugh, that several persons had asked him whether Miss Piegore (a grand old lady in Shropshire), had called on him, so that at last he enquired why they asked

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

him; and was rold that Miss Piggott, whom my father had somehow mortally offended, was telling everybody that she would call and tell 'that fat old doctor very plainly what she thought of him." She had already called, but her courage had failed, and no one could have been more courteous and friendly. As a boy, I went to stay at the house of Major B-, whose wife was insane; and the poor creature, as soon as she saw me, was in the most abject state of terror that I ever saw, weeping bitterly and asking me over and over again, " Is your father coming?" but was soon pacified. On my return home, I asked my father why she was so frightened, and he answered he Iwasl very glad to hear it, as he had frightened her on purpose, feeling sure that she could be kept in safety and much happier without any restraint, if her husband could influence her, whenever she became at all violent, by proposing to send for Dr. Darwin; and these words succeeded perfectly during the rest of her long life.

My father was very sensitive so that many small event an anoped on pained him much. I none asleet him, when he was old said could not walk, why he did not drive out for exercise; and he answerd, "Every road out? Shrewbury is associated in my mind with some painful event." Yet he was generally in high spirits. How easily made very sngry, but as his kindness was unbounded, he was wisher and deeple bounded.

He was a cautions and good man of business, so that he hardly ever lost money by any investment, and left to his children a very large property. I remember a story,

40

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

showing how easily utterly false beliefs originate and spread. Mr E-, a squire of one of the oldest families in Shropshire, and head partner in a Bank, committed spicide. My father was sent for as a matter of form, and found him dead. I may mention by the way, to show how matters were managed in those old days, that because Mr E- was a rather great man and universally respected, no inquest was held over his body. My father, in returning home, thought it proper to call at the Bank (where he had an account) to tell the managing partner of the event, as it was not improbable it would cause a run on the bank. Well the story was spread far and wide, that my father went into the bank, drew our all his money, left the bank, came back again, and said, "I may just tell you that Mr E-has killed himself," and then departed. It seems that it was then a common belief that money withdrawn from a bank was not safe, until the person had passed out through the door of the bank. My father did not hear this story till some little time afterwards, when the managing partner said that he had departed from his invariable rule of never allowing any one to see the account of another man, by having shown the ledger with my father's account to several persons, as this proved that my father had not drawn out a penny on that day. It would have been dishonourable in my father to have used his professional knowledge for his private advantage. Nevertheless the supposed act was preatly admired by some persons: and many years afterwards, a gontleman remarked, " Ah. Doctor, what a splendid man of business you were in

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

so cleverly getting all your money safe out of that bank."

My father's mind was not scientific, and he did not yt to generallse his knowledge under general levy yet he formed a theory for stimout everything which occurred. I do not think that I gained mush from intellectually; but his example ought to have been of much moral service to all his children. One of his golden sules (a hard one to follow) was, "Newer become the friend of any one whom you cannot restreet."

With respect to my Father's father, the author of the Botanie Garden etc., I have put together all the facts which I could collect in his published Life.²

Having said this much about my Father, I will add a few words about my brother and sisters.

My brothet Eratums possessed a remarkabily clear mind, with catena via and determined that sea and knowledge in literature, art, and even in science. For a short time to collected and dried plants, and droing a somewhat longer time experimented in chemistry. He was extremely agreeable, and his with often reminded used to charles Lamb. He was that in the letters and works of Charles Lamb. He was to extract the contract of the lab is taken from his boydom do had been week, and as a consequence he failed in energy. His sportis were not high, nonetermies low, more socially during early and middle manhood. He read much, leading me to boy, and at school encouraged me in even whilst a boy, and at school encouraged me in even whilst a boy, and at school encouraged me to even, whilst a boy, and at school encouraged me to even, whilst a boy, and at school encouraged me to even, whilst a boy, and at school encouraged me to even, whilst a boy, and at school encouraged me to even, where the school encouraged me to even whilst a boy, and at school encouraged me to even, bowever, the ending me books. Our minds and tasses were, however, the school encouraged me to the school encourage me to the school encourage me to even while the school encourage me to even whilst a box enaction to the school encourage me to even while the school encourage me to even the school encourage me to even while the school encourage me to even the school encourage me to ev

ent that I do not think that I owe much * See Appendix, Part i. p. 140, on Dr. Etarena Derwis. incellectually—nor to my four sisters, who postersed yery different characters, and some of them had stray perfect that the stray of the stray of the stray poster to the stray of the stray of the stray character towards me during their whole lives. It are inclined to agree with Plancis Golton in bettering that colouring and environment produce only a small effect on the mind of any one, and that most of our qualities were instance.

The above sketch of my brother's character was written before that which was published in Carlyle's Remembrances, and which appears to me to have little truth and no merit.

Looking back as well as I can at my character during my school life, the only qualities which at this period promised well for the future, were, that I had strong and diversified tastes, much zeal for whatever interested me, and a keen pleasure in understanding any complex subject or thing. I was taught Euclid by a private tutor. and I distinctly remember the intense satisfaction which the clear geometrical proofs gave me. I remember with equal distinctness the delight which my uncle gave me (the father of Francis Galton) by explaining the principle of the vernier of a barometer. With respect to diversified tastes, independently of science, I was fond of reading various books, and I used to sit for hours reading the historical plays of Shakespeare, generally in an old window in the thick walls of the school. I read also other poetry, such as the recently published poems of Byzon, Scott, and Thomson's Seasons. I mention this

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

because later in life I wholly lost, to my great regeet, all pleasure from poetry of any kind, including Shakespeate. In connection with pleasure from poetry I may add that in 1812 2 wivid delight in scenery was first awakened in my mind, during a riding tour on the bootlers of Wales, and which has leased longer than any other aesthetic pleasure.

Early in my school-days a boy had a copy of the Wonders of the World, which I often read and disputed with other boys about the veracity of some of the statements; and I believe this book first gave me a wish to travel in remote countries, which was ultimately fulfilled by the voyage of the Beagle. In the latter part of my school life I became passionately fond of shooting, and I do not believe that anyone could have shown more zeal for the most holy cause than I did for shooting birds. How well I remember killing my first snipe, and my excitement was so great that I had much difficulty in reloading my gun from the trembling of my hands. This taste long continued and I became a very good shot. When at Cambridge I used to practise throwing up my oun to my shoulder before a looking-glass to see that I threw it up straight. Another and better plan was to get a friend to wave about a lighted candle, and then to fire at it with a cao on the nipple, and if the aim was accurate the little puff of six would blow out the candle. The explosion of the cap caused a sharp crack, and I was told that the Tutor of the College remarked. "What an extraordinary thing it is, Mr Darwin seems to spend hours in cracking a horse-whip in his

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

room, for I often hear the crack when I pass under his windows."

I had many friends amongst the schoolboys, whom I loved dearly, and I think that my disposition was then very affectionate. Some of these boys were rather clever, but I may add on the principle of "noscitur a socio" that not one of them ever became in the least distinguished.

With respect to science, I continued collecting minerals with much zeal, but quite unscientifically-all that I cared for was a new sussed mineral, and I hardly attempted to classify them. I must have observed insects with some little care, for when ten years old (1810) I went for three weeks to Plas Edwards on the sea-coast in Wales. I was very much interested and surprised at seeing a large black and scarlet Hemipterous insect, many moths (Zverena) and a Cicindela, which are not found in Shropshire. I almost made up my mind to begin collecting all the insects which I could find dead, for on consulring my sister. I concluded that it was not right to kill insects for the sake of making a collection. From reading White's Selborne I took much pleasure in watching the habits of birds, and even made notes on the subject. In my simplicity I temember wondering why every gentleman did not become an oznithologist.

Towards the close of my school life, my brother worked hard at chemistry and made a fair laboratory sith proper apparatus in the rool-house in the garden, and I was allowed to aid him as a servant in most of his experiments. He made all the gases and many com-

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

pounds, and I read with care several books on chemistry, such as Henry and Parker's Chowine Catarbhaw. The subject interested me greatly, and we often used to go on working dill rather less at a right. This was the best part of my education at school, for it showed me practilly the meaning of experimental science. The right has the second and as it was an unprecedented fact, I was nick-second, and as it was an unprecedented fact, I was nick-the wear of the second and the called me very unjusty a "poco custor," and as I did not understand what he meant it seemed to me a ferriful reproach.

As I was doing no good at school, my factor wisely not one was yet as tartier cattler age than usual, and sent me (October 184) to Edinburgh University? with now prother, where I styred for two years or sessions. My brother was completing his medical studies, though I do not believe he ever rarlly intended to practies, and I was sent there to commence them. But soon after this period I became convinced from various small circumstances that my father would leave me property enough to usuisited no with some conflict, though I never imagined that I should be so rich a man as I m; but my belief was sufficient to check any termous effort to learn

medicine.

The instruction at Edinburgh was altogether by "He lense a rota. Make's at Lennes Drees. What late the remain of Edinburgh University on the season of Edinburgh University on most has been published to the Edinburgh What. Deputs, May at 2017 and in the 3t Joseph Concer. Thousand it appears there was the foreign the fo

THE AUTORING BARMS

Lectures, and these were intolerably dull, with the exception of those on chemistry by Hope # but to my mind there are no advantages and many disadvantages in lectures compared with reading. Dr. Duncan's lectures on Materia Medica at 8 o'clock on a winter's morning are something fearful to remember. Dr. Munro made his lectures on human anatomy as dull, as he was himself, and the subject discusted me. It has proved one of the greatest evils in my life that I was not urged to practise dissection, for I should soon have got over my disgust; and the practice would have been invaluable for all my future work. This has been an irremediable evil, as well as my incapacity to draw. I also attended regularly the clinical wards in the Hospital. Some of the cases distressed me a good deal, and I still have vivid pictures before me of some of them; but I was not so foolish as to allow this to lessen my attendance I cannot understand why this part of my medical course did not interest me in a preater degree; for during the summer before coming to Edinburgh I began attending some of the poor people, chiefly children and women in Shrewsbury: I wrote down as full an account as I could of the cases with all the symptoms, and read them aloud to my father, who suggested further enquiries, and advised me what medicines to give, which I made up myself. At one time I had at least a dozen patients, and I felt a keen interest in the work.3 My father, who was by far the

^{*}Thomas Chules Hope, 1766-1844, Professor of Chemistry as Edinburgh,

⁴ I have beend him call to maind the pride he felt as the troubs of the woccessful surpress; of a whole family with sarrar errors.—P. D.

best judge of character whom I ever knew, declared that I should rathe as successful physician—meaning by its one who got many patients. He maintained that the character is the substitution of the character is the character is

The Decrease regions of the particle of the control of the control

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY

man was Hardie, who would I think have made a good botanist, but died early in India. Lastly, Dr. Grant,1 my senior by several years, but how I became acquainted with him I cannot remember : he published some firstrate zoological papers, but after coming to London as Professor in University College, he did nothing more in science-a fact which has always been inexplicable to me. I knew him well; he was dry and formal in manner, but with much enthusiasm beneath this outer crust. He one day, when we were walking together burst forth in high admiration of Lamarck and his views on evolution. I listened in silent assonishment, and as far as I can judge, without any effect on my mind. I had previously read the Zoosomia of my grandfather, in which similar views are maintained, but without producing any effect on me. Nevertheless it is probable that the hearing rather early in life such views maintained and praised may have favoured my upholding them under a different form in my Origin of Species. At this time I admired greatly the Zoönomia; but on reading it a second time after an interval of ten or fifteen years. I was much disappointed, the proportion of speculation being so large to the facts given.8

Drs. Grant and Coldstream attended much to marine Zoology, and I often accompanied the former to collect "Johns Howless" of Johns How and the property of the

Ste Amendia Part I, on Dr. Enutson Durale, printing N. B.

addign necess the 900 to consider the constraint of Filters has according from price of the constraint approach to the constraint approach to the constraint of the constraint

gotten what I was going to say." The poor fellow

This work was funded in the say of the poor fellow

"The worky was funded in the say say of the poor fellow

"The worky was funded in the say say of the poor fellow

"The worky was funded in the say of the poor fellow

"The worky was funded in the say of the poor fellow

"The worky was funded in the say of the poor fellow

"The worky was funded in the say of the poor fellow

"The poor fellow

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY.

looked quite overwhelmed, and all the members were so surprised that no one could think of a word to say to cover his confusion. The papers which were read to our little society were not printed, so that I had not real satisfaction of seeing my paper in print; but I believe Dr. Grant noticed my small discovery in his excellent memoir on Flustra.

I was also a member of the Royal Medical Society, and attended pretty regularly, but as the subjects were exclusively medical I did not much care about them. Much rubbish was talked there, but there were some good speakers, of whom the best was the present Sir J. Kay-Shuttleworth. Dr. Grant took me occasionally to the meetings of the Wernerian Society, where various papers on natural history were read, discussed, and afterwards published in the Transactions. I heard Audubon 2 deliver there some interesting discourses on the habits of N. American birds, sneering somewhat unjustly at Waterton." By the way, a negro lived in Edinburgh, who had travelled with Waterton and gained his livelihood by stuffing birds, which he did excellently; he gave me lessons for payment, and I used often to sir with him, for he was a very pleasant and imelligent

I from Tittee Kay Beachmanh, og Berrer, ving 1975, M.D., Uderbeggli, (1975). Annean Provider Communications of providing of the London on Communication of Education (1930–992), purpose of gravitate providers of the No. 8.

⁻N. S.

N. St. James Ambelium, 17th-1832. Osmithalogic and million of The Black of Alexans, and The Quantuport of Storte Alexans, 50 B.

^{*}Clastic Warriors, 1741-165; Marchille and travellet, soited of it makings to Lastiniana—No. D.

Mr. Leonard Horner's lass trock me once to a meeting of the Royal Society of Ediobategh, where I saw Sit Walter Soot in the chair as President, and he apologised to the meeting as not feeling fired for such a postion. I looked at him and at the whole scene with some sead revenence; and I think it was cowing to this wise during my youth and to my having strended the Royal Medical Society, that I felt the honour of being elected a few years ago an honorary member of both these Societies, more than any ottes similar knonour. If had been rold at that time that I should one day have been took the thought it as additions and improbable, as if I had been told that I should be feeded King of England.

During my second year in Bülinburgh I atended Jameson's Ilestress on Geology and Zoology, but Jameson's Ilestress on Geology and Zoology, but was incredibly delli. The sole effect they produced on me was the determination never as long as I lived to read a book on Geology or in any way to study the science. Yes I feel sure that I was propased for a philosophical teatment of the subject; for an old Mc Corton in Strophiles who knew a good deal about tocks, had politred out to me, two or three years previously a well-known large eracite boulder in the town of Shrewbury, called the bell-atons; he told me that there was no rook of the same island onsacre than Camberland of Scotland, and he solemnly assured me that the world would come to an end before anyone would be able to explain how

this stone came where it now lay. This produced a deep unpression on me and I meditated over this wooderful stone. So that I felt the keenest delight when I first stone So that I felt the keenest delight when I first and it this came of leasters in came, some consideraand I glorided in the progress of Geology, Equally stillings in the fact that I, though one only stay-searger, and been I related for the control of the consistency of the control of the control of the consider, with volume recision I record on a find beam stake, with volume recision I record on a control of the control of the control of the consider, which would be considered on each way in three filled with secliment from the consider, which would be control of the cont

From strending Jameson's learures, I became aquisited with the curator of the mustcum, Mr. Mae-gillivray,1 who afterwards published a large and excellent book on the birds of Scothand. He had not much the appearance or manners of the gentleman. I had much interesting natural-hintory tolk with hin, and be was very kind to me. He gave me some rate shells, for I at what he is exclused smarker milkes, but with we great the contract of the contrac

My annual talking the wholly given up to a musements, though I always had some book in hand, which I read with interest. During the summer of 1886, I took a long walking rose with

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

two friends with knapsacks on our backs through North Wales. We walked thirry miles most days, including one day the ascent of Snowdon. I also went with my sister Caroline a riding tour in North Wales, a servant with saddle-bags carrying our clothes. The autumns were devoted to shooting, chiefly at Mr. Owen's at Woodhouse, and at my Uncle Jos's', at Mace. My zeal was so great that I used to place my shooting boots open by my bed-side when I went to bed, so as not to lose half-a-minute in putting them on in the morning : and on one occasion I reached a distant part of the Maer estate on the 20th of August for black-game shooting, before I could see : I then toiled on with the gamekeeper the whole day through thick heath and young Scotch firs. I kent an exact record of every bird which I shot throughout the whole season. One day when shooting at Woodhouse with Captain Owen, the eldest son and Major Hill, his cousin, afterwards Lord Berwick, both of whom I liked very much, I thought myself shamefully used, for every time after I had fired and thought that I had killed a bird, one of the two acted as if loading his gun and cried out, "You must not count that bird, for I fired at the same time," and the gamekeeper perceiving the joke, backed them up. After some hours they told me the joke, but it was no joke to me for I had shot a large number of birds, but did not know how many, and could not add them to my list, which I used to do by making a knot in a niece of string tied to a burton-hole.

This my wicked friends had perceived.

* Josiah Wedgwood, the sor of the founder of the Erroria Works.—P. D.

THE APPRECIOGRAPHY

How I did enjoy shooting, but I think that I must have been half-consciously ashamed of my zeal, for I tried to persuade myself thus shooting was almost an intellectual employment; it required so much skill to judge where to find most game and to hunt the dogs well.

One of my autumnal visits to Macrin 1827, was memorable from meeting there fit; J. Mackintonsh, 'who was the best converser I ever literact to. I heard afterwards with a glow of pride that he had said, "There is something in that young man that interests me." This mass have been chiefly due to his preciving that I listen have been chiefly due to his preciving that I listen as ignorant as a gig about his subjector of history, policy and and moral philosophy. To hear of preits from an eminent presson, though no doubt apt or certain to every waity, is, I chink, good for a young man, as it helps to keen him in the right course.

My valis to Macr during these two and the three succeeding years were quite delightful, independently first properties are considered in the automost shooting. Life these was perfectly free; it has constructed in the country was very pleasant for walking or entity and in the evening there was much very agreeable on versation, not so personal as it generally is in large family parties, together with music. In the summer the old protection, only used often to sit on the steps of the whole family used often to sit on the steps of the old protect, with the flower-garden in front, and with the

¹ Sir James Mackintonis, 1765-1852, philosophus and historium. Had enalled medicine at Edinburgh. He and Jostab Wodgwood of Nair married was of the Allen states, so there was connection by manings between the families.

THE AUTOMOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DANGED.

steep wooded hask, opposite the house, reflected in the lake, with here and there a fish rising or a water-bird predding obsort. Nothing has left a more vivid picture, on my mind fram these evenings ar Mers. I vas also articled to and greatly revered my Uncle Joy: he was stillen and reserved to see to be a tabler awful man; but he sometimes talked openly with me.\(^1\) the was the very type of on uppight man with the clearest judgment, I do not believe that any power on earth could have made him swere on Inch from what he conducted the tight roturne. I used to apply to him in my mind, the well-known ode of Honce, now forgotte the well-known ode of Honce, now forgotte him, and we will known ode of Honce, now forgotte or come in.

Cambridge, 1828-1831

AFTER HAVING Spent two sessions in Edinburgh, my father perceived or he heard from my sisters, that I did not like the thought of being a physician, so he proposed that I should become a clergyman. He was very properly velocinent against my rurning an alle sporting man, which then seemed my probable destination. I saked for some

then seemed my probable destination. I asked for some

* Spices Smith was a frequent ridius at Mars, and Mrs. Linksfruit quines
mention's memory of a recell of her — "Wedgeword" at earthquiness
is a pay he base per mends." Lessa Dauma, Vol. 1, p. 44—N. B.

 Justum et serseem propositi vienen Nest ervann deden perra Jabbettami. Nest valtur entangti et samt Mente quarit socials.
 F. D.

16

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

time to consider, as from what little I had heard and thought on the subject I had scrupples about declaring my belief in all the dogmas of the Church of England, purbling the subject I had scrupple of being a country clergyman. Accordingly I read with care Poerson so I had Cred and a few other bools on dividing; and as I had not then in the least doubt the strict and literal truth of the out Cred must be fully accepted. It never struck me out Cred must be fully accepted. It never struck me how libiguist I was to say that I believed in what I could not understand and what is in fact unintelligible. I might have said with entire truth but I had no wish to dispute any dogmas; but I never was must fool as to feel and say 'engle out in interfalling and had no to the country of the say of the sa

Considering how facetly I have been attacked by the orthodox is seen huldrown that I once intended to be a clergyman. Nor was this intention and my father's which or leaving Cambridge I loined the Engly as Naturalist. If the phranologists are to be trusted, I was well firsted in one respect to be a clergyman. A few years ago the Secretaries of a German psychologist society asked me earnestly by letter for a phorograph of myself; and some time afterwards I received the proceedings of one of the meetings in which it seemed that the shape of my head become the mine afterwards letter of a public discussion, and one of the meetings in which it seemed that the shape of my head become the shape of my head of the speakers declared that I had the bump of Reverence developed enough for ten Priess.

As it was decided that I should be a clergyman, it was necessary that I should go to one of the English universities and take a dagree; but as I had aver opened a classical book since leaving school, I found to my dismay that in the two inservening years I had actually forgotten, incredible as it may appear, almost everydung which I had learnt even to some few of the Creek tetres. I did not reterfore proceed to Cambridge at the usual time in October, but worked with a puivate unter in Shrewsbury and wene to Cambridge after the Christmas vacation, early in 1888. I soon, recovered my school sendant of knowledge, and could translate early effects books, such as Homer and the Greek Testament with modernts facility.

During the three years which I spent at Cambridge my time was wasted, as far as the academical studies were concerned, as completely as at Edinburgh and at school. I attempted mathematics, and even went during the summer of 1828 with a private tutor (a very dull man) to Barmouth, but I got on very slowly. The work was repugnant to me, chiefly from my not being able to see any meaning in the early steps in algebra. This impatience was very foolish, and in after years I have deeply regretted that I did not proceed far enough at least to understand something of the great leading principles of mathematics; for mon thus endowed seem to have an extra sense. But I do not believe that I should ever have succeeded beyond a very low grade. With respect to Classics I did nothing except attend a few compulsory college lectures, and the attendance was almost nominal. In my second year I had to work for a month or two to pass the Little Go, which I did easily. Again in my last

THE AUTOMOGRAPHY

year I worked with some earnestness for my final degree of B.A., and brushed up my Classics together with a linle Algebra and Euclid, which latter gave me much pleasure, as it did whilst at school. In order to pass the B.A. examination, it was, also, necessary to get up Paley's Evidences of Christianity, and his Moral Philosophy. This was done in a thorough manner, and I am convinced that I could have written out the whole of the Evidences with perfect correctness, but not of course in the clear language of Paley. The logic of this book and as I may add of his Natural Theology gave me as much delight as did Euclid. The careful study of these works, without attempting to learn any part by rote, was the only part of the Academical Course which, as I then felt and as I still believe, was of the least use to me in the education of my mind. I did not at that time trouble myself about Paley's premises; and taking these on trust I was charmed and convinced by the long line of argumentation. By answering well the examination questions in Paley, by doing Euclid well, and by not failing miserably in Classics, I gained a good place among the 6c months. or crowd of men who do not go in for honours. Oddly enough I cannot remember how high I stood, and my memory fluctuates between the fifth, tenth, or twelfth name on the list.1

Public lectures on several branches were given in the University, attendance being quite voluneary; but I was so sickened with lectures at Edinburgh that I did not

Temb an the last of January 1841,-F. D.

THE ACTUAL CONTRACTOR OF CHIRDREN DIRWIN

even artend Sedgwick's a loquent and interesting lectures. Had I done so I should probably have become a geologist cattler than I dd. I attended, however, Henslow's a lectures on Bonary, and liked then much for their externs clearness, and the adminishel illustrations; but I did not study bonary. Henslow used to take his pupils, including several of the older members of the University, field excursions, on foot, or in couches to distant places, or in a barge down the siver, and lectured on the rarer plants or animals which were observed. These excursions were delightful.

Although as we shall presently tee there were some and the shall present the shall p

- Co

^{*}Adan believed, 175-4575. Westersthat professor of prology, Carlestin, 1818. F.R.S., 1819.—N.B.

John Steven Hunter, vys.-166.
 Holm Steven

and Decemp always hold him in easy high sussion—N. B.

12 pather from stone of my factor's communication that by his management that the hard statement of the parameter.—S. E.

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY

But I am ghát or think that I had many other friends of a widely different nature. I was very intimate with Whitley*, who was afterwards Senior Wrangler, and we used continually to take long withs togethes. He incoraleade me with a nate for pictures and good engravings, of which I bought some. I frequently went to the five with meaning and the senior of the continual of the property senior in the continual of t

I also got into a musical set, I believe by means of my warm-baard friend Hetbert, who took a high waragler's degree. From associating with these mean and heating them play, I sengited a strong taste for music, and used very often to time my walls so as to heat on week days the authorn its King's College Chapel. This gave me intense pleasure, so that my backbone would tomet mea shiver. I am such that these was no affectation or more initiation in this taste, for I used generally to go by myself to King's College, and I contenies haved the contacter beyes to sing Ia my rooms. Nevertheless I am so utently detribute of an car, that I cannot perceive a discort, or keep time and hum a tous correctly; and it is discort, or keep time and hum a tous correctly; and it is

¹Rev. C. Whisley, Hon. Canon of Durham, formerly Reader in Naural Philosophy in Durham University,—F. D.

*The last John Myance Herbert, County Court Judge of Cardiff and the Memorath Circuis - F. D. a mystery how I could possibly have derived pleasure from music.

My musical friends soon perceived my state, and sometimes answed themselves by making me pass an examination, which consisted in accertaining how many tunes I could recognise, when they were played maker more quickly or slowly than usual. "God save the Klag' when thus played was a now purell. There was suche man with almost us bad on ear as I had, and strange to say he played a little on the flotte. Once I had to say he played a little on the flotte. Once I had to training ho flowling him in one of our musical examinations.

But no partial at Cambridge was followed with nearly so much sugerness or gave me so much pleasure as collecting betries. It was the mere passion for collecting, for I did not distact them and rarely compared their external characters with published descriptions, but grain one day, on teating off some old back, I saw two rare beetles and scized one in each hand; it then I saw a third and new kind, which I could not bear to lose, so that I popped the one which I held in my right hand into my mouth. Also it eleved some intensity scied fluid, which burnt my tongue so that I was forced to git the beetle ox, which was lots, as well as the third spit the head not, which was lots, as well as the third

one.

I was very successful in collecting and invented two
new methods; I employed a labouter to scrape during
the winter, moss off old trees and place [it] in a large
bag, and likewise to collect the rubbish at the bottom

of the barges in which reeds are brought from the fens. and thus I got some very rare species. No poet ever felt more delight at seeing his first poem published than I did at seeing in Stephen's Illustrations of British Intects the magic words, "captured by C. Darwin, Esq." I was introduced to entomology by my second cousin, W. Darwin Fox, a clever and most pleasant man, who was then at Christ's College, and with whom I became extremely intimate. Afterwards I became well acquainted with and went out collecting, with Albert Way 1 of Trinity, who in after years became a well-known archeologist: also with H. Thompson,3 of the same College, afterwards a leading agriculturist, chairman of a great Railway, and Member of Parliament. It seems therefore that a taste for collecting beetles is some indication of future success in life!

I am suprised what an indelible impression many of the beefles which I caught at Cambridge have left on my mind. I can renormber the enset appearance of certain poss, old trees and banks where I made a good capture. The pretty Panagora reno-nagive was a treature in those days, and there at Down I saw a beefer entoning across a walle, and on picking it up instantly pecceived that the different significant points of the transport of the property of the present of the property of the property of the different property significant the coloring at the property of the prope

* Afterwards Sir H. Thompson, four barones,---F. D.

T.A.C.D.

many other black Carabidous beetles; but my sons found here a specimen and I instantly recognised that it was new to me; yet I had not looked as a British beetle for the last twenty years.

I have not as yet mentioned a circumstance which influenced my whole career more than any other. This was my friendship with Prof. Henslow. Before coming up to Cambridge, I had heard of him from my brother as a man who knew every branch of science, and I was accordingly prepared to reverence him. He kept open house once every week,1 where all underemoustes and several older members of the University, who were attached to science, used to meet in the evening. I soon got, through Fox, an invitation, and went there regularly. Before long I became well acquainted with Henslow, and during the latter half of my time at Cambridge took long walks with him on most days; so that I was called by some of the dons of the man who walks with Henslow?; and in the evening I was very often asked to join his family dinner. His knowledge was great in butany, entonology, chemistry, mineralogy, and geology. His strongest raste was to draw conclusions from longcontinued minute observations. His judgment was excellent, and his whole mind well-balanced; but I do not suppose that anyone would say that he possessed much original genius.

nucli original genius.

He was deeply religious, and so probados, that he

⁴ The Caudralos Ray Clab, which to 1887 annihal in fatural analysenam, a the direct descendant of these meetings, history been function to fill the blank cuted by the discribionaria, in 1846 of Hontow's Finday avenings. See Protector Ballington's paraplet, The Confession Ray Conf., 1889.—P. D.

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

told me one day, he should be grieved if a single word of the Thirty-nine Arricles were altered. His moral qualities were in every way admirable. He was free from every tinge of vanity or other petry feeling; and I never saw a man who thought so little about himself or his own concerns. His temper was imperturbably good, with the most winning and courteous manners; yer, as I have seen, he could be roused by any bad action to the warmest indignation and prompt action. I once saw in his company in the streets of Cambridge almost as horrid a scene, as could have been wirnessed during the French Revolution. Two body-snatchers had been arrested and whilst being taken to prison had been torn from the constable by a crowd of the roughest men. who dragged them by their legs along the muddy and stony road. They were covered from head to foot with mud and their faces were bleeding either from having been kicked or from the stones; they looked like corpses, but the crowd was so dense that I got only a few momentary glimpses of the wretched creatures. Never in my life have I seen such wrath painted on a man's face, as was shown by Henslow at this horrid scene. He tried repeatedly to benetrate the mob : but it was simply impossible. He then rushed away to the mayor, telling me not to follow him, to get more policemen. I forget the issue, except that the two were got into the prison before being killed.

Henslow's benevolence was unbounded, as he proved by his many excellent schemes for his poor parishioners, when in after years he held the living of Hitcham. My

THE ACTUALOGUE OF THE PERSON WAS TO

infrancy with such a man ought to have been and I hope was an inestimable benefit. I cannot resist mendinging a trifling incident, which showed his kind consideration. Whilst examining some pellen-grains on a damp surface I saw the tubes exserted, and instantly nuthed off to communicate my supripting discovery to blin. Now I do not suppose any other Professor of Borany could have helped laughting at my coming in such a harry to make such a communication. But he agreed how intertuing the phenomenon was, and explained its meaning, but made me clearly understand how well it was known; so I left him not in the less treatined, but well pleased at having discovered for rayed for one perfectly and the support of the control of the control

De. Whewell' was one of the older and distinguished mon who sometimes winted Hearlow, and on several occasions I walked home with him at night. Next to SI; J. Mackinson he was the best convener on grave subjects to whom I even literated. I gooted Jernya, Japandson is His animate arms — "https://www.him.com/distincts/marked-literatures-grave-research-washed-literatures-grave-res

* N. Germann Bermannika and A. Landard School and Schoo

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY

sarcastic expression; and it is not often that a first impression is lost; but I was completely mistaken and found him very kindhearted, pleasant and with a good stock of humour. I visited him at his parsonage on the borders of the Fens [Swaffham Bulbeck], and had many a good walk and talk with him about Natural History. I became also acquainted with several other men older than me, who did not care much about science, but were friends of Henslow. One was a Scotchman, brother of Sir Alexander Ramsay, and tutor of Jesus College; he was a delightful man, but did not live for many years. Another was Mr Dawes, afterwards Dean of Hereford and famous for his success in the education of the poor. These men and others of the same standing, together with Henslow, used sometimes to take distant excursions into the country, which I was allowed to join and they were most agreeable.

Looking back, I lofer that there must have been something in me a little superior to the common run of youths, otherwise the above-mentioned men, so much older than me and higher in scademical position, would never have allowed me to associate with them. Ceresing! I was not sware of any such superiority, and I remember one of my sporting friends, Turner, who saw me at work on my beetle, saying that I should some sly as Pellow of the Royal Society, and the notion seemed to me prepositerous.

During my last year at Cambridge I read with care and profound interest Humboldt's Personal Narrative. This work and Sir I. Herschel's Introduction to the Study of Natural Philosophy strated up in me a bruning zeal to add even the most humble contribution to the noble structure of Natural Science. No one or a dozen other books influenced me nearly so much as these two. I copied our from Humbold tiong passages about Tenerifle, and read them should on one of the above-memor cacurations, to (I think) Hanslow, Ramsay and Dave t; for on a previous occasion I lad called above the glories of Tenerifle, and some of the party declared they would endeavour to go there; but I think that they were only half in caraset. It was, however, quite a caraset, and got an introduction to a menchant in caraset, and got an introduction to a menchant of course knocked on the head by the voyage of the Bastale.

My summer vacations were given up to collecting beetles, to some redting and short cours. In the autumn my whole time was devoted to shooting, chiefly at Woodhouse and Maer, and sometimes with young Byton of Byton. Upon the whole the three years which 1 spent at Cambridge were the most jorful in my happy life; for I was then in excellent health, and almost advance in the strike.

As I had at first come up to Cambridge at Christmas, I was forced to keep two terms after passing my final examination, at the commencement of 1831; and Henslow then persuaded me to begin the study of geology. Therefore on my return to Shropshire I examined sections

*Thomas Campbell Byon, 1609-180s, Corresponded with Darwin and Agence, and opposed Deverment—N. B.

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY

and coloured a map of parts round Shrewsbury. Professor Sedgwick intended to vlsit N. Wales in the beginning of August to pursue his famous geological investigation amongst the older rocks, and Henalow asked him to allow me to accompany him! Accordingly he came and slept at my Father's house.

A short conversation with him during this evening produced a strong impression on my mind. Whilst examining an old gravel-pit near Shrewsbury a labourer told me that he had found in it a large worn tropical Volute shell, such as may be seen on the chimney-pieces of cotrages; and as he would not sell the shell I was convinced that he had really found it in the pit. I told Sedewick of the fact, and he at once said (no doubt truly) that it must have been thrown away by someone into the pit; but then added, if really embedded there it would be the greatest misformine to geology, as it would overthrow all that we know about the superficial deposits of the midland counties. These gravel-beds belonged in fact to the glacial period, and in after years I found in them broken arctic shells. But I was then utterly astonished at Sedgwick not being delighted at so wonderful a fact as a tropical shell being found near the surface in the middle of England. Nothing before had ever made me thoroughly realise, though I had read various scien-

View reservative, we will this year ten derive mad us into a form of health Subgreys as they had partitly from their new manning, and had well-side a such as which had been such as the subgrey of the subgreys and to record that its provide reason, being near the distribution of the subgreys of the such such as the subgreys of the such subgreys of the subgrey of the subgreys of the subgrey o

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

tific books, that science consists in grouping facts so that general laws or conclusions may be drawn from them.

Next morning we started for Llangollen, Conway, Bangor, and Capel Curig. This tour was of decided use in teaching me a little how to make out the geology of a country. Sedewick often sent me on a line parallel to his, telling me to bring back specimens of the rocks and to mark the stratification on a map. I have little doubt that he did this for my good, as I was too ignorant to have aided him. On this tour I had a striking instance how easy it is to overlook phenomena, however conspicuous, before they have been observed by anyone. We spent many hours in Cwm Idwal, examining all the rocks with extreme care, as Sedgwick was anxious to find fossils in them : but neither of us saw a trace of the wonderful glacial phenomena all around us; we did not notice the plainly scored rocks, the perched boulders, the lateral and renninal moraines. Yet these phenomena are so conspicuous that, as I declared in a paper published many years afterwards in the Philosophical Magazine,2 a house burnt down by fire did not tell its story more plainly than did this valley. If it had still been filled by a glacier, the phenomena would have been less distinct than they now are.

At Capel Curig I left Sedgwick and went in a straight line by compass and map across the mountains to Barmouth, never following any track unless it coincided with my course. I thus came on some strange wild

Philosphirel Magazow, 1841 - F. D.

THE AUTORIDGRAPHS

places and enjoyed much this manner of travelling. I visited Barmouth to see some Cambridge friends who were reading there, and thence returned to Shrewbury and to Mace for shooting; for at that time I should have thought myself mad to give up the first days of partridgeshooting for geology or any other science.

ON MUTUANNO from from my thort geological tour in N. Wales, I found a letter from Hemlow, informing me that Captian Flitz-Roy¹ was willing to give up part of his own clain to any young man who would volunteer to go with him without pay as naturalist to the Voyage of the logis. I have given at a believe in my M.S. Journal as account of all the circumstances which then occurred; the offer, but my fathet strongly objected, adding the world fortunate for mc,—"If you can find any man of common sense, who advues you to go, I will give my consent." So I wrote that evening and refused the offer, to my consent." So I wrote that evening and reduced the offer. On the next moming I went to Mate to be ready for September 1st, and whilst out shooting, my uncle' sent. "Attent for fine, the stack Vess manning presented meroproconcess."

eyeens of weather-warnings -N. B.

* Jossels Westgwood, son of Jossels Westgwood the Poster,

for me, offering to drive me over to Shewabury and stall with my father. A my nucle thought it would be wise in me to accept the offer, and as my father always maintained that he was one of the most sensible me in the world, he at once contented in the kindest manner. I had been represented to the content of the content of the consoler my father said, "that I should be deared cleave to spend more than my allowance whilst on board to spend more than the said," But they all tell me would be served cleave."

Next day I stated for Cambridge to see Henolow, and thence to London to see Flitz-Roy, and all successions and the control of the Flitz-Roy, and succession and the control of the Flitz-Roy, I heard that I had not a very reserve this for being rejected, on account of the hispe of mose! He was an autent distingle of Lawster, and was convinced that he could judge a man's character by the couldness of the first rest and the state of t

Fig. Roy's chances: was a singular one, with namy very noble familiser; in was devoted to his duty, gene-cos to a fault, held, determined, indominably congress, and un ardent friend or all under this ways. He would undertake any sorr of trouble to assist those whom he thought deserved assistance. He was a landsome man, "san Name p. usis imme more Chem Enswer the Judic Welley and Chem and the Chem and the constraints to the chem and the constraints the follower becomes to the constraints to the Chem and the

strikingly like a gentleman, with highly courteeus manners, which resembled these of his material unels, the famous Lord Castlereagh, as I was rold by the Minister at Rio. Nevertheless he must have inherited musher in his appearance from Churles II, for Dr. Wallich gave me a collection of photographs which he had made, and I was struck with the resemblance of one to Fire-Roy; on looking at the rame, I found it Ch. E. Sobbiedh Sozart, Count d'Albanie, lilegislinate descendant of the

Fitz-Roy's temper was a most unfortunate one. This was shown not only by passion but by fits of longcontinued moroseness against those who had offended him. His temper was usually worst in the early morning, and with his carde eye he could generally detect something amiss about the ship, and was then unsparing in his blame. The junior officers when they relieved each other in the forenoon used to ask " whether much hot coffee had been served out this morning,-" which meant how was the Captain's temper? He was also somewhat suspicious and occasionally in very low spirits. on one occasion bordering on insanity. He seemed to me often to fail in sound judgment or common sense. He was extremely kind to me, but was a man very difficult to live with on the intimate terms which necessarily followed from our messing by ourselves in the same cabin. We had several quarrels: for when out of temper he was utterly unreasonable. For instance, early *The Count of Albamic's claim to Knyal discount has been shown to be based on a myth. See the Quarter Roses, 1427, 443, Inno. p. \$92 also Bayesind's Bayesind and Critical Export, 1779, vol. 10, p. 225.—Ft. Dr.

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARRING

in the voyage at Bahia in Brazil he defended and praised slavery, which I abominated, and told me that he had just visited a great slave-owner, who had called up many of his slaves and asked them whether they were happy, and whether they wished to be free, and all answered "No." I then asked him, perhaps with a sneer, whether he thought that the answers of slaves in the presence of their master was worth anything. This made him excessively angry, and he said that as I doubted his word, we could not live any longer together. I thought that I should have been compelled to leave the ship; but as soon as the news spread, which it did quickly, as the captain sent for the first lieurenant to assuage his anger by abusing me. I was deeply gratified by receiving an invitation from all the our-room officers to mess with them. But after a few hours Fitz-Rov showed his usual magnanimity by sending an officer to me with an apology and a request that I would continue to live with him. I remember another instance of his candour. At Plymouth before we sailed, he was extremely angry with a dealer in crockery who refused to exchange some arricle purchased in his shop; the Captain asked the man the price of a very expensive set of chips and said "I should have purchased this if you had not been so disobliging." As I knew that the cabin was amply stocked with crockery. I doubted whether he had any such intention; and I must have shown my doubts in my face, for I said not a word-After leaving the shop he looked at me, saving You do not believe what I have said, and I was forced to own

that it was so. He was silent for a few minutes and then said You are right, and I acted wrongly in my anger at the blackguard.

At Conception in Chile, poor Fitz-Roy was sadly overworked and in very low spirits; he complained bitterly to me that he must give a great party to all the inhabitants of the place. I remonstrated and said that I could see no such necessity on his part under the circumstances. He then burst out into a fury, declaring that I was the sort of man who would receive any favours and make no return. I got up and left the cabin without saying a word, and returned to Conception where I was then lodging. After a few days I came back to the ship and was received by the Captain as cordially as ever, for the storm had by that time quite blown over. The first Lieutenant, however, said to me: " Confound you, philosopher. I wish you would not quartel with the skipper; the day you left the ship I was dead-riged (the ship was refitting) and he kept me walking the deck till midnight abusing you all the time." The difficulty of living on good terms with a Captain of a Man-of-War is much increased by its being almost mutinous to answer him as one would answer anyone else: and by the awe in which he is held-or was held in my time, by all on board. I remember hearing a curious instance of this in the case of the purser of the Adventure-the ship which sailed with the Beagle during the first voyage, The Purser was in a store in Rio de Janeiro, purchasing rum for the ship's company, and a little gentleman in plain clothes walked in. The Purser said to him, " Now

Sir, be so kind as to taste this rum, and give me your opinion of it." The genderman did as he was saked, and soon left the store. The store-keeper then asked he Purser, whether he knew that he had been speaking to the Caprain of a Line of Bartfeships which had just come into the hanbour. The poor Purser was struck damb with horso; he let the glass of spirit drop from his hand onto the floor, and immediately went on board, and no persuasion, as an officer on the Administration of the Administration of the Caprain of the Capra

I saw Fire-Roy only occasionally after our return bone, for I was atways arised of ominentionally offending him, and did so once, almost beyond mutual reconciliation, and did so once, almost beyond mutual reconciliation. He was afterwards very indignant with me for help did possible of the orbital of Jewish Towards the close of his life he was as I fear, much impoversibled, and this was largely due to his generative, Anyhow after his death a subscription was raised to pay his debs. His death a subscription was raised to pay his debs. His close of his uncle I dat a classification of the control was a reasonable of the control was a fearly with the control of the control was not a classification of the control of the c

His character was in several respects one of the most noble which I have ever known, though tarnished by grave blemishes.

The voyage of the Beagle has been by far the most important event in my life and has determined my whole career: yer it depended on so small a circumstance as

my uncle offering to drive me 30 miles to Shrewsbury, which few uncles would have done, and on such a trifle as the shape of my nose. I have always felt that I own to the voyage the first real training or education of my mind. I was led to attend closely to several branches of natural history, and thus my powers of observation were improved, though they were stready fairly developed.

The investigation of the geology of all the places visited was far more important, as reasoning here comes into play. On first examining a new district nothing can appear more hopeless than the chaos of rocks; but by recording the stratification and nature of the rocks and fossils at many points, always reasoning and predicting what will be found elsewhere, light soon begins to dawn on the district, and the structure of the whole becomes more or less intelligible. I had brought with me the first volume of Lyell's Principles of Geology, which I studied attentively; and this book was of the highest service to me in many ways. The very first place which I examined, namely St. Isgo in the Cape Verde islands. showed me clearly the wonderful superiority of Lyell's manner of treating geology, compared with that of any other author, whose works I had with me or ever afterwards read.1

Another of my occupations was collecting animals of all classes, briefly describing and roughly dissecting many of the marine ones; but from not being able to

The second volume of Lydl's Promphy of George seathed here to Mosse

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

draw and from nor having sufficient anatomical knowledges agreet pile of MS, which I made during the voyage has proved almost useless. I thus lots much time, with the exception of that spent in acquiring some knowledge of the Crussreams, as this was of service when in after years I undertook a monograph of the Citripedis.

During some part of the day I wrote my Journal, and took much pains in describing carefully and vividly all that I had seen; and this was good practice. My Journal served, also, in part as letters to my home, and portions were sent to England, whenever there was an opportunity.

The above various special studies were, however, of no importance compared with the habit of energetic industry and of concentrated attention to whatever I was engaged in, which I then acquired. Everything about which I thought or read was made to bear ducedly on what I had seen and was likely to see; and this habit of mind was continued during the five years of the voyage. I feel sure that it was this training which has enabled me to do whatever I have done in

Looking hackwards, I can now perceive how my love for science gradually preponderated over every other taste. During the first two years my old passion for shooting survived in nearly full force, and I shot myself all the birds and animals for my colliction i but gradually I gave up my gun more and more, and finally slongether to my strain, as shooting interfered with my work,

78

more especially with making our the geological structure of a country. I discovered, shough unconsciously and insensibly, that the pleasure of observing and resembly, that the pleasure of observing and resembly, that the pleasure of observing and resembly, that the pleasure of observing and resembly was a much higher one time that of skill and upon the principle of the principle of the civilized nean. That my middle became developed through my parasits during the covage, is readered probable by a remark rande by any faither, who was the most acute observer whom the principle of the principle of

To return to the voyage. On September 11th (1841) I paid a flying visit with Fitz-Roy to the Beagle at Plymouth. Thence to Shrewsbury to wish my father and sisters a long farewell. On Oct. 24th, I took up my residence at Plymouth, and remained there until December 27th when the Beasle finally left the shores of England for her circumnavigation of the world. We made two earlier attempts to sail, but were driven back each time by heavy cales. These two mooths at Pivmonth were the most misecable which I ever spent, though I exerted myself in various ways. I was out of spirits at the thought of leaving all my family and friends for so long a time, and the weather seemed to me mexpressibly gloomy. I was also troubled with palpitations and pain about the heart, and like many a young ignorant man. especially one with a smattering of medical knowledge,

T.A.C.D.

THE AUTOMOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

was convinced that I had heart-disease. I did not consult any doctor, as I fully expected to hear the verdict that I was not fit for the voyage, and I was resolved to go at all hazards.

I need not here refer to the events of the vovagewhere we went and what we did-as I have given a sufficiently full account in my published lournal. The glories of the vegetation of the Tropics rise before my mind at the present time more vividly than anything else. Though the sense of sublimity, which the great deserts of Patagonia and the forest-clad mountains of Tierra del Fuego excited in me, has left an indelible impression on my mind. The sight of a naked savage in his native land is an event which can never be forgotten. Many of my excursions on horseback through wild countries, or in the boats, some of which lasted several weeks, were deeply interesting; their discomfort and some degree of danger were at that time hardly a drawback and none at all afterwards. I also reflect with high satisfaction on some of my scientific work, such as solving the problem of coral-islands, and making out the peological structure of certain islands, for instance. St. Helena. Nor 1 must I pass over the discovery of the singular relations of the animals and plants inhabiting the several islands of the Galapagos archipelago, and of all of them to the inhabitants of South America.

all of them to the inhabitants of south America.

America

for as I can judge of myself I worked to the utmost
during the voyage from the mere pleasure of investigation, and from my strong desire to add a few facts to the

Addendum to end of paragraph.- N. B.

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY

great mass of facts in natural science. But I was also ambitious to take a fair place among scientific men, whether more ambitious or less so than most of my fellow-workers I can form no opinion.

The geology of St. Jago is very striking yet simple: a stream of lava formerly flowed over the bed of the sea, formed of trimrated recent shells and corals, which it has baked into a hard white rock. Since then the whole island has been upheaved. But the line of white rock revealed to me a new and important fact, namely that there had been afterwards subsidence round the eraters, which had since been in action, and had poured forth lava. It then first dawned on me that I might perhaps write a book on the geology of the various countries visited, and this made me thrill with delight, That was a memorable hour to me, and how distinctly I can call to mind the low cliff of lava beneath which I rested, with the sun glaring hot, a few strange desert plants growing near, and with living corals in the tidal pools at my feet. Later in the voyage Fitz-Roy asked to read some of my Journal, and declared it would be worth publishing; so here was a second book in prospect l

Towards the close of our voyage I received a letter whilst ar Ascension, in which my siteres tool me that Sedgwick had called on my father and said that I should take a place among the leading scientific men. I could not at the time understand how he could have learnt anything of my proceedings, but I heard (I believe afterwards) that Henslow had read some of the letters which

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

I wrote to him before the Philosophical Soc. of Cambridge 1 and had printed them for private distribution. My collection of fossil bones, which had been sent to Henslow, also excited considerable attention amongst palgeontologists. After reading this letter I clambered over the mountains of Ascension with a bounding step and made the volcanic rocks resound under my geological hammer! All this shows how ambitious I was; but I think that I can say with truth that in after years, though I cared in the highest degree for the approbation of such men as Lyell and Hooker, who were my friends. I did not care much about the general public, I do not mean to say that a favourable review or a large sale of my books did not please me greatly; but the pleasure was a fleering one, and I am sure that I have never surned one inch out of my course to gain fame.

From my return to England Oct. 2, 1836 to my marriage Ian. 20, 1820

THESE TWO years and three months were the most active ones which I ever spent, though I was occasionally unwell and so lost some time. After going backwards and forwards several times between Shrewsbury, Macr,

⁴ Read at the meeting held November 16, 1835, and printed in a paraphlet of 51 pp. for distribution among the members of the Society.—F. D.

THE RESIDENCE AND V.

Cambridge and London, I settled in lodgings at Cambridge I on December 13th, where all my collections were under the care of Hensiow. I stayed here three months and got my minerals and rocks examined by the sid of Prof. Millens⁸

I began preparing my Journal of travels, which was the head work, as my MS. Journal had been written with care, and my chief labour was making an abstract of my more interesting scientific results. I sent also, at the request of Eyel, a short account of my observations on the elevation of the coast of Chile to the Geological Societies.

On March yth, 183,7, I took lodgings in Great Macholough Street in Loadon and commised there for mearly two years until I was married. During these two years until I was married. During these two years I finished my Journal, seed several papers before the Geological Society, began preparing the MS. for my Geological Governations and arranged for the publication of the Zeology of the Veyage of the Beagle. In July I opened of Special Society, the Society of the Western Society of the Society of the Society of the Western Society of the Societ

During these two years I also went a little into society, and acted as one of the hon. secretaries of the Geological Society. I saw a great deal of Lyell. One of his chief characteristics was his sympathy with the work of others;

*William Hallower Miller, 1801-1880. Profuses of Misensings, 1832-70-X-R.

^{*}Geolog, Nov. Proc. 6, 1848, pp. 448-949-E. D. *See Nier 1, p. 111. "The is the question."—N. B.

THE ACTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

and I was as much atomished as delighted at the interest which he showed when on my return to England I explained to him my views on corel acefa. This encouraged mergently, and his advice and example had much influence on me. During this time I saw also a good deed of Robert Brown 1. "facile princeps bostnetoverus." I used often to call and sit with him during his break on Sanday mornings, and he poused forth a rich treasure of carious observations and soute remarks, but they almost always related to minute points, and he never with me discussed large and general questions in sections.

During these two years I took several short excursions as a relaxation, and one longer one to the parallel roads of Glen Roy, an account of which was published in the Philisophical Transactions.\(^2\) This paper was a great filture, and I am salamod of It. Having been deeply impressed with what I had seen of the elevation of the land in S. America, I attributed the parallel lines to the action of the say; but I had to give up this view when Agassiz propounded his glicier-like theory. Because on other explanation was possible under our them state of knowledge, I argued in favour of fer-action; and my error has been a good lesson to me never to trust in science to the principle of exclusion.

As I was not able to work all day at science I read a good deal during these two years on various subjects, including some metaphysical books, but I was not at Rebett Bees, 1111-115, Bease, Edwinson to Image Science, N. B.

^{* 1810.} pp. 10-12-- F. D.

[all] well fitted for such studies. About this time I took much delight in Wordsworth's and Coleridge's poetry, and can boast that I read the Execution twice through. Formerly Million's Paradise Lost had been my chief krownzie, and in my excursions during the voyage of the Beagle, when I could take only a single small volume, I always chose Milton.

Religious Belief

Dering these two years! I was led to think much about religion. Whilst on heard the Beasle I was quite orthodox. and I remember being heartily laughed at by several of the officers (though themselves orthodox) for quoting the Bible as an unanswerable authority on some point of morality. I suppose it was the novelty of the argument that amused them. But I had gradually come, by this time, to see that the Old Testament from its manifestly false history of the world, with the Tower of Babel, the rainbow as a sign, etc., etc., and from its attributing to God the feelings of a revengeful ryrant, was no more to be trusted than the sacred books of the Hindoos, or the beliefs of any barbarian. The question then continually rose before my mind and would not be banished, -is it credible that if God were now to make a revelation to the Hindoos, would be

*Quober 1816 to Issuary 1810.—F. D.

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

permit it to be connected with the belief in Vishnu, Siva, &c., as Christianity is connected with the Old Testament. This appeared to me utterly incredible.

By further reflecting that the clearest evidence would he requisite to make any sane man believe in the miracles by which Christianity is supported,-that the more we know of the fixed laws of nature the more incredible do miracles become,-that the men at that time were ignorant and credulous to a degree almost incomprehensible by us,-that the Gospels cannot be proved to have been written simultaneously with the events,-that they differ in many important details, far too important as it seemed to me to be admitted as the usual insecuracies of evewirnesses :- by such reflections as those, which I give not as having the least novelry or value, but as they influenced me, I gradually came to disbelieve in Christianity as a divine revelation. The fact that many false teligions have spread over large portions of the earth like wild-fire had some weight with me. Beautiful as is the morality of the New Testament, it can hardly be denied that its perfection depends in part on the interpretation which we now put on metaphors and allepories.

But I was very unwilling to give up my belief,—I feel inventing day-dreams of old letters between distinguished Romans and manuscipus being discovered at Pompeii or elsewhere which confirmed in the most striking manner all that was written in the Gospels. But I found it more and more difficult, with free scope given to my imagina-

tion, to invent evidence which would unifie to convince.
Thus dibbelled exprover me at a very down exe, tout was a lair complete. The rate was to slow that for a single second that my conclusion was correct. Let a single second that my conclusion was correct. Let a single second that my conclusion was correct. Let a single second that my conclusion was correct. Let contect hardly see how anyone ought to wish chief, the content has been seen to the work of the content with the content was the content which will be extracted to the vest seems to show that the men who do not been dear the vest seems to show that the men who do not been dear the world include my Father, Brother and almost all my bear faireds, will be evertained to content when the content was the content of the c

And this is a damnable doctrine.

Although I did not think much about the existence of a personal God until a considerably later period of my life, I will here give the vague conclusions to which I have been driven. The old argument of design in nature, as given by Paley, which formerly seemed to me so concusive, fail, now hat the law of natural selection has been discovered. We can no longer argue that, for matter, the baseline of the observed with the contraction of the contrac

White presents are for present terms, and have more trees determined as a most determined as a most accordance of the second of the present of the present of the second o

THE ACCOUNTS ASSET OF STREET, DARSON.

But I have discussed this subject at the end of my book on the Variation of Domestic Animals and Plants, and the argument there given has never, as far as I can see, been answered.

But passing over the endless beautiful adaptations which we everywhere meet with, it may be asked how can the generally beneficent arrangement of the world be accounted for? Some writers indeed are so much impressed with the amount of suffering in the world. that they doubt if we look to all sentient beings, whether there is more of misery or of happiness .whether the world as a whole is a good or a bad one. According to my judgment happiness decidedly prevails, though this would be very difficult to prove. If the truth of this conclusion be granted, it harmonises well with the effects which we might expect from natural selection. If all the individuals of any species were habitually to suffer to an extreme degree they would neglect to propagate their kind; but we have no reason to believe that this has ever or at least often occurred. Some other considerations, moreover, lead to the belief that all sentient beings have been formed so as to enjoy, as a general rule, happiness.

s a general rule, happiness.

Every one who believes, as I do, that all the corporeal

JSVCVY One WHO Delivers, as 3 Go, that all the corporated in the second of the second

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY.

and mental organs (excepting those which are neither advantageous or disadvantageous to the possessor) of all beings have been developed through natural selection. or the survival of the fittest, together with use or habit,1 will admit that these organs have been formed so that their possessors may compete successfully with other beings, and thus increase in number. Now an animal may be led to pursue that course of action which is the most beneficial to the species by suffering, such as pain, hunger, thirst, and fear, or by pleasure, as in eating and drinking and in the propagation of the species, &c., or by both means combined, as in the search for food. But pain or suffering of any kind, if long continued, causes depression and lessens the power of action; vet is well adapted to make a creature guard itself against any great or sudden evil. Pleasurable sensations, on the other hand, may be long continued without any depressing effect; on the contrary they stimulate the whole system to increased action. Hence it has come to pass that most or all sentient beings have been developed in such a manner through natural selection, that pleasurable sensations serve as their habitual guides. We see this in the pleasure from exertion, even occasionally from great exertion of the body or mind,-in the pleasure of our daily meals, and especially in the pleasure derived from sociability and from loving our families. The sum of such pleasures as these, which are habitual or frequently recurrent, give, as I can hardly doubt, to In agenter with one or have a total low. The many immerious and because in this organization for immering process, and it is a non-being of other forces at work feeding. Neural Sciences, See F. 13-35. B.

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

mott sentient beings an excess of happiness over misery, although many occasionally suffer much. Such suffering, is quite compatible with the belief in Natural Selection, which is not perfect in fils action, but tends only to render each species as successful as possible in the butle for life with other species, in wonderfully complex and channing circumstances.

That there is much suffering in the world no one disputes. Some have attempted to explain this in reference to man by imagining that it serves for his moral improvement. But the number of men in the world is as nothing compared with that of all other sentient beings, and these often suffer greatly without any moral improvement. A being so powerful and so full of knowledge as a God who could create the universe, is to our finite minds omnipotent and omniscient, and it revolts our understanding to suppose that his benevolence is not unbounded, for what advantage can there be in the sufferings of millions of the lower animals throughout almost endless time? This very old argument from the existence of suffering against the existence of an intelligent first cause seems to me a strong one; whereas, as just remarked, the presence of much suffering agrees well with the view that all organic beings have been developed through variation and parural

selection.

At the present day the most usual argument for the existence of an intelligent God is drawn from the deep inward conviction and feelings which are experienced by most persons. But it cannot be doubted that Hindoos.

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

Mahomadans and other might argue in the same enamer and with equal force in favour of the existence of one God, or of many Gods, or as with the Buddits of no God. There are also many harbains tibles who cannot be said with any truth to believe in what we call God it they believe indeed in spitts or phosas, and it can they believe induced in spitts or phosas, and it can be explained, as Tyler and Harbert Spences have shown, how such a believe would be likely to arise.

Formerly I was led by feelings such as those just referred to, (although I do not think that the religious sentiment was ever strongly developed in me), to the firm conviction of the existence of God, and of the immortality of the soul. In my Journal I wrote that whilst standing in the midst of the grandeur of a Brazilian forest, 'it is not possible to give an adequate idea of the higher feelings of wonder, admiration, and devotion which fill and elevate the mind." I well remember my conviction that there is more in man than the mere breath of his body. But now the grandest scenes would not cause any such convictions and feelings to rise in my mind. It may be truly said that I am like a man who has become colour-blind, and the universal belief by men of the existence of redness makes my present loss of perception of not the least value as evidence. This argument would be a valid one if all men of all races had the same inward conviction of the existence of one God: but we know that this is very far from being the case, Therefore I cannot see that such inward convictions and feelings are of any weight as evidence of what really exists. The state of mind which grand scenes formerly

excited in me, and which was intimately connected with a belief in God, did not essentially differ from that which is often called the sense of sublimity; and however difficult it may be to explain the genesis of this sente, it can hardly be davacated as an argument for the existence of God, any more than the powerful though vague and similar feelings excited by music.

With respect to immortality, I nothing shows me how strong and sinnor instinctive a belief it is, as the consideration of the view now held by most physicism, annely that the sau with all the planets will in time grow too could for life, unless indeed some great body dashes into the sun sold thou gives it fresh life—Believing as I do that man in the distruct will be a far more perfect creature than he are vis. it is an incoleable thought that he and all other sentent beings are doorsed to complete semilation after used long continued slow to the service of the service of the service of the house sold, the destruction of our world will not the house soid, the destruction of our world will not

Another tource of conviction in the existence of God, connected with the reason and not with the flexings, impresses me as having much more weight. This follows from the extreme difficulty or rather impossibility of conceiving this immense and wonderful universe, including man with his capacity of looking far backwards and far into faturity, set we result of blind-chance or necessity. When thus reflecting I feel compelled to look to a First Gause having an intelligent mind in some

'Addendum added later to end of paragraph—N. B.

THE STREET,

degree analogous to that of man; and I deserve to be called a Theist

This conclusion 1 was strong in my mind about the rime as far as I can remember, when I wrote the Origin of Species; and it is since that time that it has very gradually with many fluctuations become weaker. But then arises the doubt-can the mind of man, which has, as I fully believe, been developed from a mind as low as that possessed by the lowest animal, be trusted when it draws such grand conclusions? May not these be the result of the connection between cause and effect which strikes us as a necessary one, but probably depends merely on inherited experience? Nor must we overlook the probability of the constant inculcation in a belief in God on the minds of children producing so strong and perhaps an inherited effect on their brains not yet fully developed, that it would be as difficult for them to throw off their belief in God, as for a monkey to throw off its instinctive fear and barred of a snake.9

where the second second

The second of th

THE AUTORIDGRAPHY OF CHARGE DARWING.

I cannot pretend to throw the least light on such abstruse problems. The mystery of the beginning of all things is insoluble by us; and I for one must be content to remain an Agnostic.

A man who has no assured and ever present belief in the existence of a personal God or of a future existence with retribution and reward, can have for his rule of life, as far as I can see, only to follow those impulses and instincts which are the strongest or which seem to him the best ones. A dog acts in this manner, but he does so blindly. A man, on the other hand, looks forwards and backwards, and compares his various feelings, desires and recollections. He then finds, in accordance with the verdict of all the wisest men that the highest satisfaction is derived from following certain impulses, namely the social instincts. If he acts for the good of others, he will receive the approbation of his fellow men and gain the love of those with whom he lives; and this latter gain undoubtedly is the highest pleasure on this earth. By degrees it will become intolerable to him to obey his sensuous passions rather than his higher impulses, which when rendered habitual may be almost called instincts. His reason may occasionally tell him to act in opposition to the opinion of others, whose approbation

despite eractical et, have, would present a result for way the manager would write there, were those as follow or Ether Telder and Louis, much would adopted before, A for Carbon, Rey, and care the old accessor. Young the Frank,

T. D."

This better appeared to Jonese Derech by Harrisons handwhile in the prevalety price of pattern from the Carelendary Criticians Press Strange, in John Marrison Stranger passing ordinary or passing ordinary ordinary ordinary.

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

he will then not receive; hen he will still have the solid surficience of knowing that he has followed his incomstructions of knowing that he has followed his incomting the surface of the surface of the surface of the man and the surface of the surface of the surface part of the surface distribution of the surface of the surface of the surface distribution of the surface of the surface of the surface contraints. My solid end poor excess is much ill-beshift and my messal constitution, which makes it extremely difficult for me to run from one subject or eccupation to another. I can imagine with high satisfaction giving up my whole line to pillulatively, but not a portion of it; though this would have been a far better line of combusts.

Nothing ¹ is more remarkable than the spread of respective mor estabolallm during the latter balf of my life. Before I was engaged to be married, my father advised me to conceal carefully my doubts, for he said that he had known extreme mixery thus caused with married persons. Things were no pretty well until the wife or husband became out of health, and then some women suffered miserably by doubting about the asilvation of their husbands, thus making them likewise or suffer. My father added that healt known during his whole long life only three women who were scepiles; and it should be remembered that he leaves well a multi-node of persons and possessed extraordinary power of winning considence. When I saked him who the three

ist. "Probably refees also to previous paragraph.—N. B.
T.A.C.D. Q5

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

women wee, he had to own with respect to one of them, his stere-in-law Kirty Wedgrood, that he had no good evidence, only the waguest hints, sided by the conviction that so clear-sighted a woman could not be a believe. At the present time, with my small acquaistance, I know (of have known) swernt naturaled hales, who believe very little more than their husbands. My father used to apose an unansweable argument, by which not did ado, a. Mrs. Batlow, who suspected him of unorthodoxy, hoped to convert him —" Doctor, I know that sugar is a meaning the proposal of the convert him —" Doctor, I know that sugar is a series also my mouth, and I know that only Redeemer series."

From my marriage, Jan. 29, 1839, and residence in Upper Gower Street to our leaving London and settling at Down, Sep. 14, 1842

You ALL know well your Mother, and what a good Mother she has ever been to all of you. She has been my greatest blessing, and I can declare that in my whole life I have never besred her utter one word which I had rather have been unsaid. She has never failed in the kindest sympathy towards me, and has borne with the hind mounted patience my freequent complaints from life which and discomfort. I do not believe she has ever missed an opportunity of doing a kind action to anyone near her.

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

I marvel at my good fortune that she, so infinitely my superior in every single moral quality, consented to be my wife. She has been my wise adviser and cheerful comforter throughout life, which without her would have been during a very long period a misceable one from ill-health. She has earned the love and admiration of every soul near her.\(^1\)

(Mem: her besuffful letter to myself preserved, shortly after our marriage.) 2

I have indeed been most happy in my family, and I must say to you my children that not one of you has ever given me one minute's anxiery, except on the score of health. There are, I suspect, very few fathers of five sons who could say this with entire truth. When you were very young it was my delight to play with you all, and I think with a sigh that such days can never return. From your earliest days to now that you are grown up, you have all, sons and daughters, ever been most pleasant, sympathetic and affectionate to us and to one another. When all or most of you are at home (as, thank Heavens, happens pretty frequently) no party can be, according to my taste, more agreeable, and I wish for no other society. We have suffered only one very severe grief in the death of Annie at Malvern on April 24th, 1811, when she was just over ren years old. She was a most sweet and affectionate child, and I feel sure would have grown into a delightful woman. But I need say nothing here of her character, as I wrote a short

³ Included in More Latters, Vol. I, p. 50, but not published in Anti-ligraphy.

^{*} See Note 4, p. 255.

sketch of it shortly after her death. Tears still sometimes come into my eyes, when I think of her sweet ways.¹

During the three years and eight anonth whilst we resided in London, I did less reientific work, through I worked as hard as I possibly could, than during any to frequently the property of t

No other work of rulne was begun in so deductive a spitit as thu; for the whole theory was thought out on the west coast of S. America before I had seen a true coral reef. I had therefore only to weight and extend my views by a careful examination of living reefs. But it should be observed that I had during the true previous peras been increasingly attending to the effects on the shores of S. America of the intermittent elevation of the land, together with demukation and the deposition. This necessarily lede me to reflect much on the effects of subsidence, and it was easy to replace

*The faller secount of Assis can be found in Life and Later., Vol. 1, p. . . .

THE AUTOBIDGE SPRE

in imagination the continued deposition of sediment by the upward growth of coral. To do this was to form my theory of the formation of barrier-reefs and smalls.

Besides my work on cocal-reefs, during my residence in London, I read before the Geological Society papers on the Erratic Boulders of S. America,1 on Earthquakes,2 and on the Formation by the Agency of Earth-worms of Mould. I also continued to superintend the publication of the Zoology of the Voyage of the Beagle. Nor did I ever intermit collecting facts bearing on the origin of species; and I could sometimes do this when I could do nothing else from illness.

In the summer of 1842 I was stronger than I had been for some time and took a little tour by myself in N. Wales, for the sake of observing the effects of the old glaciers which formerly filled all the larger valleys. I published a short account of what I saw in the Philosophical Magazine.4 This excursion interested me greatly, and st was the last time I was ever strong enough to climb mountains or to take long walks, such as are necessary for geological work

During the early part of our life in London, I was strong enough to go into general society, and saw a good deal of several scientific men and other more or less distinguished men. I will give my impressions with

Gode Town or the * Godge, Ju., Pers. III village - P.D.

^{*} Principles Stanovic, 1844.

arrows weell " Roardence at Down " in, east added Ap. 4884.

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

respect to some of them, though I have little to say worth saying.

I aw more of Lyell than of any other man both before and after my marriage. His inition was characterised, as it appeared to me, by clearness, custion, sound judgment and a good deal of originality. When I made any remark to him on Geology, he never reated until he saw the whole case clearly und often made me set in turn clearly than I had done before. He would rebuster all possible than I had done before. He would rebuster all possible changes that the same state of the set of t

On my return from the voyage of the Beaste, I explained to him my views on coral-reefs, which differed from his, and I was greatly surprised and encouraged by the vivid interest which he showed. On such occasions, while absorbed in thought, he would throw himself into the strangest artitudes, often resting his head on the seat of a chair, while standing up. His delight in science was ardent, and he felt the keenest interest in the future progress of mankind. He was very kind-hearted, and thoroughly liberal in his religious beliefs or rather disbeliefs; but he was a strong theist. His candour was highly remarkable. He exhibited this by becoming a convert to the Descent-theory, though he had exined much fame by opposing Lamarck's views, and this after he had grown old. He reminded me that I had many years before said to him, when discussing the opposition of the old school of geologists to his new views, " What

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

a good thing it would be, if every scientific man was to die when sixty years old, as afterwards he would be sure to oppose all new doctrines." But he honed that now he might be allowed to live. He had a strong sense of humour and often told amusing anecdores. He was very fond of society, especially of eminent men, and of persons high in rank; and this over-estimation of a man's position in the world, seemed to me his chief foible. He used to discuss with Lady Lyell as a most serious question, whether or not they should accept some particular invitation. But as he would not dine out more than three times a week on account of the loss of time, he was justified in weighing his invitations with some care. He looked forward to going out offener in the evening with advancing years, as to a great reward; but the good time never came, as his strength failed.

The science of Geology is enormously indebted to Jeyll—more so, as I believe, that to any other man who ever lived. When I was starting on the voyage of the Bagdy, the suggestion Henolow, who, like all other geologists believed at that time in successive cataclyson, advised me to get and study the first volume of Principlia, which had then just been published, but on no account to accept the views therein advocated. In differently would any one now speak of the Principlat I I am proud to remember that the first place, may Se Jago, in the Cape Verde Archipelago, which I goldgood, convinced me of the infinite supercivity of Live just one of the second of the principlat of the control of the property of the views ever those advocated in any other work known to me.

101

the proposition with more

to the state of the control of the c

The services rendered to geology by Murchison* by his classification of the older formations cannot be overestimated, but lie was very far from possessing a

The latest the same of

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

philosophical mind. He was very kind-hearted and would exert himself to the utmost to oblige anyone. The degree to which he valued rank was ludicrous, and he displayed this feeling and his vanity with the simplicity of a child. He related with the atmost plee to a large circle, including many mere acquaintances, in the rooms of the Geolog. Soc. how the Czar Nicholas, when in London, had patted him on the shoulder and had said, alluding to his geological work-" Mon ami, Russia is grateful to you," and then Murchison added rubbing his hands together, "The best of it was that Prince Albert heard it all." He announced one day to the Council of the Geolog. Soc. that his great work on the Silurian system was at last published; and he then looked at all who were present and said, "You will every one of you find your name in the Index," as if this was the height of glory.

I saw a good deal of Robert Brown, "Escile Princeps Bouniscomm," as he was called by Homboldt; and before I was married I used to go and sit with him almost every Sunday morning. He seemed to me to be chiefly remarkable for the minusceness of his observations and hete perfect sectomey. He never propounded to me any large scientific views in biology. His knowledge was extraordinarily great, and much died with him, owing to his excessive feet of ever making a missake. He pounded by the section of the contraction of the property was strangedly relation on some points. I called on him two or three times before the voyage of the Bogd, and on one occasion he saked me to look through is

THE AUTOSPOCEATHY OF CHARLES DASWIN

microscope and describe what I saw. This I did, and believe now that it was the marvellous currents of protoplasm in some vegetable cell. I then asked him what I had seen; but he answered me, who was then hardly more than a boy and on the point of leaving England for five years, "That is my little secret." I suppose that he was afraid that I might steal his discovery. Hooker told me that he was a complete miser, and knew himself to be a miser, about his dried plants: and he would not lend specimens to Hooker, who was describing the plants of Tierra del Fuego, although well knowing that he himself would never make any use of the collections from this country. On the other hand he was capable of the most generous acrions. When old, much out of health and quite unfit for any exertion, he daily visited (as Hooker told me) an old man-servant, who lived at a distance and whom he supported, and read aloud to him. This is enough to make up for any degree of scientific penuriousness or jealousy. He was rather given to specifig at anyone who wrote about what he did not fully understand: I remember praising Whewell's History of the Inductive Sciences to him, and he answered, "Yes, I suppose that he has read the prefaces of very many books."

I often saw Owen, whilst living in London, and admired him greatly, but was never able to understand his character and never became initiants with him. After the publication of the Origin of Species he became my standard Owen, Teachers, Amendman, Falls, 1858. For Baneman to the Company of t

bliete caury, we wonly us any quere la review as, but a fir as I could huge out of featousy at its success. Poor dear Falconer? who was a charming man, had a very bad opinion of him, being convinced that he was one only amblitions, very envious and aurogeant, but uncrushful and dishonest. His power of harted was certainly unsurpassed. When in former days I used to defend Owen, Falconer often said, "You will find him out some day," and so it has proved.

At a somewhat later period I became very intimate with Hooker,* who has been one of my best friends throughout life. He is a delightfully pleasant companion and most kind-hearted. One can see at once that he is honourable to the back-bone. His intellect is very acute. and he has great power of generalisation. He is the most untirable worker that I have ever seen, and will sit the whole day working with the microscope, and be in the evening as fresh and pleasant as ever. He is in all ways very impulsive and somewhat peppery in temper; but the clouds pass away almost immediately. He once sent me an almost savage letter from a cause which will appear ludicrously small to an outsider, viz. because I maintained for a time the silly notion that our coal-plants had lived in shallow water in the sea. His indignation was all the greater because he could not pretend that he should ever have suspected that the Manorove (and a Drug Fallout, 1991-1991, Patronal and barrois. Worked largely to be the second of the second Ken Canting, 1811. Write She'me Tiles of the fresh deal and other works. Cit. object Ochi, term pr. - N. P.

³⁰¹

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

few other marine plants which I naturell) had lived in the sea, if they had been found only in a fossil state. On another occasion he was almost equally indignant because I rejected with scorn the notion that a continent had formerly extended between Australia and S. America. I have known hardly any man more lovable than Hooker.

A little later I became intimate with Huxley. His mind is as quick as a flash of tightging and as sharp as a razor. He is the best talker whom I have known. He never writes and never says anything flat. From his conversation no one would suppose that he could cut up his opponents in so trenchant a manner as he can do and does do. He has been a most kind friend to me and would always take any trouble for me. He has been the mainstay in England of the principle of the gradual evolution of organic beings. Much splendid work as he has done in Zoology, he would have done far more, if his time had not been so largely consumed by official and literary work, and by his efforts to improve the education of the country. He would allow me to say anything to him: many years ago I thought that it was a pity that he atracked so many scientific men, although I believe that he was right in each particular case, and I said so to him. He denied the charge indignantly, and I answered that I was very glad to hear that I was mistaken. We had been talking about his well-deserved attacks on Owen, so I said after a time, " How well you have exposed Ehrenberg's blunders: " he sereed and

added that it was necessary for science that such mistakes should be exposed. Again after a time, I added: "Poor Agassiz has fared ill under your hands:" Again I added another name, and now his bright eyes flashed on me, and he bust out laughing, anathematising me in some manner. He is a splendid man and has worked well for the good of mankind.

I may here measion a few other eminear men whom I may here measion a few other eminear here when I have little to as ya shout them worth saying. I felt a high reverence for Sit. J. Herschel, a most well elighted to disee with him or his charming house at the C. of Good Hope and afterwards at his London house. I saw him, also, on a few other occasions. He never talled much, but every word which he uttered was worth listening to. He was very shy and he often had a distressed expression. Lady Caroline Rell, at whose house I fined set the C. of Good Hope, admired Herschel much, but said that he always came into a room as if he knew that his hands were ditry, and that he knew that his hands were ditry, and that he knew that his hands were ditry.

I once met at breakfast at Sir R. Murchison's house, the illustrious Humboldt, who honoured me by expressing a wish to see me. I was a little disappointed with the great man, but my anticipations probably were too high. I can remember nothing distinctly about our interview, except that Humboldt was very cheerful and talked much.

John Frederick Wilham Eleschel, 2393–1374, Astronomic, P.R.S., 1815. Warne on autonomical subseries, and on Light, Natural Philosophy, etc. Master of Mast, 1830–37. Created Baroner, 1818.—N. B.

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

I used to call pretty often on Babbage 1 and regularly attended his famous evening parties. He was always worth listening to, but he was a disappointed and discontented man: and his expression was often or generally morose. I do not believe that he was half as sullen as he pretended to be. One day he told me that he had invented a plan by which all fires could be effectively stopped, but added,-" I shan't publish it-damn them all, let all their houses be burnt." The all were the inhabitants of London. Another day he told me that he had seen a pump on a road-side in Italy, with a pious inscription on it to the effect that the owner had erected the pump for the love of God and his country, that the tired wayfarer might drink. This led Babbage to examine the pump closely and he soon discovered that every time that a wayfarer pumped some water for himself, he pumped a larger quantity into the owner's house. Babbage then added-" There is only one thing which I hate more than piety, and that is patriotism." But I believe that his bark was much worse than his bire.

Herbert Spencer's conversation seemed to me very interesting, but ild not like him particularly, and did not feel that I could easily have become indinate with him. I think that he was extremely egotysical. After canding any of his books, I pencertly feel enthusiassic administrator for his transcendent selents, and have often wondered whether in the distant future he would rank. *Concluding the properties of the properties

with such great men as Descartes, Leibnitz, etc., about whom however, I know very little. Nevertheless I am nor conscious of having profited in my own work by Spencer's writings. His deductive manner of treating every subject is wholly opposed to my frame of mind. His conclusions never convince me : and over and over again I have said to myself, after reading one of his discussions -" Here would be a fine subject for half-adozen years' work." His fundamental generalisations (which have been compared in importance by some a persons with Newton's laws 1)-which I daresay may be very valuable under a philosophical point of view, are of such a nature that they do not seem to me to be of any strictly scientific use. They partake more of the nature of definitions than of laws of nature. They do not aid one in predicting what will happen in any particular case. Anyhow they have not been of any use to me.

Speaking of H. Spencer reminds me of Buckle's whom I none met at Hensiciph Wedgwood's. I was very glad to learn from him his system of collecting facts. He tool met that he bought all the books which he read, and made a full todes to each, of the facts which he thought might prove serviceble to him, and that he could be might prove serviceble to him, and that he could have remember in what book he had read anything, for his moreous that the substantial of the service of the service

*Henry Thomas Buckle, 1821-1864. Self-educated furnished

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

embled to give the astonishing number of references on all sorns of subjects, which may be found in the Hilling of Childiardia. This book I thought most interesting and read it revies; but I doubt whether his generalisations are worth anything. H. Spencer told me that he had never read a line of it! Buckle was a great tilker, and I listened to him without saying hardly a word, nor indeed could I have done so, for he left no gapt. When Effic began to sing, I jumped up and sald that I must linear to lar. Thus I suppose offended him, for after I had moved away, he tumed round to a fitned, and said books are much better than the conversation." What he really meant was that I did nor properly appreciate his conversation.

Of other great literary men, I once met Sydney Smith at Dean Milinari's house. There was something in-explicibly annusing in every word which he utered. Perhaps this was partly due to the expectation of being amasted. He was talking about Lady Cork, who was then excempely old. This was the sludy, who, as he said, was once so much affected by one of his charity sermons, that the bowrseed a guister from a friend to put into the Plate. He now said, "It is generally believed that my does roll effected Lady Cork has been overclocked"; and he said this in such a mannet that no one could for a moment doubt that he meant that his dear old finded had been overclocked by the devil. How he managed to exvertes that I know nor.

*Pupherry Wolgrood, married T. H. Farrer in 1819 as second wife —N. B.





From a diguerratype in the passession of Sir Charles Darwin, Cambridge

I likewise once mer Macaulay at Lord Stanhope's (the historian's) house,1 and as there was only one other man at dinner, I had a grand opportunity of hearing him converse, and he was very agreeable. He did not talk at all too much; not indeed could such a man talk too much, as long as he allowed others to turn the stream of his conversation, and this he did allow.

Lord Stanhoue once gave me a curious little proof of the accuracy and fulness of Macaulay's memory: many historians used often to meet at Lord Stanhope's house, and, in discussing various subjects, they would sometimes differ from Macaulay, and formerly they often referred to some book to see who was right; but latterly, as Lord Stanbope noticed, no historian ever took this crouble, and whatever Macaulay said was firaL.

On another occasion I met at Ld. Stanhope's house one of his parties of historians and other literary men. and amongst them were Motley and Grote. After luncheon I walked about Chevening Park for nearly an hour with Grote, and was much interested by his conversation and pleased by the simplicity and absence of

all pretension in his manners.

I met another set of great men at breakfast at Ld. Stanhope's house in London. After breakfast was quite Plulin Henry Sunhope, 1805-1879. With Barl of Sunhope; historian, sulhor, Cooserwire M.P.; ueder oscitar/for Pereign Affairs; r.flounial in forming historial Po may Gullery, etc.—N. B.

John Lothrop Motley, 1814-1877. Born in Swichester, Mass, but Bred much in Europe, is the materials for his historical work were not available in *George Gross, \$754-1871. Housein: in original founder of London Lieberton, F.R.S. 1817. Vage-Classoffor Lon. Univ. 1862.—N. B.

TACD. 111

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

over, Monckton Milnes¹ (Ld. Houghton now) walked in, and after looking round, exclaimed—(justifying Sidney Smith's nickname of "the cool of the evening") —" Well, I declare, you are all very premature."

Long ago I dined occasionally with the old Earl Stanhope, the father of the historian. I have heard that his father, the democratic earl, well-known at the time of the French Revolution, had his son educated as a blacksmith, as he declared that every man ought to know some trade. The old Earl, whom I knew, was a strange man, but what little I saw of him, I liked much. He was frank, genial, and pleasant. He had stronglymarked features, with a brown complexion, and his clothes, when I saw him, were all brown. He seemed to believe in everything which was to others utterly incredible. He said one day to me, "Why don't you give up your fiddle-faddle of geology and zoology, and turn to the occult sciences?" The historian (then Ld. Mahon) seemed shocked at such a speech to me, and his charming wife much amused.

The last man whom I will mention is Carlyle, seen by me several times at my brother's house and two or rhize times at my own house. His talk was very racy and interesting, just like his writings, but he sometimes went on too long on the same subject. I remember a funny dinner at my brother's, where, amongst a few others, were Babbase and Lvell. both of whom liked to

were Babbage and Lyell, both of whom liked to "Bakard Muschus Mines, stop-rids, Isurana titzed of Teaspeer, Belan est Thackers, Convendin MP, Bryc bearns a liberal as Publicinemian to Fire Train, and supported relative Sensial Boson Bonghous, 1819, Actional viscous worths—34, 8,

talk. Carlyle, however, silenced every one by hazanguing during the whole dinner on the advantages of silence. After dinner, Babbage, in his grimmest manner, thanked Carlyle for his very interesting Lecture on Silence.

Caclyle sneered at almost every one. One day in my house he called force's History's a fetid quagnite, with anothing spititual about it." I always thought, until his Remisitations appeared, that his neers were partly jokes, but this now seems rather doubtful. His expression was that of a depressed, sinnos despondent, yet beenvolent man; and it is notorious how heartily he laughed. I believe that his theoreolence was seat, though ratined by not a little jeslowy. No one can doubt about his extra-ordinary power of drawing virile pletunes of things and drawn by the contractions of the contraction of

He has been all-powerful in impressing some grand moral truths on the mids of men. On the other franch, his views about slovery were revolting. In his eyes about slovery were revolting. In his eyes might was right, this mind seemed to me a very marrow one; even if all branches of sclence, which he despisacl, are excluded. It is astonishing to me that Kingsley should have spoken of him as a man well fitted to advance science. He is supplied to soom the idea that a mathematician, such as Whewell, could judge, as I maintained he could, of Goethe's views on light. He thought it is most ridiculous thing that any one should care whether's a glacier moved is leftle quicker or a little

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

slower, or moved at all. As far as I could judge, I never met a man with a mind so ill adapted for scientific research.

Whilst living in London, I attended as regularly as I could the meetings of several scientific societies, and acred as secretary to the Geological Society. But such attendance, and ordinary society, suited my health so badly that we resolved to live in the country, which we both preferred and have never repented of:

Residence at Down, from Sep. 14, 1842, to the present time. 1876

APPER SETTIAL fruities, searches in Surrey and chewhere, we found this house and purchased it. I was pleased with the discredied appearance of the vegetation proper or a total district, and so unlike what had been considered with the discredied appearance of the vegetation of the place. It is not, however, quite to retired a place as a writer in a German periodical makes in, who says that my house can be approached only by a mule-track! Our discredied control of the place is a place as a writer in a German periodical makes in, who says that my house can be approached only by a mule-track! Our way which we did not anticipate, namely, by being very which we did not anticipate, namely, by being very convenients for frequence visits from our children, who

nient for frequent visits from our children

*End of Reminiscrom, Apl. 1881, beginning p. 10.—N. R.

never miss an opportunity of doing so when they can. Few persons can have lived a more retired life than we have done. Besides short visits to the houses of relations, and occasionally to the seaside or elsewhere, we have gone nowhere. During the first part of our residence we went a little into society, and received a few friends here; but my health almost always suffered from the excitement, violent shivering and vomiting attacks being thus brought on. I have therefore been compelled for many years to give up all dinner-parties; and this has been somewhat of a dentivation to me, as such parties always put me into high spirits. From the same cause I have been able to invite here very few scientific sequalntances. Whilst I was young and strong I was capable of very warm attachments, but of late years, though I still have very friendly feelings towards many persons. I have lost the nower of becoming deeply attached to anyone, not even so deeply to my good and dear friends Hooker and Huxley, as I should formerly have been. As far as I can judge this prievous loss of feeling has gradually crept over me, from the expectation of much distress afterwards from exhaustion having become firmly associated in my mind with seeing and talking with anyone for an hour, except my wife and

children.

My chile faloyment and sole employment throughout life has been scientific work; and the excitement from such work makes me for the time forget, or drives quite away, my daily discomfort. I have therefore nothing to record during the rest of my life, except the publication

of my several books. Perhaps a few details how they arose may be worth giving.

My Several Publications

IN THE early part of 1844, my observations on the Volcanic Islands visited during the voyage of the Beagle were published. In 1841, I took much pains in correcting a new edition of my Journal of Researches, which was originally published in 1829 as part of Fitz-Roy's work. The success of this my first literary child always tickles my vanity more than that of any of my other books. Even to this day it sells steadily in England and the United States, and has been translated for the second time into German, and into French and other languages. This success of a book of travels, especially of a scientific one, so many years after its first publication, is surprising. Ten thousand copies have now been sold in England of the second edition. In 1846 my Geological Observations on South America were published. I record in a little diary, which I have always kept, that my three geological books (Coral Reefs included) consumed four and a half years' steady work; "and now it is ten years since my return to England. How much time have I lost by illness?" I have nothing to say about these three books except that to my surprise new editions have lately been called for.2

* Geological Observations, and Edic. 1876. Coral Early, and Edic. 1874.--P. D.

In October, 1846, I began to work on Cirripedia 1. When on the coast of Chile, I found a most curious form which burrowed into the shells of Concholepas, and which differed so much from all other Cirripedes that I had to form a new sub-order for its sole reception. Larely an ailled barrowing genus has been found on the shores of Portugal. To understand the structure of my new Cirripede I had to examine and dissect many of the common forms; and this gradually led me on to take un the whole group. I worked steadily on the subject for the next eight years, and ultimately published two thick volumes," describing all the known living species, and two thin quartos on the extinct species. I do not doubt that Sir E. Lytton Bulwer had me in his mind when he introduces in one of his novels a Professor Long, who had written two huge volumes on Limpets.

Although I was employed during eight years on this work, yet I record in my diary that about two years out of this time was lost by Illines. On this account I went in 1848 for some months to Malvern for hydropathic retatinest, which did me much good, so that on my return home I was able to resame work. So much was I count of health that when my deer father died on November 1,1th, 185,3° I was unable to attend his funeral or a ray so not fill reservoir.

My work on the Cirripedia possesses, I think, con-

I Security ... N. B.

^{*} Published by the Eay Society.-- P. D.

⁴ The date of Dr. Robert's death is given as 1848 in Life and Latters. In the MS, the date is clearly written 1845—a curious error.—N. B.

sideable value, as besides describing several new and remarkable forms, I made out the homologies of the various parts—I discovered the commandia spaparatus, though I blundered desablituly about the cemmer glands—and lastly I proved the existence in cereatin genera of minute males complemental to and parasition on the hermaphrodites. This latter discovery has at last been fully confirmed; though at one time a German writer was pleased or attribute the whole account to my fertile imagination. The Curapheds from a highly varying and difficult group of species to class; and my work was of considerable use to me, when I fail to discuss in the considerable use to me, when I fail to discuss in the Newtoniess, I doubt whether the work was worth the consumption of so much time.

From September 1843 onwards I devoted all my time to assaging my huge gle for incest, to observing, and experimenting, in relation to the treasmutation of species. During the vorge of the Bodge I had been deeply impressed by discovering in the Pampean formation great prostil animals covered with amour like that on the existing armsdilloss; secondly, by the manner in which closely allied animals replace one another in proceedings southwards over the Continent; and thirdly, by the South American character of most of the productions of the Galapagos archipelago, and more especially by the manner in which they differ allightly on each lathy of the group of the group; mone of these islands appearing to be very anient in a geological store.

It was evident that such facts as these, as well as many

others, coold be explained on the supposition that species gradually become modified; and the subject haunted me. But it was equally evident that neither the action of the surcounding conditions, nor the will often organizate (especially in the case of plants), could account for the innumeable cases in which organizates of every kind are beautifully adopted to their habits of life,—for instance, a woodpecked or tree-freq to climbs trees, or a seed for dispersal by hooks or plumes. I had always been much struck by such adoptations, and until these could be explained it seemed to me student uteless to exceed the could be explained in seemed to me at himory uteless to exceed the could be explained in seemed to me at himory uteless to explain the could be explained in seemed to me at himory uteless to explain the could be explained in seemed to me at himory uteless to explain the supposition of the country of th

After my return to England it appeared to me that by following the example of Lyell in Geology, and by collecting all facts which bore in any way on the variation of animals and plants under domestication and nature, some light might perhaps be thrown on the whole subject. My first note-book was opened in July 1837. I worked on true Baconian principles, and without any theory collected facts on a wholesale scale, more especially with respect to domesticated productions, by printed enquiries, by conversation with skilful breeders and gardeners, and by extensive reading. When I see the list of books of all kinds which I read and abstracted, including whole series of Journals and Transactions, I am surprised at my industry. I soon perceived that selection was the keystone of man's success in making useful races of animals and plants. But how selection could be applied to organisms living in a

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN state of nature remained for some time a mystery to

noc.

In October 1838, that is, fifteen months after I had begun my systematic enquiry. I happened to read for amusement Malthus on Papulation, and being well prepared to appreciate the struggle for existence which everywhere goes on from long-continued observation of the habits of animals and plants, it at once struck me that under these circumstances favourable variations would tend to be preserved, and unfavourable ones to be destroyed. The result of this would be the formation of new species. Here, then, I had at last got a theory by which to work : but I was so anxious to avoid prejudice, that I determined not for some time to write even the briefest sketch of it. In June 1842 I first allowed myself the satisfaction of writing a very brief abstract of my theory in pencil in 33 pages; and this was enlarged during the summer of 1844 into one of 240 pages, which I had fairly copied out and still possess.

But at that time I overlooked one problem of great importance; and it is astonishing to me, except on the principle of Columbus and this egg, how I could have overlooked it and its solution. This problem is the tendency in organic beings descended from the same stock to diverge in character as they become modified. That they have diverged greatly in obvious from the manner in which species of all kinds can be classed manner in which species of all kinds can be classed orders, and so frost; and I can remember the very spot in the good, whilst in my earliess, when to my joy the

120

solution occurred to me; and this was long after I had come to Down. The solution, as I believe, is that the modified offspring of all dominant and increasing forms and to become adapted to many and highly diversified places in the economy of nature.

Early in 186 Lyell advised me to write out my views perty fully, and lepon at tone to do on on a cale there or four thore as extensive as that which was afterwards followed in my Origin of Spoiny, yet it was only an abstract of the materials which I had collected, and I got rhrough about half the work on this stell. But my plans were overstrown, for early in the nammer of 18,8 Mr Wallace, who was then in the Malya schiplengo, earn one an easy On the Trollony of Varieties in dipart admirphily from the Original Type, and this easy occurated the with that if I thought well of his easy, I should send it to Useful for recommendation.

The circumstances under which I contented at the request of Jyall and Hooker to allow of an extreet from my MS, together with a letter to Ass Gray, dated Sperments J, 1817, to be published or the same time with Wallace's Essay, are given in the Journal of the Proceedings of the Lineaus Swiety, 1838, p. 43; I be with the start very unwilling to consent, as I thought Mr Wallace might consider my doing so un justifiable, for I all on them know how generous and noble was his disposition. The extract from my MS, and the letter to Ara Gray was not proceedings of the Control of the North Control o

had neither been intended for publication, and were badly writern. Mr Wallace's says, on the other had, was adminishly expressed and quite clear. Nevertheless, our joint productions excited very little attention, and the only published notice of them which I can remember was by Professor Hangthon of Dublin, whose versus was the rewast by the control of the control of the control of the control was the relation of the control of the control of the control of the was that all that was new in them was false, and what was are true was old. This shows how encessary it is that connects are in the control of the cont

In September 1858 I set to work by the strong advice of Lyell and Hooket to prepare a volume on the transmittation of species, but was often Interroped by fill-health, and show visits to Dr. Land's delightful behalth, and show higher set all Moor Park. I abstracted the Mogrou on a much larget setale in 1866, and complete begun on a much larget setale in 1866, and complete the volume on the same reduced seale. It cost me thirteen months and ren days' hard labour. It was published under the title of the Origin of Species, in November 1859. Though constituently added no corrected in the later editions, it has remained substantially red seam benow.

It is no doubte to their work of my life. It was from the first highly successful. The first small edition of 110 cepts processful. The first small edition of 110 cepts processful and the star of publications, and a second edition of 100 cepts process materwards. States thousand copies have snow (1876) been sold in England and coasidering low stiff a book it is, this is a large left less been translated into almost every European Tennessen even into such languages as Smaith, Bothermian.

Polish, and Russian. It has also, according to Miss ibid, ben transisted into Japanese, and is three and undied. Even an essay in Hebrew has appeared on it, ashowing that the theory is consisted in the Old Testament. The reviews were very manerous; for a time ment. The newless were very manerous; for a time ment. I collected all this appeared on the Origin and only related books, and these amount (excluding newspaper excitance) to 56; but after a time I gave up the start poly in deepart. Many separate essays and books on the major that the origin and only the origin and the origin and

The success of the Origin may, I think, be stribbured in bege part to my having long before written two condensed steeches, and to my having finally abstracted a much larger manuscript, which was itself an abstract. By this means I was enabled to select the more striking facts and conclusions. I had, also, during many years, followed a golden rule, namely, that whenever a published fact, a new observation or thought came across me, which was opposed to my general results, to make a menouradium of it winhout fall and at once; for I had found by experience that such facts and thoughts were for more up to occup from the amenory than favourable for more up to occup from the amenory than favourable contributions of the contribution of the co

It has sometimes been said that the success of the Origin proved "that the subject was in the air," or "that "Man. 2006 is minutes, at I have from Professor Minutest,—F. D.

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

men's minds were prepared for it." I do not think that this is strictly true, for I occasionally sounded not a few naturalists, and never happened to come across a single one who seemed to doubt about the permanence of species. Even Lvell and Hooker, though they would listen with interest to me, never seemed to agree. I tried once or twice to explain to able men what I means by natural selection, but signally failed. What I believe was strictly true is that innumerable well-observed faces were stored in the minds of naturalists, ready to take their proper places as soon as any theory which would receive them was sufficiently explained.1 Another element in the success of the book was its moderate size; and this I owe to the appearance of Mr Wallace's essay : had I published on the scale in which I began to write in 1816, the book would have been four or five times as large as the Origin, and very few would have had the parience to read it.

I spined much by my delay in poblishing from about 1850, when the theory was clearly conceived, or 1853, and I lost nothing by it, for I cared very little whether and I lost nothing by it, for I cared very little whether and its essay no doubt sided in the reception of the theory. I was forestuled in only one important point, which my vanity has always made me regret, amenly, the capibastion by manso for the Gladial petiod of the presence of the same species of plants and of some few animals on distant mountain totumite and in the actic regions.

"Men appears, him on the control of th

...

This view pleased me so much that I wrote it out is outents, and it was read by Hooker some years before E. Forbes published his celebrated memoir on the subject. In the very few points in which we differed, I still think that I was in the right. I have never, of course, alluded in prior to my having independently worked out this view.

Hardly any point gave me so much satisfaction when I was at work on the Origin, as the explanation of the wide difference in many classes between the embryo and the adult animal, and of the close resemblance of the embryos within the same class. No notice of this point was raken, as far as I remember, in the early reviews of the Origin, and I recollect expressing my surprise on this head in a lerrer to Asa Gray. Within late years several reviewers have given the whole credit of the idea to Fritz Muller and Häckel, who undoubtedly have worked it out much more fully, and in some respects more correctly than I did. I had materials for a whole chapter on the subject, and I ought to have made the discussion longer: for it is clear that I failed to impress my readers: and he who succeeds in doing so deserves, in my opinion, all the credit.

This leads me to remark that I have almost always been treated honestly by my reviewers, passing over those without scientific knowledge as not worthy of notice. My views have often been grossly misrepreented, bitterly opposed and ridicaled, but this has been generally done, as I believe, in good faith. I must,

Good, Sarvey More , 1846 .- F. D.

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

however, except Mr Mivart, who as an American expressed it in a letter has acred towards me "like a pettriogger", or, as Huxley his said "like an Old Brilleg lawyer." On the whole I do not doubt that eny works have been over and over again greatly overprosied. I rejoice that I have avoided controversies, and this I owe to Lyell, who many years go, in reference to my geological works, strongly advised me never to ge entangled in a controversy, as it ractive I did not good and caused

a miscrobile loss of time and semper.

Whenever 1 have found out that I have blundered, or
that my work has been inspecteet, and when I have been
contempousually cirticised, and even when I have been
overpressed, so that I have felt mortified, it has been my
greatest conflort to say hundreds of times to myself
that "I have worked as hard and as well as I could, and
on sats can do more than this." I remember when in
Good Success Bay, in Thera del Fuego, hinking, (and
I believe that I wrote home to the effect) that I could
not been to be the control of the control of the control

Thy I lie better to the been of my abilities,
and critic, may say what they like, but they cannot

detroy this conviction.

During the row last months of the year 1859 I was fully occupied in preparing a second edition of the Origin, and by an enormous correspondence. On January 7th, 1860, I began attracting my notes for any work on 750 basic laster, filtering stry-time libraries. The account of Carlos Desires (1860) and 1860 per 1

[&]quot;Alia paragiculi addod probably at 1781,-N. ile



Charles Darwin aged 72, on the verandah at Down, seady for his customary stroll 10 the Sandwalk



the Variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication; but it was not published until the beginning of 1868; the delay having been caused partly by frequent illnesses, one of which lasted seven months, and partly by having been tempted to publish on other subjects which at the time interested me more.

On May 15th, 1862, my little book on the Pertilisation of Orchids, which cost me ten months' work, was published: most of the facts had been slowly accumulated during several previous years. During the summer of 1810, and, I believe, during the previous summer, I was led to attend to the cross-fertilisation of flowers by the aid of insects, from having come to the conclusion in my speculations on the origin of species, that crossing played an important part in keeping specific forms constant. I attended to the subject more or less during every subsequent summer; and my interest in it was greatly enhanced by having procured and read in November 1841, through the advice of Robert Brown, a copy of C. K. Sprengel's 1 wonderful book. Das entdeckte Geleimnis der Natur. For some vears before 1862 I had specially attended to the fertilisation of our British orchids; and it seemed to me the best plan to prepare as complete a treatise on this group of plants as well as I could, rather than to utilise the great mass of matter which I had slowly collected with respect to other

planes. My resolve proved a wise one; for since the appearance of my book, a surprising number of papers and 1 Christian Kontail Sproopel, 1750-1516. Schoolmanus at Spandau-N B. TACD. 127

separate works on the fertilisation of all kinds of flowers have appeared; and these are far better done than I could possibly have effected. The metits of poor old Sprengel, so long overlooked, are now fully recognised many years after his death.

During this same year I published in the Journal of the Linnean Society, a paper On the Two Forms, or Dimorphic Condition of Primula, and during the next five years, five other papers on dimorphic and trimorphic plants. I do not think anything in my scientific life has given me so much satisfaction as making out the meaning of the structure of these plants. I had noticed in 1818 or 1819 the dimorphism of Lisum flavum, and had at first thought that it was merely a case of unmeaning variability. But on examining the common species of Primula, I found that the two forms were much too regular and constant to be thus viewed. I therefore became almost convinced that the common cowslip and primrose were on the high-road to become diocious :- that the short pistil in the one form, and the short stamens in the other form were tending towards abortion. The plants were therefore subjected under this point of view to trial; but as soon as the flowers with short pistils fertilised with pollen from the short stamens, were found to yield more seeds than any other of the four possible unions, the abortion-theory was knocked on the head. After some additional experiment, it became evident that the two forms, though both were perfect hermaphrodites, hore almost the same relation to one another as do the two sexes of an ordinary animal. With Lythrum we

have the still more wonderful case of three forms standing in a similar relation to one another. I afterwards found that the offspring from the union of two plans belonging to the same forms presented a close and curious analogy with hybrids from the union of two distinct species.

In the autumn of 1864 I finished a long paper on Climbing Plants, and sent it to the Linnean Society. The writing of this paper cost me four months: but I was so unwell when I received the proof-sheets that I was forced to leave them very hadly and often obscurely expressed. The paper was little noticed, but when in 1871 it was corrected and published as a separate book it sold well. I was led to take up this subject by reading a shore paper by Asa Gray, published in 1818, on the movements of the rendrils of a Cucurbitacean plant. He sent me seeds, and on raising some plants I was to much fascinated and perplexed by the revolving movements of the tendrils and stems, which movements are really very simple, though appearing at first very complex. that I procused various other kinds of Climbing Plants, and studied the whole subject. I was all the more attracted to it, from not being at all satisfied with the explanation which Henslow gave us in his Lectures, about Twining plants, namely, that they had a natural tendency to grow up in a spire. This explanation proved quite erroneous. Some of the adaptations displayed by climbing plants are as beautiful as those by Orchids for ensuring cross-fertilisation.

My Variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication

THE AUTOMOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWING

was begun, as already stated, in the beginning of 1860, but was not published until the beginning of 1868. It is a big book, and cost me four years and two months' hard labour. It gives all my observations and an immense number of facts collected from various sources. about our domestic productions. In the second volume the causes and laws of variation, inheritance, &c., are discussed, as far as our present state of knowledge permits. Towards the end of the work I give my wellabused hyporhesis of Pangenesis. An unvertified hypothesis is of little or no value. But if any one should hereafter be led to make observations by which some such hypothesis could be established, I shall have done good service, as an astonishing number of isolated facts can thus be connected together and rendered intelligible. In 1875 a second and Jazgely corrected edition, which cost me a good deal of labour, was brought out.

My Dezient of Mear was published in Feb. 1871. A soon as I had become, in the year 1837 or 18,18, convinced that species were mutuble productions, I could not avoid the belief that man must come under the same law. Accordingly I collected notes on the subject for yow mutualisation, and not for a long time with any intention of publishing. Although in the Origin of Spreat, the deviration of any particular species is never discussed, yet I thought it best, in order that no honour-ble man should accuse me of concealing my views, to when many the control of t

book to have paraded without giving any evidence my conviction with respect to his origin.

But when I found that many naturalists fully accepted the doctrine of the evolution of species, it seemed to me advisable to work up such notes as I possessed and to publish a special treatise on the origin of man. I was the more glad to do so, as it gave me an opportunity of fully discussing sexual selection,—a subject which had always greatly interested me. This subject, and that of the variation of our domestic productions, together with the causes and laws of variation, inheritance, etc., and the intercrossing of Plants, are the sole subjects which I have been able to write about in full, so as to use all the materials which I had collected. The Descent of Man took me three years to write, but then as usual some of this time was lost by ill health, and some was consumed by preparing new editions and other minor works. A second and largely corrected edition of the Descent appeared in 1874-

My book on the Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animala was published in the autumn of 1872. I had intended to give only a chapter on the subject in the Distent of Man, but as soon as I began to put my notes together, I saw that it would require a separate Treastor.

My first child was born on December 27th, 1839, and I at once commenced to make notes on the first dawn of the various expressions which he exhibited, for I felt convinced, even at this early period, that the most complex and fine shades of expression must all have had

a gradual and natural origin. During the summer of the following year, 1840, I read Sir C. Bell's 1 admirable work on Expression, and this greatly increased the interest which I felt in the subject, though I could not at all agree with his belief that various muscles had been specially created for the sake of expression. From this time forward I occasionally attended to the subject. both with respect to man and our domesticated animals. My book sold largely; \$267 copies having been disposed of on the day of publication.

In the summer of 1860 I was idling and resting near Hartfield, where two species of Drosera abound; and I noticed that numerous insects had been entrapped by the leaves. I carried home some plants, and on giving them insects saw the movements of the tentacles, and this made me think it probable that the insects were caught for some special purpose. Fortunately a crucial test occurred to me, that of placing a large number of leaves in various nitrogenous and non-nitrogenous fluids of equal density: and as soon as I found that the former alone excited energetic movements, it was obvious that here was a fine new field for investigation.

During subsequent years, whenever I had leisure, I pursued my experiments, and my book on Insectionous Plants was published July 1871,-that is sixteen years after my first observations. The delay in this case, as with all my other books, has been a great advantage to me; for a man after a long interval can criticise his own Chaoise Bril, 1712-1842. Efficiend Enforcingt, F.C.S. Ed. 1759. Peri of Surject, Ed. 1847. Kraphord: B.S. condition 1822; Some on the next on spaces and discount of Expression, exc.—N. B. work, almost as well as if it were that of another person. The fact that a plant should secrete, when properly excited, a fluid containing an acid and ferment, closely analogous to the digestive fluid of an animal, was certainly a remarkable discovery.

During this autumn of 1876 I shall publish on the Effects of Cross- and Self-Fertilisation in the Verstable Kingdom. This book will form a complement to that on the Fertilisation of Orchids, in which I showed how perfect were the means for cross-fertilisation, and here I shall show how important are the results. I was led to make, during eleven years, the numerous experiments recorded in this volume, by a mere accidental observation; and indeed it required the accident to be repeated before my attention was thoroughly aroused to the remarkable fact that seedlings of self-fertilised parentage are inferior, even in the first generation, in height and vigour to seedlings of cross-fertilised parentage. I hope also to republish a revised edition of my book on Orchids, and hereafter my papers on dimorphic and trimorphic plants, together with some additional observations on allied points which I never have had time to arrange. My strength will then probably be exhausted, and I shall be ready to exclaim "Nunc dimittis."

⁵ This long addendum added May 181, 1821, 10..., "old geological thoughts." p. 156.—N. B. contivances for the transportal of pollen from one plant to another of the sum aspecies. I now believe, however, chiefly from the observations of Hermann Müller, that lought to have insisted more strongly than 1 did not the many adoptations for self-fertilisation; though I was suffered to the many adoptations for self-fertilisation; though I was no many such adoptations. A much called edition of my Fertilization of Orbidi was published in 1872.

In this same year The Different Ferens of Flusers, the conpagerated, and in \$86 o second collision. This house consists chiefly of the several papers on heterostyledri, occurrenced, with much new marter sidede, together with observations on some order cases in which the same plant bears two kinds of flowers. As before remarked, no little discovery of finite ever green the owner helpestor to the meaning of heerostyled flowers as the making cut the meaning of heerostyled flowers as the making cut the meaning of heerostyled flowers cannot be believed to be very important as been discounted to the secretary of being the second of the secretary of being the second of t

In 1879, I had a translation of Dr. Ernst Kraus's Life Framma Dwar's published, and I ladded a skeet of his character and habits from materials in my potsession.
Many persons have been much interested by this little life, and I am surprised that only 800 or 900 copies were sold. Owing to my having secidentally omitted to mention that Dr. Krause had enlarged and corrected his statice in German before it was treaslated, Mr. Sammal.

I offended him so bittetly, I have never been able tunderstand. The subject gave rise to some coursovers in the Athensom newspaper and Nature. I laid all fin documents before some good jodges, viz. Hazdey, I. Bischpen, Lieichield, etc., and they were all treatment that he will be to be a subject to the su

In 1880 I published, with Frank's assistance, our P of Movement in Plants. This was a tough piece of was The book bears somewhat the same relation to my ! L tenti co Cymra Plati, ymo Carlin the Fernander J Delling Line of principles of evolution it was impossible to account for charities glaste has me been detride to dissense georges, without all to are timed person of concessor to the The Unioned to be the second 1 and rather wide generalisation, viz., that the great and portant classes of movements, excited by light the attraction of gravity, &c., are all modified forms in the Andrewal resource of communities it has awayayd and return of hydrott forth make of apparent temps a special extrement to be an experted phonous as Harrison P. P. Lancon, N. B.

Territoria Controt Cary States

showing how many and what admirably well adapted movements the tip of a root possesses. I have now (May 1, 1881) sent to the printers the MS.

of a little book on The Formation of Vegetable Mandel through the Action of Western. This is a subject of but small importance; and I know not whether it will interest any readors, but it has interested me. It is the completion of a short paper read before the Geological Society more than forty years ago, and has revived old geological thoughts.

I have now mentioned all the books which I have published, and these have been the milestones in my life, so that little remains to be said. I am not conscious of any change in my mind during the last thirty years, excepting in one point presently to be mentioned; nor indeed could any change have been expected unless one of general deterioration. But my father lived to his eighty-third year with his mind as lively as ever it was, and all his faculties undimmed; and I hope that I may die before my mind fails to a sensible extent. I think that I have become a little more skilful in guessing right explanations and in devising experimental tests; but this may probably be the result of mere practice, and of a larger store of knowledge. I have as much difficulty as ever in expressing myself clearly and concisely: and this difficulty has caused me a very great loss of time; but it has had the compensating advantage of Berusen November 1881 and February 1884, 8,500 copins were sold.—F. D.

Bed of 1821 Addendum. Beginning "The Effect of Great ...", p. 133.

N. B.

¹¹⁶

forcing me to think long and intently about every sentence, and thus I have been often led to see errors in reasoning and in my own observations or those of others.

others.

There seems to be a sort of fatality in my mind leading me to put at finish my statement and proposition
in a verogg or swieward form. Formelty I need
to think about my restracted before writing them
down; but for several years I have fround that it assets
time to setable in a vife hand whole page as quickly
as a possibly our contenting hist the words; not
as possibly our contenting hist the words; not
down are form better ones than I could have writen
down are form better ones than I could have writen

Having said this much about my manner of writing, I will add that with my larger books I spend a good deal of time over the general arrangement of the matter. I first make the rudest outline in two or three pares, and then a larger one in several pages, a few words or one word standing for a whole discussion or series of facts. Each of these beadings is again enlarged and often reansformed before I begin to write in extense. As in several of my books facts observed by others have been very extensively used, and as I have always had several quite distinct subjects in hand at the same time. I may mention that I keep from thirty to forty large portfolios, in cabinets with labelled shelves, into which I can at once put a detached reference or memorandum. I have bought many books and at their ends I make an index of all the facts that concern my work : or, if the book is

not my own, write out a separate abstract, and of such abstracts 1 have a large drawer full. Before beginning on any subject 1 look to all the short indexes and make a general and classified index, and by taking the one or more proper portfolios 1 have all the information collected during my life ready for use.

I have said that in one respect my mind has changed during the last twenty or thirty years. Up to the age of thirty, or beyond it, poetry of many kinds, such as the works of Milton, Gray, Byron, Wordsworth, Coleridge, and Shelley, gave me great pleasure, and even as a schoolboy I took intense delight in Shakespeare, especially in the historical plays. I have also said that formerly pictures pave me considerable, and music very prest delight. But now for many years I cannot endure to read a line of operry: I have tried lately to read Shakespeare, and found it so intolerably duli that it nauseated me. I have also almost lost any taste for pictures or music.-Music generally sets me thinking too energetically on what I have been at work on, instead of giving me pleasure. I retain some taste for fine scenery, but it does not cause me the exquisite delight which it formerly did. On the other hand, novels which are works of the imagination, though not of a very high order, have been for years a wonderful relief and pleasure to me. and I often bless all novelists. A surprising number have been read aloud to me, and I like all if moderately good, and if they do not end unhappily-against which a law ought to be passed. A novel, according to my taste, does not come into the first class unless it contains

some person whom one can thoroughly love, and if it be a pretty woman all the better.

This curious and lamentable loss of the higher aesthetic tastes is all the odder, as books on history, biographies and travels (independently of any scientific facts which they may contain), and essays on all sorts of subjects interest me as much as ever they did. My mind seems to have become a kind of machine for grinding general laws out of large collections of facts, but why this should have caused the atrophy of that part of the brain alone, on which the higher tastes depend, I cannot conceive. A man with a mind more highly organised or better constituted than mine, would not I suppose have thus suffered; and if I had to live my life again I would have made a rule to read some poetry and listen to some music at least once every week; for perhaps the pares of my brain now atrophied could thus have been kept active through use. The loss of these tastes is a loss of happiness, and may possibly be injurious to the intellect, and more probably to the moral character, by enfeebling the emotional part of our nature.

My books have sold largely in Ingland, have been translated into many languages, and passed through several editions in foreign countries. I have heard it said that the success of a work shored is the best rest of me enduring value. I down whether this is at all trusseourly; but judged by this standard my name tooght to last for a few years. Therefore it may be worth while for me to my to analyse the mental coulties and the conditions on which my success has

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

depended; though I am aware that no man can do this correctly.

I have no great quickness of apprehension or wit which is so remarkable in some elever men, for instance Huxley. I am therefore a poor critic: a paper or book. when first read, generally excites my admiration, and it is only after considerable reflection that I perceive the weak points. My power to follow a long and purely abstract train of thought is very limited; I should, moreover, never have succeeded with metaphysics or mathematics. My memory is extensive, yet hazy: it suffices to make me cautious by vaguely telling me that I have observed or read something opposed to the conclusion which I am drawing, or on the other hand in favour of it; and after a time I can generally recollect where to search for my authority. So poor in one sense is my memory, that I have never been able to remember for more than a few days a single date or a line of

Some of my cities have said, "Oh, he is a good observer, but has no power of reasoning." I do not think that this can be true, for the Origin of Spatrin is one long argument from the beginning to the end, and it has convinced not a few able men. No one could have written it without having some power of reasoning. Have a fair later of invention and of commons ento pudgment, such as every fairly successful lawyer or doctor must have, but not I believe, in any higher

degree.

On the favourable side of the balance, I think that I

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY

am superior to the common run of men in noticing things which easily escape attention, and in observing them carefully. My industry has been nearly as great as it could have been in the observation and collection of facts. What is far more important, my love of natural science has been sready and ardent. This pure love has, however, been much aided by the ambition to be esseemed by my fellow naturalists. From my early youth I have had the strongest design to understand or explain whatever I observed,-that is, to group all facts under some general laws. These causes combined have given me the patience to reflect or ponder for any number of years over any unexplained problem. As far as I can judge. I am not apt to follow blindly the lead of other men. I have steadily endeavoured to keep my mind free, so as to give up any hypothesis, however much beloved (and I cannot resist forming one on every subject), as soon as facts are shown to be opposed to it. Indeed I have had no choice but to act in this manner. for with the exception of the Coral Reefs, I cannot remember a single first-formed hypothesis which had not after a time to be given up or greatly modified. This has naturally led me to distrust creatly deductive restoning in the mixed sciences. On the other hand, I am not very sceptical,-a frame of mind which I believe to be injurious to the progress of science :1 a good deal of scepticism in a scientific man is advisable to avoid much loss of time: for I have met with not a few men.

Beginning of soldendum. Addendum ends "which might be sold", p.

THE AUTORIOGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

who I feel sure have often thus been deterred from experiment or observations, which would have proved directly or indirectly serviceable.

In illustration, I will give the oddest case which I have knowa. A gentleman (who, as I afterwards heard, was a good local botanist) wrote to me from the Eastern counties that the seeds or beans of the common fieldbean had this year everywhere grown on the wrong side of the pod. I wrote back, asking for further information, as I did not understand what was meant; but I did not receive any answer for a long time. I then saw in two newspapers, one published in Kent and the other in Yorkshire, paragraphs stating that it was a most remarkable fact that " the beans this year had all grown on the wrong side." So I thought that there must be some foundation for so general a statement. Accordingly, I went to my gardener, an old Kentish man, and asked him whether he had heard anything about it; and he answered, "Oh, no, Sir, it must be a mistake, for the beans grow on the wrong side only on Leap-year, and this is not Leap-year." I then asked him how they grew on common years and how on leap-years, but soon found out that he knew absolutely nothing of how they grew at any time : but he sruck to his belief.

After a time I heard from my first informant, who, with many apologies, said that he should not have written to me had he not heard the statement from several intelligent farmers; but that he had since spoken again to every one of them, and not one knew in the least what he had himself meant. So that here a belief

-if indeed a statement with no definite idea attached to ir can be called a belief-had spread over almost the whole of England without any vestige of evidence. I have known in the course of my life only three intentionally falsified statements, and one of these may have been a book (and there have been several scientific boaxes) which, however, took in an American agricuttural journal. It related to the formation in Holland of a new breed of oxen by the crossing of distinct species of Bos (some of which I happen to know are sterile together), and the author had the impudence to state that he had corresponded with me, and that I had been deeply impressed with the importance of his results. The article was sent to me by the editor of an English Agricult. Journal, asking for my opinion before republishing it.

A second case was an account of several varieties raised by the author from several species of Primula, which had spentaneously yielded a full complement of seed, although the parent plants had been carefully protected from the access of insects. This account was published before I had discovered the meaning of heterosrylism, and the whole statement must have been fraudulent, or there was neelect in excluding insects so

gross as to be scarcely credible. The third case was more curious a Mr Huth published in his book on Consanguineous Marriage some long extracts from a Belgian author, who stated that he had interbred rabbits in the closest manner for very many

generations without the least injurious effects. The TACD. 143

THE ALVOROGRAPHY OF CHARLES DARWIN

account was published in a most respectable Journal, that of the Royal Medical Soc. of Belgium; but I could not avoid feeling doubts,—I hardly know why, except that there were no accidents of any kind, and my experience in breeding animals made me think this improbable.

So with much healistion I wrote to Peof. Van Beneden and high him whether the author was a tourworthy man. I should be made and high him whether the subther was a tourworthy man. I should be made and the should be considered and healing straight shocked by differentiating the should be considered that he whole account was a fraud. The write had been publicly challenged in the Journal to say where he had resided and keep this large stock of rabbits while carrying on his experiments, which must have consumed several years, and no answer could be extracted from him. I informed poor the Huth, that the account which formed the contensors of his argument was fraudalent; and he in the most honocurbile manner immediately had a slip printed to this effect to be inserted in all future copies of his book which rules he sold!

My habis are methodical, and this has been of not a little use for my particular line of work. Lastly, I have had ample leisure from not having to ear my own bread. Even ill-health, though it has annihilated several years of my life, has saved me from the distractions of society and amatement.

Therefore, my success as a man of science, whatever this may have amounted to, has been determined, as fur as I can judge, by complex and diversified mental quali-

1.44

THE AUTOBIOGRAPHY

ties and conditions. Of these the most important have been—the love of science—mobinousled patience in long sciencing over any subject—industry in observing and collecting faces—and a fair share of invention as well as of common-sense. With such moderate shillisis and possess, it is truly surprising that that a should have influenced to a considerable execut the beliefs of scientific men on some important points.

August 3rd 1876

This sketch of my life was begun about May 28th. at Hopedone, and since then I have written for nearly an bour on most afternoons



APPENDIX



BART ONE

On Charles Darwin and his Grandfather Dr. Erasmus Darwin

Tire retressors similatives between Chaeles and Eissman Dazwin, born seveny-ciphy rear spars, with a period of convolutive social and intellectual history between them, makes some comparison of the fire of their respective achievements in the world of thought of particular interest. For Eissman Dazwin, like his grandson, formulated an evolutionary system of world society, ret for lossing mark on commonly held beliefs, doctory to the for leasing mark on commonly held beliefs, this Appendix I have attempted to show some of the reasons why.

Dr. Ecismus Darwin (1731-1862) lived under a windling Church authority, with science and philosophy announcing the approaching perfectibility of Man. It was a petiod of beilef in material progress, when the steam engine, the mechanisation of industry, canals and sewage works, seemed symbols of Man's power over exercan arouse. The newly discovered laws of physics and the emerging laws in the world of chemistry, gave a turber sense of confidence. Natural Thoology was being preached by Paley and others, who not only took into account the increasing knowledge of adaptation in biology, but made a pivot of this very knowledge. Dr. Darwin looked at the facts of adaptation in the human body without the bias og general in 18th century science,—a bias which saw a purpose in all the Creatop's owerls for the immediate benefit of mankind; the produced his original theory of Generation or Descent with modification in his Zoolsawia in 1794-6, patrially anticipating Lamack's better-known theory, and preceding him by fifteen when the produced in the produced him to the produce

Today it is difficult to realise the immense vogue Erasmus Darwin's works once possessed, but when Charles was young the imposing memory of his grandfather must still have loomed large. Today Zoinomia is tough reading, whilst the heroic couplets of The Betanic Garden and of Phytologia, with their repeated evocations of Deities and Nymphs, are easy subjects for parody: the voluminous prose notes which contain the overflow of his copious ideas make better reading. Years before Charles was born, Coleridge coined the word "darwinising" to describe the wild theorising of Erasmus.-though some of these ideas had affected Coleridge deeply in his youth, when still in sympathy with scientific adventure. It was only in his later years of disillusionment and antagonism to contemporary materialism that he came to oppose all that Erasmus Datwin stood for and cried :- " O Mercy, the blindness of the man!" Erasmus's poetry nauseated him, and he likened his verse to " the mists that occasionally arise at

CHARLES DARWIN AND HIS GRANDFAYHER

the foot of Parnassus "; and he stigmatised Dr. Darwin's philosophy in Zoonomia as the "State of Nature or the Orang Outang theology of the human race, substiruted for the first chapters of the Book of Genesis " --- a strange foreshadowing of the outraged protests that followed on the publication of the Origin of Spicies two generations later.

Many of the other subjects besides the theory of Descent dealt with in Zoonamia, became favourite themes for Charles's intensive study later on. Of course the topics discussed by them both have an older history, and Linnaeus, Buffon and others helped to fix attention on certain matters, such as the changes occurring in domesticated animals. In Zoōnomia Erasmus considers the twining and other movements in plants; the crossfertilisation in plants; the origin of the sense of beauty in connection with the female form; adaptive and protective coloration, heredity, and the domestication of animals. Charles Darwin deals with these subjects in the following books :- Climbing Plants: Power of Movement of Plants: Cross- and Self-Fertilisation in Plants: Fertilisation of Orchids; Descent of Man; Variation of Animals and Plants under Domestication: and the Origin of Species.

Erssmus Darwin wrote of sexual selection:-" The final cause of this contest among males seems to be, that the strongest and most active animal should propagate the species which should thus become improved." This might be mistaken for a sentence written by Charles himself sixty-five years later; for here Erasmus has exoped towards the idea of selection.

Yet it will have been noted in The Autobiography (p. 40) that Charles insists that neither Lamarck's writings nor his grandfather's had had any effect on him. The apparent contradiction implicit in his admission following this assertion, that hearing such views maintained early in life may have favoured his upholding them 'in a different form' may, I believe, be understood by emphasising the words ' in a different form.' For Erasmus Darwin's method was largely built of a heavy superstructure of speculation on an insufficient foundation of fact, a method alien to Charles Darwin's whole outlook. Charles was asking new questions of life's processes and saw a general pattern emerging through the agency of Natural Selection; Nature and her myriad forms became a possible self-regulating system,-though the central mystery of the living reproducing unit remained, The conviction of the power of Natural Selection, working on the universality of variation in animals and plants, led Charles to reject early evolutionary influences as the mere facile speculations of a priori philosophers, who saw a Creation for Man's use in all Nature's Works. What Charles was advocating in his own work was theory built on a firmer structure of evidence. He vindicated a new balance in Natural Science between theory and a more scrupulous observation of fact, and a more rigorous recourse to experiment. The strength of his argument in the Origin of Species,-and indeed in all his work-lay in his power of generalisation under the strictes: control of related observations; a generalisa-

CHARLES DARWIN AND HIS GRANDFATHER

tion became "a short-hand expression with predictive power." !

In a letter to Charles Lyell written in 1839, he wroce Clanards's work that be got "not a fact or ske feet from it". Such a dischimer can only mean that to Charles Darwin the absence of evidence for Lamards's theory invalidated the whole, in the same way that his grandfaher's theory was invalidated. But although Charles remained suspicious of his grandfather's "ourprovering endency to theories and generalite", he nevertheless added this tribute to Euszuwa in his Life of Eraman Lamards and the use of hypotheses show that he had the true spirit of the philosopher ".

Charles donited too that the subject of evolution was in the air, ("Authorigophy, 1s. Jah but again it was the facts," the lammarable well-observed facts" with immunerable well-observed facts" with a lammarable well-observed facts" with a Down must have helped to prevent the penetration of Opinion from worker in other fields than his own, so that he unconstiously overlooked indications that belief in the permanence of species was warning. In this context it is worth quoting the young Bostonian intellectual Henry Brooks Adams, who knew England well in the 186%—especially diplomatic England—when he was acting as private secretary to fis father, the American Minister. Young Adams was born in 1838, under the standow of Bostonian Unitarialmen, and in the chanter

1 L.O. Wisdom. Foundation of Informer in Natural Science.

of his autobiography entitled Darwinism, Adams reveals contemporary opinion, and says "he felt, like nine men in ten an instinctive belief in Evolution." He writes:—
"At that moment ('67) Darwin was convulsing society.

The geological champion of Darwin was Sir Charles Lyell, and the Lyells were intimate at the Legation. Sir Charles constantly said of Darwin, what Palgrave said of Tennyson, that the first time he came to town, Adams should be asked to meet him, but neither of them ever came to town, or ever cared to meet a young American, and one could not go to them because they were known to dislike intrusion. The only Americans who were not allowed to intrude were the halfdozen in the Legation. Adams was content to read Darwin, specially his Origin of Species and his Voyage of the Beagle. He was a Darwinist before the letter; a predestined follower of the tide : but he was hardly trained to follow Darwin's evidences. . . . He never tried to understand Darwin; but he still fancied he might get the best part of Darwinism from the easier study of geology: a science which suited idle minds as well as though it were history. Every curate in England dabbled in geology and hunted only for vestiges of Creation. Darwin hunted for vestiges of Natural Selection, and Adams followed him, although he cared nothing about Selection, unless for the indirect amusement of upsetting curates. He felt, like nine men in ten, an instinctive belief in Evolution, but he

* The Education of Henry Adams. An Assobiography, Constable & Co.

CHARLES DARWIN AND HIS GRANDFATHER

felt no more concern in Natural than in unnatural Selection . . ."

This was written after Darwin had "convulsed society"; but there are earlier significant esamples, showing how well-founded ideas had long been in the air, though Charles Darwin may not have known of them.

In the sohere of social history before Malthus gained

publicity for his views, there were others who saw how the struggle for existence was actually affecting populations. Halévy, in his History of the English People, refers to an obscure pamphlet on the Poor Laws, by a "Wellwisher to Mankind", written in 1786. The writer, the Rev. Mr. Townsend, blames the Poor Laws for preserving the weak at the expense of the strong, with all the implications of the working of Natural Selection. He takes the analogy of the populations of goats and greyhounds on the island of Juan Fernandez, mentioned by Dampier. The goats at first were in sole possession and reached a subsistence level in the face of some disease and the raids of Enulish Privateers. Then the Spaniards put a pair of greyhounds on the island to extirpate the goats and annoy the English. These greyhounds "increased in proportion to the quantity of food they met with." The goats diminished and retired to the rocks, and a new balance was set up; "the weakest of both species were amonest the first to pay the debt of nature, the most active and vigorous preserved their lives. It is the quantity of food which regulates the number of the human species . . . the weak must depend on the precarious bounty of the strong . . ."

But Darwin did admit in the passage from the Ausbegraphy (p. 1244), that "incommerble well-obserpted performed in the minds of naturalists ready to take their proper pile se as soon as any theory which would receive them was sufficiently explained." These words exactly if the case of a younget connemporary of Darwin's, to whom the joint paper by Wallace and Darwin's in the Likeway Jaseed 11 st 185 come as a reveloted in the Likeway Jaseed 11 st 185 come as a reveloted policy to that the stored well-observed facts fell into place.

Alfred Newton, Professor of comparative anatomy at Cambridge from 1866-1907, was one of the first naturalist to accept the evolutionary theory on its new basis of Natural Selection, as a welcome solution to the many problems of bird distribution, variation and adaptation, which had loss been puzzling him?

In 18;8 the had been with John Wolley in Iceland, and enforced idleness had led to freepens discussions on the old topies of species, their origins and limits. Years faster, in February 1886, he published an article in Mescallian's Magazine enrided? The Early Days of Dawishim, in which he describes the immediate and overwhelming diect on his midn produced by reading the joint paper by Wallace and Dawish. He writes:—"Not many days after my return home (from Kehad) there reached me the part of the Journal of the Linneau Society which bears on its over the date such Auseus, 1818, and con-

hears on its cover the date 20th August, 1818, and cor 'See Life of Alfred Newton, by A. F. R. Wollanco, John Mucrov, 1921.

CHARLES DARWIN AND HIS GRANDFATHER.

mins the papers of Mr. Darwin and Mr. Wallace. . . . I sat up late that night to read it; and never shall I forget the impression it made upon me. Herein was contained a perfectly simple solution of all the difficulties which had been troubling me for months past. I hardly knew whether I at first felt more vexed at the solution not having occurred to me than pleased that it had been found at all. However, after reading these papers more than once. I went to bed satisfied that a solution had been found. All personal feeling apart, it came to me like the direct revelation of a higher power; and I awoke next morning with the consciousness that there was an end of all the mystery in the simple phrase "Natural Selection ". I am free to confess that in my joy I did not then perceive, and I cannot say when I did begin to perceive, that though my especial puzzles were thus explained, dozens, scores, nay hundreds of other difficulties lay in the path."

To Charles Darwin it was the body of evidence supporting evolutionary theory that materced, and that he knew was lais own contribution. Neither hi grandhiter, not any of his contemporarie, assing only A. R. Waline, had looked both closely enough at the smallest detail, and broadly enough at the wast procession of organde form, no bing this authorisative evidence to best, without which he could admin no influence to his

The love of close observation of natural fact and his need for a theory to explain everything he saw, forms the closely woven rissue which constituted his genius. It is worth considering a certain change of emphasis in the warp and the west of his scientific thinking that takes place as the years pass. As a young man his suspicion of the specularive philosopher was unqualified; in later years he acknowledged a growing respect for speculation, if well followed up by observation and experiment. Sometimes he uses the words generalisation and speculation loosely, but generalisation towards the end of his life reaches respectability if backed by a sufficient body of factual evidence. This change followed the course of his intellectual development; his theorising instinct, never absent, was at first held on a tight rein, which was only slackened as his power of drawing inferences increased with the increase of his knowledge. Factseeking and theory often seem almost welded as one process in his mind; yet sometimes he discriminates clearly. Though the theory is worthless without the well-observed facts, the facts are useless without the frame of the theory to receive them. He agreed with Buffon's well-known advice to study the How of things. and not the Why; but he did not agree with another recommendation-" Ramassons des faits pour nous donner des idées " For Darwin came to believe that the value of fact-finding lies solely in relation to theory. This may seem a contradiction to his mistrust of speculation as a danger to scientific thought which I have insisted on: I believe that his development from the youthful pleasure in direct observation and collecting to

...

CHARLES DARWIN AND HIS GRANDFATHER.

the maturer satisfaction of the theorist, can largely account for the inconsistency.

I am giving quotetions from his letters at different periods of this life to establish this alteration of steets. A certain vacillation is also shown; it could not well be otherwise, since all scientific work requires both theory and fact-inding. Moreover his different correspondents needed varying advice. Nevertheless I think there is a general trend in his thought from the early fear of wild speculation towards a martie appreciation of theory on a factual tosis. This relates the quotasions to the upporance of the period of the period of the period of earlier evolutionists was really a repudiation of their premises and method of attack.

QUOTATIONS

Emma Darwin used to repeat this saying of her husband's:—"It is a fatal full to reason whilst observing, though so necessary beforehand and so useful afterwards." This piece of advice is worth recording as in some measure summing up Charles's views given in the following quotations:

In 1837, soon after his return from the Beogle voyage, he wrote amongst stray jotted notes, given in full in Note 4, p. 231:—"I have so much more pleasure in direct observation that I could not go on as Lyell does, correcting and adding up new information to old train

T.A.C.D. 150 L

APPENDIX

and I do not see what line can be followed by man tied down to London—I consure septeiment and observation on lower salmals.". In another place on the same page he writes:—"Systematize and study affinities," Thus in 1837 he recognized the stimulus that was to presist to the each of his lift from his delight in direct observation. But the row words." Wardy slimities. "Swort as a bedgenoud of theory was there, and that his mids was sliendy in travail with evolutionary problems.

was already in travail with evolutionary problems.

In 184, he woter to J. D. Hooker, (More Lettiers, Vol. I. p. 39). "I must be allowed to put my own interpretation on what you say of 'no be thing a good arranger of extended views'—which is that you do not induling not held to the loose speculations so easily started by every samarette and wandering collector. I look at a strong tendency to generalle as an entire evil."

generatine is an enturie evil. In 1830 he waste to C. H. L. Woodd on heat effects in geological stratification. (Alter Latine, Vol. II, p. 1551) geological stratification. (Alter Latine, Vol. II, p. 1551) and the strategies of t

In 1857 he wrote to Asa Gray, (More Letters, Vol. II.

160

CHARLES DARWIN AND HIS GRANDFATHER

p. 252.), who he thought was not indulging enough in generalisation. He began to emphasise the hardness of observation, by which I think is implied the difficulty for the theorises to keep the integrity of impartiality; the facts are of value in relation to the theory, and therefore prejudice is easy.

"Now I would say it is your duty to generalize as far syou safely ear foron your as yet completed work... As careful observation is far harder work that generalization, and still hader than speculation, do you not think it very possible that it may be overvioed? It ought never to be forgoten that the observer can generalize his own observations incomparably better than suyone liet. How many attronomers have balouted their whole lives on observations, and have not drawn a single continuity, it is the start of the continuity of the continuity of their start of the continuity of the c

In 186 in his letter to Henry Fawcert (Mor Lattra, Vol. 1, p. 193) be acknowledges that observation is itself a selective act. "How odd it is that aryone should not see that all observation must be for or signist some view if n is to be of any service!" Here he admits there must be a "view" praceding observation, that is, a theory or hypothesis which lends value to the fact-finding."

In 1865 he wrote to J. Scott (More Letters, Vol. II, p. 323): "I would suggest to you the advantage, at

161

peesent, of being very sparing in introducing theory in your papers (I formerly erred much in Geology in that way): let theory guide your observations, but till your reputation is well established, be sparing in publishing theory. It makes persons doubt your observations,

In 1870 he wrote to J. D. Hookee (Mere Lettrer, Vol. 1, p. 34a). "Your conclusion that all specialism about preordination is sille waste of time is the only wise one; but how difficult is in not to speculate! My theology is a simple muddle; I cannot look at the nuircere as the result of blind chance, yet I can set to universe as the result of blind chance, yet I can say any which, in the details."

He summarised his view of deductive writing in his comment on Herbert Spencer. "His deductive manner of freeding every subject is wholly opposed to my frame of mind... over and over again have I said to myself after reading one of his discussions—'Here would be a fine subject for half a dozen years' work!"

His son Francis wrote in Life and Latter (Vol. I, p. 149), on his fasher's attude to theory and observation towards the end of his life. After dwelling on his fasher's repeatedly saying that it was important to know when to give up an enquiry. Francis Darwin continues:—
"He often said that no one could be a good observer unless he was na etive theories." This brings me back to what I said about his instinct for arresting exceptions: I was as shough be were charged with theorising power ready to flow into any channel on the slightest disturbance, so that no fact, however small, could avoid releasing

CHARLES DARWIN AND HIS GRANDFATHER

a stream of theory, and thus the fact became magnified into importance. In this way it naturally happened that many untenable theories occurred to him; but formately his richness of imagination was equalled by his power of judging and condemning the thoughts that occurred to him.²⁸

Here Francis describes the essential richness of ideas and speculative power in his father, without which the fact-finding censor of the mind has nothing to work on a only then can the censor afford to discard unrenable hypotheses or ideas for a new speculative pattern. The "right" one is the one to fit the greatest number of

The last quotation in the chronological list of letters shows how Chales was all speculating on this intricate introlocking of the two processes towards the endof this life. I give the whole characteristic letter in the other life. I give the whole characteristic letter in the the passage occurs written to his youngest son. Honce on the occasion of his passing the Little Go at Cambridge at the age of 30 in 1871. Horace had not been billiam a school or university, and examinations were desired.

6 Q. Anne St.

Friday morning 8.30 a.m.
[Dec. x3 1871]

My Dear Horace,

We are so rejoiced, for we have just had a eard from that good George in Cambridge, saying that you are all right and safe through the accurated Little Go—1 are so glad, and now you can follow the bent of your talents and work as hard at Mathematicks and science, as your health will permit. I have been speculating last night what makes a man a discovered of undiscovered theory, and a most perplexing problem it is—Naisy man who are very circus—manh problem is in—Naisy man who consigning anything. As far at lean conjecture, the art recording to the control of the course or meaning of everypling which occurs. The implies sharp observation and gaquires at much knowledge as postible of the whiter timevillestated.

as possible of the subject investigated.

But why I write all this now, I hardly know,—except out
of the fullness of my heart; for I do rejoice heartly that

you have passed this Charybdis,— Your affectionate Father

C. Darwin

I have stressed the importance of Charles's changing views on speculation in his intellectual development, for it seems to me clear that he made use of his opposition to his father's and grandfather's mode of thought to vindicate his own independence. Robert's severe criticism of Charles as a young man could thus be claimed as an integral step in the story of his son's development; without the urgent need to claim independence, would Charles have wished to overcome Robert's opposition to the proposed Beagle voyage? Without that five-years' discipline, would Charles's genius have come to fruition? Conjectures can be endless; but to me no reference to Robert's tyranny, nor to the early death of Charles's mother, can solve the particular problems of this Appendix.1 The impact of contemporary ideas and opinions handed on * See Note 5 on Charles's all health, p. 449. The easers of Robert's rements

CHARLES DARWIN AND HIS GRANDFATHER

from the mature to the younger generation, will always be accompanied by unpredictable emotional reactions, often unrecognised, and perhaps all the more intense where there is no violent schism in a family tradition for an onen break-away.

Charles's devotion to his father Robert might have kept him in bondage longer than was the case. Though there was no publication on evolution until after his father's death, Charles was nevertheless working his way to freedom years earlier. A vindication of intellectual independence from his grandfather's scientific method and his father's dominating personality lay along the same path,-namely the scientific path of a search for factual evidence. Dr. Robert, though without the scientific mind, was given to speculation on every subject, like his own father Erasmus; so that in repudisting the way of thought of one ancestor. Charles was really rejecting both. It has been suggested that he dropped the profession of medicine as part of this rejection of the ancestral attitudes. Medicine may well have seemed to Charles too closely associated with a 'sneculative 1 mm of mind.

In conclusion I should like to stress again the similarity and dissimilarity between Charles and Erasmus Dawin; their interests and family radificos can parallel, yet there was a wide divergence in their basic characters and in their exactions to the contemporary scene. Charles Dawin had been brought up on the traditions and opinions of the early 19th century when the rationalism and utilitation controls of the 18th enemity will regimed.

APPENDIX

Dr. Erasmus Darwin had been a mouthpiece for that earlier period of enthusiasm when unknown animals and plants were reaching Europe through increased trade and travel, and Linnaeus was leading biologic nomenclature out of chaos. Throughout Europe a closer senting of living forms was put in motion; old scientific sign-posts were done away with, and Erasmus was one of the pioneers who installed a new one pointing to Evolution. Two generations later it was his grandson Charles's turn to express new ideas, built on new knowledge. It became his turn to correct old sign-posts, and his grandfather's was one of those he repainted. On the newer sign-post was again the word Evolution, but he added Natural Selection as a pointer how to get there. More significant than a direction to any final goal, was the clear guidance on how to read the map.

The Darwin-Butler Controversy

TODAY THE once notorious quarrel between Sanuel Butler and Charles Darwin is almost forgotten, and the short account in the complete version of the Autobiography,—printed here for the first time,—will only raise vague memories in the minds of most readers.

The story is a complex one, both in substance and

chonology, but after I had examined the wealth of material among the Darwin MSS. in the Cambbid University Library the whole incident appeared to me usual new light that I felt it must be rerold in all fin detail. In the old letters from this full dossier voices regarded the parks out up-holding. Darwin's cost against Butler and advining silence; whatever may be thought now of this advice, the voices of Charles's devoted friends and relations all declared Samuel Butler's states to be onjustified and brus.

Samuel Butler was twenty-six years younger than Charles Daewin, and as a young sheep-farmer in New Zealand he watched the battle waged against orthodoxy soon after the publication of the Origin of Specus with the enthusiasm of a proselyte. The letters to Darwin of this period, humble, sincere and filled with admiration,

APPENDIX

are also in the Cambridge dossicr, and form a revealing contrast to his later bitter indictments. The motival attraction and friendly correspondence soon began to cool; as Darwin's star rose for the scientific world, so did it sink in Butler's estimation. For Butler never really understood the full importance of Darwin's revolution in scientific thinking. Believing that Mind is the controller of evolutionary direction, he began to study the early evolutionists, Buffon, Dr. Emsmus Darwin and Lamarck, and the more he studied them, the more he liked them and disliked the younger upstage Darwin. Butler, in attempting to reinstate the older evolutionists, aligned himself with the 18th century, so that the quarrel becomes intimately bound up with Charles's judgment of his grandfather's views which I have atready discussed; the controversy between them is in fact another aspect of the change taking place in biological thinking towards the middle of the 19th century.

biological thinking towards the middle of the 19th century.

The chronology of certain publications in 1879 is of
importance in understanding the climax of Butler's in-

creasing antagonism.

On Charles Darwin's seventieth birthday in February. 1879, there was issued in Germany a congenitatory nomber of the German periodical Katasas (II, Jahrg, Hall, Ontaining as article by Dr. Il. Krause on Dr. Ilvasmus Darwin's contribution towards the history of the Descent-theory. In May, 1879, Budler published Eshalisto Old and New, or the Theorist of Biglon, Dr. Destum Darwin and Lenaurick compared with that of Mr. C. Darwin, without being water of Krause's article in

v69

THE BUTTER CONTROVERSE

Kannat. Mennwhile Krause was enlarging his easy for translation; it formed the second part of Chadel Darwin's Life of Entanua Darwin, published in November of the same year. While Krause had been engaged in this collaboration, Charles had sens him a copy of Bullet's work, and some of Krause's additional consistenof disparaging references to Butter's ideas. The main offending pattage rain—"Enzama Darwin's system was in intell'a most significant first step of the path was included to the control of the path of the path to revise it at the present day as has senally been attempted, shows a weakness of thought and a mental anarchonsten which no one can every.

Unforwardly Choles Darwin's Perfector to his LIfe of Letterane Derwin conjuted to wate that Kenzu's Original creap had been altered—exactly how this happened it see plained later.) Buttlet soon compared the supported correct translation with a copy of the original, and the differences led lim to conclude that the unschowledged alterations formed a covert strack against himstif: the public would think his views had been condemend, even before the publication of Endutus Old and Nar, and by an independent German scholar.

Charles apologised to Butler on realising his error of ommittion, but Butler's conviction that he was the victim of a plot stood firm. His intense emotional virulence—together with the advice of Darwin's relations and friends—finally sufficated Darwin's relations and friends—finally sufficated Darwin's relations

³ See p. 182, Festing Junes's Pumphlet, Proposed letter No. 1.

into silence, in spite of his original determination to give a succinct account of how his mistake had arisen.

What was really at stake in this storm in a tea-cup? The Victorian security, which seems so solid as we look back, is here seen rocking. Darwin and Butler both craved for approbation; Darwin, in his anxiety and distress at Butler's attacks, needed the approval of family and intimate friends to allow him to withdraw from the pain of controversy into his evolutionary stronghold,-won with no failure of courage in the face of opposition some twenty years earlier. He was sure of himself where scientific questions were at stake, but needed protection against human antagonisms. Butler had faced opposition all his life, and courted it as the aggressive do; but he too needed approval and his faithful friend, Miss Savage, was always ready to sanction his zevenge by playing on the theme of the villainy of the Darwin clique and their monstrous humbug. The intensity of Butler's feeling is expressed in his first letter to the Athenaum which will be given in full later, in which he says: " Ir is doubtless a common practice for writers to take an opportunity of revising their works, but it is not common when a covert condemnation of an opponent has been interpolated into a revised edition, the revision of which has been concealed, to declare with every circumstance of distinctness that the condemnation was written prior to the book which might appear to have called it forth. and thus lead readers to suppose that it must be an unbiased opinion."

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSE

Readers of the 1887 version of the Autobiography will find no reference to the quarrel in any words of Charles's. It is significant that Francis Darwin omitted all mention by his father of the quarrel when he was editing the Autobiography in Life and Letters in 1887, for it was Francis who had urged that a public explanation should be made at the time of Butler's bitterest attacks. Perhaps the family censorship that had exercised discretion over the religious passages was again at work; perhaps family feelings were still too raw in 1887 for the question to be opened up afresh. Francis makes a reference to the incident in Vol. III of Life and Letters, p. 220, where he says: "The publication of the 'Life of Erasmus Darwin' led to an attack by Mr. Samuel Burler, which amounted to a charge of faisehood against my father. After consulting his friends, he came to the determination to leave the charge unanswered as being unworthy of his notice. . . . The affair gave my father much pain, but the wann sympathy of those whose opinion he respected soon helped him to let it pass into a well-merited oblivion 5

The letters in the Cambridge University Library are concerned with the notice Darwin should not take of Samuel Butler's assaults, including the judgments of T. H. Butsley and Lealis Setphen, which have not been published before. Darwin wrote at the very outset: "I have resolved to send one [a reply] as I can say something in defence of my negligence." It is the story of how he yielded to his advisers that I give will be the property of the pr

APPENDIX

in his persecution mania, so that his anger exploded in a vacuum. Charles Darwin was prevailed on not assured that the artists against his own first instinct for answer the attacks against his own first instinct for reasons that turned minly on a saving his digality. But the precise of the turned minly on a saving his digality has been do him he had been dead to have a substance of the saving his digality has been dead to have been dead to

Henry Festing Jones, Butler's biographer and friend, brought out a Pamphlet in 1911, now out of print, entitled Charles Darwin and Samuel Butler, A Step toward Reconciliation. Francis Darwin had helped to bring about this reconciliation by telling what he knew, and producing documents that Festing Jones had not seen. Neither had Francis Darwin seen Butler's Preface to the and edition of Evolution Old and New, written in April 1882, on hearing of the death of Charles Darwin. In it Butler's enmity and sense of injury are subdued in the common sorrow; Festing Jones read it to Francis Darwin when they met in 1910 to discuss the Pamphlet. Had this Preface, with its reasonable tone, come to Francis Darwin's notice, the last twenty years of Butler's fend with Darwin must have run another course. But Butler died in 1902, with this tragic understanding still unresolved. Francis Darwin always regretted that he had not gone to him and had their differences out face to face in the early days of the quarrel.

I felt it necessary to incorporate the Pamphlet, though

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSY

this involves me in a certain repetition of the extrative, but the care in defence of Butler, written by his biographer and friend, cannot be omitted by anyone deeply interested in this network of presonal Victorian history. The new material from Cambridge revealed more than appeared in the Primphlet alone, and I have therefore made the whole story accessible by adding the new unpublished letters at the end of the Pamphlet on blor, making their chromological position by footnotes.

The exchange of letters to and from Down, some of which were sent to London by road in the Down carriage, with John the coschman waiting to bring back the answers, shows how serious was the flutter in the Darwin Dove-cot. The family thyme:—

"Write a letter, write a letter,

Good advice will make us better," could not have been more explicitly obeyed.

In the following reprint of the Pamphlet (pp. 174-198), my additions such as supplementary footnotes, see in aquare brackets. The new unpublished letters A to L follow, pp. 202-216; and in conclusion, a brief summary is given.

PAMPHLET BY HENRY FESTING JONES

CHARLES DARWIN AND SAMUEL BUTLER

+) - m - a + chi i a co + d c

Their sile has not found have been fill and their United States from Dennities Steem, and Further Case and here one for the per party probability and Chester Course. and the religion of pro-facilities. The a time fraces often more state. a principal special hashes the filter period admit the scale or was in Classes 19 of Committee Street, There were had prompts for many of Burliot II hashed by Now Street, had be and a Charles Advers to be well-done agreement to be part to be entired with their fact, the o And professional and income the mine place become We France Development and in for one is not a fact to be a second to the community transplanters. (Claim Practical) arise for person formers and or local person principles. the street of the second of the state of the second and the second by the part of There are not be fall in people if it is a filter of between the Barton, the recommend of the section of the process for the process of the party of the making and the first the first and the first the and the same property of actors I in a sixter advances. 12 -- The control from basics all Phoba's specified at their the state of the s 174

he is good enough to express himself as grateful for the manner in which I have accepted and utilised the material supplied by him. And I am grateful to him for having made it possible for me to clear up an unfortunate misunderstanding.

The friendship between the families of Darwin and Bublet begin many reast ago. Causies Darwio's faither, Robert, was the iesting doctor in Silvenshury when Butlet's grandituber, Dr. Butlet, was beadmaster of Silvenshury School. Claudes Darwin and Butlet's father, Canona Butlet, were schoolfellows at Shrewbury, under De. Butlet, and undergraduates together at Cambridge. They speet the summer of 1828 together on zending party at Burnouch, and Grane Butlet and Classies Darwin, 'He insevableten' en with a sature for Bussny which Darwin, 'He insevableten' en with a sature for Bussny which Darwin, 'The insevableten' en with a sature for Bussny which Darwin, 'The insevableten' en with a sature for Bussny which Darwin, 'The insevableten' en with a sature for Bussny which have been applied to the saturation of the satur

The Origin of Spatia represents in 1819 and Builer and the book in New Zeshand. "I became one of Mr. Darwin's away embusiastic admirers, and wrote a philorophic dialogued the most effective lorar, except poetry and books of the discovery of the spatial poetries. The contractive long the proposal unknown constation, that even illentumes are into supposed unknown constation, that even in 18th Parise, Canterbown, in 1865 or 18th, but I have long lost the only copy I ever and "Ultramassian Memory, Chapter I, p. 17). In 1817, when Butler published Erzedow, which is into own book of reveil into exploit of supplies which the meant by "The worst to Charles Develo in exploit whith the meant by "The the cities should have thought I was laughing at your theory, a they which I never meant to do, and should be shocked at

having done."

Soon after this he paid two visits to Mr. Darwin at Down, and thus became acquainted with all the family. Mr. Francis I' Reprinted in R. A. Stranfield's A Four Year on Contring Interest, 1949.

Reprinted in R. A. Streamfeld's A First Year in Contributy Jethinson, 1921
 135.

APPENDING.

Durwin and Butler saw a great deal of one another from this time until 1877-8, when Butler published Life and I labit, While he was writing this book Mr. Francis Darwin called upon him, and spoke of Hering's theory, which refers all life to memory. "He came September 26th, 1877 " (University) Massary, Chapter II). In Life and Habit (December, 1877) is became to appear that Butler was dissatisfied with much in Charles Darwin's writings, but there was as set no open breach between him and the Darwins.

In February, 1879, a German scientific journal called Kacasa published an article he Dr. Krause about the Life and In May, 1879, Butler, who had not then heard of the

Works of Dr. Erusmus Darwin.

article, published Espitation, Old and New, or The Topolis of Ballon, Dr. Eruinna Darwir and Lamarch as compored with that of Mr. Charles Darwin. One of the objects of this book way to show that the idea of descent with modification did not principate with Charles Darwin; and another was to restore mind to the universe, for Butler thought that the tendency of Charles Darwin's writings was to give too much prominence to occident at the expense of design in his theory of evalution.

Mr. Darwin seet a conv of Butler's book to Dr. Krause because it was about Erismus Darwin, and he know that Dr. Krause was revising his article for translation into English, but he hoped he would " not expend much powder and shot on Mr. Butler, for he really is not worthy of it. His work is

merely ephemeral."

Dr. Krause went on revising his article, and in November, 1870. Mr. Murray published Erromes Darwin, by Firmt Krume. translated from the German by W. S. Dullas, with a prolindmary matice by Churchy Darwin. It appears from the preface that Dr. Krause's part of this book consists of his sketch of Erasmus Darwin, which had appeared in Koosia, and of which be laid allowed Charles Darwin and his brother Erasmus to

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSY: PAMPHLET

have a translation made. On this there is a footnote as follows:—

Mr. Dallas has undertaken the translation, and his scientific reputation, together with his knowledge of German, is a guarance for its accuracy.

The preface goes on to say that Charles Darwin, having private materials for adding to the knowledge of Erasmus Darwin's character, had written a preliminary notice. Particulars are given, two books (Miss Seward's Life of De. Darwin and Dr. Dowton's Laties on Evansua Darwin) are mentioned, and at the end of the preface is this second footnote:—

Since the publication of Dr. Krause's article Mr. Butler's work Esolution Old and New, 1879, has appeared, and this includes an account of Dr. Darwin's life, compiled from the two books just mentioned, and of his views on evolution.

Butler read Examsu Derwis in English and, knowing nothing of the revision, was puzzled. He sent to Germany for the Kanses of February, 1879, and was more puzzled. He wrow for the Manuscopies of the Manus

Samuel Batler to Charles Darwin

Dear Sir, January 2nd, 1880

Will you kindly refer me to the edition of Kermer which contains the text of Dr. Krause's article on Dr. Erasmus Darwin, as translated by Mr. W. S. Dallas?

I have before me the last February number of Kosmos, which appears by your preface to be the one from which

APPENDIN

Mr. Dallas has translated, but his translation contains long and important passages which are not in the February number of Karmer, while many passages in the original are omitted in the translation.

Among the passages introduced are the last six pages of the English article, which seem to couplem by anxietyation the position I have taken as regards Prasmus Darwin in only book Essakine Old and New, and which I believe I was the history first to take. The concluding, and therefore, perhaps, most tenfrist to take. The concluding, and therefore, perhaps, most of the public seands thus:

"Essamp Darwin's system was in itself a most significant."

first step in the path of knowledge his grandson has opened up for us, but to wish to revive it at the present day, as has actually been seriously attempted, shows a weakness of thought and a mental anachronism which no one can envy."

The Keamer which has been sent me from Germany contains no such passage.

contains no such passage.

A you have stated incompensate you fixed that only book, Desiduel.

A you have stated incompensate you Dix Room's article,
and as no institution is given that the article hast been
altered and deled to since its original appearance, while
the accuracy of the translation, as though from the Pebruary
commber of Komes et al., as you expressly say, gueranteed by
knowledge of German," your readers will institutely suppose
also all the still have been been Desiders Old and Now was written,
and therefore before Desiders Old and Now was written,
and therefore beginned for the one demonstriely without

I do not doubt that this was actually the case, but have failed to obtain the edition which contains the passage above referred to, and several others which appear in the translation.

I have a personal interest in this matter, and venture,

THE BUTLER CONTROVERST: PAMPHLET

therefore, to ask for the explanation, which I do not doubt you will readily give me.—Yours faithfully, S. BUTLER

Charles Durwin to Samuel Butler

January 3rd, 1880

My dear Sir. Dr. Krause, soon after the appearance of his article in Konner, told me that he intended to publish it separately and to alter it considerably, and the altered MS, was sent to Mr. Dallas for translation. This is so common a practice that it never occurred to me to state that the article had been modified; but now I much regret that I did not do so. The original will soon appear in German, and I believe will be a much larger book than the English one; for, with Dr. Krause's consent, many long extracts from Miss Seward were omitted (as well as much other matter) from being in my opinion superfluous for the English reader. I believe that the omitted parts will appear as notes in the German edition. Should there be a reprint of the English Life, I will state that the original as it appeared in Koomer was modified by Dr. Krause before it was translated. I may add that I had obtained Dr. Krause's consent for a translation, and had arranged with Mr. Dallas before your book was announced. I remember this because Ms Dallas wrote to tell me of the advertisement.-I remain, Yours faithfully, C. DARWIN.

Butler was not satisfied with this reply, and wrote to the Althomore, \$111 January, 1880. His letter recapitulares some of the faces which have just been set forth, but since something curso on the rone of it, I give it in full, with apologies for the repetition. I have, however, onitted the posteript, which comments on reviews of Figurest Design and of Evilen-

Yes

APPENDIX

tion Old and New and, for our present purpose, does not materially add to the letter.

S. Bather to the Editor of the Athenness

EVOLUTION OLD AND NEW I beg leave to lay before you the following facts :-

On February 22, 1876, my book Endarian Old and New was annusered. It was published May 5, 1879. It contained a comparison of the theory of evolution as propounded by Dr. Erasmus Darwin with time of his grace son, Mr. Charles Derwin, the profesence being decidedly given to the earlier writer. It also contained other matter which I could not omit, but which I am afrud may have given some offence to Mr. Darwin and his friends.

In November, 1879, Mr. Charles Darwin's Life of Erranon Davido appeared. It is to the line which Mr. Darwin has taken in connexion with this volume that I with to call attention

Ms. Darwin states in his preface that he is giving to the public a translation of an arricle by Dr. Knooe, which appeared " in the February number of a well-known German scientific journal, Kausse," then just entered on its second year. He adds in a note that the translator's "scientific reputation, together with his knowledge of German, is a guarantee for its accumey." This is equivalent, I imagine, to guaranteelog the accuracy himself.

In a second note, upon the following page, he says that my work Erelation Old and New " las, appeared since the publication of Dr. Krause's article." He that distinctly precludes his readers from supposing that any passage they may meet with could have been written by the light of, or with reference to, my book.

On reading the English translation I found in it one point which appeared to have been taken from Evolution Old

180

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSY: PAMPILLET

and New, and another which clearly and indisputably was so I also found more than one paragraph, but especially the last-and perions most prominent in the bank as making the impression it was most desired the reader should carry away with him-which it was bird to believe was not written at myself ; but I found no acknowledgment of what seemed taken from Evolution Old and New, nor any

express reference to it. In the face of the English translation itself, it was ineredfole that the writer had written without my work before him; in the face of the preface it was no less incredible that Mr. Darwin should have distinctly told his readers that he was giving them one article, when he must have perfectly well known that he was giving them another and year different one.

I therefore sent for the February number of Kerwar and compared the original with what purported to be the translation. I found many passages of the German omitted, and many in the English article were wholly wanting in the German. Among these latter were the passages I lead concrived to be taken from one and the ones which were more adverse to me.

Dr. Krause's article begins on p. 117 of Mr. Darwin's book. There is new matter on pp. 132, 144, 144, 145, 146, 117, 248, 119, while almost the whole of pp. 147-112. inclusive, and all the last six pages are not to be found in the

supposed original.

I then wrote to Mr. Darwin, purting the facts before himas they appeared to myself, and asking for an explanation; I received answer that Dr. Krouse's article had been altered since publication, and that the altered MS, had been sent for translation. "This is so common a practice," writes Mr. Darwin, with that "happy simplicity" of which the Pail Mail Greath (December 12th, 1870) declares him " to be a master." that it never occurred to me to state that the

erticle had been modified; but now I much regret that I did not do su!" Mr. Darwin further says that, should there be a reprint of the English life of Dr. Darwin, he will state that the original as it appeared in Ramer was modified Dr. Krause. He does not, however, either deep or should that the modification of the article was made by the flight of and with a view no, my book.

and it doubtes a common precise for writers to take a proportion of pressing their works, but it is not common operations of pressing their works, but it is not common when a covert condemnation of an opponent has been interpolated into a revised edition, the revision of which has been concealed, to declare with every circumstance of distinctances that the condemnation was written prior to the book which might appear or have called it forth, and thus all an easiers to expose that it must be an unbiassed opinion.

On reading this letter in the Athennum, Charles Darwin looked up his papers and found that when he wrote to Buttler, yet January, he had forgone no moredning. His instinct was to write to the Athennum, and explain what had happened, but his intention was not carried into effect. He prepared two letters, the drofts of which are among the papers sent me by Mr. E. Darwin 1.

PROPOSED LETTER NO. 1

Charles Darwin to the Editor of the Athenaum.

Down, Reckenbam, January 24th 80
Siz,—Mr. Bother in his letter in your list number seems to think me guilty of intentional duplicity in not having stated in the preface to my notice of the life of Erasmas Darwin, that Dr. Krause had considerably altered the article in Karmsy before he sent it to Mr. Dallas for translation. I'm private letter to Mr. Bullet I said that it was no common

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSY: PAMPRILET

a practice for an author to alter an article before its republication, that it never occurred to me to state that this had been done in the present case. Afterwards a fun escollection crossed my mind that I had written something on the subject, and I looked at the first proof received from Mestrs. Clowes and found in it the following passage, here coming versating.

To the Compositor: Be so good as to insert inverted commas to the whole of this extract :--

"Dr. Krause has taken great paint, and has added largely to his easy as it oppeared in Kenne; and my preliminary notice, beving been written before I had seen the additions, unfortunately contains much repetition of what Dr. Krause has said. In fact, the present volume contains two distinct biographies, of which I have no contains two distinct biographies, of which I have no almost wholly to him to treat of what Dr. Davalo has discussed as discusse, more especially in ergand to evolution."

The proof sheet was sent to Dr. Krause, with a letter in which I said that on further reflection is seemed to me absurd to publish two accounts of the life of the same man in the same volume: and that as my Notice was drawn up chiefly from unpublished documents, it appeared to me best that my account alone of the life should appear in England, with his account of the scientific works of Brasmis Darwin, but that he could, of course, publish the extracts from Miss Seward, erc., in the German edition. Dr. Krause, with the liberality and kindness which has characterised all his conduct towards me, agreed instantly to my suggestion; but added that he thought it better that the text of the German edition should correspond with the English one, and that he would add the extracts, etc., in a supplement or in footnotes. He then expressly asked me to strike out the passage above quoted, which I did: and having done so, it did not recur on me to shib, at I multi to have does, that the vertical past of Dr. Kennule's stilled lab been much restricted past of Dr. Kennule's stilled lab been much extended past of Dr. Kennule's stilled lab was done does not be beginning outsired, and many towards the past of the beginning outsired, and many towards the past of the beginning outsired, and many towards the past of the past

ment or Mr. Dutters has to book.

He is missible in supposing that I was offended by this book, for I looked only at the part about the life of Elemans Darwin; I did not even look at the part about evolution; for I had found in his former work that I could not make this views harmoniae with what I knew. I way, indeed, told that this part contained some bitter searches a spainer me, but this degeneration me will be more not to ord;

As Mr. Butter evidently does not believe my deliberate assertion that the ornistion of any statement that Dr. Krause had altered his article before sending; if for translation, was unintentional or accidental 1 think that 1 shall be justified in declining to answer any forure strack which Mr. Butter may make on me.—Sit, Your obedient servant.

CHARLES DARWIN

The sentree "He is mitaken...net to read it." in mitaken, a two per mitaken is a two per objector to a club en is not rei the vining mitaken is a two per objector to a club en in sent rei the vining mitaken in the per objector of by all Mr. Downight entire in the per objector of the period letter in the result and period letter in the period letter in the read and planary. 1810. Busin's letter oversing it did not appear a few mitaken in the period letter in the read of the read of

THE SETTING CONTRIVERSY, PAMPHET

till 9:st January. It is possible it may have been ready for and erowded out of the preceding number of the Athonasus (2xth January), and that Dawnie ind seen it in proof, but this seems unlikely. Nothing, however, turns upon the point. The foregoing letter being "disapproved by everyone" the draft of a second was prepared:—

PROPOSED LEVYER NO. II

Charles Dorwin to the Editor of the Athenaum

Deart, Beckenham, Kent, February 1st, 1880 EVOLUTION OLD AND NEW

bit,-In recard to the letter from Mr. Butler which appeared in your columns last week under the above heading. I wish to make that the amission of any mention of the alterations made by Dr. Krause in his article before it was remublished had no minimized whatever with Mr. Butler. I find in the first proofs received from Messrs. Clowes the words . " Dr. Krause had added largely to his entry as it appeared in Known." These words were afterwards accidentally omitted, and when I wrote privately to Mr. Butler I had foreotten that they had ever been written. If could explain distinctly how the accident arose, but the explanation does not seem to me worth giving). This omission, as I have already said, I much regret. It is a more illusion on the part of Mr. Butler to suppose that it could make any difference to me whether or not the public knew that Dr. Krause's article had been added to or altered before being translated. The additions were made quite independently of any suggestion or wish on my part.

1 There has been B, C, and D from R. B. Uschfield and Henriette

*Bendund to original by C. D.

TRY

(As Mr. Budes evidently does not believe my deliberate assertion that the above consiston was unintentional, I must decline any further discussion with him).

Sir, Your obedient servant, CHARLES DARWIN

This letter did not meet with the approval of all the Ostwin family, and it was decided that it should be submitted to Protessor Huxley for his opinion.²

Courles Durwis In T. H. Husley

Donn, Beckenbert, Kent, February 2nd, 1880 My dear Huxley,-I am going to ask you to [do] me a great kindness. Mr. Butlee has attacked me hitterly, in fact, secusing me of lying, dophcite, and God knows what, because I unintentionally omitted to state that Keruse had enlarged his Koossy article before sending it for engalation. I have written the enclosed letter [Proposed ferter No. II] to the Atlantas, but Litchfield [Mr. Darwin's son-in-law] is strongly opposed to my making any answer, and I enclose his letter, if you can find time to read it. Of the other members of my family, some are for and some against answering. I should rather like to show that I had intended to state that Krause had enlarged his article. On the other band a elever and orgeronalous man like Mr. Botler woold be supe to twest whatever I may say against one; and the longer the contraverse lasts the more deseading it is to me. If my letter is printed, both the Litchfields want me to omit the two sentences now marked by pencil brackets, but I see

no reason for the omission.

Now will you do us the lasting kindness to read carefully
the attack and my answer, and as I have unbounded confidence in your judgment whatever you advise that I will
do: whether you advise use to make no snewer or to send

Tucknow is consisted by C.D.

Buskinol is outlook by C. D.
See Lenery E. F. IS, H. pp. 208, 209, 210, from R. B. Luchheld and C. Davuni.

THE BUTLER CONTROVERST: PAMPHLET

the enclosed letter as it stands, or to strike out the sentences between the benches 2....

Ever yours sincerely, Charles Darwin

P.S.—Since writing the above I have received another terr from Litchied with a splended language intert from Buller, showing how the probably would taxeety my shower. He tells me that he too the Adhonase to Mr. Pollodel, and asked him (withous giving any bins of his own opinion), whether Buller's atteck ought to be snaweed, and he sold of 'No''. Bul I wait in anxiety for your anower as this selfderick way.

The two sentruces marked by penell brackets are "I could caphin . . worth giving," and "Az Mr. Buder . . with him ". Professor Hudge's orbiton was that the letter should not be sent; be thought that a note in a fature edition of Eranson Down would meet the case. Letter No. II was accomingly relected,"

It appears from the papers tent me by Mr. P. Darwin that something else weighted with Charles Darwin and his advisors besides Professor Hardey's opinion, namely, that Butter letter to the Aftenneum was "so ungentlementalise as not to descree an answer," as to which the reader has the material for forming his own opinion.

Charles Darwin to T. H. Fluxley

Dawn, Berkenbare, Kont, Feb. 4 [1880]. My diese Husley,—Oh Lord what a relief your letter has been to me. I feel like a man condemned to be hung who has just got a reprieve. I saw in the Inture no end of trouble, but I feered that I was bound in honoour to answer. If you were here I would show you exacely how the oritistion.

1 | See Harley's merce, Lenze 1, p 210.]

ABBUSER

score.... You have indeed done me a lasting kindness.

—Yours affectionately, Ch. Daxwin.

The affair has approved and pained me to a silly extent:

The stilist has annoyed and pained me to a silly extent, but it would be disagreeable to suppose to be publicly called in facts a lars. He seems to hint that I interpolated sentences in Krause's Mo, but he could havily have really thought so. Util quite recently he expressed great friendship for my hooks, and all a he hel learnt all he knew about voolution from my books, and I have no idea what has made him so bitter agringt me. You have done then a great kindenly.

Mr. Francis Darwin and some of his brothers disagreed with Huxley, and thought that their father ought to write. It is, of course, idle to say so now, but I wish Darwin had followed his son's advice and nevlected that of Huxley. Burler would not have had to strain any point to accept his statement that he had written the words, and that they had been struck out icadvertently. He would not, and could not have twisted it against him, though he might have had something to say about his not believing the "deliberate assertion that the omission of any statement that Dr. Krause had altered his article before sending it for translation was unintentional pe socidental," because he found no such " deliberate assertion " in Darwin's letter to him of and January, 1880. What he found there was an assertion that to alter an article on republication is so common a practice that it never occurred to Darwin to mention it. He took this to mean that Darwin had done what he did on purpose. He would have had to know more than he was rold in the letter of and Jamuary, 1880. before he could have understood in what way the words " unintentional " and " socidental " could be properly applied to what had happened. We can now see that the inadvertence consisted in Darwin's not noticing that he was striking out of his preface more than he intended. So long as the words stating that Dr. Krause had altered his article between February

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSY: PAMPHLET

and November were three, all was well; the first footnote guaranteed the sectioncy of the translation of the weighted artiful, and the second footnote explained how it had been possible for Dr. Krouse to make the medifications with final in the book before him. But when the worst were error, the untroovers method from the time messing of both Mr. Dillast now referred to the demodified artiful, and partial produced in Krouwer; and the second none, that Embelson Golden Non-had propertied state Europe, continued this unfortable by a restriction of the second none, that Embelson Golden Non-had approved state Europe, continued this unfortable by the remarked article of the continued the second none, that Embelson Golden Non-had approved state Europe, continued this unfortable place in the method article could possible have got them to consequence of Europe the Continued Continue

In 1880 Butler published Uncourious Memory, wherein he rold the story over again, and very fully. The reader may perhaps ask: Why should be do so? What could it marrer to him? How was he damnified by what had been done? And it may be conceded that if he had tossed a writ claiming damages and Darwin had paid into Coun one shifting, no hery would have awarded him more. But Butler was not thinking of shillings or pounds. He shows in Chapter IV of Unvocation Memory that the personal damage be considered himself to have sustained consisted in Darwin's having made it appear that if anything condemnators of Evalution Old and New was to be found in Dr. Krause's translated article, "it was an undesigned coincidence and would show how little worthy I must be to consideration when my opinions were refuted in advance by one who could have no bias in regard to them." Later in the chapter, he writes as follows :-

By Iar the most important notice of Flouiting Old and Neawas that taken by Mr. Darwin immelf; for I can hardly be mistaken in believing that Dr. Krause's article would have been allowed to repose unaltered in the pages of the well-

ADDEDUDED

known German scientific journal, Kasmss, unless something had happened to make Mr. Darwin feel that his redeence concerning his grandfather must now be ended....

This (Darwin's letter of and January, 1880) was not a letter I could accept. If Mr. Darwin had said that by some inadvertence, which he was unable to excuse or account for, a blunder had been made which he would at once correct so far as was in his power by a letter to the Times or the Athennya, and that a porice of the erratum should be printed on a fly-leaf and pasted into all unvold copies of the Life of Erazmu Darwin, there would have been no more heard of the matter from me; but when Mr. Darwin maintained that it was a common practice to take advantage of an opportunity of revising a work to interpolate a covert artack upon an opponent, and at the same time to misdate the interpolated matter by expressly stating that it appeared months sooner than it actually did, and prior to the work which it stracked; when he maintained that what was being done was "so common a practice that it never occurred" to him-the writer of some twenty volumesto do what all literary men must know to be inexorably requisite, I thought this was going far beyond what was permissible in honourable warfare, and that it was time, in the interests of literary and scientific morality, even more than in my own, to appeal to public opinion.

In developing this subject Butler uses the personal quarter is no occasion for referring to Chateb Darwin's tensiment of Buffon, Reamon Darwin, Lamanck, and the author of The Verigits of Craims, in order to show that his indignation was sensued on behalf of these writers, "to all of whom," he considered, "Ms. Darwin had dealt he same measure which considered, "Ms. Darwin had dealt he same measure which this, less it about he chought that Butler was complising effectly, but no are more would be on onle a question that is

fully discussed from Butler's point of view in Evolution Old and New, and to neglect the personal quarrel with which slone we are now concerned.

When Unconscious Memory was published, the question arose as to what was to be done with regard to Butler's repetition of his accusation, and again there was disagreement among the members of the Darwin family. Mr. Francis Darwin and some of his brothers wished "that a fly-sheet should be inserted in the unsold copies of the Life of Erasmus Darwin, stating as an ereaturn on p. r. to lines from top, that Krause's article in Kozmer was altered and enlarged before it was sent to Mr. Dallas for translation." The other members of the family did not agree, and Unconsisus Memory was sent to Mr. (afterwards Sir) Leslie Stephen for his opinion. He advised that nothing should be done, and this advice was adonted.3

On the 19th April, 1882, Charles Darwin died. Butler was at the time bringing out a new edition of Evolution Old and New, with an Appendix consisting of two chapters of which the first was about the reviews of the first edition, and contained this sentence :-

The way in which Mr. Charles Darwin met Evolution Old and New has been so fully deals with in my book Uncousciesa Memory; in the Athenaum, Jan. 31, 1880; the St. James's Gazette, Dec. 8, 1880; and Nature, Feb. 1, 1881, that I need not return to it here, more especially as Mr. Darwin has, by his silence, admitted that he has no defence to make.

This is the preface to the second edition of Esolution Old and New, it is dated 21st April, 1882 :-

Since the proof sheets of the Appendix to this book left my hands, finally corrected, and too late for me to be able to re-cast the first of the two chapters that compose it,

[Letturs] & K pp. 222, 213, from H. Lischfield to Leslie Stephen and his zeply.]

APPENDIX

I hear, with the most profound regret, of the death of Mr., Charles Darwin.

It being still possible for me to refer to this event in a preface. I hasten to say how much it grates upon me to appear to renew my attack upon Mr. Darwin under present

are the magnitude in each of my three books on Evolution you the immensity of the service which Mr. Darwin gradered to that transcradently important theory. If my flash of time, if the question he select, "Who traught people to believe in Revolution?" This is true; and it is hard to see what palm of higher praise can be able to the property of the true of the property of the prop

obligations to Mr. Dievinis' works; and it was with the greatest relutation, not to say repostance, that I became one of his opponents. I have pravien of his hospitality, and have had to much experience to the charming simplicity of his manner not to be smoog the readers to at one shaints and ray; it. It is unfortunately one that the consolium of the contraction of the charming simlation annotions to be defined; but it does not contract to the consolium of the charming of the contraction of the behind to the first than no mar can be todage in his own case, and that, after all, Mr. Darwin may have been eight and I wrong.

and I wrong.

At the present moment, let me impress this latter alternative upon my mind as far as possible, and dwell only upon
that side of Mr. Darwin's work and character about which
there is no difference of opinion among either his admirers
or his outponents.¹

Butler ought perhaps to have sent a copy of this book to Mr. Francis Darwin. He did not do so, I suppose, because be

Prancis Darwin. He did not do so, I suppose, because

1 (See better from S. Bailer as a young man, pp. 108, 100, 200.)

THE BUTTER CONTROVERS: FRANCISCO

shrank from intruding upon him with his own affairs at such a moment; and no doubt he also trusted to its coming to his notice in the ordinary course. But Mr. Francis Durwin did not see the book, and knew nothing about this preface till I read it to him in November, 1910.

At the end of 1887 Mr. Francis Darwin published The Life and Latters of Charles Darwin. It contains this passage, III, 1.10 ---

The publication of the Life of Erasmus Darwin led to an attack by Mr. Samuel Butler, which amounted to a charge of falschood against my father. After consulting his friends, he carse so the desermination so leave the charge unanswered, as being unworthy of his notice. (Fastnets by Mr. F. Darwin: He had, in a letter to Mr. Butler, expressed his regret at the oversight which caused so much offence.) Those who wint to home more of the matter, may eather the facts of the case from Ernst Krause's Charles Davies, and they will find Mr. Butler's statement of his eviewance in the Athennum, lanuary 41, 1880, and in the St. James's Gazette, December #, 1980. The affect gave my father much pain, but the warm sympathy of these where opinion his propagated soon helped him to let it mass turn a wellmerical oblivion.

On this, Butler wrote to the Allenaum, 26th November, 1887, reiterating his accusation and complaining that Charles Darwin had raken no step towards a public correction of his

About the same time Mr. Francis Darwin published a new edition of Erasmat Darwin, and fulfilled his father's promise to Butler by adding to the preface a third footnote:-

Mr. Darwin accidentally omitted to mention that Dr. Krause revised, and made certain alterations to, his Essay before it was translated. Among these additions

is an allusion to Mr. Butler's book Exslution Old and New.

Butler saw that this third footnote changed the sense which the other two footnotes had borne when they stood alone in the preface to the first edition, and wrote to the Academy, 17th December, 1887: "Mr. Francis Darwin has now stultified his father's preface." In so writing he did not know, and he had no means of knowing, that Mr. Francis Darwin's third footnote had restored to the preface the meaning which Charles Darwin had originally intended it to bear.

Butler noted several public allusions to Life and Habit by Mr. Francis Darwin. Here are two of such allusions. At the Cardiff Meeting of the British Association in 1891, Mr. E. Darwin read a paner, published in the Annals of Bosons (VI. 1802), On the Artificial Production of Rivethm in Plants, by Francis Darwin and Dorothea F. M. Pertz, in which the following sentence occurs: "This repeating power may be that fundamental property of living matter which stretches from inheritance on one side to memory on the other feet Mr. Samuel Butler's Life and Habit)."

In 1901 Mr. F. Darwin delivered a lecture at the Glasgow Meeting of the British Association On the Movements of Plants. The report in Nature, 14th November, 1801, contains this sentence : " If we take the wide view of memory which has been set forth by Mr. S. Butler (Life and Habit, 1878) and by Professor Hering, we shall be forced to believe that plants, like all other living things, have a kind of memory."

Buder died on the 18th June, 1902.1

In 1908, when President of the British Association, in his Inaugural Address at Dublin, Mr. Francis Darwin paid Butler the posthumous honour of quoting from his translation. of Hering's lecture On Memory which is in Unconvious Memory, and of mentioning Butler as having independently arrived at

Harrier L., p. avi from Prancis Darwin to Henrietta Liuchfield).

a theory similar to Flering's. (See the report in Nature, 3rd September, 1908.)

It is partly because of these public allusions to Life and

Habit, by Mr. F. Darwin, that Butler is now more considered than he was formerly, and that it is being understood at last how serious a purpose underlies his humour.

In May, 1916, Mr. Streatfield, as Butler's Birrary security, published a new cition of Unevantual Ameny with an introduction by Professor Marcon 14x100, as unamariting Butler's professor Marcon 14x100, as unamariting Butler's professor Intervent a Bornause moment for this repelar to appear, first, because of Mr. Francis Darwin's Presidential Address; secondly, because many sheets of the coliginal edition of the book had been destroyed in a fire at Bullaryne's effect to Heinige's ardiers would be unable to obstain Butler's translation of it; and, thirdly, because of the changed views of scintific men in regard to blodgy, and what is called

" Darwinism." In June, 1916, Mr. Francis Darwin put himself into communication with me, and sent me the letters as I have said above. If he had sent them before, instead of after the new edition of Unconscious Memory was published. Mr. Streatfeild would have included the substance of these pages as a note or addendum to that book, for it is there that rhese facts ought to be recorded. In the course of our correspondence I asked Mr. F. Darwin whether he consented to my making public the fact that he and some of his brothers disapproved of the advice given by Huxley and Leslie Stephen; at the same time I inquired whether he had had any other special reason for sending me the papers. He gave his consent, and added : "I had hoped that the general impression of the papers sent you would have led you to suspect that Burlet was mistaken, but I do not mean to complain if this is not in any degree the case."

ATTENDIT

T underroad him to mean, minister in syrprosing that, Drawin that underrised may look therman Drawin themistry for years throwing of or with reference to Dashbiro (16t and Nose, Eren in 1475-16t, when the events were preceded), I had superior that the strength of the st

The case then stood that: Butler's accusation was in three counts:--

 That Charles Darwin andertook Iscarnas Durwin because of or with reference to Evolution Old and New;
 That his preface contained an error;

(5) That he made a mistake in the line he took when the

error was pointed out to litter.

Mr. F. Darwin admitted (y) by saying that he disapproved
of the way to which the matter was recard). I gave up (t) by
admitting that Butler must have been mistaken; and we
aerred about (s).

Having near-bed this point, Mr. F. Darwin swrite in a subsequent better of "These offern appetent that when the quarted begon 1 tild not go to Built and have it out river to "I allow in the "The terminal on the "I allow in the "I allow in

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSY: PAMPHLET

conversation to Butler, and he derived the impression that Mr. F. Darwie regressed the incident, and would be glad to sarrive at a reconciliation. But remembering his preferce to the second edition of Euclaira Od. and New, and sessioning that Mr. F. Darwin has seen it, he felt that it was Impossible for him to racke any further zone, and though he would have welcomed any public moves from the other side, sone was medicated only the proposed. This makes the properties of making and ordinary happened. This near 19. Darwin in the splitt in which Butler himself would have traved it if it hall been offered to but which.

Darwis and Busher cannot now meet and siljust their differences; necessbelless, unknown to themselves the between the freeness; necessbelless, unknown to themselves they have met and parred and meet again in the consequence that has taken place between Mr. Ernich Darwiss and snyled! I reset may be given to the representatives of dead men one of them. All the time their has been ranning for my head the sonner about immortality which Busher work in 1898, for I know that, though he was thinking of immortality in a broad sense, he had not forgotten his dispute with Chalest Dorwin, whose peptil for heb bean, and whom he does held

Μέλλουτα τούτα

Not on said Syppins above, over in clear where Of for Elyman plant, shall we meet three Annong the dead whose populs we have been, Nor choose great studies whome we have held as fose; Nor meadow of sathpodel our feet shall treed, Nor shall we look each other in the face. To love or bare eith orther, being dead, Hoping some praits, or feating some disgrace.

APPRICATION.

We shall not argue, saying " "Twas thus " or " Thus,"
Our argument's whole drift we shall forget;
Who's right, who's wring, 'will be all one to us;
We shall not even know that we have not.
Yet meet we shall and part and meet again

Where dead men meet, on lips of living men.

[Here ends Festing Jones's Pampblet]

The letters which follow from the Cambridge University Library, fill in gap in the sleep's complicated value from the Pamphlet. Page cross-reference will show where they should be inserted chromologically. I will begin, however, by quoting three letters from Samuel Burler written before the quarterly! when he was the part of the

15 Glifford's Inn. Oct 1st 1865

Dear Sir,

..... My study is art, and anything else I may indulge in is only by-play ; . . .

I always delighted in your origin of species as soon as I saw it out in New Zealand,—nor as knowing snything whissoever of natural history, but it enters into so many deeply interesting questions, or rather it suggests so many that it thoroughly fascinated me.

Six years later Butler published *Erewhon* and some critics believed that it formed an attack on the *Origin of Species;* hence the disclaimer in this letter.

* Proceed in Henry Feeling Joses's Some Bully , 4 Masser, Macmillan & Co., 1914, Vol. L. p. 144, 156 and 184.

198

THE BUTLER CONTROVERST

11 Clifford's Ism, May 11 1872

Dear Sir.

I venture upon the liberty of writing to you about a portion of the little book Ersules which I have lately published and which I am afraid has been a good deal misunderstood. I refer to the chapter on Machines in which I have developed and worked out the obviously shourd theory that they are about to supplant the human race and be developed into a higher kind of life.

When I first yot hold of the idea I developed it for mere fun, and because it amused me and I thought it would amuse others, but without a particle of serious meaning : but I developed it and introduced (it) into Eressian with the intention of implying " See how easy it is to be plausible, and what absurd propositions can be defended by a little ingenuity and distortion and departure from strictly scientific methods," and I had Butler's Analogy in my head as the book at which it should be aimed, but preferred to conceal my sim for many reasons. Firstly the book was already as heavily weighted with heterodoxy as it would bear, and I dare not give another half ounce lest it should break the camel's back: secondly it would have interfered with the plausibility of the argument, and I looked to this plausibility as a valuable aid to the general acceptation of the book; thirdly it is more amusing without any sort of explanation. and I thought the drier part that had gone before wanted a little relieving : also the more enigmatic a thing of this sort is, the more people think for themselves about it, on the principle that advertisers ask "Where is Eliza?" and "Who's Griffiths?" I therefore thought it unnecessary to give any disclaimer of an intention of being disrespectful to the Origin of Steeler a book for which I can never be sufficiently grateful, though I am well aware how utterly

incupable I am of forming any opinion no a scientific

subject which is worth a moment's consideration.

However, you have a position which nothing can whate and I know very will that may appearance of silicale would do your theories no harm whatever, and that they could do your theories no harm whatever, and that they could the conference of the co

I am Sir, Yours respectfully,

S. Throwwo

Even in 1873 friendly intercourse still continued, The Fair Hames had been published, and Darwin had written an appreciative letter. Butler's mother had died, and her death coinciding with the publication of The Fair Hames with its anti-religious implications, gave Butler an acute sense of cruit.

Clifford's Inn. 15/4/75

Dear Mr. Darwin,

Your very kind lettee concerning The Fair Hawn was forwarded to me at Mentone from which place I returned on Sunday motning early. You will doubtless have seen the cause of my journey in The Times obtituary list. Had I known how ill my poor mother was I could not

Had I known how II my poor mother was I could not have brought out or even written my book at tuch a time, but her recovery was confidently expected till within a formight of the eight, and it was not until I accould series of Mentine that I know her long side mass lines been till and explicing. I must com that I feel that there is notifiable openitarly immediate the force of my book's specialistic control of the control o

THE BUYLER CONTROVERSY

Of course it remains to be seen what the verdict of the poble will be but I am greatly encouraged by the letters received from yourself and Mr. Stephen. . . I shall try a novel pure and simple with little "purpore" next, but it remains to be seen whether I can do at. I would any chat I have no "purpore" in my noved at all, but I am tall in the flesh and however much the splits may be willing. I can the the cloves hoof will show itself over

Again thanking you very sincerely for all the kindness you have shown me, with kind regards to Mrs. Darwin, Yours very truly

S. Butler

The following sequence of letters form the unpublished background of doubt and indecision caused by the events described in the Festing Jones Pamphler, pp. 167-198. Charles Darwin sent the draft of Proposed letter

No. 1—his first suggested answer to S. Butler—with the following covering Letter A to his daughter. Mrs. Latchfield. The family at Down seem to have wavered about an answer. On January 26 1886, Elman (Mrs. Darwin) wrote to her son George who was abroad =-

"F[father] is a good deal bothered by S. Butler's artack which is expected in the Athensum. He wrote a note to P [father] saying he was going to lay "all the facts" before the public. We are all amxious that he should take no notice of it."

A second terror states

Letter A, see p. 182

Charles Darwin to Henrietta Litchfield

Feb. 1, 1880. Down, Beckenbarn, Kent

My dear Henrietts,

Will you and Linchfield read article in Atlenaeus and my answer.—I have readved to send one, so I can say something in defence of my negligence.—I with my letter to appear in next number and I should like to see proof, so if you do not object to anything greatly please post it on Monday addressed to Editor of Atlenaeus with my note he Editor; and extrum the Atlenaeus to me.

If you or Lichhield object very strongly to saything, please genum my letter here that I may past it on Tuesday. The Adhensem is published on Friday evening. There is no ensentence of which Frank suggested the insertion; but an doubtful and so is your Mother—I is on separate oppoper, and if innerestal please groun is in by cutting p. 3 in even pieces before the last paragraph, beginning with words "AA M. Butter widenty does not believe see".

It is very disagreeable being accused of duplicity and faistenood.

All here approve of letter.

All faces approve to leaten.

Since the above was written I have by advice of Frank and
Leonard re-written my letter and shortened it. I hope that
you and Lifechfield may approve of it. If you do not
I cannot promise to follow your advice, but it shall be well

I am sure that neither of you will grudge the bother of considering the case.—Mr. Butler's letter is very artful: he throughout makes it appear as if I had written Dr. Krause's nest.

Yours affectionately, C. DARWIN

20

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSY

There follow the answers of R. B. Litchfield, Darwin's son-in-law and Henrietta, Darwin's daughter, disapproving of draft answer No. 1.

4. Bryanston St., Portman Sq., W. Feb. 1 [1880]

Dear Mr. Darwin. Henrietta asks me to write my ideas on the Butier letter

and your proposed answer.

When I read Butler's statement at the Club vesterday I was much relieved to find it was of a kind which, as I thought, made any answer absolutely unnecessary. Neither in form, nor in substance, is it such as to suggest that a reply is expected. You will discern that it does not, in common with newspaper attacks, ask for any further information or explanation, or touch any point of fact on which either the assailant, or a reader, could require such. In short, I never was clearer about anything than that, if it were my case, I should say nothing.

I tried, a second time, to read the statement, as if I were an outsider who knew nothing of the quarrel, and felt

entirely sure this is the right conclusion.

Not one reader in a thousand will make head or tail of the grievance. It's all muddled up with complaints against divers reviewers.-This alone practically neutralizes any effect it might have had otherwise. Then if an attentive reader deer care to look back and see what the complaint was he will also see (though in a brief form) your substantial reply; and this is, on the face of lt, sufficient for the purpose. All the rest of B's insinuations read to an outsider as merely the annoyance and venom of a man out of temper and hitting wildly about him.

ATTRACTOR

If you answer him you bring about resetly the result he most wants, who is to fill people's heads with the continuity block is in some way a reply or trajinder to his in fact you make it a "Darwin-Butter affaire" as the French well say—and this is what will delight like.

As it rands there is oothing wh any friend of yors or any shrobately indifferent person of want explained or answered, and the new of Butter is of itself quite enough to deprive him of any shadow of delite to an answer wh a fordl or taneaux correspondent might have.

What I am trying to convey in this letter is that I have thought the thing over as a cold totalder, and that it is in this character that I am against replying to B.

Lagrer lowever wholly with all that H. says is to ye draft.

reply. "Is affec R. B. L.

4. Bryonites St., Perterny Sq., W. Pek. t. 1880. Dear Mr. Darwin.

Since sending off our last probet. I bethough myself and this to said time I plantfer (and meet how Banjele) elemer struck him as regards for med off an answer, and so I were in and shewort the Alleier to Struck him as regards for med off an answer, and so I went in and shewort the Alleier to Probleck in this struck. As an astronomal literary-man and man of the world I awarded observed here he wed agree we me.—But of course I did not the him avoiding before he read the airtick. I merely said when you have read I I know to make y opinion correct any survey? I has reply was does then fir y opinion need any survey? I has reply was the her we will be provided in the here something of B—but he was afterned in the survey of the two surveys of the two surveys of the s

question from me personally).

He had himself written the Pall Mall Article as it hap-

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSE

pened—and also an art in Sat. Rev. on Butler's Book. B, be tells, me, is known to be getting up a grand raply to all his critics and he is making a point of getting their names. He wrote to the Saty w this cancy but the Saty put him off with a formal refusal. All wh helps to shew char he is a virtulent Salamander of a man who will fight to the end, and as P, said, his greatest joy will be to get into a public disease we a man of eminence

P., however, the aware of his character, was by no means prejudiced agest his bks the thinks them nonsense but very clever nonsense) and his opinion on the question of a reply was I have no doubt a dry opinion. I have since looked with a critical eye at yr draft and I am only confirmed in my impression, for I do not find that it, in substance, contains anything wh is not already in the sentence quoted by B. from yr note. But while to the substance of your explanation it adds nothing it gives B the most admirable chance for another nasty letter inasmuch as it gives him my fasts. As present he knows, and need know, nothing of the more mechanical detail of the accident wh caused the omission. These you in fact give him. As he is now he cases say anything more I he has made the worst of all he knows. But to a wretched unscrupulous word-fencer as he is we letter opens material for a wholly new attack, and if the Athen likes to out it in, he can easily make it appear that there's something very suspicious and mysterious in ve proceedings.

Given only that a man [rhat] is a blackguard and there is no end to the stuff he mightn't write on such a therea. Por illustration's sake I have put down a few sentences, as they came into my head, such as he might string

together.

The fact is that such a story as that of the alterations of the proofs in this case, remot be made satisfactory unless it is told in full; and of course to tell it in full well be deliculous.

APPENDIX

The main topic is itself a merely microscopic point, and to go into the business wd be too intolerable.

But over and above all special considerations is the one

But over and above all special considerations is the one that a reply in such a case is measurily an apologetic process, and that you have nothing to apologist for.

I daresay much of this repeats Henrietta. In what she has read to me I wholly agree.

R. B. LITCHFIELD

Sketch of imaginary reply of Butler's. By R. B. Litebfield

Sir, When I wrote etc. last week I thought I knew all that was likely to be known abt Mr. Darwin's extraordinary treatment of my book, but his letter to you makes some most remarkable additions to the strange story. Mr. D. had told me that it " never occurred to him" to state etc. Never occurred to him!! When now it seems that it not only occurred to him, but that he did state etc. Stared it in a printed preface, and afterwards, in some mysterious way, this statement disappeared from the proof! Perhaps Mr. D. will complete the story etc. etc. Sentences do not vanish our of a printed page by accident only, etc. etc. He goes on to tell us that "it is an illusion to suppose it cd make any diffice" etc. etc. It might have been an illusion due to my ignorance but the details kindly given by Mr. D. now show it to be a fact that it did make a difference. If no differ why was the sentence expunsed? If the excision was an accident it is of course needless for Mr. D. to tell us that it had nothing to do with Mr. Butler,-Accidents do not usually need to be thus explained, etc. etc. Nor is a great Naturalist the man we shd think likely wholly to forcet t the act of cancelling his own deliberate statements.

Then Mr. D. tells us that the addns were made independently etc. Strange that an author of distinction shd be so delightfully pliable in the hands of somebody else. Who

200

this somebody else might be, whether Dr. K. or etc. exwe are not rold. And lashy Mr. D. to folly ge on to say that I do not believe his delib assertion exc. I have not to my knowledge adopted this averence estimate of Mr. D.'s versaity, but corrately if Mr. D. waxed to create the incredulty who is no polle as to attribute to me the best mean of achieving that exhalt we be to supply me with most of the contract of the same of the same of the same of the same of Sty Lax.

Henrictta Litchfield to Charles Darwin

My dear Father.

R. was very late coming in so that we had rather a hurried consultation over the letter and I did not thank you for caring to consult us—which I do most heartly whatever you do with our arlvice. You will see by my first letter which was written before I got yours how sure I felt that you will not think of answering Burler.

I foresee one result of your letter that Butler will say you have been guilty of another quibble.--first you say to him that it never occurred to you to state that Krause had altered his article and then that you actually had it in the proof sheets and as you say accidentally omitted to publish it. Now Butler will say which of these two statements are true-and so it gives him scope for a whole set of fresh insults,-and with his clever pen he can make something very disagreeable out of this. The world will only know or at any rate remember that you and Butler had a controversy in which he will have the last word. If they understand it at all they'll see that its nothing whatever against you, but if they merely know that there have been letters backwards and forwards they may think there is some ground for Butler's accusation agest, you of jealousy of your grandfather. T.A.C.D.

If you leave the letter alone the facts are all there for those who care to read them, and it remains that Burler said some nasty spiteful things which you didn't care to answer. So Goodbye, dear Pather-you get enough advice from us in quantity.

Your most affec, H. E. L.

The Litchfields' approval was again solicited on the question of submitting the question of a reply to T. H. Huxley.

Latter E. ste b. 186

R. B. Litchfield to Charles Darwin 4 Feb. 1880 4 Bryanston St., Portman Su., W.

Dear Mr. Dacwin.

I think Huxley's judgent will be a safe one on the question of replyg to Butler-unless it be perhaps that he is himself

horribly pugnacious and wd naturally be for fighting I still cannot frame to myself any answer wh wd be of the slightest use, or logically sound, except it confine itself to a mere reiterson of what you've already told B. If such a realy seems any good it might be in such form as I have put

down on back of this, I do think it of the most supreme importance not to allude to B's pretending to think you untrustworthy-and that any reply shel be absolutely without feeling.

Always yrs affly, R. B. L.

Letter F. see h. 186 On back of same theet is Litchfield's suggested reply

Sir. I have read the statement by Mr. S. Butler wh appeared in yr columns of Saty last under the above heading, 208

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSY

as to uny having omitted to mention, in the preface to the labely issued translate of Dr. Karusse's easy on Entermas Durwin, that his raper had been somewhat altered before being thus republished. As Mr. Budler quotes my letter so limin in wh I informed him that this omnision was socieciental, and that if and he corrected in cust of the little cheek, and that it had be corrected in cust of the little than the control of the little control of the little controller, and the controller is the controller of the little trackets.

Letter G, set p. 186

Emma's comments to her son George, still abroad
(In private passession)

Down, Monday, Feb. 2, 1880 My dear George.

. . . We have been greatly excited by Butler's attack, w. appeared in the last Ath F, [ather] wrote an answer to it and sent it up by John [the coachman] to shew it to R[ichard] and Hen[rietta]. John brought back a most sensible letter from R. giving all the reasons against taking any notice of it. Riichard)'s letter is most excellent and makes me astonished that so sensible a man can talk such nonsense as he does sometimes. . . , It is an odious spiteful letter [Butler's to the Athensem] but so tedious and confused in its accusations, that no indifft person will have patience to master it. As Flatherl had a stisfac, reply to make I was in favour of his sending it; but I have changed my opinion and P[ather] is going to send his reply and R[ichard]'s letter to Huxley and shide by his opinion. Certainly nothing w. please Buder so m. as an answer from Flather] to which he cd. make a rejoinder and set up a Buder-Darwin controversy. Flatherl was much bothered at first but will now cust it off his mind.

APPENDIX

Letter H, see p. 186

Charles Darwin's rethy to R. B. Litchfield

Feb. 2nd, 1880 [Wrongly dated] Down, Beckenham, Kent

zeading so much. I hope to God Huxley will say No. We do not agree about the a sentences to be cut out, if my sourwer is to be printed.

You have both been very very kind to me. The affair has pained me to a silly extent.

Yours affectionately, CH. DARWIN

Letter I

Written on South Kensinston Science and Art note-batter

T. H. Hucley to C. Darwin, in answer to the question should Darwin answer the attack of Samuel Butler's in the Albranum, See p. 187 Festing loses Pambhist.

Feb. 3, 1880

My dear Darwin,

I read Butler's letter and your draft—and Litchfield's
letter—last night; slept over them, and after lecturing
about Dog-fish and Chimaera (subjects which have a distinct

210

THE SETLED CONTROVERS

appropriates to Bulley I have end them again—And I are whost the lear healthing, have your draft and the no notice wherever of Mr. Butter until the care edition of your book cones out—who the briefest possible one explanatory of the circumstances—will be all that is necessary. Litchfield optitherenter to be called the piddicas; as Hocker was Q don't mean Sic Joe but the Divinely; to may make make on an executive but in the care and a many make makes can be search that that that are quit."

Tam arounded at Buller, who I shought was a centlement though his tast book appeared to me to be supremely foolish. Has Mivart bitten him and given him Darwinophobia? Its a horeld disease and I would kill any

Francia running loose with it without mercy. But don't you worry with these things. Recollect what old Goethe said about his Butlers and Mivarts:

"Hat doch der Wallfisch seine Laus Muss auch die Meine haben."

We are as jolly as people can be who have been living in the dark for a week and I hope you are all florishing. Ever yours, T. H. H.

Butler's attacks were repeated with renewed vigour when he published *Unionations Memory* later in 1880, and the peace of the Darwin family was again disturbed. Early in 1881 outside advice was once more sought.

Letter | 105 p. 191

Henrietta Litchfield to Leslis Stephen.

Jan. 1881 2 Bryanston St., Portman Place

Dear Mr. Stephen.

We have been having a great family talk and at last have come to such a hopeless division of opinion that my Father has commissioned me to write and ask you whether you wil, be so very kind as to consider the following question and give him your judgment as to what he had better do . . .

The question is as to the advisability or necessity of his meeting in any way Butler's allegations that he has made a false statement in his preface to the Life of Eratmus Darwin which Butler considers does him great injury. . . .

The only point which some of us think my Father shd. meet is the alleged implication in the preface to the Life of Eras. Darwin that Kranse's original article in Kosmus was not altered or added to before translation. . . .

Two or three of my brothers much wish that a fly leaf

should be inserted in the unsold copies of the Life of Erganus D. stating as an erratum on p. 1 to lines from too that Krause's article in Kosmos was altered and enlarged before it was sent to Mr Dallas for translation. My husband and I are very strong on the other hand that

nothing whatever should be done.

My brother Leonard will be the Devil's Advocate and will send you what he has to say. . . .

Henrietta Litchfield then states in three more pages her own and her husband's views.

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSE Letter K, see p. 191

Leslie Stephen to Charles Darwin

13 Hyde Park Gate South, S.W.

I return the books by post. My dear Mr. Darwin.

12.1.80 [Should be 81]

I hope that you will not object to my saying by way of give me ptide and pleasure if I could be of any service to you. I one (like many more distinguished men ho great a debt or your writings that I should be glud to make the most trifling return: and I have (lef any say so) that personal respect for you which every one must feel who

When you tell me that it pains you to be milled a list in your old age, I can quie underranad it. To be any ou called a list in a list makes me wish to give tomebody such a slap in the access the world linest cause meanages. But allows a list in the cause meanages. The last subsection that you and your friends are bound also to remember your than store of the cause o

My opinion about the matter is perfectly distinct and unbestrained. I think that you should take no further notice of Mr. Butler whatever.

Perhaps it would be wiser to say nothing more: but I give you my reasons on another sheet, wh. you can read or put in the fire as you please.

This book shall be put in the most honourable place in my library. When I have a chance of seeing you, I shall ask you to when my name as there are one on two little Stephens who may someday be pleased of any token of

your esteem for their paps.
Yours very truly, L. STEPHEN

ATTENUES

Leslie Stephen's reasons added on other sheets.

I think that Mr. Darwin should take no further notice of

Mr. Buder. My reasons are as follows. Butlet has deprived himself of any claim to personal consideration by his want of common courtesy. Any injury done to him should of course be redressed. But he must not be taken as a budge of what constitutes are injury. Had he kept within the bounds of courtesy, it might have been proper to consider his fancies as well as his arguments, As he has exceeded those bounds so greatly, the only question is whether any wrong is being done to him. Now, in my opinion, there is no real injury whatever. If the inaccuracy in the preface injures anyone, it injures Mr. Darwin: for it takes no notice of the revision (and presumable improvement) of Krause's article. Every statement bearing upon Butler would remain absolutely unaffected whether it were or were not noticed in the preface. When I reprint articles from reviews, I revise them as a matter of course and without thinking myself bound to give any notice of the fact. The publication of Mr. Darwin's letter and the primise to introduce a change in future editions in in my opinion, amply sufficient for any purpose. But in any case, Butler is not injured. He only comes in for a reference, not promised in the preface. This is, I think, the plainer from Butler's own chapter. He does not really even allege any injury to himself. The true nature of his complaint is clear. He says himself (p. 70) that Mr. Darwin did not think him worth notice and did not venture to artack him openly. This is the whole point and substance of his argument. The obvious much in that his vanity has been wounded. When he saw the book advertized, he expected a formal reply. He found only the allusion at the end of Krause's article, and the reference to the book in the preface. When he discovered the inaccuracy, he imme-

THE BUILDS CONTROVERS.

diarely assumed that these must be malice. There was a plot to injure him by underhand methods. How else could anybody fall to give a serious reply to no terrible an antagonist?

This can his shall be a supported by the state of the sta

The whole question, therefore, to my mind comes to this: whether it is worth while for Mr. Dazwie to do anything more than he has done in order to avoid this possible misconstruction 3 I say no, first because Mr. Darwin has done quite enough already and has given ample publicity to the facts. Secondly, because the misconstruction is so absurd that nobody could fall into it, unless he were blinded by wounded vanity. It is not conceivable that Mr Darwin wished to sink the fact of Builer having attacked him, for he mentions Butler's book : not that he thought him worth a serious answer, for he only publishes Knause's contemptuous reference; and the slip of the pen upon wh, this absurd theory rests is acknowledged in a letter published in the Moleston, and in Basica's two banks. I recove think, therefore, that the correction is necessary in Mr. Darwin's interest, nor is it called for he justice to Burlerand to make for more fair about such at unintestimal detail would look like a consciousness of some appreciable injustice. Lealie Stephen

The following letter was written in 1904 when Mrs Litchfield was preparing her Emms Darwin for the press. The inclusion of some account of the Samuel Butler misunderstanding was evidently under discussion, and

APPENDIX

though Frank had all along advised coming into the open with a fuller explanation than was given at the time, ar this date—twenty-four years after the original (ift—he is recommending reticence. Probably he considered that the letters suggested for inclusion would not in themselves give a für view of the whole incident.

Latter L., see page 194

Francis Darwin to Floorietta Litableld his sister

Jan. 13, '04
Dear Hen.

... I should say the Budler row might be left on with great silvenings. I left it all out of Mon Linters—There was a sort of truce between Budler and our sile, and now he is dead; and after all 1 now thich be had some cause of complaint though he entirely lost he had some cause of complaint though he entirely lost he had and behaved shortnithly. Huddley's letter is good and lequit understand your liking to publish it. But I still think I would lawe it out. . . I am sincerus use that V. Hervice consulted me about referring to Budler in his Life Jr. 11.11, and that I saked ham not on. It or it would havinly be to print I'll. If X'll.

I see there is no mention of Butler in Huxley's Life which I have now looked st. . . .

No mention of the quarrel is made in Emma Dorwin.

So in the end Francis Darwin, Charles's biographer, thought Butler had a real cause of complaint. The above documents which passed to and fro amongst the Generals of the Darwin camp, must help posterily to judge the protagonists, remote from the field of battle. The

THE BUTLER CONTROVERSY

natmoil of indecision behind the scenes, and the anxiety to do the proper thing, give a picture in these letters an age gone by; in Darwin's quiet Headquarters at Down, there was time for irresolution and the writing of letters; whilst the rallying of relations and driends to give him unanimous support reflects his endearing gentle onalities.

Butler foresaw in the last lines of his Sonnet, that the ghost of his quarrel with Darwin would not soon be hild. For even on the " lips of living men " these two Victorian figures cannot be wholly reconciled. Both were rebels against contemporary opinion; Butler stands as the perpetual revolutionary, who only turned against Darwin after Darwin had become the acknowledged prophet. Darwin was rebelling against current biological concepts and delivered Man into the evolurionary machine; he rejected all casy speculators as ephemeral, and to him Butler and his theories remained ephemeral. Indeed in Life and Fiabit Burler had gone to perverse and deliberate lengths to define his anti-scientific position. He wrote :- "I know nothing about science, and it is well that there should be no mistake on this head: I neither know, nor want to know, more detail than is necessary to enable me to give a fairly broad and comprehensive view of my subject."

Butler's satirical genius lashed the shams and hypocristes of his time. His writings on quasi-scientific themes as well as his philosophy on the art of living, were based on his inward experience, in revolt against

A TOTAL PLANTS

fact-finding materialism. In Natural Selection and its dependence on chance variation for its effectiveness though Darwin himself vacillated on this point as Burler very well knew,-Butler saw a complete surrender to a mechanical world, with Man as the supreme machine, and all effect of Mind and its striving roled out as a guiding force. He formed his theory of Mind and Memory in the speculative manner of the previous century, following and extending the ideas of Lamarck and Dr. Frasmus Darwin, with acknowledged indebredness to his own contemporary, Dr. Hering, Butler paraded the old theories in a new guise, and took on the role of the maltreated, posthumous "enfant terrible" of the Physico-theologians of the 18th century. Butler's intervention into the scientific fold with this hybrid of science and philosophy could not be tolerated by the new biological school of Darwin and Huxley.

There is a close coancesion between this quarter land Darwin's two dischainers that I have discussed earlier; firstly his rejection of his grandfarber's influence on his own views, and secondly his half-bearred denial that ideas of evolution were "in the siz". But it was the force of Charles Darwin's singlify; and single-minded scinniffle purpose that binds these three saftiant together; he sejected his grandfarber's influence because he rejected Rasanus Darwin's speculative method; he denied that evolutionary ideas were repeating, because denied; and he found Butler's writing of no importance because Butler seamied denie; and he found Butler's writing of no importance because Butler seamied did not look to the facts. For

THE BUTLES CONTROVERST

Charles Darwin had become the conscious exponents of evolutionary through its a row form, and waterdar in his last years the beginnings of its application to wider fields of knowledge. Old feets had no be ex-estimated to charlest and their evolutionary sense; Davuja, hisaself, and the control of the control of the control of the understook his bounded work to look for the new meaning in bounded work to look for the new meaning in bounded work to look for the new meaning in bounded work to look for the new meaning in bounded work to look for the new meaning in bounded work to look for the new meaning in bounded without the content discipline of factual detail, was the unwitable contentiant of his vertorific faith.

REFERENCES TO OTHER WORKS

Memoir of Samuel Butler, in two volumes. By Henry Festing Jones. Macmillan & Co., 1919. The quarrel is deale with at great length, and contains the substance of the 1917 pomphlet, here reprinted.

Charles Darwin, the Fragmentary Man, by Geoffrey West.
Routledge & Co., 1937.
Samuel Batter, a mid-Victorian Modern, by C. G. Stillman.

Martin Secker, 1932.

Both contain good short summaries of the quarrel.

The Parmet Atheirs, a Study of Saumel Butler, by Malcolm

Muggeridge. Eyre and Spottiswoode, 1936.

Life and Letters of Charler Darwin, in three volumes, by Francis
Darwin. John Murray, 1887. Hes only the briefest
mention of the quarted in Vol. III, p. 220, and there is no
rection of Samuel Butter in Most Letters.

Samuel Butler states his case in the following books, besides his letters to the Athenaum and Nature. Life and Habits, 1877. Evolution Old and New, 1879. Unconstions Manore, 1880. Luck or Coming? 1884-0.

21



NOTES

NOTE ONE

Letter from Dr. Erasmus Darwin to his son Robert, Charles's father

THE FOLLOWING unpublished letter from Dr. Erasmus Darwin to his son Robert, Charles's father, throws light on the blunt, direct character of Erasmus and gives his views on some medical questions and on alcoholism and its transmission. I include it here as it shows on what terms the father and son were: the letter is an answer to what must have been an enquiry from Robert into the facts about his own mother's death and about his grandfather, Mr. Howard. In 1792, when this letter was written, Erasmus was sixty-one, the first Mrs. Darwin, Robert's mother, had been dead twenty-two years and Brasmus had re-married. Robert was twentysix, and four years later he married Susannah Wedgwood. Charles's mother, so it is probable that his letter of enquiry had some eugenic intention, as his father clearly saw.

Derby Jan 5 [17 92]

Dear Rubert

I do not remember your having before asked me the questions about Mr. Howard and your mother; which

I am sure I would openly sithout any scenyle have assured. The law fix Howard was never to my knowledge in the lesser instance, he was a deminard both in public and private—and when he wear to London he became connected with a woman and lived a debat/uplichd life in respect od rhith, horse he land always the Gout of which he did but without any the data symptom of differ instantly or clustering the definition of the desired with the definition of the desired with the desired wi

In respect to your mother, the following is the true history, which I shall neither aggravate nor diminish anything. Her mind was truly amiable and her person handsome, which you may perhaps in some measure re-

She was seized with pain on the left side about the lower edge of the liver, this pian was followed in about an hour by violent convolsions, and these sometimes relieved by great dozes of optium, and some view, which induced in-toxication. At other times a temporary diffirm, or with your night be termed intensity, acree on for half an hour, to the service of the service of the protocytes was committed. This disease is called hysteria by some people. It liability is allied to cellerly.

This kind of disease had several returns in the course of 4 or 6 years and she then took to dishking spirits and water to relieve the pain, and I found (when it was too late) that she had done this in great grountly, the liver become swelled, and the gradually sunk, a few days before her death, she bled at the mouth, and whenever she had a scratch, as some hepatic patients do.

All the drunken diseases are hereditary in some degree, and I believe epilepsy and insanity are produced originally by drinking. I have seen epilepsy produced so very often —one soher generation cures these dr[unkards] frequently, which one drunkers one has created.

224

PRAISES DARWIN TO HIS SON.

I now know many families, who had insanity in one side, and the children now old people have no symptom of it. If it was otherwise, there would not be a family in the kingdom without chilpfule goary or intens people in it.

I well remember when your mother fainted away in these hysteric fits (which she often did) that she told me, you, who was not then a or 2½ years old, run into the kitchen to call the maid-servant to her assistance.

I have told everything just as I recollect it, as I think it

a matter of no consequence to yourself or your brother, who both live temperate lives, keeping betwist all extreams. I have lately taken to drink two glasses of home-made wine with water at my dinner, instead of water alone, as I found myself growing weak about two mooths ago; but

am recovered and only now feel the approaches of old age.

I shall not mention your letter to Brasmus, you may always depend on secrecy when you require it.

My next book will come out in May. Adleu

From your affectionate farber

E. Darwin

NOTE TWO

How Dr. Robert's objections to the Voyage

AT THE beginning of his Beagle Journal Charles Darwin wrote an account of how the Wedgwoods—and especially his Uncle Josiah—turned the balance in favour of his acceptance of the position of Naturalist offered by Captain Fitz-Roy.

"I had been wandering about North Wales on a geological tour with Professor Sedgwick when I arrived home on Monday 29th of August. My sisters first informed me of the letters from Prof. Henslow and Mr. Peacock offering to me the place in the Beack which I now fill. I immediately said I would go: but the next morning, finding my Father so much averse to the whole plan, I wrote to Mr. Peacock to refuse his offer. On the last day of August I went to Maer, where everything soon here a different appearance. I found every member of the family so strongly on my side, that I determined to make another effort. In the evening I drew up a list of my Father's objections, to which Uncle Jos wrote his opinion and answer. This we sent off to Shrewsbury early the next morning and I went out shooting. About to o'clock Uncle Jos sent me a message to say he in-

OBJECTIONS TO THE VOTAGE

tended going to Shrewsbury and offering to take me with him. When we arrived there, all things were settled, and my Father most kindly gave his consent."

Here follow the letters sent back from Macr to Shrewsbury for Dr. Robert's consideration.

(Matr) August 31st, 1851

My dear Father.

I am afraid I am going to make you again very uncomfortable—but upon consideration I think you will excuse me once again stating ray opinions on the offer of the voyage. My excuse and reason is the different way all the Wedgwoods view the subject from what you and my reasons do.

I have given Uncle Ios, what I fervently trust is an accurate and full list of your objections, and he is kind enough to give his opinion on all. The list and his answers will be enclosed, but may I beg of you one favour, it will be doing me the greatest kindness if you will send me a decided answer-Yes or No-; If the latter I should be most ungrateful if I did not implicitly yield to your better judgment and to the kindest indulgence which you have shown me all through my life,-and you may rely upon it I will never mention the subject again; if your enswer should be Yes, I will go directly to Henslow and consult deliberately with him and then come to Shrewsbury. The danger appears to me and all the Wedgwoods not greatthe expense cannot be serious, and the time I do not think anyhow, would be more thrown away than if I staid at home. But pray do not consider that I am so bent on going, that I would for one single moment hesitate if you thought that after a short period you should continue uncomfortable. -I must again state I cannot think it would unfit me bere-

NOTES

after for a seeady life.—I do hope this letter will not give you much uneasiness.—I send it by the car romorrow morning; if you make up you mind directly will you send me an answer on the following day by the same means. If this letter should not find you at home, I hope you will nature as soon as you concentral to an answer as soon as you concentral to an account of the property of the same of the same means.

I do not know what to say about Uncle Jos' kindness, I never can forget how he interests himself about me.

Believe me, my dear Father,

Your affectionate son, Charles Darwin

These were Dr. Robert's objections to the voyage, as reported to Uncle Jos by Charles.

- 1. Disreputable to my character as a Clergyman hereafter.
- a. A wild scheme.
 - That they must have offered to many others before me the place of Naturalist.
 And from its not being accepted there must be some
 - serious objection to the vessel or expedition.

 That I should never settle down to a steady life hereafter.
 - 7. That my accommodations would be most uncomfortable.
 - again changing my profession.

That it would be a useless undertaking.

Also enclosed, was Josiah's letter to Dr. Robert, with "Read this last" in Chatles's handwriting.

Maer, August 5111, 1851

My dear Doctor,

I feel the responsibility of your application to me on the offer that has been made to Charles . . . Charles has put 228

OBJECTIONS TO THE VOYAGE

down what he conceives to be your principle objections, and I think the best course I can take will be to state what occurs to me upon each of them.

- I should not think it would be in any degree disreputable to his character as a Clergyman. I should on the pursuit of Natural History, though certainly not professional, it was a status to a constant to a constant.
- 2. I hardly knew the state of this short to employ blusself, and might sequire and strengthen habits of application, and I should think would be as likely to do so as in any way in which he is likely to pass the near term ears at home.
- 5. The notion did not occur to me in reading the letters; and on reading them again with that object in my min 11 sec or ground for it.
- 4. I cannot conceive that the Admiralry would send out a last vessel on such a service. As to objections to the expedition, they will differ in each man's case, and nothing would, I think, be inferred in Charles's case, if it were known that others had objected.
- 5. You are a much better judge of Charles's character than I can be I for comparing this mode of spending the next two years with the way in which he will probably spend them if he does not accept that you think him more likely to be rendered [un] tready, you think him more likely to be rendered [un] tready, and urnable to settle, it is undoubtedly a welly objection. Is it ont the case that sallous are prome to sentin in domental and quite shadow.
- I can form no opinion on this further than that if appointed by the Admiralty he will have a claim to be as well accommodated as the vessel will allow.
- 7. If I saw Charles now absorbed in professional studies
 I should probably think it would not be advisable to

NOTES

intercopt them; but this is not, and I think, will not be the case with him. His present pursuit of knowledge is in the same track as he would have to follow in the expedition.

8. The undertaking would be useless as regards his profession, but looking upon him as a man of enlarged curiosity, it affords him such an opportunity of seeing men and things as happens to few. You will bear in mind this; I have had very little time for consideration, and that you and Charles see the persons who must decide.

I am, my dear Doctor, Affectionately yours.

JOSIAH WEDGWOOD

The pencil notes of 1827-28: "This is the Ouestion"

THE FOLLOWING notes in Charles Darwin's hand were hurriedly scrawled in pencil on scraps of paper; one is on a letter addressed to him whilst he was living at 46 Great Marlhomugh Street. The writing of the notes must therefore have been in one of the years 1817 or '18. He was married to Emma Wedgwood on January 29th, 1819. How these youthful questionings escaped destruction cannot now be known. Perhaps they fell into the hands of Emms herself?

If he marry who can I is Ven. I Ramond 2311 If I recent the mass to our recent

Dipercipen helts and rupes and how the I become a national Ell Jon's march. Winds on tree -citation from all like-fire happy Other formation? | wanty and where? Some consumers - physical and man one Lender country

name, no imputing or many, and Bette Mersley collect, on builds. - Camendae Processoring. when Lieung - in Aming to many war all shows regulation I couldn't reconsti lightly to will. Mea house that Asberraring in

NOTES

animals.

(B). Live in London-for

where else nossible-in small house near Regents Park-keep horses - take Summer tours collect specimens some line of Zoolng: speculations of Geograph: range and peological general works-systematize and study affinities.

nical observations on lower house-1 could not indolently take country house and do nothing-Could I live in London like a prisoner? It I were moderately rich I would live in London, with pretty his house and do as (B)-but could I act

thus with children and poor-? No- They where live in country near London; better: but great obstacles to science and

Then Cambridge better but fish out of water, not being Professor and poverty. Then Cambridge Professorship, and make best of it-do duty as such and work at spore times-My desting will be Camb, Prof. or poor men ; outskirts of London -some small equate etc.-and work as well as I can,

I have so much more pleasure in direct observation, that I could not go on as Lyell does, correcting and adding up new information to old train, and I do not see what line can be followed by man ried down to London.-In country-experiment and observations on lower animals,more insec-

The second paper is headed:-This is the Question

MARRY Not MARRY Children-(if it please God)- No children, (no second life) no constant companion, (friend in one to care for one in old age,- old age) who will feel interested played with—better than a dog of music and female chit-chat. These things good for one's health. Forced to vlsit and

My God, it is intolerable to think of spending one's whole life, like a neuter bee, working, working and nothing after all.—

Imagine living all one's day solitarily in smoky dirty London hard partition in conself a size of the property of the conself a size of the conself and the co

What is the use of working withour sympathy from near and dear friends—who are near and dear friends to the old except relatives.

-Choice of Society and little of the Conversation of claves

Not forced to visit relatives, and to bend in every trifle—to have the expense and analysis of children to be the expense and analysis of children to be the expense and the e

one's bread.—(But then it is very bed for one's health to work roo mail Perhaps my wife won't like London; then the sentence is

London; then the sentence is

On the reverse side of the page comes the summing up

It being proved necessary to marry—When? Soon or Lace. The Governor says soon for otherwise bad if one has children—one's character is more flexible—one's feelings more lively, and if one does not marry soon, one misses so much good ours happiness.—

But then if I married tomorrow: there would be an infinity of trouble and expense in getting and furnishing a house,—fighting about no Society—morning calls—awk-wardness—loss of time every day—(without one's wife was

as again and made one loop industrious).—Then how should I manage all my believes if I were obligate to go every sky waiting with my wifet—Bleet II I never should know Frank,—or see the Continus,—or go in America, no go up in a Blaicon, or take soliusy mip in Wilse—proor slave, (without code with wear better than as naged and better the soliusy like, with googgy of also, friendless and code and manage.)—Never mind my hop—Cheet up—One cannot live this soliusy like, with googgy of also, friendless and code windle. Never mode, trust to chance—deep a shape fook—out—There is many shapey slave.

NOTE FOUR

Mrs. Darwin's papers on Religion

Cours or two lettes writtes by Mrs. Darwin to her hostands, bords sonoural by him, Doual amongst her papers sirer her death. The first, undsted, is on a sheet of old-fashioned non-epaper, and vas written shortly after their marriage, as Claries Darwin susps in the Anthologoph. The second was written in or before 1861, when Charles dated his deded note. Mrs. Darwin's writing is nest and without corrections, suggesting a copy from a draft. Written at the end of each see s'ew lines by Charles Darwin.

LETTER ON

The state of mind that I wish to pressure with eagent to you, is to feel that while you are rating consciouslessly and sincerely wishing and trying to learn the truth, you cannot be wrong, but there are some reasons that force themselves upon me, and prevent myself from being always able to give myself this comfort. I denously you have often thought of them before, but I will write down what has been in my head, knowing that my own detects will include me.

Your must and thus use full of the most interesting subjects and thoughts of the most absorbing kind, viz, following up your own theoretical—but which make it viery difficult for you of secold easting, our as intertraptions other sects of thoughts which have no relation to what you are pursuing, or to be able to give your whole attention to both sides of the outs into.

There is another reason which would have a great effect. on a woman, but I don't know whether it wd. so much on a man. I mean E.1 whose understanding you have such a very high opinion of and whom you have so much affection for, having gone before you-is it not likely to have made it easier to you and to have taken off some of that dread fear which the feeling of doubting first gives and which I do not think an unreasonable or superstrious feeling. In seems to me also that the line of your pursuits may have led you to view chiefly the difficulties on one tide, and that you have not had time to consider and study the chain of difficulties on the other, but I believe you do not consider your opinion as formed. May not the habit in scientific pursuits of believing nothing till it is proved, influence your mind too much in other things which cannot be proved in the same way, and which if true are likely to be above our comprehension. I should say also there as a danger in giving up revelation which does not exist on the other side, that is the feir of ingraritude in casting off what has been done for your benefit as well as for that of all the world and which ought to make you still more careful, perhans even fearful lest you should not have taken all the pains you could to judge truly. I do not know whether this is aroune as if one side were true and the other false, which I meant to avoid, but I think not. I do not quite agree with you in

* Unarrow, Chorles's chief interiors. She mines that Emission had proceeded.

Charles to the instear of death and tripleted - N. D.

MRS. DARWIN'S PAPERS

what you once said that luckily there were no doubts as to how one ought to get. I think prayer is an instance to the contrary, in one case it is a positive duty and perhaps not in the other. But I dispesse you menot in actions which concern others and then I agree with you almost if not quite. I do not wish for any answer to all this-it is a satisfaction to me to write it, and when I talk to you about it I cannot say exactly what I wish to say, and I know you will have retience with your own dear wife. Don't think that it is not my affair and that it does not much signify to me. Everything that engreens you concerns me and I should be most unhappy if I thought we did not belong to each other for over. I am rather afraid my own deat Nigner will think I have forgotten my promise not to bother him, but I am sau: he loves me, and I cannot tell him how harmy he makes me and how dearly I love him and thank him for all his affection which makes the happiness of my life more and more every day,

> When I am dead, know that many times, I have kissed and cryed over this. C. D.

LETTER TWO

I cannot tell you the compassion I have felt for all your suffering for these weeks past that you have had so many drawbacks. Nor the gratified I have felt for the cheerful and affectionate tools you have given me when I know you have been miseably unconfortable.

My heart has esten been too full to speak or take any notice. I am sure you know I love you well enough to believe that I mind your suffering nearly as much as I should my on a nel I find the cody relief to my own mind is to that it as from Gody's hond, and our yor believe that all soffering and illness is ment to help us or earli our minds and to lock feveraged with hope to a future task. When mand and showe all grattened for the realizet thing done to help you I cancel help longing that these pericois releipes should be offered to Heaven for the taske of your duly pagement. But I find a difficult enough in my were seen. I often think of the words "Thou shall keep him in partice rescoincy that offered one or poyers." In a feding and one

I feel persumptions in writing this to you. I feel in my inmost hear your admixthe quidities and feelings and all I would hope is that you would direct them operand, as well as to one who values them above everything the world. I shall keep this by me till I feel electral and conrorable spain about you but it is a spared through my mind often lavely so I thought I would write it partly to relieve my own mind.

God Bless you C. D. 1861

These letters are printed in Emma Darwin, Vol. II, John Murray, 1915 pp. 173-176. Mrs. Litchfield writes of her mother:—

In our childbood and youth she was not only sincerely religious—this she always was in the true sense of the word but definite in her beliefs. She went regulady to church and took the Sarsament. She read the Bible with us and turght us a simple Unitariate Creed, though we were baptized

MRS. DARWIN'S PAPERS

and confirmed in the Church of England In her yound religion must have largely filled her life, and there is evidence in the papers she left that it distressed her is her early married lile to know that my father did not shate her fish. She wrote you betters to him on the subject. He speaks in his autobiography of 'her beaufful letter to me, safely preserved, shorthy after our marriage'.

...

NOTE FIVE

On Charles Darwin's Ill-Health

HEALTH ANXIETIES haunt the pages of the Autobiography, vet Charles Darwin's many medical advisers never reached definite conclusions as to the cause of his long bouts of illness. No diagnosis was ever made of a causal organic disorder. Since his death biographers and doctors alike have discussed the emphasis on illhealth, so apparent in his own personal writings and in those of Emma his wife, but there remains no concensus of opinion as to the cause of his symptoms. The nausea, giddyness, insomnia and debility from which he suffered, follow the now familiar pattern of the ills of other eminent Victorians, with the Victorian Hydropathic Establishment, the sofa and the shawl as characteristic hall-marks. Charles Darwin's forty years of invalid existence, moreover, were an unexpected sequel to his youthful vigour, for his strength and endurance were well above the average, as Captain Fitz-Roy has recorded in his accounts of various incidents during the Beagle

Voyage.
Yet health anxieties did trouble Charles Darwin even in the early days before the voyage, so that his martiage to a deeply sympathetic wife can hardly have done

CHARLES DARWIN'S ILL-HEALTH

more than increase a deep-seated tendency. Her over-solicitude helped to cast that faint sura of glory on the Symptom, an attitude that was carried on into adult life by several of their children.

Many theories have been put forward to secount for Darwin's years of suffering, ranging from the possibility of appendicits, a duodenal uleer, pyorethes, or the damaging effects of sea-sickness during the voyage; but recent emphasis has been in the direction of neurodic or nwychotic causes.

I am not qualified to discuss these different points of view, but I give references and very brief summaries for those who may want to explore this field further.

 ALVAREZ, Walter C., M.D., Nervoussess, Indigestion and Pain. Paul B. Hoeber Inc. Medical Book Department of Harper Bros., New York and London. 7th printing. 1947.

Dr. Alvaree examinas the symptoms of Darwin's illness, and deduces from the fact that no physician could discover any organic source, that "his troubles were functional and due to an inherited peculiarity of the nervous system." He found evidence of psychological instability among his ancestors, and concludes that he had a "poor nervous heredity on both sides."

- Bantow, N. Lancet, i, 1954, p. 414. (See also 5(a) and 4. (c) and (d.)
- Good, Dr. Rankine. (a) Lancet, 1, 1954, p. 106. Also
 (b) "The Origin of the Origin." Beology and Human Affairs, Oct. 1954.
 - Dr. Good kindly let me read his typescript entitled "The

Psychology of the Revolutionary," before it appeared in shorter form in Biology and Haway Affairs,

Dr. Good finds that Charles Darwin's illness " was compounded of depressive, obsessional, anxiety and hysterical symptoms, which for the most part co-existed He finds "evidence that unmistakably points" to these symptoms being a "distorted expression of the agression, hate, resentment, fels at an unconscious level, by Dazwin rowards his tyrannical father . . ." In Dr. Good's opinion, his forty years of ill-health were the punishment for his revolt.

4. Hunele, Dr. Douglas. (a) Lawers, 1945, i, p. 129. (b) Horizon, LXXX, 1946, p. 74. (c) Lancet, ii, 1933, p. 1351. (d) Lauret, i, 1954, p. 467.

In 1946 Dr. Hubble wrote:-" Charles Darwin's illness. then, arose from the suppression and non-recognition of a painful emotion. Such an emotion is always compounded of fear, guilt or lute . . . in Charles Darwin this emotion arose from his relationship with his father." In the last two references Dr. Hubble has added to this theme, and references 4, (c), entitled " The Life of the Shawl", gave gise to the discussion in the Lancet under the same title.

5. Kempp, E. J. Psychopathology. London, 1921, p. 208.

Dr. Kempf takes Charles Durwin's medical history to show that affective cravings brought about by resistence to patental coercion cause after-anxiety; these demonstrate the mechanisms, in his view, " of the prolonged struggles to sublimate affective needs." Dr. Kempf stresses the loss of Charles's mother, who died when he was eacht years old. Kempf also stresses Dr. Robert Darwin's authoritarian attitude towards his family, noting at the same time his unusual insight in dealing with patients. Kempf says :-"he practised the present asycho-analytical principle of inducing an affective cathartis and readjustment in his

CHARLES DARWIN'S ILL-MEALTH

patients as a method of treating the distress caused by affective suppression-anxiety."

It scens as though the last word has not yet been written on the problem of Chrelle Dravin's ill-bleeth. One thing is clear; he realised with deep insight how his own profit and loss account stood when he were near the end of the Anathingraph; —"Even ill-bealth, though it has annihilated several years of my life as swerd me from the districtions of society and amaterization."

NOTE SIX

Page and line references to the more important previously omitted passages

p. 21, line 6 to line 10. "I believe . . . invalid state."

Minus numerals indicate lines from bottom of trase p. 21, Kne -; to line -: "I have heard . . . my case. p. az, line 12 to line 14. " Before going . . . plan answered." p. as, line - to to line -4. "Caroling was . . . she might say."

p. 14, line 1 to line -8. "About this time . . . run so fast !" p. 50, line 7 to p. 51, line 3. " My Father . . . patient bled." p. 51, line 12 to p. 52, line 2. "Family quarrels . . . ever afterp. 55, lite 12 to line -8. " A connection . . . least know."

p. 41, line 1 to line 4. " nor to my . . . whole lives." b. 4x. line o to line xa. "The above sketch . . . no merit." p. 45, line 5 to line 8. "Some of . . , distinguished." p. 48, line -3 to line -4. "but was superficial . . . tongue." p. 11, line - to to line - a. "He had . . . gentleman." p. 17. line o to line 14. "It never struck . . . incredible." p. 66, line -1 to p. 67, line 4. " At first . . . humour." p. 74, line 13 to line 14, "This was shown . . . offended him." p. 74, line -12 to line -6. "The junior . . . common sense." p. 71, line -2 to line -1. "For when . . . unreasonable." p. 74, line -12 to p. 76, line -γ. "I remember . . . appearp. 76, line -s to line -4. "though . . . blemishes." p. 79, line 4 to line 6. "The primeyal . . . civilised man," 244

p. E4, line i. "facile . . , boranicorum." p. 84. line -to to line -t. " from its manifestly . . . harbarian." p. 86, line -12 to line -8, "Beautiful . . , allegories." p. 87, line 1 to line 21. "and have never . . . doctrine." p. 87, line -r. "Everything . . . laws." p. 90, line 4 to line-11. "A being . . . endless time?"

p. so, line -1 to p. gr. line \$. "But it cannot . . . to arise." p. es. line a to p. es. line -t. " May not these . . . a snake." p oa line i to p, of line 12; " A man who has no assured . . . Redeemer liverh."

p. 96, line -9 to p. 98 line s. "You all know . . . sweet ways." p. too, line -14 to line-11. "On such . . . standing up." p. 10m line 4 to line - 11. " He had . . . strength failed." p. sos, line 9 to p. 105 line -14. " All the leading . . . glore," p. sea, line -12 to line -10, "And before . . . morning," p. 103, line -8 to line -7, "He never . . . biology," p. sae, line a to line 6, " who was . . . five years," p. son line 6 to line 13. "I suppose . . . this country." p. 104 line -10 to p. 107 line 7. "He was rather . . . mankind."

p. tor, line - 14 to line -8. " He was very . . . ditty." p. 108, line 1 to p. 109 line -11, "I used to call , , , speaking of H. Spercent

p. 110, line (tu line 6. "H. Spercer . . . of it !"

p. 110, line 14 to line -11, " What he . . , conversation." p. 111. line -a to p. 112. line 4. "I met . . . very premattire. p. 114, line i to line to. "I have beard . . , whom I knew," p. 114. line -1 lu p. 115 line r. "Who never . . . they yau." p. 111, line 14 to line -6. "Whilst I was . . . children." p. 115, line -1 to p. 126, line 4. "I must, however . . . lawyer," p. 119, line 5 to line -15. "on the . . . Cucarbacean plant." p. 114, line -4 to p. 114 line 11, "Owing . . . Louse," p. 144 line -11 to line-10. "I informed . . . be sold."

p. 144. The last sentence in italies.

INDEX

Abstract (Origin of Species), 141 Adams, Henry, 154

Aesthetic Tastes, 61, 62, 85, 138, 110 Angene, Jun Louis Rod-1 be, Ainsworth, William Francis, 48

Alvarez, Dr. Walter C., 241 Ancestry, 10 Ascension, 82

Athenseum Club, 15 Audubon, John James, 51 Baldwage, Charles, vol.; etc., etc.

Beagle, Journal of, 78, 81, 83, 91, 116, 226 Bergle, Voyage of, 44, 57, 60, 68, 71-82, 37, 100, 100, 100, 110,

Fig. Although .. Bell, C., 142 Birth, 21

Blomefield, see Jenyns Botany, 60, 64, 56, 101, Boom's Gorden 42

Brown, Robert, 84, 103, 187 Buckland, William, 102 Buckle, Henry Thomas, 1 Buffon, 151, 158

Bother, E. Latting, 12 Boths, Dr., 21, 46 Buther, Samuel, 6, 114, 111, 16

Cambridge, 56-71; shooting, 44, 45; entered University,

58 : mathematics and classics, 58; Paley, 19; B.A. degree, to; sporting set, 60; sesthetic tastes, 61, 62; beetlecollecting, 62-64: Prof. Hen-

slow, 64-66, 68, 69, 72, 84 Cambridge University Library, 1, 3, 167, 174 Cape Verde Islands, TV. 81

Cadyle, Thomas, and 182, 183 Children, 10, 12, 55, 57, 184, in. 750, 550, 840 Church, destined for, 16, 17, 228, 220

Clustics, 58, 19 Climbing Plants, 119, 131, 111 Coldstream, Dr., 48, 49 Coloridge, S. T., 118, 150

Coral Reefs, 98, 116 Coral Rocis, 80, 84, 98, 99, 100, Cross-fertilisation, 127, 133

INDEX

Darlington, C., 14, 98 Darwin, Annie, 10, 97, 98 Darwin, Caroline, 10, 21, 45, 14 Derwin, Catherine, 10, 12, 44 Darwin, Charles R., family tree,

so ; childhood, 21-28 , school life 44-46 : Edinburgh, 46-11 Cambridge, 16-71; Bearle, 71-82; marriage, 96; Down, -96, 314

Datwin, Sie Charles Galego, 6 Darwin, Ilmma, 10, 12, 57, 55, 94, 96, 97, 131-239, 240

Darwin, Dr. Ersamus, G. 10, 16, 42, 724, 344, Tap-rob, 224-224 Darwin, Erasmus Alvey, brother

of Charles, 10, 42, 45, 87, 236 Darwin, Erasmus, Charles, 10, 221 Darwin, Francis, 5, 6, 10, 11,

18, 141, 162, 164, 171, 171, 271, 216

Darwin, George, 10, 161 Darwin, Henricita, see Litch field, Henzietta Darwin, Horace, 10, 165

Darwin, Leonard, 10, 12 Darwin, Dr. Robert Warane, 10. 21. 28-42. 71. 72. 87. 91, 127, 126, 215-225, 246-245,

Dawin, Susannah, to, ez de Beaumont, Illie, tos

Desait of Alge, 150, 154, 111

Different Farms of Flowers, 134 Dimorplue and trimorplue plants, 128, 134, 114, 143 Down House, 96, 114, 155 Edinburgh, 46-14, 18, 19

Effects of Cross and Self-Fertilizarisk in the Vegetable Kingdom, 155, 135 Enwhee, 199

Extraction of the Emptions in Man Cr Animali, 134 Evolution, 15, 49, 120-121, 131, 155, 149, 152-157

Lyton, T. C., 68 Palconer, Hugh, 105 Farrer, Euphenia (wie word), 110

Fawcett, Henry, 161 Fertilization of Orthide, 127, 131, 3.vir. 135, 353 Fitzroy, Robert, 71-76, 79, 226, 240. Platica, Ac. 11

Forbes, Es, 124 Permation of Veretable Mould through the Action of Earth WOYME, 116 Fox, W. Darwin, 64

FARAT lerent, 50 Galaragos, 8c, 118 Galman, Frantis, 10, 45

Genlegical Obstreations, 85, 115 250

Ser proces

Carlogical Somery, Agent, Lots - Lut. 150-Confrom the tacks the March

richt, Mr. St. St. St. pp. rist. you, othy size

Line Pop. Re.

Gardin, Totane Widdings, 1 Ga C15, 417

Clark Dr. Roskins, Sct., vol. Crist, Sister Linuxd, etc. 17 Laty has very ray, very the Court, Owner, 115-117

Häckel, Ernst, 125

Halévy, Elle, 155 Henslow, John Stevens, 6 ... 66, 68, 69, 81, 83, 101, 127

Harschel, Sir. J., 67, 107, 161

Heterostylism, see dimorphic and trimorphic plants Hooker, Joseph, 82, 104, 105,

THE R. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH. - they let a

Horner, Leonard, 52 Howard, Mary, to, as was Hubble, Dr. Douglas, 441

Humboldt, Baron A. los 14 AND ROLL Marin Silvin part year

Husby Thomas Barry, roll. #15, 120, 141, 140, 171, 11m

Hydropathic Establishments,

Table 1117, Title

AL PROVED THE R. LANS BELLEVILLE. 110, 117, 123, 127, 131, 140 \$15, 157, CH-141. Strainway Parks, 155-513

Jameson, Professor, 50 leavns, Leonard, 66-67

Jones, Henry Festing, 172, 174-

Kay-Shuttleworth, James Phillips, 51

Kempf, E. J., 142 Krause, Dr. Ernst, 134, 168-169,

176-186, 188, 189, 191, 191 Lamarck, 49, 100, 150, 152

Lagrational: Marriell of, Sal of Leighton, Rev W. A., 15 Life of Erasmus Darwis, 134, 115.

168, 169, 171, 172 Lianueus, 157 Linnean Society, 11, 121, 128,

714. X16 Litchfield, Henrietta, 10, 12, 94.

203, 259 Litchfield, Richard, 155,205, 208

Lembury Ry, 101, 109 Lyell, Sir C., 35, 81, 83, 100 Print, Artis, 1988, 58th, 1984, 1988.

APP OF THE STATE Macaulay, Thomas Babington, FOL. 166

Macgillivay, William, 14

Mackintosh, Sir lumes, 15, 65 Maer, 14, 15, 16, 58, 71, 82, 226 Malthus, Thomas Robert, 120, Marriage, 96, 211-234, 240 Mathematics, 18

Mendelian Genetics, 13 Mental qualities, 136-141, 144 Miller, Prof. W. H., 33 Milnes, Richard Monckton, 112 Miyart, S. George Jackson, 126 Motley, John Lothrop, 111

Müller, Fritz, 129 Müller, I timann, 144 Murchison, Roderick Impay,

Natural Science, 49, 51, 52, 62, 68, 77, 80, 81, 141 Natural Selection, 87, 89-90, Newton, Alfred, 156-157

Origin of Species, Th. 14, 14, 15, 76, 81, 95, 104, 118, 121, 122-

126, 110, 140, 131, 152, 154, Owen, Richard, 104

Owen, Mr., of Woodhouse, 14 Paley, Rev. William, 59, 87, 150 Pangeness, 150

Paragonia, 80 Peacock, Mr., 416.

Philosoppinal Magaziet, 70,

Pontobálla Muricata, 50 101 Publications, 84. 116-136

Plymouth, 79

Philosophical Transactions, 84

Power of Movement in Plants, 149.

Ray Club, 64 Ray Society, 117 Religious Beliefs, 18, 81-6 Reviews, 124, 125 Royal Society, 67

Sedgwick, Poof. Adam, 60, 69, 70, 81, 101, 126 Scott, Sir Walter, 12 Second selection, 141, 147 Shelburne, Lord, 34, 51 Shrewsbury, 23-28, 41-45

12, 69, 77, 79, 82 Simpson, G. G., 3 Slavery, 74 Smith, Sydney, 16, 110, 112 Spencer, Herbert, 91, 108,

Sprengel, Christian Konrad, 129 Stanbope, Lord (Fourth Earl), Smalispe, Lord (Fifth Flarl), 111 Stephen, Lesile, 111, 171, 115-215

Tierra del Fuego, 80, 126

INDEX

Thompson, H. 65 Wedgwood, Hensleigh, 109 Wedgwood, Josish, 10 Wedgwood, Josish, 10 Van Benedan, Professor, 144 Potter), 10, 54, 55, 56, 71,

Van Benedan, Professor, 144
Variation, 90, 130, 131, 131
Variation of Animals and Plants
Wernerian Society, 50, 13

Volumbe Islands, 116 Whitey, C., 61 White's Solborns, 45

Waddington, C., 14 Wildom, J. O., 155, 16t
Wollston, A. F. R., 156
Wallston, A. F. R., 156
Waddington, C. R., 156
Waddington, C. R., 156
Waddington, C. R., 156
Waddington, C. R., 156
Waddington, J. O., 155, 16t
World, 46t
Waddington, C., 145
Waddington, J. O., 155, 16t
Woldman, J. O., 155, 16t
W

Wedgwood, Emma, see Darwin, Zoology, 14, 77
Emma Zoology of the Voyage of the

Wedgwood, Esphemia, see Beagle, 83, 59
Farret, Lophemia Zodeswie, 49, 150, 151