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Abstract


About 50 species of shorebirds breed in the Arctic, where they constitute the most characteristic component of the tundra avifauna. Here, we review the impact of weather and climate on the breeding cycle of shorebirds based on extensive studies conducted across the Arctic. Conditions for breeding shorebirds are highly variable among species, sites and regions, both within and between continents. Weather effects on breeding are most moderate in the Low Arctic of northern Europe and most extreme in the Siberian High Arctic. The decision of whether or not to breed upon arrival on the breeding grounds, the timing of egg-laying and the chick-growth period are most affected by annual variation in weather. In large parts of the Arctic, clutch initiation dates are highly correlated with snowmelt dates and in regions and years where extensive snowmelt occurs before or soon after the arrival of shorebirds, the decision to breed and clutch initiation dates appear to be a function of food availability for laying females. Once incubation is initiated, adult shorebirds appear fairly resilient to variations in temperature with nest abandonment primarily occurring in case of severe weather with new snow covering the ground. Feeding conditions for chicks, a factor highly influenced by weather, affects juvenile production in most regions. Predation has a very strong impact on breeding productivity throughout the Arctic and subarctic, with lemming Dicrostonyx spp. and Lemmus spp. fluctuations strongly influencing predation rates, particularly in the Siberian Arctic. The fate of Arctic shorebirds under projected future climate scenarios is uncertain, but High Arctic species and populations appear particularly at risk. Climatic amelioration may benefit Arctic shorebirds in the short term by increasing both survival and productivity, whereas in the long term habitat changes both on the breeding grounds and in the temperate and tropical non-breeding areas may put them under considerable pressure and may bring some of them near to extinction. Their relatively low genetic diversity, which is thought to be a consequence of survival through past climatically-driven population bottlenecks, may also put them more at risk to anthropogenic-induced climate variation than other avian taxa.
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Introduction

Shorebirds, or waders (Sub-order: Charadrii), constitute the dominant component of avian fauna in the Arctic, both in numbers of species and in population densities (Järvinen and Väisänen 1978, Boyd and Madsen 1997, Lindström and Agrell 1999). In contrast to most biodiversity patterns, which show strong clines of lower biodiversity with increasing latitude (e.g. MacArthur 1972, Hillebrand 2004), a number of shorebird genera have most, or even all, species breeding in the Arctic. A total of about 35 shorebird species have their main distribution within Arctic tundra habitat, and a further c. 15 extend their distribution from more southerly breeding grounds into the Arctic (Piersma and Wiersma 1996, Piersma et al. 1996). An estimated 30 million shorebirds breed in the Arctic, out of a global total of 100 million (CHASM 2004). Outside the breeding season, Arctic shorebirds disperse over virtually all temperate and tropical regions of the globe (Fig. 1).

Shorebirds have some of the largest eggs relative to their body size among birds, and most Arctic species are constrained by time to one clutch per season. Thus, high energetic requirements must be met within a short period in an environment where energy expenditure is already high (Piersma et al. 1996, 2003). In the High Arctic, weather is more extreme, the season shorter and the habitat less productive than at lower latitudes (Jonasson et al. 2000), all of which add to the energy demands faced by Arctic-breeding shorebirds. Two additional features of their

---

FIG. 1. About 50 species of shorebirds breed in the Arctic, but they spend 9-11 months of the year in temperate and tropical non-breeding areas. Before going north in spring, they build up large fat and other body stores on spring staging areas to enable the long flight and to survive the first days on the tundra. Black-bellied plovers, red knots and dunlins at Griend in the Dutch Wadden Sea in May. Photo: Jan van de Kam.
biodiversity may be relevant in the context of rapid climate change. (1) There is co-variation between breeding latitude and non-breeding habitat, with more northerly-breeding species relying to a greater extent on coastal and saline habitats during the non-breeding season than those breeding farther south (Piersma 1997, 2003); such habitats will be especially liable to climate-induced changes in sea level. (2) Most Arctic-breeding shorebird species are genetically less diverse than other birds (Baker and Strauch 1988, Baker 1992, Baker et al. 1994, Wennerberg et al. 1999, Avise 2000, Wennerberg 2001a, Wennerberg 2001b, Wennerberg et al. 2002). This loss of genetic variation may reflect (repeated) population bottlenecks, perhaps caused by earlier climatic changes and extremes, selective sweeps perhaps caused by stringent selection events such as disease episodes, or a combination of these (Baker et al. 1994, Kraaijeveld and Nieboer 2000, Wennerberg 2001a).

Most species of Arctic-breeding shorebirds are...
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confined to certain tundra types and geographical regions, and most breed either in the relatively lush sub- and Low Arctic tundra or on the drier and less productive High Arctic tundra. This means that each species is highly dependent on the distribution and extent of that particular type of tundra, and hence depends on the climatic conditions that shape and maintain the habitat (see CAVM Team 2003, for diversity of Arctic tundra types). Anticipated climate changes are expected to become particularly pronounced in the Arctic, and extensive and dramatic changes in habitat types, snow, and weather regimes are predicted for most tundra areas (Callaghan et al. 2005). Although Arctic shorebirds are adapted to the highly variable annual conditions on the breeding grounds, the expected future climate and habitat changes may put them under significantly increased pressure.

During recent decades, large population declines seem to have taken place in both Nearctic and Palearctic shorebird populations (Morrison 2001, Morrison et al. 2001, Morrison et al. 2006, Bart et al. 2007, see however Meltofte et al. 2006a). Based on present knowledge of population trends (known for 52% of the 100 biogeographical populations of 37 species recognized as typically Arctic), 12% are increasing, 42% are stable, and 44% are decreasing, while 2% are possibly extinct. The reasons for these more or less well documented declines are not known, but habitat changes and other anthropogenic disturbance in temperate and tropical non-breeding areas are suspected to contribute (International Wader Study Group 2004).

In this paper we provide a more comprehensive and diverse picture of the response of Arctic shorebirds to weather and climate on the breeding grounds than has been previously available (Boyd and Madsen 1997, Gratto-Trevor 1997, Weber et al. 1998, Lindström and Agrell 1999, Rehfisch and Crick 2003, Piersma and Lindström 2004). We have worked with shorebirds in virtually all parts of the Arctic for many years (Fig. 2) and have included published, as well as unpublished material, for this review. By compiling existing knowledge on weather and climate impacts on each segment of the annual breeding cycle, and by examining differences between parts of the Arctic, we aim to identify the most critical periods during breeding and thereby facilitate evaluations of potential future impacts (see overview in Table 1). Evidently, Arctic shorebirds are also exposed to climate variability and change during their non-breeding seasons outside the Arctic, but this is only briefly touched upon in this paper (see e.g. Wiersma and Piersma 1994).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Breeding sequence</th>
<th>Problematic weather types</th>
<th>Weather effect</th>
<th>Breeding parameter affected</th>
<th>Consequence of effect</th>
<th>Sub- and Low Arctic Western North America</th>
<th>Sub- and Low Arctic Eastern North America</th>
<th>High Arctic Canada and Greenland</th>
<th>Low Arctic North Europe</th>
<th>Sub- and Low Arctic Siberia</th>
<th>High Arctic Siberia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arrival on breeding grounds</td>
<td>cold, storms</td>
<td>Inclement weather en route</td>
<td>late arrival, so late nesting</td>
<td>increased late chick or juvenile survival; decreased reproductive potential</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-breeding period and egg-laying</td>
<td>cold, storms</td>
<td>Decreased food availability</td>
<td>late or no nesting</td>
<td>decreased nesting or re-nesting potential; decreased adult survival; decreased chick or juvenile survival</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>snow cover</td>
<td>small patches of snow-free habitat</td>
<td>late or no nesting; easily searched nest habitat</td>
<td>decreased nesting or re-nesting potential; increased nest predation; decreased chick or juvenile survival</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation</td>
<td>snow, wind, cold</td>
<td>Increased energy use, decreased food availability</td>
<td>More time spent feeding; increased energy expenditure</td>
<td>Nest desertion; decreased adult survival</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low winter snow cover and temperatures</td>
<td>possibly decreased rodent abundance</td>
<td>Increased nest predation; more late nests</td>
<td>Decreased productivity</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chick rearing</td>
<td>cold, wind, rain, snow</td>
<td>Decreased food availability</td>
<td>Slow chick growth; chick mortality</td>
<td>Decreased chick survival</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-breeding of adults</td>
<td>cold, wind, snow</td>
<td>Decreased food availability</td>
<td>Late migration; decreased energy expenditure</td>
<td>Increased adult mortality</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
<td>weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population densities and distribution</td>
<td>cold and late spring</td>
<td>Decreased invertebrate productivity</td>
<td>Decreased food availability</td>
<td>Fewer birds attempting to breed; re-distribution of opportunistic species</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td>weak*</td>
<td>moderate</td>
<td>strong</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*) moderate or strong in opportunistic species

TABLE 1. Matrix deducting the main impacts of weather and climate phenomena during the major sequences of the breeding cycle in Arctic shorebirds together with an evaluation of the strength of these effects during present day conditions in different regions of the circumpolar Arctic.
Study sites and data

We have worked intensively in 13 study areas and less intensively in a number of other tundra sites (Fig. 2). Four study sites are found in sub- and Low Arctic Alaska and Canada, three occur in High Arctic Canada and Greenland, one is found in Low Arctic northernmost Europe, three are found in sub- and Low Arctic Siberia, and two in High Arctic Siberia. (See Appendix for geographical co-ordinates, shorebird species studied, names of contributing authors, study years, and references to papers with site characteristics and work performed.)

For unpublished results, all quantitative statements in this paper are based on statistically significant findings \( (p < 0.05) \) from correlation analyses, linear regressions, paired sample t-tests, chi-square tests and non-parametric Mann-Whitney U tests.
The breeding season of Arctic shorebirds

Arrival on breeding grounds
In some sub- and Low Arctic areas, shorebirds arrive from late April, early or mid May, but in most Arctic areas the bulk of migrants arrive from late May until early to mid June (Meltofte 1985, Morrison 1992, Syroechkovski and Lappo 1994, Nol et al. 1997, B. McCaffery, unpubl.). In some sub- and Low Arctic parts of western North America, extensive snow cover has already vanished during May, whereas in the High Arctic and in large parts of the Siberian and eastern Canadian sub- and Low Arctic, snow cover may persist well into June (Mayfield 1978, Groisman et al. 1994, Dye 2002, http://climate.rutgers.edu/snowcover). This means that Siberian shorebirds and those in the central Canadian Arctic (north of 65°N) are the latest to arrive on the breeding grounds, sometimes with the bulk of birds arriving as late as mid or even late June (Syroechkovski and Lappo 1994, Tomkovich et al. 1994, Paulson 1995, Smith 2003, Tulp and Schekkerman 2001, Tomkovich and Soloviev 2006). In most Arctic areas shorebirds arrive directly on the tundra, because coasts are ice-covered well into the breeding season, but they may spend some days in favorable communal feeding areas before they disperse on territories.

Most Arctic shorebirds fly into the Arctic from distant final spring staging areas in temperate regions – sometimes over distances of more than 4000 km (Alerstam 1990, van de Kam et al. 2004, Piersma et al. 2005). Because weather in these areas is poorly correlated with conditions on the breeding grounds, the timing of migration of Arctic shorebirds appears to be dictated by long-term average conditions in the respective parts of the Arctic (Piersma et al. 1990; Fig. 3).

For example, little or no correlation was found between spring temperatures at staging areas in western

FIG. 3. Arrival on the tundra is timed to fit average snowmelt and appearance of sufficient invertebrate food. Some birds still carry a surplus of body stores as an insurance against spells of poor weather upon arrival and to enable transformation of organs, so that the birds can change from 'migration machines' to 'breeding machines'. Red knots at Cape Sterlegova, Taimyr, Siberia, 15 June 1994. Photo: Jan van de Kam.
The breeding season of arctic shorebirds

Europe and initial observation dates on or close to the breeding grounds in subarctic Iceland and in High Arctic Greenland, where the birds must cross hundreds of kilometers of open sea or sea ice en route (Meltofte 1985, Boyd and Petersen 2006). Arrivals in Iceland became earlier in the first half of the 20th century as temperatures in Iceland increased, but changed little in the second half, when Icelandic temperatures decreased slightly. Arrivals of the earliest arriving birds (hereafter “pioneers”) were delayed after winters in which the North Atlantic Oscillation index had been positive and high, and in springs when westerly or cyclonic systems were prevalent over Ireland and Scotland (Boyd and Petersen 2006).

In the outer Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta of Alaska, where snow and ice persist much longer than just 100-200 km inland, first observation dates of nine out of 14 species correlated with spring temperatures, snow cover, and river break up, but this was the case for only one out of five species in the inner delta (B. McCaffery, unpubl.). The nine species showing correlation with spring progress in the outer delta were all local breeders, while three of the remaining species were either fully or predominantly passage migrants. Early arriving species tended to arrive more synchronously and their arrival was more strongly correlated with local environmental conditions.

In the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta no temporal trends in first arrival dates (i.e. of pioneers) were found in 17 shorebird species during 1977-2003 (B. McCaffery, unpubl.), despite a significant spring warming trend over that interval. Similarly, on the other side of the Bering Strait, local breeders have not exhibited a change in arrival dates either, despite a possible climatic amelioration (B. McCaffery, unpubl.)

In the Siberian and Canadian Arctic, shorebirds sometimes stop short of the breeding grounds if they meet unfavorable conditions (Ganter and Boyd 2000, Schekkerman et al. 2004). Late spring melt may even temporarily shift the breeding distribution in opportunistic species (see further under ‘Population densities and distribution’ below). In years with late snowmelt, shorebirds arrived one or two weeks later and over a more extended period in the Siberian and Canadian Arctic (Syroechkovski and Lappo 1994, Tomkovich et al. 1994, Tomkovich 1995, Tomkovich and Soloviev 1996, R. Nol, unpubl.). In southwest Taimyr, Russia, temporary staging of shorebirds breeding farther North may occur, followed by departure in response to temperature increases (Schekkerman et al. 2004).

Pre-nesting period and egg-laying

Arctic shorebirds must possess surplus nutrient and energy stores when they arrive on the breeding grounds; these stores are accumulated at stopover areas (Piersma et al. 1999), and not only provide insurance against periods of inclement weather upon arrival, but also appear to facilitate rebuilding of digestive and other organs after the long flight in preparation for breeding (Morrison and Hobson 2004, Morrison et al. 2005). This means that shorebirds could face problems if they encounter unfavorable winds or weather en route, resulting in depletion of body stores accumulated before take off, or if they are unable to accumulate adequate stores at the final spring stopover site before departure for the Arctic (Morrison 2006). Evidence of mass mortality exists from both the Nearctic and the Palearctic, when shorebirds met snowstorms or other severe weather upon or after arrival (Morrison 1975, Boyd 1992, Pozdnyakov 1997, Lyngs 2003). Under such conditions, reverse migration has been observed (Meltofte 1985, Syroechkovski and Lappo 1994, Ganter and Boyd 2000).

In the Siberian Arctic, body mass of late arriving sandpipers Calidris spp. in unfavorable years was significantly reduced (Soloviev and Tomkovich 1997). At Alert, Canada in 1999, post-arrival masses of red knots Calidris canutus were lower than the long term mean, and extensive early season snow cover both there and in Northeast Greenland resulted in many shorebirds foregoing breeding or breeding later (Meltofte 2000, Morrison et al. 2005). Reduced refueling rates in red knots at the last stopover site in Delaware Bay, New Jersey, prior to the flight to the tundra breeding grounds led to reduced body condition at departure and were correlated with low subsequent survival, both at the individual and population level (Baker et al. 2004).
Generally, the duration of the total egg-laying period decreases from the south to the north in shorebirds (Väisänen 1977). In some sub- and Low Arctic areas, egg-laying may be initiated as early as mid May (e.g. western Alaska), but in most of the subarctic and the Arctic egg-laying typically begins after 1 June, and the last (replacement) clutches are initiated in late June or early July (Meltofte 1985, Morozov and Tomkovich 1988, Gratto-Trevor 1992, Tomkovich et al. 1994, Nol et al. 1997, Sandercock et al. 1999). The termination of egg-laying is probably dictated by the need of adults to arrive at temperate and tropical non-breeding areas, when food is at its summer peak there (Schneider and Harrington 1981), to complete prebasic molt as early as possible (for those wintering in temperate areas), and for the young to have sufficient time for development before the first frost occurs on the tundra (Meltofte 1985, Tomkovich and Soloviev 2001). Predator avoidance may also play a role, in that early departure can result in avoidance of the peak migration of avian predators (Lank et al. 2003).

Because Arctic shorebirds obtain almost all the resources for egg-formation on the breeding grounds (documented in 10 species at 13 Arctic study sites; Klaassen et al. 2001, Morrison and Hobson 2004), they typically need a period of at least 5-8 days after arrival before the first eggs are laid, and a strong correlation has been frequently documented between timing of arrival and egg-laying (Schamel and Tracy 1987 and unpubl., Schamel et al. 2002, Schekkerman et al. 2004, B. McCaffery, unpubl.). This timing is consistent with the minimum time required for egg formation in shorebirds (Roudybush et al. 1979), but shorebirds in High Arctic locations may require some additional time for physical transformation from migration to breeding condition (Morrison et al. 2005). In phalaropes Phalaropus spp., an apparent exception to the pattern of 'income' breeding is seen, because adults arrive with sufficient body stores derived from their marine staging areas or already paired (Mayfield 1979, Schamel and Tracy 1987). Thus, in these species the time between arrival and egg-laying can be as short as two days. This short period is also facilitated by their proportionately smaller eggs (Ross 1979).


FIG. 4. During the first days on the tundra, feeding areas are often limited by snow cover, invertebrate food densities are often poor, but still, the females need to obtain local resources for egg production. In years with late snowmelt and/or cold weather, egg-laying may be delayed by up to 2-3 weeks. Purple sandpipers at Cape Sterlegova, Taimyr, Siberia, 13 June 1994. Photo: Jan van de Kam.
first laying dates of dunlins Calidris alpina varied by only 10 days in 14 years of study, while mean laying dates varied by only five days (H.-U. Rösner and B. Ganter, unpubl.).

Because large differences in snow and temperature regimes exist between valleys only a few hundred kilometers apart in mountainous High Arctic Greenland and the Canadian High Arctic Archipelago, differences in egg-laying dates in these regions match differences found over much larger areas in the lowlands of the remaining North American and Siberian Arctic (Green et al. 1977, Meltofte 1985, Holmgren et al. 2001). Furthermore, spring snow cover decreases from south to north in High Arctic Greenland and in the Canadian Arctic Archipelago. The result is that shorebirds breed earlier in the northernmost lands in the World, Peary Land and northern Ellesmere Island, than in many other parts of the Arctic (Meltofte 1976, R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl.). Similarly, nesting of semi-palmated sandpipers Calidris pusilla occurs at the same time in Alaska and northern Manitoba, even though the Alaskan study site is much farther north (Gratto and Cooke 1987). This illustrates that it is not the height of the sun alone (i.e. latitude) or similarly fixed clues that determine the onset of egg-laying, but a combination of environmental factors such as snow cover, temperature, and food availability.

At Zackenberg, Northeast Greenland, food availability during egg-formation was found to be the prime determinant of initiation of egg-laying (Meltofte et al. 2006b). However, in years with less than 25% snow-free land in early spring, snow cover appeared to be the most important factor. This agrees with several studies on temperate shorebirds and other birds, where timing of egg-laying early in the season is molded by seasonal changes in food availability (Högstedt 1974, Drent and Daan 1980, Lank et al. 1985, Drent et al. 2003).

As another indication of possible food limitation during egg-laying, western sandpipers Calidris mauri and red-necked phalaropes Phalaropus lobatus in Alaska had laying intervals between the eggs in a clutch that were up to twice as long in early clutches as in later ones (Schamel 2000, D.R. Ruthrauff and B. McCaffery, unpubl.). Laying intervals were also relatively longer in Temminck's stint Calidris temminckii under severe weather conditions at the northern limit of their breeding range (Tomkovich 1988).

Egg-laying may be disrupted or nests abandoned under conditions of unusually cold temperatures, heavy snowfall or cold rain (Hilden 1979, Meltofte 1985, 2003, B. McCaffery, unpubl., D. Schamel and D.M. Tracy, unpubl., see further in chapter below on 'Energetic constraints and nest abandonment during incubation'). During such spells of inclement weather, shorebirds may gather into flocks, and reverse migration has been observed (Meltofte 1985, Tomkovich 1994, Å. Lindström, University of Lund, pers. comm. 2003).

Although absence of breeding over an extensive geographical area has never been documented among Arctic shorebirds, varying proportions of local populations may refrain from breeding in particularly unfavorable years (Mayfield 1978, Gratto-Trevor 1991, Tomkovich et al. 1994, Troy 1996, Meltofte 2000, E. Nol, unpubl., J.R. Jehl, Smithsonian Institute, pers. comm. 2000). A late spring and very low temperatures in 1992 following the 1991 eruption of Mount Pinatubo in the Philippines resulted in widespread abandonment of breeding particularly in waterfowl, but to some extent even in shorebirds (Ganter and Boyd 2000). Low temperatures and late snow during early and mid June 2004 again resulted in extensive reductions (over 50% of long-term average number of breeding pairs) in breeding populations of shorebirds in the western Hudson Bay region of the Canadian subarctic (E. Nol, unpubl.). In both years, shorebirds were less uniformly affected than Arctic geese.


Arctic shorebirds often spend more than 50% of their time foraging during the pre-laying and laying periods, and time spent foraging during this phase frequently exceeds that during other phases of the breeding season. The notion that Arctic shore-
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birds potentially could experience food stress during the pre-breeding period. In a favorable season at Zackenberg, Greenland, four shorebird species used 75-92% of daytime hours to feed during pre-nesting, whereas off-duty incubating birds used only about half this time (Meltofte and Lahrman 2006). Similarly, Ashkenazie and Safriel (1979) found that female semipalmated sandpipers fed 60-70% of the time during pre-laying and laying in northernmost Alaska, only exceeded by the pre migratory fattening period (see below). In the severe breeding environment of the High Arctic desert of Franz-Josef Land, Russia, purple sandpipers Calidris maritima fed through almost all the daytime hours (100% in females and 92% in males) during egg laying (Tomkovitch 1985). In Taimyr, Siberia, Höcker (1995) found that feeding took up only 36% to 76% of the time during pre-nesting in five shorebird species: male black-bellied plovers Pluvialis squatarola fed less than half the pre-nesting time, while female black-bellied plovers and the other species all spent more than 56% of their time feeding. Similarly, pre-nesting female Eurasian golden-plovers Pluvialis apricaria in the low-alpine Norwegian mountains used about 60-90% of their time feeding, or 1.5 times as much as males, and in both sexes more than at any other part of the breeding season (Byrkjedal 1985).

In contrast to these findings, red knots and ruddy turnstones Arenaria interpres showed reduced feeding during the first week after arrival on their north Ellesmere Island, Canada, breeding grounds, especially during periods of cold weather, and they spent much of their day resting after their long flight from Iceland (Morrison and Davidson 1990, Davidson and Morrison 1992, see also Parmelee and MacDonald 1960). Schwilch et al. (2002) suggested shorebirds may require a rest/recovery period after a long migration, and it is also less expensive energetically to roost and shelter during periods of poor weather than to attempt foraging at a time when little food is available. In addition, during the first week after arrival, energy stores brought to the breeding grounds from Iceland may be used for re-growth of various organs, which were reduced prior to or during flight (Morrison et al. 2005). Energy stores brought to the breeding grounds may thus serve to provide nutrients for body transformations as well as to provide energy for survival, thus negating the necessity for periods of heavy feeding at least shortly after arrival.

Very few long-term data are available on trends in timing of egg-laying during recent decades of climate amelioration in the Arctic. Schamel et al. (1999) found no difference over a two-decade comparison in a range of species at Cape Espenberg, Alaska. Similarly, time of peak and median nest initiations of western sandpipers on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta did not change between 1966-1968 and 1998-2003 (Holmes 1972, B. McCaffery, unpubl.). However, a significant trend towards earlier breeding during 1994-2003 was found in all shorebird species at lower Khatanga River, southeastern Taimyr (M.Y. Soloviev and V.V. Golovnyuk, unpubl.), a change that was accompanied by a significant increase in early June temperatures (during pre-nesting 31 May – 14 June). During these years, no significant trends were found for dates of snowmelt, or precipitation in the pre-nesting and incubation periods.

Clutch size and egg volume
Arctic shorebirds normally lay a clutch of four eggs, but many studies have found increased occurrence of clutches with three or two eggs in late breeding seasons and among late or replacement clutches (Meltofte et al. 1981, 2006b, Tomkovitch 1991, Nol et al. 1997, Sandercock et al. 1996, Meltofte 2000, 2003, C.L. Gratto-Trevor, unpubl., H.-U. Rösner and B. Ga"anter, unpubl., M.Y. Soloviev and V.V. Golovnyuk, unpubl., see further in Sandercock et al. 1999, Schamel et al. 2002, and Scheckerman et al. 2004; Fig. 5).

Egg volumes of shorebirds are generally smallest in High Arctic and continental areas, and increase towards maritime areas in the same species (Väisänen 1977) possibly reflecting productivity gradients. A few studies have found that egg volumes were reduced in cold or late breeding seasons and among late or replacement clutches (western sandpipers, Sandercock et al. 1999; dunlin, Schamel et al. 2002; ruddy turnstones, R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl.), although late clutches had increased egg volume in red-necked phalaropes and western sandpipers at Cape Espenberg, Alaska (Schamel 1999, 2000). No interannual variability was found in egg volumes in maritime Low Arctic breeding dunlins during 14 years of study in northernmost Norway (H.-U. Rösner and B. Ga"anter, unpubl.), and egg volume in clutches of semipalmated plovers Charadrius semipalmatus that laid eggs in the late and cold breeding season of 1992 was similar to
FIG. 5. Shorebirds normally lay a clutch of four eggs, but in years with unfavorable conditions, clutch size is often reduced to three or even two eggs. Across the Arctic, 1 July is about the last date for egg-laying, which means that opportunities for re-nesting after loss of the first clutch are much reduced in late seasons. Sanderling on Rowley Island, Canada, June 1990. Photo: Jan van de Kam.

egg volume in other less cold years (Nol et al. 1997).

**Energetic constraints and nest abandonment during incubation**

Ambient weather conditions have major effects on levels of energy expenditure in Arctic-breeding shorebirds. The metabolic rate of Arctic shorebirds is highest during summer in the North, and shorebirds breeding in the extreme High Arctic expend about twice as much daily energy as birds incubating in a temperate climate (Lindström and Klaassen 2003, Piersma et al. 2003). The high costs of living do not appear to be due to the energetic cost of heating eggs (nests are usually placed in sheltered sites, and nest cups are often well-insulated, but see Andreev 1999, Reid et al. 2002). Instead, they appear to be due to costs of being active under cold and windy conditions, especially feeding in exposed habitats (Piersma et al. 2003). Body stores of incubating little stints *Calidris minutus* increased with latitude in the Eurasian Arctic (Tulp et al. 2002), and Cartar and Morrison (2005) showed that shorebirds living in metabolically more costly parts of the Canadian Arctic showed reduced tarsus length, apparently an evolutionary adaptation for reducing metabolic costs. Even in the mild Low Arctic Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta of Alaska, shorebirds seek shelter in the lee of hummocks during windy off-duty times, probably to reduce energy expenditure (B. McCaffery, unpubl.).

In one comparison, energy expenditure of red knots was about 20-30% higher in cool High Arctic Siberia than in more moderate breeding season temperatures of High Arctic Canada (Piersma 2002). In another comparison, thermostatic costs of ruddy turnstones at Alert on northern Ellesmere Island were similar to those on Rowley Island in the Foxe Basin, some 1700 km to the south in Canada (Piersma and Morrison 1994). Although Alert was colder, conditions on Rowley Island were windier, leading to similar ther-
mostatic costs owing to the wind-chill factor. Because Arctic shorebirds need to obtain energy for their own maintenance during incubation, when they have only 50% or less of their time available for feeding, adverse weather conditions during incubation can potentially affect both adult survival and breeding success (Cartar and Montgomerie 1987).

In shorebird species that employ uniparental incubation, nest attendance occurs for 75-85% of available time. This compares to <50% of the time for biparental incubators. By sharing incubation, each parent in a biparental species has up to 12 hours per day for foraging, while, in uniparental species, the time available is between 3.5 and 6 hours daily (Norton 1972, Erckmann 1981, Kondratyev 1982, Cartar and Montgomerie 1987, Tulp and Schekkerman 2006). The higher energetic stress for a uniparental incubator, the little stint, was reflected in a drop in body mass when temperature was low for several days, indicating depletion of stores. Body mass of biparental dunlins showed no such change under the same conditions (Tulp and Schekkerman 2006), and weight loss of birds trapped on the nest after cold days was observed in only one of 10 years in female biparental semipalmated plovers (Graham 2004). MacLean (1969, cited in Norton 1972) found increased frequency and duration of nest absences in uniparental pectoral sandpipers Calidris melanotos under adverse weather conditions. In white-rumped sandpipers Calidris fuscicolis, another uniparental incubator, duration of nest absences increased with ambient temperature, whereas frequency decreased (Cartar and Montgomerie 1987). Uniparental red-necked phalaropes had prolonged egg-laying intervals, and also prolonged incubation period among the early breeding segment of the population, suggesting energetic limitations for the adults (Schamel 2000). Similarly, uniparental Temminck’s stints showed longer total incubation periods under severe conditions owing to temporary absence from the nest (Hildén 1965, Tomkovich 1988). This may be the reason why uniparental species typically breed later than biparental species (Whitfield and Tomkovich 1996).

In situations where incubators left their nests unattended during feeding as a result of poor weather, this rarely resulted in nest failure (Graham 2004, Schamel et al. 1999, Tulp and Schekkerman 2006, however see Erckmann 1981, for evidence of desertion). Massive nest desertions following heavy snowfalls have been documented occasionally, but some nests are able to survive even when almost totally snow covered (Hildén 1979, Meltofte 1979, 2003, Tomkovich 1988, Tomkovich et al. 1994, Tomkovich and Soloviev 2001, see also Norton 1972). During hatching, eggs and young are particularly vulnerable to cold weather, but by incubation behavior and vocal communication with the unhatched chick, parent birds are apparently able to delay hatching of the chicks by up to three days when environmental conditions are poor (Norton 1972, Kondratyev 1982, Tomkovich and Soloviev 2001).

Desertion of late nests has been found in several studies (Gratto-Trevor 1992, Tomkovich et al. 1994, Meltofte 2000, Tulp and Schekkerman 2006). Another climate-related cause of nest loss is flooding during heavy snowmelt or rain (Holmes 1966, Meltofte 1985, Handel and Gill 2001, A. Ronka, University of Oulu, Finland, pers. com. 2003). In a river delta site at subarctic La Pérouse Bay, Canada, melt of delta ice was a month later than average in 1983, but semipalmated sandpipers nested in normal numbers and at normal dates. During the late melt, although nests were not directly flooded, many pairs deserted, presumably because insect prey was unavailable in the floodwaters (Gratto et al. 1985).

Predation on eggs
Predation pressure on shorebird clutches varies widely regionally, interannually, and even within each nesting season with nest losses to predators ranging from close to 0% to near 100% (Ryabitsev et al. 1976, Mayfield 1978, Tomkovich et al. 1994, Sanderson 1998, Schamel et al. 1999, Ruthrauff 2002, McCaffery and Ruthrauff 2004a, Graham 2004, Hansen and Meltofte 2006, C.L. Gratto-Trevor, unpubl., R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl., M.Y. Soloviev and V.V. Golovnyuk, unpubl.). Arctic-breeding shorebirds exhibit within-season variation in nest losses to predators. Some studies have demonstrated that earlier nests are more successful, as in spoon-billed sandpiper Erythrohynchus pygmeus (Tomkovich 1995). In western sandpipers, nest survival was significantly higher in early clutches in only two out of six study years in Low Arctic Alaska, with no difference in the six years combined (B. McCaffery, unpubl.). Nest success can also be lower in the early season, as in red-necked phalaropes in western
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Predation pressure on shorebird clutches varies widely regionally, interannually, and even within each nesting season with nest losses to predators ranging from close to 0% to near 100% (Ryabitsev et al. 1976, Mayfield 1978, Tomkovich et al. 1994, Sanderson 1998, Schamel et al. 1999, Ruthrauff 2002, McCaffery and Ruthrauff 2004a, Graham 2004, Hansen and Meltofte 2006, C.L. Gratto-Trevor, unpubl., R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl., M.Y. Soloviev and V.V. Golovnyuk, unpubl.). Arctic-breeding shorebirds exhibit within-season variation in nest losses to predators. Some studies have demonstrated that earlier nests are more successful, as in spoon-billed sandpiper Erythrohynchus pygmeus (Tomkovich 1995). In western sandpipers, nest survival was significantly higher in early clutches in only two out of six study years in Low Arctic Alaska, with no difference in the six years combined (B. McCaffery, unpubl.). Nest success can also be lower in the early season, as in red-necked phalaropes in western
Hudson Bay, Canada (Reynolds 1987). At this site, early nesters took longer to complete egg-laying and incubation, exposing nests to predators for a longer time. In addition, predators may have smaller areas of suitable breeding habitat to search early in the season before snowmelt has been completed (see below).

In general, Arctic nesting shorebirds breed more successfully in years with early breeding. Sandercock (1998) found significantly higher nest survival in western sandpipers and semipalmated sandpipers in early seasons, as did Schamel et al. (2003) in western sandpipers and Nol et al. (1997) in semipalmated plovers. The same pattern was found in semipalmated sandpipers at La Pérouse Bay, western Hudson Bay, but the correlation at that study site may be confounded by a concomitant pattern in microtine rodent numbers (C.L. Gratto-Trevor, unpubl., see below).

The most important egg predator in most parts of the Arctic is the arctic fox *Alopex lagopus*, and predation is highly influenced by fox activity and availability of other prey, particularly lemmings *Dicrostonyx* spp. and *Lemmus* spp. (Fig. 6). In Gamvik, northern Norway, with neither arctic foxes nor pronounced rodent fluctuations, dunlin nest survival was uniformly high across years (H.-U. Rösner and B. Ganter, unpubl.). Lemming cycles did not appear to have influenced nest success of semipalmated plover over 13 years of monitoring along the south-western Hudson Bay coast, as annual variation in nest success was low (between 52% and 73%, E. Nol, unpubl.). Microtine cycle and predation rates on semipalmated sandpipers at nearby La Pérouse Bay were negatively correlated over eight years of study. Here, the three years with the lowest predation on semipalmated sandpiper nests were the three earliest in terms of median date of egg-laying, and the three with highest numbers of microtines (C.L. Gratto-Trevor, unpubl.). Several studies have indicated that egg predation tends to be low in rodent
rich years and high in years after a lemming peak, when the number of foxes may also be high (Summers and Underhill 1989, Underhill et al. 1993, Gratto-Trevor 1994, Troy 1996, Smith et al. 2007). Cycles in shorebird productivity detected on the non-breeding grounds have been linked with lemming cycles on the breeding grounds (Summers et al. 1998).

Krebs et al. (2002) suggested that weather was a major factor causing synchrony in Arctic lemming populations, and weather such as late spring melt, lack of snow, freezing rain and severe cold appears to influence the effects of the well-defined lemming cycles that occur at Alert (R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl.). Because rodent fluctuations are particularly strong in snow-rich areas (Stenseth and Ims 1993), this may involve a large scale climate impact on predation pressure on shorebird nests.

Increased predation risk during egg-laying in areas or years with extensive spring snow cover may be a contributing factor. In the correlation between snow cover and egg-laying (Nyrkvedal 1989). For example, Meltofte et al. (1981) found significantly higher fox predation on ruddy turnstone clutches in early than in late (replacement) clutches in a snow-rich (93% on 10 June) area in High Arctic Greenland in spite of large numbers of lemmings that year. Similarly, in seasons with heavy snow in the High Arctic part of Taimyr, birds start nesting when snow-free areas are limited in size and often resemble chains of snow-free patches on higher elevation patches of tundra. In such situations it is easy to see tracks of arctic foxes proceeding from one patch to another, and this coincides with mass disappearance of early eggs (P.S. Tomkovich, unpubl.). By contrast, egg predation was low early in a season at Medusa Bay, Siberia, when lemmings were forced out of their nests during snowmelt; predation increased markedly after the lemmings had occupied their summer burrows (Schekkerman et al. 2004). Prey-switching by predators may thus modify or obscure effects of snow cover on vulnerability of nests to predation both between and within seasons.

Another climate impact relates to the possibilities for re-nesting after depredation of the initial clutch, so that egg-laying delayed by late snowmelt or poor food conditions results in less time for re-nesting before the end of the laying season (see above). For example, in a subarctic population of semipalmated sandpipers at La Pérouse Bay, Canada, none re-nested in late seasons, and there were few opportunities for re-nesting, as nests initiated after 1 July are usually deserted. Most re-nesting occurred in early seasons, where 47% of those losing nests before 26 June re-nested (Gratto-Trevor 1992 and unpubl.). A similar situation was found in sanderling Calidris alba on High Arctic northern Taimyr, where one third of the population may attempt to produce second clutches under the double-clutch breeding system, while in late seasons virtually no second clutches were laid (Tomkovich and Soloviev 2001). In the Low Arctic, on the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, western sandpipers re-nested in both early and late years, but the frequency of re-nesting was twice as high in the earliest year relative to the latest, and there was a significant linear relationship between median nest initiation date and the proportion of pairs re-nesting (B. McCaffery, unpubl.).

Chick rearing

Arctic shorebird chicks are hypothesized to hatch around the time that insect prey abundance on the tundra is maximal (Hurd and Piepka 1954, Holmes 1966, Nettleship 1973, 1974), but owing to spring weather and snow cover (see above), the extent to which there is a match does vary (Fig. 7). Growth rates of chicks were correlated with temperature and/or arthropod activity in all Siberian species studied to date (Schekkerman et al. 1998, 2003a, 2004, Tulp and Schekkerman in press) and proportion of time feeding was strongly related to ambient temperatures in American golden-plovers Pluvialis dominica (Krijgsfeld et al. 2003). Three non-exclusive mechanisms underlie this. In cold weather (1) chicks expend more energy on thermoregulation at the expense of tissue formation, (2) small chicks require more parental brooding and thus have less time available for foraging, and (3) foraging success is reduced due to lower arthropod surface activity. In red knot chicks, arthropod activity explained variation in growth rate better than weather alone (Schekkerman et al. 2003b). On the Yukon-Kuskokwim Delta, in warm, dry years, black turnstone Arenaria melanocephala chicks achieved an average body mass at 11-12 days of age that was similar to that reached in 20-21 days in cold, wet years (Handel and Gill 2001). In Gamvik, northern Norway, fledging age of dunlin chicks varied between 16 and 19 days among 14 study years. This variation was most likely related to arthropod availability, and fledging date appears less
variable at this Atlantic Low Arctic site than at other Arctic locations (H.-U. Rösner and B. Ganter, unpubl.).

On Taimyr, Siberia, activity of surface-dwelling invertebrates (the main food of shorebird chicks) was measured by pitfall trapping, and showed a very high weather-dependence superimposed on a unimodal seasonal pattern (Schekkerman et al. 2003a, Tulp and Schekkerman in press). Low temperature, rainfall, and strong winds drastically reduce arthropod activity. The amplitude of short-term weather-induced effects was as large as that of the seasonal pattern, and thus the vagaries of weather strongly influenced the temporal pattern of arthropod availability observed in a given year. This may obscure any relationship between the date of snowmelt and that of seasonal arthropod peak, and renders the timing of the latter highly unpredictable. Nevertheless, growth rate influenced fledging success. In little stints at Taimyr, broods that hatched on the declining flank of the arthropod peak in 2001 had a lower probability of being re-sighted subsequently than broods that hatched earlier and near the peak of arthropod abundance (Tulp and Schekkerman 2001). In curlew sandpipers *Calidris ferruginea*, a positive correlation was found between the proportion of juveniles in South African ringing samples during the Boreal winter and mean temperatures on the Taimyr Peninsula in the 10-day period that most chicks hatch (Schekkerman et al. 1998, see further below).

The correlations between arthropod activity and weather and date observed on Taimyr in 2000-2002 were used to estimate food availability for chicks from weather data over the past 30 years. The modeled date of peak insect activity ranged between 10 July and 1 August, and most often fell in the 2nd 10 day period of July. Based on the value of arthropod abundance at which growth rate becomes negatively affected, the probability that chicks encounter enough food on specific dates was calculated (Tulp and Schekkerman 2007). The probability that insect activity reached a level allowing 'normal' growth of chicks showed a flatter distribution, with values >0.2 between 5 and 31 July.

**FIG. 7.** Arctic shorebird chicks hatch at a time when invertebrate food is usually abundant on the tundra, but periods with inclement weather may hamper chick growth to such an extent that it reduces chick survival. In general, early clutches hatch at a better time for chick growth than late clutches. Sandpiperling on Rowley Island, Canada, July 1990. Photo: Jan van de Kam.
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July, but falling rapidly thereafter. Even during the period with the lowest variance in peak insect activity (20-27 July), this probability never exceeded 0.5 (Tulp and Schekkerman in press). Hatching dates of shorebird chicks in 2000-2002 nearly all fell within this period with reasonable probability of sufficient food, suggesting that shorebirds timed their breeding for an 'average year' (Myers and Pitelka 1979, Tulp and Schekkerman in press). Early springs led to the entire pre-fledging period falling within the period of peak insect activity, which may be important, as larger chicks require more energy than small ones. However, even in early years, insect availability may be such that late-hatched chicks suffer reduced growth and survival due to decreasing food availability. Moreover, a trend towards earlier dates of the insect peak was observed during the study period, with a c. 6-day difference between 1970 and 2002 (Tulp and Schekkerman in press).

A similar analysis of weather and arthropod data from Zackenberg in High Arctic Greenland indicated that shorebird chicks experienced a longer period of sufficient food availability during summer than on Taimyr (I. Tulp, unpubl., see also Green et al. 1977). This is related to the more continental and favorable weather at this site than in High Arctic Taimyr, where Tomkovich and Soloviev (2001) reported that "During all three study years snow storms occurred repeatedly during summer". For only one out of nine seasons at Zackenberg we found indications of food limitations in shorebird chicks due to inclement weather (Meltofte 1998). Still, there was a positive correlation between red knot and ruddy turnstone populations and July temperatures two years earlier (these two species take at least two years to mature); suggesting that chick survival even here could vary significantly (Meltofte 2006).

As in Taimyr, reduced chick survival late in the season was found in western sandpipers in Alaska (Ruthrauff and McCaffery 2005), and unfledged chicks in late broods are sometimes left unattended by parents because adults simply depart (e.g. Morozov and Tomkovich 1988, Tomkovich et al. 1994, Neville 2002). In addition, there is the risk of severe weather events such as snow storms in July that can kill many chicks (Ryabitsev 1993, Meltofte 2001, Tomkovich et al. 1994, see also Tomkovich and Fokin 1983).

In addition to optimal timing of chick hatching and growth in relation to arthropod peak abundance in July, early breeding maximizes the length of the period available for build up of juvenile body stores before departure on their first migration (Fig. 8). In this context, the finding of Meissner (2004) that juvenile Siberian red knots staging on the Baltic coast of Poland had shorter wings and bills in two years with cold summers may be relevant. The building up of fat stores might be especially important in parts of the Arctic where juveniles must cross large expanses of ocean or fly over obstacles such as the Greenland ice-cap (2000 m a.s.l.). In Arctic Canada and Siberia, where for some species it is possible to migrate in small hops between coastal stopover sites, little pre-migratory fattening was found (Tulp and Schekkerman 2001 and unpubl., Lindström et al. 2002). However, at High Arctic Alert, northern Ellesmere Island, Canada, both adult and juvenile red knots and ruddy turnstones put on large amounts of fat prior to migration, indicating that they make a long haul flight out of the Arctic (R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl.). It may also be noted that the peak in arthropod availability occurs not only during the peak chick-rearing season, but at a time when many adult shorebirds (principally females that have abandoned broods to the care of the male) are actively accumulating fat and other body stores before departure from the Arctic (R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl.).

On Taimyr, adults of all shorebird species had lower body mass during chick-rearing than during incubation, possibly because large energy stores were less necessary in the chick-tending period due to a lower incidence of cold spells, a two- to three-fold increase in time available for foraging and with maximum availability of arthropod food resources (Soloviev and Tomkovich 1997, Tulp et al. 2002, Tulp et al. 2007, R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl.). In addition it could even be advantageous to be lean because the costs of flight and terrestrial locomotion depend on body mass (Pennycuick, 1989; Bruinzeel et al., 1999), and predation risk is expected to increase with the amount of reserve tissue (Lima 1986, Houston and McNamara 1993, Witter et al. 1994, Gosler et al. 1995). However, in semipalmated sandpipers in northernmost Alaska, where chick attendance and supposed pre-migratory fattening overlap, both males and females used about 80% of their time feeding (Ashkenazie and Safriel 1979).
FIG. 8. The earlier the chicks hatch, the more time they have to grow and develop before they have to leave the Arctic, where at high latitudes, winter can begin as early as September. Juvenile and adult red knots at Cape Sterlegova, Taimyr, 26 July 1994. Photo: Jan van de Kam.

Total juvenile production and recruitment to the breeding population

In the Arctic, breeding success as measured by juvenile production varies considerably. Among red knots from High Arctic Greenland/Canada, juvenile proportions on the Northwest European wintering grounds varied between 0.5% and 44% during 1969-1995, with most years ranging between about 10% and 35%; poor weather on the breeding grounds was associated with low production of juveniles - for instance, after the late and cold 1992 breeding season almost no juveniles were captured on the European wintering grounds (Boyd and Piersma 2001). Semipalmated plovers in subarctic Churchill, western Hudson Bay, produced no fledglings in either 2000 or 2004, two years with extreme low temperatures (E. Nol, unpubl.). Boyd and Piersma (2001) did not find significant correlations between juvenile numbers and breeding range summer temperatures in red knot, probably a result of the non-uniform nature of the Greenland-Canadian breeding grounds in spring snow cover and weather (see also Zöckler and Lysenko 2000). Again, in Low Arctic northernmost Norway there was comparatively little inter-annual variation in dunlin breeding success (the estimated maximum number of fledged chicks per breeding adult in the area varied between 0.83 and 1.39 in 14 years of study 1991-2004, H.-U. Rösner and B. Ganter, unpubl.).

In a preliminary analysis of counts of juvenile shorebirds made at two-weekly intervals during the period of southward migration at sites in the Maritime Provinces on the east coast of Canada between 1974 and 1998, juvenile proportions for a number of species were directly related to climatic conditions in parts of the Canadian Arctic used by the species for breeding (Morrison 2004). Correlational and principal components analyses showed that proportions of juveniles for many species were correlated with climate variables known to affect nesting (June) or brood-rearing (July) success in a positive (temperature) or negative (snow depth, wind, precipitation) manner. For instance, juvenile proportions for red knots were nega-
tively correlated with June snow cover in the eastern Canadian Arctic, and for sanderling were positively correlated with June temperature in the western Canadian Arctic and negatively with July snow cover in the central Arctic. Similar relationships were found in other species (e.g., semipalmated sandpiper, black-bellied plover) with some exceptions (e.g., white-rumped sandpiper) (R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl.; see however McCaffery et al. 2006).

In the Siberian Arctic, conditions are more variable than in most other parts of the Arctic with juvenile production fluctuating from almost none to plentiful between years primarily related to rodent abundance (Ryabitsev et al. 1976, Summers and Underhill 1987, Underhill et al. 1993, Tomkovich et al. 1994). Hence, fluctuations in total juvenile numbers, juvenile proportions in ringing samples, or numbers of over-summering one-year-old shorebirds from breeding areas in the central parts of the Siberian tundra have indicated large and roughly three-yearly cyclic variation in productivity (e.g. Summers and Underhill 1987). The main source of this variability is predators such as arctic foxes and skuas (Stercorarius spp.), which apparently prefer to feed on lemmings, but switch to bird eggs and young when lemmings are scarce (see section on Predation on eggs).

Effects of weather on shorebird juvenile production in the Siberian Arctic were examined by correlating variation in the proportion of juveniles among non-breeding curlew sandpipers in South Africa over 18 years 1977-1994 with summer temperature records from the core breeding area on Taimyr (Schekkerman et al. 1998). After controlling for the predation-mediated effect of lemming abundance, breeding productivity (range 0-57% juveniles) was positively correlated with mean temperature in Taimyr during 11-20 July, the period when most young chicks are present on the tundra (see above). Weather thus seems to have effects on chick survival both widespread and large enough to be detected in the non-breeding areas, and the combination of (inferred) predation pressure, weather conditions and hence, food availability during the fledging period explains a large part of the variation in breeding productivity found in this species (77% plus 11%, respectively). Although correlations between productivity and temperature were examined for all 10-day periods in June-August, no others were significant including the pre-laying period. However, no data were available for date of snowmelt/snow depth at a certain date, as they are in Canada (see above).

Shorebird juvenile production in the eastern Siberian Arctic, examined by variation in the proportion of juveniles in Southeast Australia, was correlated with July temperature within breeding ranges in ruddy turnstone, curlew sandpiper, and red-necked stint Calidris ruficollis, and with the combined effect of June and July temperatures in sharp-tailed sandpiper Calidris acuminata. Effects of rodent abundance on shorebird breeding productivity were found only in red-necked stint and sharp-tailed sandpiper and were less pronounced compared to weather effects (Soloviev et al. 2006).

Ryabitsev (1993) found that breeding success in several site-tenacious species (Eurasian golden-plover, wood sandpiper Tringa glareola and Temminck's stint) was positively correlated with their nest density in the following year in subarctic Yamal, western Siberia, presumably through the recruitment of 1-year old birds into the local population, while significant relationships were not found in opportunistic species (little stint and ruff Philomachus pugnax) and in dunlin. This relationship in the site-tenacious species was explained by reduced recruitment to the breeding population in summers following seasons of breeding failure. Breeding densities of opportunistic species are subject to pronounced fluctuations due to redistribution of birds within the breeding range, and local effects of the previous reproductive success cannot be tracked on such background.

Similarly, Troy (1996) found that nesting densities of semipalmated sandpiper and dunlin – the most common site-tenacious species – fluctuated synchronously during an 11 year period at Prudhoe Bay in northern Low Arctic Alaska, and that fluctuations were related to hatching success two years before when recruits to the population were produced. These fluctuations in hatching success were related to predation by foxes reinforced by lemming crashes.

The post-breeding period of adults on the breeding grounds
Adult Arctic shorebirds appear to minimize the duration of their stay on the breeding grounds, so that they can return to non-breeding areas before invertebrate food resources decline (Schneider and Harrington 1981,
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Meltofte 1985, 1996, Zwarts et al. 1992, Byrkjedal and Thompson 1998, van Gils et al. 2005a; Fig. 9). Furthermore, early departure may give the shorebirds a lead in relation to peak migration of avian predators (Lamk et al. 2003). During autumn, shorebirds often complete primary molt and build up body stores for onward migrations or, for those who stay in temperate areas during winter, as an insurance against the unpredictability of weather conditions of the northern winter.

Failed breeders begin to form post-breeding flocks in mid or late June, soon followed by individuals who have left the care of the chicks to their mates (Meltofte 1985, Gratto-Trevor 1991, Syroechkovski and Lappo 1994, Tomkovich et al. 1994). Female semipalmated and western sandpipers desert their broods at an earlier age the later the brood hatches (Gratto-Trevor 1991, Neville 2002, Ruthrauff 2002), but even so, the result is that timing of autumn migration depends on timing of breeding and on breeding success (Syroechkovski and Lappo 1994, Tomkovich and Soloviev 1996, 2006, Blomqvist et al. 2002).

One exception is the dunlin, which frequently molts flight feathers, fully or partly, in the Arctic. In northern Alaska, for example, birds remain near the breeding grounds after breeding and complete primary molt there, and the molt increasingly overlaps with the breeding period to the north (Holmes 1971a, Kania 1990, Byrkjedal 1971, Tomkovich 1998, Holmgren et al. 2001). In many populations of this species, primary molt is triggered by onset of breeding. However, delayed clutch initiation in late seasons results in increased molt rate and completion of the molt about the same time in early August as in 'normal' seasons (Soloviev and Pronin 1998). Interestingly, in Gamvik, northern Norway, it is only a small proportion of the one year old breeding Dunlin who start primary molt there and none of the older ones (H.-U. Rösner and B. Ganter, unpubl.). Some populations of purple sandpipers also have a short, rapid molt on the breeding grounds before moving to the wintering grounds (Morrison 1976, 1984, Cramp and Simmons 1983).

One exception is the dunlin, which frequently molts flight feathers, fully or partly, in the Arctic. In northern Alaska, for example, birds remain near the breeding grounds after breeding and complete primary molt there, and the molt increasingly overlaps with the breeding period to the north (Holmes 1971a, Kania 1990, Byrkjedal 1971, Tomkovich 1998, Holmgren et al. 2001). In many populations of this species, primary molt is triggered by onset of breeding. However, delayed clutch initiation in late seasons results in increased molt rate and completion of the molt about the same time in early August as in 'normal' seasons (Soloviev and Pronin 1998). Interestingly, in Gamvik, northern Norway, it is only a small proportion of the one year old breeding Dunlin who start primary molt there and none of the older ones (H.-U. Rösner and B. Ganter, unpubl.). Some populations of purple sandpipers also have a short, rapid molt on the breeding grounds before moving to the wintering grounds (Morrison 1976, 1984, Cramp and Simmons 1983).

FIG. 9. Adults of most species of shorebirds appear to leave the Arctic as early as possible to be able to go to the temperate and tropical non-breeding areas as early in the summer / autumn as possible. This has the advantage that they arrive when invertebrate food is at a maximum, and that they can commence the molt of flight feathers early in the season, before winter begins in temperate areas. The earlier they can breed, the earlier they can leave. Dunlins at Valnjoökull, Iceland, June 1996. Photo: Jan van de Kam.
During the post-breeding period, adult Arctic shorebirds, which must cross geographical barriers such as open sea (e.g. Nebel et al. 2000, Gill et al. 2005), build up body stores for the return flight to temperate and tropical non-breeding areas. Pre-migratory fattening primarily takes place during July-August, when food for adults is often plentiful along pond and lake shores, and on adjacent coasts — though it may already be declining on the tundra proper (cf. Holmes 1966, Holmes and Pitelka 1968, MacLean and Pitelka 1971, Nettleship 1973, Schekkerman and van Roomen 1995, Tulp et al. 1998, Tulp and Schekkerman in press).

Adult red knots passing through the Alert area, High Arctic Ellesmere Island, accumulate large body stores before departure (R.I.G. Morrison, unpubl., see above), and at Zackenberg, post-breeding adults (and juveniles) of four shorebird species used 75-88% of their day-time feeding, or largely the same as during pre-nesting and significantly more than during breeding proper (Meltofte and Lahrmann 2006). Similarly, semipalmated sandpipers in northernmost Alaska used about 80% of their time feeding during supposed pre-migratory fattening (Ashkenazie and Safriel 1979).

By contrast, adult (and juvenile) little stints, dunlins, curlew sandpipers and ruddy turnstones departing from southwest Taimyr had only small fuel stores (Tulp and Schekkerman 2001, in press). Body masses of departing birds were generally lower than during incubation, or even than upon arrival — with the exception of some adult curlew sandpipers and little stints. The general rule for these smaller species seemed to be to leave Taimyr at low mass, probably in small hops until better feeding sites were reached farther south-west along the migratory route (see also Lindström 1998, Lindström et al. 2002). The same pattern (i.e., limited or no obvious pre-migratory fattening) seems to hold for several populations of Calidris sandpipers in the middle parts of the North American Arctic, which appear to lack major geographical barriers at the northern end of their fall migration routes (Pitelka 1959, Yarbrough 1970, Jehl 1979).

Adult survival and site tenacity on the breeding grounds

Little information exists on adult survival during the breeding period. Generally, relative to their size, shorebirds are long-lived birds often with an annual survival of 70-90% and a very high, occasionally even complete, site tenacity (Boyd 1962, Evans and Pienkowski 1984, Evans 1991, Goede 1993, Sandercock and Gratto-Trevor 1997, Sandercock 2003, H.-U. Rösner and B. Ganter, unpubl.). As mentioned above, excessive mortality has been described in years with severe weather upon arrival, but otherwise there are few records of weather induced mortality. It is quite possible that in general most mortality in Arctic shorebirds occurs either during migration or at the staging and non-breeding quarters. However, in the cold summers of 1972, 1974 and 1992, the apparent mortality in Nearctic red knots was so high (and reproduction so poor) that it reduced the British wintering population by 21%, 24%, and 19%, respectively (Boyd and Piersma 2001), and was reflected by the exceptionally large number of band recoveries on the breeding grounds in Greenland (Lyngs 2003).

In semipalmated plovers at subarctic Churchill, Canada, where survival estimates for 11 years based on mark-recapture of breeding adults varied between 67% and 81% among years, adult survivorship was negatively correlated with the number of June days with sub-zero temperatures and positively correlated with breeding success (E. Nol, D.S. Badzinski and K. Graham, unpubl.). At nearby La Pérouse Bay, large numbers of semipalmated sandpipers deserted full-laid, non-flooded clutches after a very late melt in the delta in 1983, and only 6% returned the following year, compared to 36% from depredated nests and 67% from successful nests in other years. Many of the deserting birds had nested in the area for many years, so were unlikely to have emigrated, and as they were never seen again, they were more likely to have died (Gratto et al. 1985).

In the Americas, a dramatic decline in the population of rufa red knots observed between 2000 and 2002 coincided with a decrease in adult annual survival from 85% to 56% due to lack of food on the final spring staging areas (Baker et al. 2004, Morrison et al. 2004), the decrease in survival being enough to account for the observed decline. The islandica population of red knot underwent a marked decline during
the early 1970s, which could be related to increased adult mortality due to poor spring weather on the High Arctic Greenland-Canadian breeding grounds (Boyd 1992, Lyngs 2003, Morrison 2006; see above).

Population densities, distribution and size
Shorebird breeding densities vary by more than a factor of 100 between the densest populations in Low Arctic parts of Alaska and Siberia, and the low densities of the High Arctic deserts of northern Greenland, the Canadian Archipelago and the Russian islands in the Arctic Ocean (Fig. 10). In prime habitat in Low Arctic Alaska, regional densities of up to 200 pairs of shorebirds have been found per km² – primarily calidridine sandpipers and phalaropes (McCaffery et al. 2002, B. McCaffery and J. Bart, unpubl.), with local densities of 250-750 pairs per km² (Holmes 1971b, Gill and Handel 1990, Schamel et al. 1999, McCaffery and Ruthrauff 2004b), and in north and north-eastern Siberia up to 100-150 pairs per km² have been found (Golovnyuk et al. 2004a, Schekkerman et al. 2004). In contrast, only a few pairs or even less are found per km² on Arctic desert (Meltofte 1985, Tomkovich 1985, Morrison 1997). More typical densities in shorebird habitat (excluding mountains, boulder fields etc.) in the Low Arctic are 35-100 pairs per km² (Ryabitsev and Alekseeva 1998, Jehl and Lin 2001, Brown et al. 2007, H.-U. Rößner and B. Ganter, unpubl., M.Y. Soloviev and V.V. Golovnyuk, unpubl.) and up to 5-50 pairs per km² in the southern High Arctic – mainly calidridine sandpipers and plovers (Meltofte 1985, 2006, Spiekman and Groen 1993, Underhill et al. 1993, Morrison 1997, Tulp et al. 1997 1998, Schekkerman et al. 2004, P.S. Tomkovich, unpubl.).

Holmes (1970) showed that dunlins defended territories five times as large at Point Barrow (close to the border between the High and the Low Arctic zones) compared to Low Arctic tundra 10° farther south in Alaska. He attributed this to differences in food density and predictability. Territory density ranged from 6 pairs/40 ha (15 pairs per km²) in the north to 30 pairs/40 ha (75 pairs per km²) in the south, where food

FIG. 10. Densities of breeding shorebirds may be 100 times as high in the productive sub- and Low Arctic areas as in the High Arctic desert. In the most productive areas in Alaska and northeastern Siberia, several hundred pairs may breed per km². A pair of bar-tailed godwits on Varanger, Norway, June 1992. Photo: Jan van de Kam.
density in preferred feeding habitat was 16 times higher than at Point Barrow, particularly in the early part of the breeding season. Similarly, shorebird breeding densities in High Arctic Greenland were best correlated with snow-free feeding habitat in early June (Meltofte, 1988).


An effect on a site-tenacious species of early season temperatures was found at Churchill, western Hudson Bay, where the population of semipalmated plovers dropped from an average of 45 pairs to less than 27 in three years (1992, 2000 and 2004), each with average minimum daily air temperature below zero during the first 10 days of June (average number of pairs calculated for years 1988-2004, Graham 2004). Except for these three years, densities remained relatively stable. At Medusa Bay, Taimyr, a drastic reduction in density was only found in one out of six commonly breeding shorebirds species, the Pacific golden plover Pluvialis fulva, in the late spring and summer of 2002 compared with early 2000 and 2001. Species showing no clear response included both site-tenacious ones and species with no site tenacy at all (Scheikerman et al. 2004).

Few data exist on long term population changes. In general, modelling has indicated that shorebird populations are most sensitive to changes in adult mortality (Hitchcock and Gratto-Trevor 1997; see chapter on 'Adult survival and site tenacity on the breeding grounds'). However, under certain conditions recruitment may be relatively important (Atkinson et al. 2003, Boyd and Piersma 2001). For example, in the islandica knot population, trends in population size reflected long-term changes in both adult mortality rates and juvenile production, with suggestive evidence for density-dependent processes affecting reproductive success (Boyd and Piersma 2001). At Cape Espenberg, Alaska, a significant decrease in semipalmated sandpipers and western sandpipers took place from the 1970s to the 1990s, apparently as a result of increased predator abundance, but there was no significant decline in red-necked phalaropes or dunlins (Schamel et al. 1999). During the same period, the population of spoon-billed sandpipers declined about three-fold in Chukotka, easternmost Siberia (Tomkovich et al. 2002), and preliminary information indicates declines in populations of several other species at Belyaka Spit, Chukotsky Peninsula, belonging to the East Asian-Australasian flyway (P.S. Tomkovich, unpubl.). Declines of shorebirds in the Canadian Arctic have been reported near subarctic Churchill (Gratto-Trevor 1994, Jehl and Lin 2001), at the Low Arctic Rasmussen Lowlands (Gratto-Trevor et al. 1998, 2001, Johnston et al. 2000) and for some species in the High Arctic (Pattie 1990, Gould 1988, see also Morrison 2001).

Expansion of breeding ranges of several Arctic shorebirds also took place during the 20th century. Long-billed dowitcher Limnodromus scolopaceus expanded its breeding range by about 3000 km westward from Chukotka to western Taimyr in Siberia (Lappo 2000). Pintail snipe Gallinago stenura also expanded about 600 km westward into the European Low Arctic and subarctic (Morozov 1998). Sharp-tailed sandpiper was found recently at Taimyr, about 600 km west of its previous known breeding range, and numbers are still increasing there (Golovnyuk et al. 2004a,b). During the last three decades two Alaskan species, the semipalmated plover and the semipalmated sandpiper penetrated into Chukotsky Peninsula possibly due to climate amelioration, which allowed these American species to arrive and start breeding before Asian congeners (Tomkovich and Syroechkovski 2005). In southern High Arctic Greenland, Eurasian golden-plover and whimbrel Numenius phaeopus have begun to breed during recent decades, possibly due to climate amelioration in the 20th century (Boertmann 1994). A 16-yr data set from the True-
love Lowlands of Devon Island, Canada (Pattie 1990), showed that the more southerly American golden-plover increased significantly after 1979 in a period (1980-1989) when average June temperatures were slightly higher (0.58°C versus -1.12°C) than in seven years between 1970 and 1979 (Environment Canada weather data). In parallel to these northward expansions of ‘southern’ species, red and red-necked phalaropes seem to be decreasing in the southern part of their range (Cramp and Simmons 1983, Whitfield 1995, Jehl and Lin 2001).

Range contractions are not known to us, but contractions are probably less well recorded.
Arctic shorebirds spend most of the year on tidal coasts and other wetlands in temperate and tropical areas, but during the summer they expose themselves for a relatively few weeks to an often harsh Arctic environment to reproduce. Conditions on their breeding grounds vary dramatically both spatially, from apparently favorable environments in coastal northernmost Low Arctic Norway and some parts of the subarctic, to the harshness of the northernmost High Arctic tundras, and also temporally, from year to year in most areas.

Primary productivity is nearly 1000 times higher in Low Arctic shrub communities than in High Arctic desert (Jonasson et al. 2000), and this is the most likely explanation for the 100-fold higher shorebird breeding densities in certain sub- and Low Arctic areas than in High Arctic desert. Productivity (food) must be the overall governing factor in shorebird breeding density in the Arctic, but this will be regionally and locally moderated by long lasting snow cover, inclement weather, etc. Similarly, Henningsson and Alerstam (2005) found that shorebird species richness in the Arctic to a large degree is determined by primary production, the length of the snow-free period, the availability of migratory flyways, as well as the extent of tundra habitat during the last glaciation.

Our review has identified two periods of possible energetic bottlenecks: (1) the pre-nesting and egg-laying period all over the Arctic and (2) the chick growth period in large parts of the Arctic. Both aspects seem to involve that egg-laying as early as possible after arrival.

**FIG. 11.** The most critical period during the shorebirds’ stay in the Arctic seems to be the first days after arrival, when (1) food is limited and they transform their bodies from ‘flying machines’ to ‘breeding machines’, (2) they need surplus body stores to withstand spells of inclement weather, (3) they need local nutrients for egg production (in females), and (4) they need to initiate build-up of body stores for incubation. The result is that initiation of egg-laying varies by up to 2-3 weeks depending on food density and snow cover. Black-bellied Plover at Cape Sterlegova, Siberia, 20 June 1994. Photo: Jan van de Kam.
on the breeding grounds may be selected for in Arctic shorebirds in order to improve production of viable young, provide opportunities for re-nesting in the case of initial nest failure and facilitate early departure of adults and young.

After arrival and before egg-laying, Arctic shorebirds first must transform their bodies from 'flying machines' to 'breeding machines' (Fig. 11). Body stores accumulated on staging areas during migration and remaining after arrival on the breeding grounds may facilitate such physiological changes, while at the same time, body stores may also serve as an insurance against severe weather upon arrival (Piersma 1998, Morrison et al. 2005). In both respects, body stores accumulated at their final staging areas may be of great importance, and failure to acquire adequate stores before departure from the final spring stopover area may have severe survival consequences (Baker et al. 2004, Morrison 2006, Morrison et al. 2007). Stores acquired at high quality sites remotely from the nesting grounds themselves may thus play a key role in enabling shorebirds to breed early and successfully in the Arctic (Alerstam et al. 1986, Baker et al. 2004, van Gils et al. 2005b). Finally, after arrival shorebirds must acquire resources both for egg-laying (females), territory/mate defense (mostly males) and for incubation (most often both sexes) on the breeding grounds.

In large parts of the sub- and Low Arctic, snow is no problem in most years, while in parts of the Siberian Low Arctic and in the circumpolar High Arctic, 'sufficient' snow-free land must be available for feeding, nesting and spacing out of nests to reduce predation. Secondly, in all parts of the Arctic there must be enough food available for egg production, i.e. soil surface temperatures above freezing making invertebrates available (Chernov 1985, Hodkinson 2003).

Our review suggests that feeding conditions for chicks during pre-fledging influence production of juveniles, but that weather variation makes it very hard for a shorebird to predict the peak of insect emergence on a within-year timescale. This suggests that they do best by breeding as early as possible, so that as much

**FIG. 12.** Another critical period is the chick-rearing period, particularly in parts of the Arctic where inclement weather is common even in July. In all aspects of breeding, an early start seems beneficial. Little stint on Taimyr, Siberia, July 1992. Photo: Jan van de Kam.
as possible of the pre-fledging period falls within the period with a reasonable chance of finding sufficient food for the young to grow (Fig. 12).

Owing to large differences between regions, shorebirds are able to initiate egg-laying up to one month earlier in parts of the westernmost Nearctic compared to the eastern Canadian Arctic and parts of the Palearctic. A final date for laying around 1 July across the Arctic implies that the 'window' for re-nesting, in case of failure, is much longer in the early snow-free parts of the Arctic.

Taken together, feeding conditions during pre-nesting and egg-laying may be a strongly contributing factor in determining shorebird breeding densities and breeding performance in the Arctic. This is intensified in the High Arctic and parts of the Siberian Low Arctic, where up to 80-90% of the tundra may be snow-covered during pre-nesting.

Across the Arctic, predation strongly influences breeding productivity. In the Siberian Arctic, lemmings are keystone species and any climate effects on their abundance or population dynamics may indirectly affect shorebird populations through predation. The role of lemmings in the eastern Canadian Arctic is unclear, but large annual fluctuations in lemming or other microtine populations suggest that similar dynamics operates there.

In spite of substantial differences demonstrated in this review between different parts of the Arctic, shorebird populations survive and reproduce—although in highly variable densities. Because we do not know to what extent pre-nesting feeding, chick feeding, or predation are intra- as well as inter-specifically dependent, we cannot present firm conclusions on the effects of these factors in determining total population sizes. Are Arctic shorebird populations saturated on the breeding grounds, or are they more or less constantly kept below saturation by climate, predation, or other factors inside or outside the Arctic? (See Hale 1986, Evans and Pienkowski 1984, Troy 1996, van de Kam et al. 2004.) Population regulation may differ between species and populations, and even temporarily. In addition, there may be transient inter-seasonal effects, so that a difficult breeding season results in increased mortality later in the year. Similarly, stressful non-breeding seasons may reduce body condition upon arrival in the Arctic and hence, affect breeding success (Schekkerman et al. 2003b, Baker et al. 2004) and survival (Morrison 2006, Morrison et al. 2007). Such cross-seasonal interactions await study.

Increases in summer temperatures in large parts of the Arctic during recent decades followed by earlier snowmelt and plant growth, at least in subarctic and Low Arctic areas (Foster 1989, Zhou et al. 2001, Dye 2002, Comiso 2003, Dye and Tucker 2003), has given rise to conflicting evaluations of possible effects on shorebird population sizes (Zöckler and Lysenko 2000 versus Meltofte et al. 2006a). The results presented in this review generally point to warmer spring and summer weather, at least initially, being beneficial to Arctic shorebirds particularly during the two phases of the breeding cycle which appear to be most critical (Fig. 13). Warmer winters with higher prey availability could also benefit populations wintering in temperate regions. Hence, warmer winters in western Europe during recent decades have made it possible for shorebirds to spend the non-breeding season farther north and east than previously (Austin et al. 2000, Rehfisch et al. 2004).

Scenarios for longer time periods ahead are probably more complicated. The relationships between shorebird breeding performance and weather/temperature observed in studies performed within the range of variability seen in current climatic conditions may tell us little about effects over a longer time-scale and a larger amplitude of climate change. These are likely to involve more fundamental changes to Arctic ecosystems, of which the loss of breeding habitat is the most profound. In spite of the fact that Arctic shorebirds are resilient to great interannual variability, we do not know to what extent the birds are able to adapt to fast changing climatic conditions. Recent Arctic climate scenarios for the future (Kattsov et al. 2005) do not have a spatial or temporal resolution either for temperature, incoming radiation (cloud cover and thereby microclimate temperatures), precipitation (including duration of snow cover), or wind, nor for frequency and intensity of severe weather events, which would allow us to impute our findings into these models, but possibilities may improve in the near future (see Weber et al. 1998 for a first try). For the time being, it seems most useful to take a shortcut and look at macro-scale relationships between species and their environment. Because most Arctic shorebirds are largely confined to specific habitat zones within the Arctic, we must expect them to react to changes in the vegetation and climate occurring in these zones.
DISCUSSION

 Initially, global warming may benefit Arctic shorebirds because of earlier snowmelt and warmer summers with more stable food availability for adults and chicks, but in the longer term overgrowing of the tundra with shrubs and trees will probably reduce their breeding habitats significantly. Dunlin on Varanger, Norway, June 1995. Photo: Jan van de Kam.

A general expansion in subarctic shrub and Boreal forest is expected with increasing temperatures - and is already taking place (Myneni et al. 1997, Sturm et al. 2001, Stow et al. 2004, Goetz et al. 2005) - and this will reduce the breeding areas available to tundra shorebirds (Zöckler and Lysenko 2000). According to present climate scenarios, about half the Arctic may transform into subarctic shrub within this century, followed by forest expansion in the longer term (Huntley 1997, Solomon 1997, Callaghan et al. 2005). Another effect of global warming is the decrease in permafrost, lowering of the water table, and thus drying of marshes and ponds in the southern parts of the Arctic (Walsh et al. 2005). This type of habitat loss may have more immediate effects on shorebird populations here than the expansion of shrubs and trees. A continuation of warm summers may further lead to more and different predators, parasites and pathogens, etc. (e.g. Fiersma 1997, Mouritsen and Poulin 2002, Freed et al. 2005, Barraudough 2006). This will most likely lead to a gradual decline in the suitability of the habitats for Arctic-breeding shorebirds. Northward expansion of Low Arctic and possibly temperate breeding shorebirds may also lead to interspecific competition for an increasingly limited supply of suitable nesting habitat.

As subarctic shrub expands northwards, the different Arctic plant zones are predicted to move northwards or disappear (Cramer 1997, Huntley 1997, Callaghan et al. 2005). This should be considered in relation to the Arctic presently being close to its minimum extent during the last 10,000 years, when viewed within a time scale of 150,000 years (Callaghan et al. 2004). Here, High Arctic shorebirds seem to be particularly at risk, because the High Arctic already constitutes a relatively limited area 'squeezed in' between the extensive Low Arctic biome and the Arctic Ocean (Lindström and Agrell 1999, Kaplan 2005), and some of these populations are already exposed to the most dramatic short-term fluctuations in weather (Table 1). Furthermore, the disappearance of dense ice cover on
large parts of the Arctic Ocean may cause the climate to become more maritime dominated in the High Arctic – approaching present day Svalbard conditions, where few shorebirds breed (Norderhaug 1989). In this way, sanderling and red knot breeding habitat may not be reduced by only 5% and 15%, respectively, as proposed by Zöckler and Lysenko (2000), but with great probability by much more.

Conditions encountered by shorebirds in the non-breeding areas, together with wind systems during migration, could be altered by climate change and the expected sea level rise. Because most Arctic shorebirds live in intertidal areas outside the breeding season, and conditions there are fundamental for their ability to build up nutrient and energy stores for the long migrations to the breeding grounds and for their first days there, sea level rise affecting conditions on staging areas have the potential to dramatically alter shorebirds’ abilities to breed successfully in the Arctic (Boyd and Madsen 1997, Lindström and Aгрell 1999, Galbraith et al. 2002, Piersma and Lindström 2004, Morrison et al. 2007). For species dependent on inland spring staging areas, the anticipated drought in many temperate and subtropical areas would have the same effect (Boland and Madsen 1997, McCarthy et al. 2001), and a ‘mismatch’ between the timing of migration and peak occurrence of invertebrate prey on staging areas could result from changes e.g. in spring phenology (Evans 1997, see also van Gils et al. 2005a). In this context it may be noted that opposite to species with culturally determined migration routes, shorebirds appear to be ‘conservative migrants’, i.e. among 57 documented cases of changed migration routes, no waders were found (Sutherland 1998). In addition, anthropogenic disturbances and destruction of shorebird non-breeding habitat, which continue at a high rate in many parts of the World, could possibly supersede and exacerbate effects of global climate change (Piersma and Lindström 2004).

Arctic-breeding shorebirds may be particularly vulnerable to climate change because they generally have low levels of intraspecific genetic variability compared with other birds. This low genetic variability has been shown by a variety of molecular techniques, including e.g. allozyme electrophoresis (Baker and Strauch 1988), mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) sequencing (Baker et al. 1994, Wennerberg and Burke 2001, Wennerberg et al. 2002, Ottvall et al. 2004, Buehler and Baker 2005) and microsatellite (nuclear DNA) analysis (van Treuren et al. 1999, Wennerberg and Bensch 2001). High Arctic species like red knot (Buehler and Baker 2005) and curlew sandpiper (Wennerberg and Burke 2001) all have very low genetic variability, whereas e.g. the dunlin, which breeds in Low Arctic and temperate areas, shows more variation in mitochondrial DNA than any other shorebird studied so far (Wenink et al. 1993, Wenink et al. 1996, Wennerberg et al. 1999, Wennerberg 2001b). Microsatellites are less frequent in birds than in mammals (Primmer et al. 1997) and highly variable microsatellite loci have been difficult to identify in shorebirds. The few identified loci have also shown relatively low variability in Arctic species (van Treuren et al. 1999, Wennerberg and Bensch 2001, Thuman et al. 2002, Marthinsen et al. 2007). Similar to the results for mitochondrial DNA, the highest level of microsatellite variability published so far was found in a relatively southern breeding shorebird, the ruff (Thuman et al. 2002).

The low level of genetic variation in Arctic shorebirds is probably an effect of repeated population bottlenecks. Such bottleneck events are indicated both by the low level of variation within species (Baker and Strauch 1988, Wennerberg 2001a, Buehler and Baker 2005) and by the high genetic divergence between shorebird species (Baker and Strauch 1988, L. Wennerberg, unpubl.). The bottlenecks may be related to earlier climatic perturbations (Baker et al. 1994, Wennerberg 2001a). For example, at the beginning of warming periods after ice ages, the Arctic breeding habitat was restricted by the glacial ice covering northern land areas, as well as by vegetation from warmer areas expanding from the south. Together, these two processes minimized the total extent of breeding habitat available for Arctic shorebirds, which may in turn have affected breeding success and eventually population numbers (Kraaijeveld and Nieboer 2000). The consequences are thought to have been most pronounced for the High Arctic specialists such as red knot, for which only small refugia areas are thought to have existed. Accordingly, these are the species that show the lowest genetic variability today (Baker et al. 1994, Wennerberg and Burke 2001, Wennerberg et al. 2002). It remains to be seen whether the reduction in genetic diversity has also reduced their ability to adapt to environmental change, and whether it puts these species more at risk now and in the future.
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Appendix

Account of study sites

Below, each main study site is presented with geographical coordinates, shorebird species studied, contributing authors, study years and references to site and methodology descriptions.


Lower Khatanga River, sub-/Low Arctic South-eastern Taimyr, Russia, 72°35'N, 106°02'E, dunlin, little stint, pectoral sandpiper, red phalarope, ruff, Pacific golden-plover and black-bellied plover *Pluvialis squatarola*, 1994-2003, M.Y. Soloviev and V.V. Golovnyuk (Golovnyuk et al. 2000, M.Y. Soloviev and V.V. Golovnyuk, unpubl.).

