

# The influence of clay mineralogy on the erosion thresholds of estuarine cohesive sediments

Kilkie Paul, Heidi Burgess, Callum Firth and Phillip Teasdale

School of the Environment and Technology, University of Brighton,  
Lewes Road, Brighton BN2 4GJ, UK  
E-mail: [p.kilkie2@brighton.ac.uk](mailto:p.kilkie2@brighton.ac.uk)

Advances in understanding sediment stability within estuarine systems are inhibited by the complexity of the physicochemical properties of the cohesive sediment and their interactions within these chemically and biologically diverse and dynamic environments (Whitehouse *et al.*, 2000; Grabowski *et al.*, 2011). Of the key properties of cohesive sediments the geochemical characteristics have received the least attention, with little investigation into their influence on erosion within estuarine systems. The electrochemical nature of the sediments that control cohesion are subject to various geochemical influences within these environments, consequently having implications for sediment stability (Ravisangar *et al.*, 2001; Grabowski *et al.*, 2011).

A fundamental geochemical property of cohesive sediments is the clay mineralogy. The variance in characteristic properties between the different clay mineral groups is recognised as a significant factor in erosion resistance in terrestrial sediment studies (Igwe *et al.*, 1999; Wakindiki and Ben-Hur, 2002; Kasanin-Grubin, 2013). This knowledge has yet to be applied to the sediment stability within the contrasting geochemical environments of estuaries (Allen, 2000; Zhu *et al.*, 2008; Grabowski *et al.*, 2011).

Through a series of laboratory and field studies this research aims to establish how variance in clay mineral composition of estuarine sediments influences erosion. This poster summarises the results of the study, identifying distinct differences in erosion thresholds between sediments with contrasting clay mineralogies. Furthermore, changes to erosion resistance of the clay mineral groups are observed between marine and freshwater eroding fluids during shear strength testing, identifying the influence of water chemistry on the stability of the different clay mineral suites.

## References

- Allen J.R.L. 2000. Morphodynamics of Holocene salt marshes: a review sketch from the Atlantic and Southern North Sea coasts of Europe. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 19(12):1155-1231.
- Grabowski R. *et al.* 2011. Erodibility of cohesive sediment: The importance of sediment properties. *Earth science reviews* 105(3-4):101-120.
- Igwe C. *et al.* 1999. Chemical and Mineralogical properties of soils in south eastern Nigeria in relation to aggregate stability. *Geoderma* 92(1-2):111-123.
- Kasanin-Grubin M. 2013. Clay mineralogy as a crucial factor in badland hillslope processes. *Catena* 106:54-67.
- Ravisangar V. *et al.* 2001. Effect of sediment pH on resuspension of Kaolinite. *Journal of Environmental Engineering* 127(6): 531-538.
- Wakindiki I. and M. Ben-Hur. 2002. Soil mineralogy and texture effects on crustal micromorphology, infiltration and erosion. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 6(3):897-905 (Cited in Lado and Ben-Hur 2004).
- Whitehouse R. *et al.* 2000. *Dynamics of estuarine muds*. Thomas Telford Publishing, London.
- Zhu Y. *et al.* 2008. Research on cohesive sediment erosion by flow: An overview. *Science in China series E: Technological Sciences* 51(11):2001-2012.