

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS



OPEN

Survey of the green picoalga *Bathycoccus* genomes in the global ocean

Received: 28 April 2016
Accepted: 03 November 2016
Published: 30 November 2016

Thomas Vannier^{1,2,3}, Jade Leconte^{1,2,3}, Yoann Seeleuthner^{1,2,3}, Samuel Mondy^{1,2,3}, Eric Pelletier^{1,2,3}, Jean-Marc Aury¹, Colomban de Vargas⁴, Michael Sieracki⁵, Daniele Iudicone⁶, Daniel Vaulot⁴, Patrick Wincker^{1,2,3} & Olivier Jaillon^{1,2,3}

Bathycoccus is a cosmopolitan green micro-alga belonging to the Mamiellophyceae, a class of picophytoplankton that contains important contributors to oceanic primary production. A single species of *Bathycoccus* has been described while the existence of two ecotypes has been proposed based on metagenomic data. A genome is available for one strain corresponding to the described phenotype. We report a second genome assembly obtained by a single cell genomics approach corresponding to the second ecotype. The two *Bathycoccus* genomes are divergent enough to be unambiguously distinguishable in whole DNA metagenomic data although they possess identical sequence of the 18S rRNA gene including in the V9 region. Analysis of 122 global ocean whole DNA metagenome samples from the Tara-Oceans expedition reveals that populations of *Bathycoccus* that were previously identified by 18S rRNA V9 metabarcodes are only composed of these two genomes. *Bathycoccus* is relatively abundant and widely distributed in nutrient rich waters. The two genomes rarely co-occur and occupy distinct oceanic niches in particular with respect to depth. Metatranscriptomic data provide evidence for gain or loss of highly expressed genes in some samples, suggesting that the gene repertoire is modulated by environmental conditions.

Phytoplankton, comprising prokaryotes and eukaryotes, contribute to nearly half of the annual global primary production¹. Picocyanobacteria of the genera *Prochlorococcus* and *Synechococcus* dominate the prokaryotic component². However, small eukaryotes (picoeukaryotes; <2 μm) can be major contributors to primary production^{3,4}. In contrast to cyanobacteria, the phylogenetic diversity of eukaryotic phytoplankton is wide, with species belonging to virtually all photosynthetic protist groups⁵. Among them, three genera of green algae belonging to the order Mamiellales (class Mamiellophyceae⁶), *Micromonas*, *Ostreococcus* and *Bathycoccus* are particularly important ecologically because they are found in a wide variety of oceanic ecosystems, from the poles to the tropics^{7–12}. The cosmopolitan distribution of these genera raises the questions of their diversity and their adaptation to local environmental conditions. These genera exhibit genetic diversity: for example, there are at least three genetically different clades of *Micromonas* with different habitat preferences^{12,13}. One ecotype of *Micromonas* seems to be restricted to polar waters^{8,14}. *Ostreococcus* which is the smallest free-living eukaryotic cell known to date with a cell size of 0.8 μm¹⁵ can be differentiated into at least four clades. Two *Ostreococcus* species have been formerly described: *O. tauri* and *O. mediterraneus*^{15,16}. Among these *Ostreococcus* clades, different strains seem to be adapted to different light ranges¹⁷. However, the ecological preferences of *Ostreococcus* strains are probably more complex, implying other environmental parameters such as nutrients and temperature⁹.

The genus *Bathycoccus* was initially isolated at 100 m from the deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) in the Mediterranean Sea¹⁸ and cells with the same morphology (body scales) had been reported previously from the Atlantic Ocean¹⁹. *Bathycoccus* has been since found to be widespread in the oceanic environment, in particular in coastal waters^{20,21}, and one genome sequence from a coastal strain is available²². Metagenomic data have suggested the existence of two *Bathycoccus* ecotypes^{10,11,23}, recently named B1 and B2¹¹. These two ecotypes have

¹CEA - Institut de Génomique, GENOSCOPE, 2 rue Gaston Crémieux, 91057 Evry, France. ²CNRS, UMR 8030, CP5706 Evry, France. ³Université d'Evry, UMR 8030, CP5706 Evry, France. ⁴Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Université Paris 06, CNRS, UMR7144, Station Biologique de Roscoff, 29680 Roscoff, France. ⁵National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230, USA. ⁶Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Villa Comunale, 80121 Naples, Italy. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.W. (email: pwincker@genoscope.cns.fr) or O.J. (email: ojaillon@genoscope.cns.fr)

SAG Assembly	Total Size (Mb)	N50 (kb)	NG50 ¹ (kb)	Genome Completion (%)
A	3.5	14.8	NA	30.8
B	4.7	14.5	NA	27.7
C	3.7	24.1	NA	21.5
D	4.1	18.1	NA	26.0
(A) + (B) + (C) + (D) ²	8.0	16.6	0.9	44.6
Combined ABCD ³	10.1	14.1	6.0	64.0

Table 1. Assembly summaries of TOSAG39-1. ¹The longest assembly contigs covering together half of the genome size (15 Mbp) are each longer than the NG50. This evaluation was not possible for the four individual cell assemblies for which the total assembly sizes are shorter than half of the genome size. ²A + B + C + D corresponds to a non-redundant merging of contigs from individual assemblies. ³Combined ABCD corresponds to the co-assembly process.

identical 18S rRNA sequences and therefore cannot be discriminated when using metabarcodes such as the V4 or V9 regions of the 18S rRNA genes¹⁰. However information on the ocean-wide distribution and the ecological preferences of these two ecotypes are lacking.

Mapping of metagenomic reads onto whole genomes (fragment recruitment) has been shown to be an efficient way to assess the distribution of oceanic bacterial populations^{24,25}. The paucity of eukaryotic genomes and metagenomes has prevented this approach to be applied on a large scale to eukaryotes. Therefore the determination of the geographical distribution and ecological preferences of marine eukaryotic species has relied on the use of marker genes such as 18S rRNA or ITS (internal transcribed spacer)²⁶ and more recently on metabarcodes²⁷. One major problem is the absence of reference genomes for many marine eukaryotes as a consequence of the difficulty to cultivate them. To overcome this limitation, Single Cells Genomics is a very promising approach^{28,29}. However, this approach has been largely used for bacteria³⁰ and numerous technical challenges have limited the recovery of eukaryotic genomes with this approach^{28,31–33}. The most complete assembly obtained so far is for an uncultured stramenopile belonging to the MAST-4 clade and contains about one third of the core eukaryotic gene set³³. Recently, the *Tara* Oceans expedition collected water samples from the photic zone of hundreds of marine sites from all oceans and obtained physicochemical parameters, such as silicate, nitrate, phosphate, temperature and chlorophyll^{34–36}. This expedition also led to the massive sequencing of the V9 region from 18S ribosomal gene providing a description of the eukaryotic plankton community over wide oceanic regions²⁷. During this expedition a large number of metagenomic data and single-cell amplified genomes (SAGs³⁷) have also been acquired. Here, we introduce a novel genome assembly for *Bathycoccus* based on the sequence assembly of four SAGs obtained from a *Tara* Oceans sample collected in the Arabian Sea. Comparison of this assembly with the reference sequence of *Bathycoccus* strain RCC1105²² unravels substantial genomic divergence. We investigated the geographical distributions of these two genomes by mapping onto them the short reads of a large set of metagenomes obtained in multiple marine basins from the *Tara* Oceans survey^{35,38}. We also determined the genomic properties and habitat preferences of these two *Bathycoccus*.

Results

Genome structure of *Bathycoccus* TOSAG39-1. We obtained a new *Bathycoccus* SAG assembly (TOSAG39-1) by the single cell genomics approach from four single cells collected from a single sample during the *Tara* Oceans expedition. We presumed these cells were from the same population and combined their genomic sequences to improve the assembly. The length of the final combined-SAGs assembly is 10.3 Mb comprising 2 345 scaffolds. Half of the assembled genome lies in 179 scaffolds longer than 13.6 kb (N50 size). This assembly covers an estimated 64% of the whole genome when considering the proportion of identified eukaryotic conserved genes³⁹. We verified that this combined SAG assembly has longer cumulative size, and a larger representation of the genome than each assembly obtained from sequences of a single-SAG. We also merged the four assemblies from single-SAGs and, after removing redundancies, we obtained a substantially lower genomic representation than for the combined-SAGs strategy (Table 1). We mapped the reads of each SAG-sequencing onto the final assembly to examine whether genomic variability among the sampled population might have affected the quality of the assembly. We did not detect any major genomic variability; contigs can be formed by reads from different cells (Supplementary Figure S1). In total, half of the assembly (52.2%) was generated by reads from a single cell and one third (30.5%) by two cells.

The approximate estimated genome size is 16 Mb and GC content is 47.2%, similar to what has been reported for RCC1105 (15 Mb and 48%, respectively). We predicted 6 157 genes (Supplementary Table 1), representing a higher gene density compared to RCC1105 (622 vs. 520 genes per Mb), probably because of the higher fragmentation of the SAG assembly (the coding base density is conversely higher in TOSAG39-1, 742 vs. 821 kb/Mb for the two assemblies, respectively, Supplementary Table 1). The photosynthetic capacity of TOSAG39-1, presumed from the chlorophyll autofluorescence in the cell sorting step, was verified by the presence of plastid contigs (removed during quality control filtering) and by the presence of nuclear photosynthetic gene families (encoding RuBisCo synthase, starch synthase, alternative oxidase and chlorophyll a/b binding proteins) in the final assembly.

Previous comparisons of Mamiellales genomes demonstrated global conservation of chromosomal locations of genes between *Bathycoccus*, *Ostreococcus* and *Micromonas*²². These genera all possess outlier chromosomes (one part of chromosome 14 and the entire chromosome 19 for *Bathycoccus*) that display an atypical GC% and numerous small, unknown, non-conserved genes. We detected almost perfect co-linearity between non-outlier




Figure 1. Comparisons of relative abundances of *Bathycoccus* in the 0.8–5 μm size fraction samples from Tara Oceans stations. Left: relative 18S rRNA V9 amplicons abundance (percent of reads). Right: relative metagenomic abundances (percent of metagenomic reads) from direct mapping of metagenomic reads onto two genome sequence assemblies (strain RCC1105 and TOSAG39-1, single cell assembly from an Indian Ocean sample). Stations and depth (Surface or DCM) are indicated on the Y axis.

chromosomes of RCC1105 and orthologous regions of TOSAG39-1 scaffolds (Supplementary Figure S2). However, there is a significant evolutionary divergence between the genomes: the orthologous proteins are only 78% identical on average (Supplementary Figure S3). Only 26 genes are highly conserved (>99% identity), they are distributed on 14 chromosomes (including outlier chromosome 14) and did not display any clustering. As expected, chromosome 19 did not fit this pattern: we could not align most of its genes by direct BLAST comparison. Some traces of homology were observed for nine genes (62% protein identity). One of the twenty longest scaffolds of TOSAG39-1 had characteristics similar to chromosome 19. This scaffold could not be aligned to RCC1105 and has the lowest GC content (0.44 vs. 0.48% for the other scaffolds on average).

Manual curation of alignments to analyze synteny along the twenty longest TOSAG39-1 scaffolds showed that 90% of genes are collinear between the two genomes, 5% are shared outside syntenic blocks, and 5% are specific to TOSAG39-1. The three rRNA genes (18S or small subunit (SSU), 5S, 23S or large subunit (LSU)), used as phylogenetic markers in many studies, are identical between the two genomes. The SSU and LSU genes of TOSAG39-1 have introns. The SSU intron (440 bp) is at the same position as in RCC1105, but is only 91% similar. The LSU intron (435 bp) is only present in TOSAG39-1. The internal transcribed spacers (ITS) are different between the two TOSAG39-1 and the RCC1105 assemblies (82% and 86% for ITS1 and ITS2, respectively) but closer to those of two *Bathycoccus* oceanic strains from the Indian Ocean (RCC715 and RCC716) (Supplementary Figure S4) and of a metagenome from the Atlantic Ocean DCM⁴⁰. We also looked at the plastid 16S marker gene⁴¹ and to the PRP8 intein gene that has been proposed as markers for *Bathycoccus*¹⁰. The plastid 16S sequences of the two *Bathycoccus* genomes share 92% identical nucleotides, and PRP8 is lacking from the TOSAG39-1 assembly.

We were able to determine the affiliation of three metagenomes^{23,40} containing *Bathycoccus* and two *Bathycoccus* transcriptomes of the MMETSP database⁴² (Supplementary Figures S5). Metagenomes T142 and T149 from the South East Pacific²³ and transcriptome MMETSP1399 (strain CCMP1898, which is the type strain for *Bathycoccus prasinos*) correspond, or are closely related to RCC1105. The tropical Atlantic Ocean metagenome⁴⁰ and transcriptome MMETSP1460 (strain RCC716 from the Indian Ocean) correspond, or are closely related to TOSAG39-1. Direct amino acid BLAST⁴³ comparison of TOSAG39-1 and RCC1105 versus metagenomes T142 and T149 demonstrates the presence of additional genomes in these samples that were obtained by flow cytometry sorting of natural picoplankton populations (Supplementary Figure S5).

Oceanic distribution of *Bathycoccus* genomes. We analyzed the worldwide distribution of the two *Bathycoccus* genomes using metagenomic samples from the Tara Oceans expedition. Metagenomic short reads obtained from 122 samples taken at 76 sites and covering 24 oceanic provinces were mapped onto the two *Bathycoccus* genomes RCC1105 and TOSAG39-1. Among the four eukaryotic size fractions sampled in this expedition (0.8–5 μm , 5–20 μm , 20–180 μm , 180–2000 μm) statistically significant mapping was only obtained for the 0.8–5- μm fraction, which matches the cellular size of *Bathycoccus* (1.5–2.5 μm ¹⁸). The percentage of filtered mapped metagenomic reads for every gene and station was used to estimate the relative genomic abundance of *Bathycoccus*. We compared final counts of genome abundances with counts based on amplicon sequences of the V9 region of the 18S rRNA gene²⁷ which does not distinguish RCC1105 from TOSAG39-1 because their 18S rRNA gene sequences are identical. The V9 data demonstrated the wide distribution of *Bathycoccus* in marine waters, with maximum relative abundance reaching 2.6% of all reads. The *Bathycoccus* metabarcode was represented by more than 1% of reads in 13% of the samples. *Bathycoccus* sequences were detected in whole metagenome reads from the same samples where *Bathycoccus* was detected with 18S rRNA metabarcodes (Fig. 1). For each sample displaying a V9 signal, we detected the presence of the genomes of either RCC1105, TOSAG39-1, or both. In addition, the relative abundances estimated from V9 metabarcodes were correlated with the sum of the relative genomic abundances of TOSAG39-1 and RCC1105 (Supplementary Figure S6). Therefore, the *Bathycoccus* populations detected by the V9 metabarcode are likely to correspond to these two genomes only, and not to a third yet unknown genome.




Figure 2. Geographical distribution of two *Bathycoccus* genomes, RCC1105 and TOSAG39-1, along *Tara* Oceans expedition stations from recruitments of metagenomic reads. Top and bottom maps correspond to the surface and deep chlorophyll maximum (DCM) samples respectively. Gray crosses indicate *Tara* Oceans sampling stations and the sizes of the red or blue circles indicate the relative genomic abundances of the two *Bathycoccus* types. We generated this map using R-package `maps_2.1-6`, `mapproj_1.1-8.3`, `gplots_2.8.0` and `mapplots_1.4` (version R-2.13, <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/maps/index.html>).

Among the 58 samples where *Bathycoccus* metagenomics abundances represented more than 0.01% of the total numbers of reads, in 91% of the cases a single genome was dominant, i.e. accounting for more than 70% of the reads. The two *Bathycoccus* showed similar proportions (i.e., between 40% and 60% of the reads) in only two samples (stations TARA_006 and TARA_150 at DCM, Supplementary Figure S7).

The global distribution of the two *Bathycoccus* genomes revealed complex patterns. The RCC1105 genome was found mainly in temperate waters, both at the surface and at the DCM, whereas TOSAG39-1 appeared more prevalent in tropical zones and at the DCM (Fig. 2). TOSAG39-1 was found in surface water in only five winter samples from the Agulhas and Gulf Stream regions at stations undergoing strong vertical mixing (Supplementary Table 2, Supplementary Figure S8). RCC1105 was detected more widely in surface water and was restricted to two narrow latitudinal bands around 40°S and 40°N. Conversely, TOSAG39-1 was found throughout a latitudinal range from 40°S to 39°N (Fig. 2). In particular, TOSAG39-1 was found in the tropical and subtropical regions in the Pacific, Atlantic and Indian Oceans.

In the equatorial and tropical Pacific Ocean, a region characterized by high nutrient and low chlorophyll where phytoplankton is limited by iron⁴⁴, *Bathycoccus* was not detected (or only at very low abundance), except close to the Galapagos Islands. We detected opposite trends in the presence of the two *Bathycoccus* along the Gulf Stream: RCC1105 increased from west to east while TOSAG39-1 showed the reverse trend. The two *Bathycoccus* also showed opposite trends at some stations that were relatively close but located on both sides of important oceanographic boundaries. The first case was off South Africa, between stations TARA_065 and TARA_066 (Supplementary Figure S8) located, respectively, in coastal, temperate Atlantic and in Indian subtropical water from the Agulhas current⁴⁵.

The second case occurred in winter in the North Atlantic, downstream of Cape Hatteras (US East coast), where station TARA_145 was in cold, nutrient-rich waters north of the northern boundary of the Gulf Stream (also called the Northern Wall for its sharp temperature gradient) and TARA_146 was south of the southern boundary, in the subtropical gyre (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Figure S8).




Figure 3. Relationships between environmental parameters and *Bathycoccus* genome abundance.

Left: Principal component analysis. We only considered stations where we detected 98% of the genes for one *Bathycoccus* genome, and for which all environmental parameters were available (Oxygen, Nitrates, Phosphates, Chlorophyll, Sampling Depth, Water Temperature and Salinity). Crosses indicate stations, with a color scale corresponding to the water temperature. The distance to coast parameter corresponds to the shortest geographical distance to the coast. The two *Bathycoccus* are distributed along temperature and oxygen axes. Stars indicate parameters that statistically discriminate the two *Bathycoccus*. Right: Range of values of temperature, oxygen and sampling depth for parameters where a significant difference was detected between RCC1105 and TOSAG39-1.

Principal component analysis was used to assess the relationship between the genomic data and environmental parameters determined *in situ*³⁶ complemented by satellite and climatology data (Supplementary Information). Temperature, oxygen, sampling depth and PAR (photosynthetic active radiation), though with less significant p-values for the latter, were related to the segregation of the two genomes (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Figure S9). The two *Bathycoccus* were found in temperature ranges from 0 to 32 °C and from 7 to 28 °C for RCC1105 and TOSAG39-1, respectively. On average, the TOSAG39-1 genome was found in waters 3 °C warmer than was RCC1105 (21.5 vs. 18.4 °C, p-value < 10⁻³, Fig. 3 and Supplementary Figure S10). Abundances were very low below 13 °C for both genomes, and above 22 °C for RCC1105. A similar discrimination was observed for oxygen: TOSAG39-1 was found in samples with lower oxygen content. For example, the TOSAG39-1 genome was abundant in the DCM of station 138 where O₂ was low (31.2 μM, Fig. 3, Supplementary Figures S9 and S10), though no samples originated from anoxic waters⁴⁶.

The two *Bathycoccus* were recovered from significantly different ranges of PAR, estimated from weekly averages of surface irradiance measurements extrapolated to depth using an attenuation coefficient derived from local surface chlorophyll concentrations⁴⁷ (Fig. 3, Supplementary Figures S9 and S10, Supplementary Information). Both *Bathycoccus* could thrive in winter when the overall light availability is low (Supplementary Figure S8). Nutrient concentrations did not seem to explain the separation between the two *Bathycoccus*. We found RCC1105 in nutrient-rich surface waters and TOSAG39-1 mostly at the DCM in oligotrophic waters, close to the nutricline characterized by a significant upward flux of nutrients^{48,49}. While RCC1105 was never abundant below 80 m, TOSAG39-1 extended down to almost 150 m (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Figure S10).

Genomic plasticity. For each genome, we searched for evidence of gene gain or loss by analyzing gene content variations at the different stations. Lost or gained genes could be considered as dispensable genes or as present only in some genomic variants, therefore, characterizing a “pan-genome” analogous to what is observed in bacterial populations⁵⁰. We analyzed the coverage of metagenomic reads that were specifically mapped at high stringency onto one genome and looked for traces of gene loss. To avoid false positives caused by conserved genes, we restricted this analysis to samples where 98% of the genes from one of the two *Bathycoccus* genome sequences were detected, and focused on genes that were detected in the metagenomes of at least four samples, and not detected in at least five samples. Metatranscriptomic data was used to select genes having an expression signal in at least six samples. Using these stringent criteria, we detected about one hundred dispensable genes for each genome (Supplementary Tables 1, 4 and 5). Half of the RCC1105 dispensable genes (50/108) are located on chromosome 19, representing 70% of the genes on this chromosome. These genes have shorter coding and intronic regions than other genes (Supplementary Table 1), which is a property of the genes predicted on outlier chromosome 19²². Dispensable genes on regular chromosomes also tend to be shorter. Additionally, the distribution of dispensable genes on the genome is not random. Among the 72 genes of chromosome 19, 47 out of the 50 dispensable genes are grouped into two long blocks at the chromosome end, leaving the first part of chromosome 19 almost free of dispensable genes (Supplementary Figure S11). Dispensable genes also appear clustered on regular chromosomes. Twenty-one out of 58 dispensable genes are in small cassettes, two to four gene-long, especially on chromosomes 2, 5 and 17 (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Figure S11). We verified the contiguity of the genomic regions around the dispensable genes by alignment with assemblies of metagenomics reads (Supplementary Information). We analyzed the pattern of loss of these dispensable cassettes in samples where




Figure 4. Evidence for cassettes of dispensable genes in *B. prasinos* RCC1105. Left and right sides of the figures represent fragment recruitment and genomic alignments of dispensable gene cassettes, respectively. Fragment recruitments plots are displayed by marine zones (left legend). Each dot corresponds to a given number of mapped reads at a given identity percent (indicated on the Y-axis). The density of mapped read is displayed as the black line plotted below each fragment recruitment plot. Gene positions are represented by black boxes on the top of the first fragment recruitment plot and dispensable genes are highlighted in red. Genomic alignments are represented as circos graphs⁷⁹ on which dispensable genes are colored in red, and other genes are represented by black boxes. Left side and right side of the genomic region are connected to metagenomics contigs (gray segments), leaving in-between the locus of the dispensable gene cassette that remains unconnected to any metagenomic contig. Connections correspond to blast alignments positions. **(A)** 100- and 8.6-kb regions of chromosome 1 are represented on a fragment recruitment plot and on the circos graph, respectively. A two gene long cassette is represented. A massive decrease of read coverage appears on the fragment recruitment plot in all oceanic zones except in the Mediterranean Sea, which indicates that the two genes are present only in a sub-population in this basin. A similar pattern is observed in panel **(B)** for four consecutive genes for which fragment recruitment plots representing 100 kb of chromosome 5 suggest a presence in a Mediterranean sub-population and absence in other marine areas. The circos graph represents alignments along the 15.6-kb cassette locus with metagenomics contigs, which resulted in a gap that included three small genes (in blue) in addition to the four automatically detected dispensable genes. Fragment recruitment confirmed a significant, but not total, decrease of read coverage for these three genes in every oceanic zone, indicating that their presence or absence in the two sub-populations was widely distributed.

they were not detected and obtained alignments that included gaps in place of dispensable genes (Fig. 4). Notably, cassette borders were at the same positions in the various samples, showing a low diversity at these loci. This suggests that a common or single breakpoint event occurred in the past. Fragment recruitments plots showed a homogenous decrease of read coverage along the contiguous dispensable genes, confirming that genomic losses or gains occurred at the scale of entire cassettes (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Figure S11). We examined the synteny between RCC1105 and TOSAG39-1 for the regions corresponding to the two cassettes illustrated in Fig. 4. We retrieved the orthologous genes situated around the cassettes in two TOSAG39-1 scaffolds in a clear syntenic relationship, but the cassettes genes were missing.

We observed an incomplete, but marked, depletion of read coverage for three contiguous genes on chromosome 5. These genes immediately precede the longest dispensable gene cassette. This incomplete read coverage depletion indicates that this genomic region only occurs in a sub-population, suggesting a sympatry or at least co-occurrence of these two genomic forms. This pattern was observed in every oceanic basin (Fig. 4B) with the longest dispensable gene cassette spanning seven genes.

The function of these dispensable genes is unclear. Only 15 dispensable genes located on RCC1105 non-outlier chromosomes possess a protein Pfam domain (Supplementary Information, Supplementary Table 3). However, several of these genes might be involved in genomic rearrangements because they contain reverse transcriptase and HNH endonuclease domains and this could be linked to their dispensability. Intriguingly, the average relative transcriptomic activity is higher in dispensable genes than in non-dispensable genes (0.73 vs. 0.56, Mann-Whitney-Wilcoxon test p -value = $1.52E-4$, Supplementary Table 1).

Beside these patterns suggesting gene gains or losses, we examined at a global level the genomic variation within populations of each *Bathycoccus*. This was done by fragment recruitment of the metagenomic reads of Tara Oceans samples onto the two reference assemblies. The distributions of nucleotide identities show a weak divergence between the reference assemblies and geographically distant samples, though higher for TOSAG39-1 than for RCC1105 (Supplementary Information, Supplementary Figure S12).

Discussion

We provide a novel *Bathycoccus* genome assembly using a single-cell genomics approach. This assembly is estimated to be 64% complete, which is, to our knowledge, the most complete eukaryotic genome obtained to date by this approach. This relatively high level of completion was reached through the combination of several independent cells originating from the same population. It has been described that the enzymatic amplification of DNA which is inherent to single-cell genomics induces strong biases in sequencing depth along the genome, leading to partial and fragmented assemblies⁵¹. Here, this caveat appears reduced as the combined-SAGs assembly is significantly more complete than the assembly obtained from each of the individuals SAGs.

This *Bathycoccus* SAG assembly is significantly different from the previously described genome assembly, originating from the coastal Mediterranean strain RCC1105. The former corresponds to the B1 clade and the latter to the B2 clade as, defined recently¹¹. Orthologous proteins of these two genomes share only 78% identity, which is similar to the 74% of amino-acid identity shared by the two sequenced *Ostreococcus* isolates which belong to different clades⁵².

A previous study¹¹ estimated a lower genetic distance (82% of identical nucleotides) between the two *Bathycoccus* using metagenomic data. This difference is probably as expected because of the reduced dataset of highly conserved and single copy genes (1 104 genes) considered in the latter analysis. The evolutionary distance that separates the protein coding genes of these two *Bathycoccus* is slightly smaller than the one between two vertebrate lineages separated by more than 400 million years (mammal and fish share 72% of identity⁵³) and larger than the one reported between many model organisms (for example, human and mouse share 85% of identity^{54,55}). This high divergence in protein coding genes and the frequent genes rearrangement in chromosomes is hardly compatible with chromatid pairing required for intercrossing⁵⁶ between the two *Bathycoccus*. Very few genes are highly conserved (>99% identity) between the two *Bathycoccus* and conserved genes are not clustered, which makes active genetic exchange by homologous recombination unlikely. Therefore, although the two *Bathycoccus* share 100% similar rRNA gene sequences, these genomic differences reflect two different, probably cryptic, species. Identical rRNA sequences have been previously reported in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae sensu stricto* clade⁵⁷, or the haptophyte species *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Gephyrocapsa oceanica*, which also have identical 18S rRNA gene sequences, but quite different morphologies⁵⁸.

The combination of genomics and environmental data from a large set of oceanic samples revealed the distinct ecological preferences of the two *Bathycoccus* with respect to depth, temperature, light and oxygen. TOSAG39-1 is usually found in warmer but deeper and darker water than RCC1105. TOSAG39-1 seems to be well adapted to the DCM conditions, which would explain its presence in oligotrophic marine zones where nutrients are found deeper.

Numerous marine bacteria show geographical variation of their gene repertoire^{59–63} which affects genomic regions that generally represent only a few percent of the total genome⁶¹ and has been proposed, in some cases, to result from horizontal transfer. In *Prochlorococcus*, genomic islands are thought to be related to niche adaptation⁶³ because they host ecologically important genes⁶⁰. A comparison of two *Prochlorococcus* ecotypes revealed that differences in gene content were related to high-light vs. low-light adaptation⁶⁴. Such adaptations have been hypothesized in species closely related to *Bathycoccus*, like *Ostreococcus*¹⁷, but are still a matter of debate⁹. Our data show that the depth and light ranges of the two *Bathycoccus* are different but overlapping, with TOSAG39-1 extending deeper. Interestingly, the surface samples where TOSAG39-1 was detected correspond to sites that undergo vertical mixing (Aghulas and Gulf Stream). Temperature also seemed to influence the distribution of the two *Bathycoccus*, as for example along the Gulf Stream where one type is more prevalent on the West side and is replaced by the other type eastward as water cools down. Among eukaryotes, several examples of correspondence between temperature and geographical distribution have been reported, such as for the heterotrophic MAST-4^{26,65} and the Arctic ecotype of *Micromonas*⁸. TOSAG39-1 was also observed at low O₂ concentrations at Costa Rica Dome station 138, an area of high biological production in the East equatorial Pacific⁶⁶ where picoplankton can be very abundant⁶⁷. This could reflect the fact that since TOSAG39-1 is better adapted to low light conditions it could be found deeper in the water column where suboxic conditions are developing, rather than having a specific capacity to withstand low O₂.

The wide geographical distribution and relatively high abundance of *Bathycoccus* observed here implies a capability to thrive across a range of ecological niches. Dispensable genes could correspond to the genomic traces of this adaptation. Intriguingly, dispensable *Bathycoccus* genes have genomic features similar to those of

chromosome 19 genes, such as a lower GC content. This suggests that these genes may have been located on chromosome 19 ancestrally and have undergone subsequently inter-chromosomal translocations. A recent experimental evolution experiment of *Ostreococcus tauri* inoculated with a large quantity of virus, Otv5, provided evidence that genes on outlier chromosome 19 are up-regulated in viral-resistant cell lines and that the size of this chromosome varies in resistant lines⁶⁸. Our results on gene content plasticity in Chromosome 19 is consistent with the immunity chromosome hypothesis: frequent events of gene birth and gene loss may thus be the genomic traces of a microalgal – virus evolutionary arm race.

Dispensable genes possess features of so-called *de novo* genes, genes emerging from previously noncoding regions. These genes are an important class of unknown genes and challenge evolutionary sciences^{69,70}. It has been hypothesized that cosmopolitan bacteria would hold specific genes or gene variants due to their ecological properties⁷¹. Cosmopolitan marine lineages are exposed to a range of contrasted environmental constraints, raising the question of their genomic plasticity. The high turnover of a certain class of genes restricted to some environmental conditions might be an evolutionary advantage for rapid acclimation related to being cosmopolitan.

The amplification biases inherent to the Single Cell Genomics approach do not in general allow recovering full genomes from environmental protists. However even incomplete SAG assemblies are sufficient to allow mapping of environmental metagenomes and to determine the distribution of genotypes that are not resolved by traditional marker genes or metabarcodes. In the case of *Bathycoccus* we provide the distribution of two clades, corresponding to the genomes of RCC1105 (clade B1) and to the genome of TOSAG39-1 (clade B2) and identify environmental parameters underlying these distributions. Our observations unfortunately do not cover all oceanic ecosystems, particularly the polar zones. Future analysis of additional genomes and transcriptomes of wild and cultured *Bathycoccus* will improve the accuracy of the environmental niches of the two types of *Bathycoccus*.

Material and Methods

During the *Tara* Oceans expedition^{34,35}, we collected and cryo-preserved samples at station TARA_039 situated in the Arabian Sea (Supplementary Figure S13, oceanographic conditions are available in reference³⁶). In the laboratory, single cells were sorted by flow cytometry based on their size and chlorophyll autofluorescence. Four *Bathycoccus* cells were identified following DNA amplification and 18 S rDNA sequencing³⁷. The four amplified genomes (A, B, C, D - Table 1) were individually sequenced using Illumina HiSeq technology, and a suite of tools was used to obtain single-cell final assembly (Supplementary Information). Firstly, individual assemblies were generated using a colored de Bruijn graph-based method⁷² and then a final assembly, named here as TOSAG39-1, was generated comprising gap-reduced scaffolded contigs, using SPAdes, SSPACE and GapCloser^{73–75} (Supplementary Figure S14). The four cells had identical 18 S sequences and came from the same 4 mL sample, so it is reasonable to presume they were of the same population.

Quality control filters detected and removed contigs or scaffolds that did not correspond to *Bathycoccus* nuclear DNA (Supplementary Figure S14, Supplementary Information). Direct comparisons of sequence assemblies detected putative DNA contamination from other SAGs that were sequenced in the same laboratory and scaffolds corresponding to organelles.

We predicted exon-intron gene structures by integrating various coding regions data. We aligned the reference protein set of the published *Bathycoccus* RCC1105 genome²² to our assembly. We extracted and sequenced polyA mRNA from *Tara* Oceans samples. We aligned this eukaryote metatranscriptome on TOSAG39-1 assembly. We also used a public protein databank⁷⁶ and the Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project (MMETSP) collection of marine protist transcriptomes⁴². In addition, we performed direct *ab initio* prediction by calibrating and running the Markov model implemented in snap⁷⁷. Integrating and combining all this evidence provided a final set of genes, using a process based on Gmorse software rationale⁷⁸. We evaluated the relative genomic abundance of each genome for two sampled depths (surface and DCM) at the 76 *Tara* Oceans stations (122 samples in total, Supplementary Figure S13) by recruiting metagenomic reads²⁴. We mapped metagenomic reads directly from 0.8–5 µm organism-size fraction samples onto genome assemblies, and estimated the relative contribution of each *Bathycoccus* genome in the metagenomes. To obtain a proper genome abundance estimate, we developed methods to select genome-specific signals only (Supplementary Information). We discarded highly conserved genes that were detected by direct sequence comparisons.

A more detailed description of methods is available in the online supplementary information.

References

- Field, C. B., Behrenfeld, M. J., Randerson, J. T. & Falkowski, P. Primary production of the biosphere: integrating terrestrial and oceanic components. *Science* **281**, 237–240 (1998).
- Scanlan, D. J. *et al.* Ecological genomics of marine picocyanobacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **73**, 249–299 (2009).
- Worden, A. Z., Nolan, J. K. & Palenik, B. Assessing the dynamics and ecology of marine picophytoplankton: the importance of the eukaryotic component. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **49**, 168–179 (2004).
- Wilkins, D. *et al.* Biogeographic partitioning of Southern Ocean microorganisms revealed by metagenomics. *Environ. Microbiol.* **15**, 1318–1333 (2013).
- Vaulot, D., Eikrem, W., Viprey, M. & Moreau, H. The diversity of small eukaryotic phytoplankton ($\leq 3 \mu\text{m}$) in marine ecosystems. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **32**, 795–820 (2008).
- Marin, B. & Melkonian, M. Molecular phylogeny and classification of the Mamiellophyceae class. nov. (Chlorophyta) based on sequence comparisons of the nuclear- and plastid-encoded rRNA operons. *Protist* **161**, 304–336 (2010).
- Šlapeta, J., López-García, P. & Moreira, D. Global dispersal and ancient cryptic species in the smallest marine eukaryotes. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **23**, 23–29 (2006).
- Lovejoy, C. *et al.* Distribution, phylogeny, and growth of cold-adapted picoprasinophytes in arctic seas. *J. Phycol.* **43**, 78–89 (2007).
- Demir-Hilton, E. *et al.* Global distribution patterns of distinct clades of the photosynthetic picoeukaryote *Ostreococcus*. *ISME J.* **5**, 1095–1107 (2011).
- Monier, A., Sudek, S., Fast, N. M. & Worden, A. Z. Gene invasion in distant eukaryotic lineages: discovery of mutually exclusive genetic elements reveals marine biodiversity. *ISME J.* **7**, 1764–1774 (2013).

11. Simmons, M. P. *et al.* Abundance and biogeography of picoprasinophyte ecotypes and other phytoplankton in the eastern north pacific ocean. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**, 1693–1705 (2016).
12. Foulon, E. *et al.* Ecological niche partitioning in the picoplanktonic green alga *Micromonas pusilla*: evidence from environmental surveys using phylogenetic probes. *Environ. Microbiol.* **10**, 2433–2443 (2008).
13. Worden, A. Z. *et al.* Green evolution and dynamic adaptations revealed by genomes of the marine picoeukaryotes *Micromonas*. *Science* **324**, 268–272 (2009).
14. Simmons, M. P. *et al.* Intron invasions trace algal speciation and reveal nearly identical arctic and antarctic *Micromonas* populations. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 2219–2235 (2015).
15. Chrétiennot-Dinet, M.-J. *et al.* A new marine picoeucaryote: *Ostreococcus tauri* gen. et sp. nov. (Chlorophyta, Prasinophyceae). *Phycologia* **34**, 285–292 (1995).
16. Subirana, L. *et al.* Morphology, genome plasticity, and phylogeny in the genus *Ostreococcus* reveal a cryptic species, *O. mediterraneus* sp. nov. (Mamiellales, Mamiellophyceae). *Protist* **164**, 643–659 (2013).
17. Rodríguez, F. *et al.* Ecotype diversity in the marine picoeukaryote *Ostreococcus* (Chlorophyta, Prasinophyceae). *Environ. Microbiol.* **7**, 853–859 (2005).
18. Eikrem, W. & Thronsen, J. The ultrastructure of *Bathycoccus* gen. nov. and *B. prasinos* sp. nov., a non-motile picoplanktonic alga (Chlorophyta, Prasinophyceae) from the Mediterranean and Atlantic. *Phycologia* **29**, 344–350 (1990).
19. Johnson, P. W. & Sieburth, J. M. *In-Situ* morphology and occurrence of eucaryotic phototrophs of bacterial size in the picoplankton of estuarine and oceanic waters. *J. Phycol.* **18**, 318–327 (1982).
20. Collado-Fabriz, S., Vault, D. & Ulloa, O. Structure and seasonal dynamics of the eukaryotic picophytoplankton community in a wind-driven coastal upwelling ecosystem. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **56**, 2334–2346 (2011).
21. Not, F. *et al.* A single species, *Micromonas pusilla* (Prasinophyceae), dominates the eukaryotic picoplankton in the Western English Channel. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **70**, 4064–4072 (2004).
22. Moreau, H. *et al.* Gene functionalities and genome structure in *Bathycoccus prasinos* reflect cellular specializations at the base of the green lineage. *Genome Biol.* **13**, R74 (2012).
23. Vault, D. *et al.* Metagenomes of the picoalga *Bathycoccus* from the Chile coastal upwelling. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e39648 (2012).
24. Rusch, D. B. *et al.* The Sorcerer II Global Ocean Sampling expedition: northwest Atlantic through eastern tropical Pacific. *PLoS Biol.* **5**, e77 (2007).
25. Hellweger, F. L., van Sebille, E. & Fredrick, N. D. Biogeographic patterns in ocean microbes emerge in a neutral agent-based model. *Science* **345**, 1346–1349 (2014).
26. Rodríguez-Martínez, R., Rocap, G., Salazar, G. & Massana, R. Biogeography of the uncultured marine picoeukaryote MAST-4: temperature-driven distribution patterns. *ISME J.* **7**, 1531–1543 (2013).
27. de Vargas, C. *et al.* Eukaryotic plankton diversity in the sunlit ocean. *Science* **348**, 1261605 (2015).
28. Heywood, J. L., Sieracki, M. E., Bellows, W., Poulton, N. J. & Stepanauskas, R. Capturing diversity of marine heterotrophic protists: one cell at a time. *ISME J.* **5**, 674–684 (2011).
29. Lasken, R. S. Genomic sequencing of uncultured microorganisms from single cells. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **10**, 631–640 (2012).
30. Gasc, C. *et al.* Capturing prokaryotic dark matter genomes. *Res. Microbiol.* **166**, 814–830 (2015).
31. Yoon, H. S. *et al.* Single-cell genomics reveals organismal interactions in uncultivated marine protists. *Science* **332**, 714–717 (2011).
32. Martínez-García, M. *et al.* Unveiling *in situ* interactions between marine protists and bacteria through single cell sequencing. *ISME J.* **6**, 703–707 (2012).
33. Roy, R. S. *et al.* Single cell genome analysis of an uncultured heterotrophic stramenopile. *Sci. Rep.* **4**, 4780 (2014).
34. Karsenti, E. A journey from reductionist to systemic cell biology aboard the schooner Tara. *Mol. Biol. Cell* **23**, 2403–2406 (2012).
35. Karsenti, E. *et al.* A holistic approach to marine eco-systems biology. *PLoS Biol* **9**, e1001177 (2011).
36. Pesant, S. *et al.* Open science resources for the discovery and analysis of Tara Oceans data. *Sci. Data* **2**, 150023 (2015).
37. Stepanauskas, R. & Sieracki, M. E. Matching phylogeny and metabolism in the uncultured marine bacteria, one cell at a time. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **104**, 9052–9057 (2007).
38. Bork, P. *et al.* Tara Oceans. Tara Oceans studies plankton at planetary scale. Introduction. *Science* **348**, 873 (2015).
39. Parra, G., Bradnam, K. & Korf, I. CEGMA: a pipeline to accurately annotate core genes in eukaryotic genomes. *Bioinformatics* **23**, 1061–1067 (2007).
40. Monier, A. *et al.* Phosphate transporters in marine phytoplankton and their viruses: cross-domain commonalities in viral-host gene exchanges. *Environ. Microbiol.* **14**, 162–176 (2012).
41. Decelle, J. *et al.* PhytoREF: a reference database of the plastidial 16S rRNA gene of photosynthetic eukaryotes with curated taxonomy. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **15**, 1435–1445 (2015).
42. Keeling, P. J. *et al.* The Marine Microbial Eukaryote Transcriptome Sequencing Project (MMETSP): illuminating the functional diversity of eukaryotic life in the oceans through transcriptome sequencing. *PLOS Biol* **12**, e1001889 (2014).
43. Altschul, S. F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E. W. & Lipman, D. J. Basic local alignment search tool. *J. Mol. Biol.* **215**, 403–410 (1990).
44. Martin, J. H. *et al.* Testing the iron hypothesis in ecosystems of the equatorial Pacific Ocean. *Nature* **371**, 123–129 (1994).
45. Villar, E. *et al.* Environmental characteristics of Agulhas rings affect interocean plankton transport. *Science* **348**, 1261447–1261447 (2015).
46. Ulloa, O., Canfield, D. E., DeLong, E. F., Letelier, R. M. & Stewart, F. J. Microbial oceanography of anoxic oxygen minimum zones. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **109**, 15996–16003 (2012).
47. Morel, A. *et al.* Examining the consistency of products derived from various ocean color sensors in open ocean (Case 1) waters in the perspective of a multi-sensor approach. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **111**, 69–88 (2007).
48. Cullen, J. J. Subsurface chlorophyll maximum Layers: enduring enigma or mystery solved? *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* **7**, 207–239 (2015).
49. Fernández-Castro, B. *et al.* Importance of salt fingering for new nitrogen supply in the oligotrophic ocean. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8002 (2015).
50. Medini, D., Donati, C., Tettelin, H., Massignani, V. & Rappuoli, R. The microbial pan-genome. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **15**, 589–594 (2005).
51. Gawad, C., Koh, W. & Quake, S. R. Single-cell genome sequencing: current state of the science. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **17**, 175–188 (2016).
52. Palenik, B. *et al.* The tiny eukaryote *Ostreococcus* provides genomic insights into the paradox of plankton speciation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 7705–7710 (2007).
53. Jaillon, O. *et al.* Genome duplication in the teleost fish *Tetraodon nigroviridis* reveals the early vertebrate proto-karyotype. *Nature* **431**, 946–957 (2004).
54. Makałowski, W., Zhang, J. & Boguski, M. S. Comparative analysis of 1196 orthologous mouse and human full-length mRNA and protein sequences. *Genome Res.* **6**, 846–857 (1996).
55. Mouse Genome Sequencing Consortium *et al.* Initial sequencing and comparative analysis of the mouse genome. *Nature* **420**, 520–562 (2002).
56. Coleman, A. W. Is there a molecular key to the level of 'biological species' in eukaryotes? A DNA guide. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **50**, 197–203 (2009).
57. James, S. A., Cai, J., Roberts, I. N. & Collins, M. D. A phylogenetic analysis of the genus *Saccharomyces* based on 18S rRNA gene sequences: description of *Saccharomyces kunashirensis* sp. nov. and *Saccharomyces martiniae* sp. nov. *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* **47**, 453–460 (1997).

58. Bendif, E. M. *et al.* Genetic delineation between and within the widespread coccolithophore morpho-species *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Gephyrocapsa oceanica* (Haptophyta). *J. Phycol.* **50**, 140–148 (2014).
59. Acuña, L. G. *et al.* Architecture and gene repertoire of the flexible genome of the extreme acidophile *Acidithiobacillus caldus*. *PLoS ONE* **8**, (2013).
60. Coleman, M. L. *et al.* Genomic islands and the ecology and evolution of *Prochlorococcus*. *Science* **311**, 1768–1770 (2006).
61. Fernández-Gómez, B. *et al.* Patterns and architecture of genomic islands in marine bacteria. *BMC Genomics* **13**, 347 (2012).
62. Gonzaga, A. *et al.* Polyclonality of concurrent natural populations of *Alteromonas macleodii*. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **4**, 1360–1374 (2012).
63. Kashtan, N. *et al.* Single-Cell genomics reveals hundreds of coexisting subpopulations in wild *Prochlorococcus*. *Science* **344**, 416–420 (2014).
64. Rocap, G. *et al.* Genome divergence in two *Prochlorococcus* ecotypes reflects oceanic niche differentiation. *Nature* **424**, 1042–1047 (2003).
65. Lin, Y.-C. *et al.* Distribution patterns and phylogeny of marine Stramenopiles in the North Pacific Ocean. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **78**, 3387–3399 (2012).
66. Fiedler, P. C. The annual cycle and biological effects of the Costa Rica Dome. *Deep Sea North Pacific Ocean Res. Part Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* **49**, 321–338 (2002).
67. Ahlgrén, N. A. *et al.* The unique trace metal and mixed layer conditions of the Costa Rica upwelling dome support a distinct and dense community of *Synechococcus*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**, 2166–2184 (2014).
68. Yau, S. *et al.* A viral immunity chromosome in the marine picoeukaryote, *Ostreococcus tauri*. *PLoS Pathog. Part I* **12**, e1005965 (2016).
69. Carvunis, A.-R. *et al.* Proto-genes and de novo gene birth. *Nature* **487**, 370–374 (2012).
70. Schlötterer, C. Genes from scratch – the evolutionary fate of de novo genes. *Trends Genet.* **31**, 215–219 (2015).
71. Ramette, A. & Tiedje, J. M. Biogeography: an emerging cornerstone for understanding prokaryotic diversity, ecology, and evolution. *Microb. Ecol.* **53**, 197–207 (2007).
72. Chitsaz, H. *et al.* Efficient de novo assembly of single-cell bacterial genomes from short-read data sets. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **29**, 915–921 (2011).
73. Bankevich, A. *et al.* SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol. J. Comput. Mol. Cell Biol.* **19**, 455–477 (2012).
74. Boetzer, M., Henkel, C. V., Jansen, H. J., Butler, D. & Pirovano, W. Scaffolding pre-assembled contigs using SSPACE. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* **27**, 578–579 (2011).
75. Luo, R. *et al.* SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler. *GigaScience* **1**, 18 (2012).
76. Suzek, B. E., Huang, H., McGarvey, P., Mazumder, R. & Wu, C. H. UniRef: comprehensive and non-redundant UniProt reference clusters. *Bioinforma. Oxf. Engl.* **23**, 1282–1288 (2007).
77. Korf, I. Gene finding in novel genomes. *BMC Bioinformatics* **5**, 59 (2004).
78. Denoeud, F. *et al.* Annotating genomes with massive-scale RNA sequencing. *Genome Biol.* **9**, R175 (2008).
79. Krzywinski, M. *et al.* Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics. *Genome Res.* **19**, 1639–1645 (2009).

Acknowledgements

We thank the commitment of the following people and sponsors who made this expedition possible: CNRS (in particular Groupement de Recherche GDR3280), European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL), Genoscope/CEA, the French Government ‘Investissement d’Avenir’ programs Oceanomics (ANR-11-BTBR-0008) and FRANCE GENOMIQUE (ANR-10-INBS-09), Fund for Scientific Research – Flanders, VIB, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, UNIMIB, ANR (projects POSEIDON/ANR-09-BLAN-0348, PROMETHEUS/ANR-09-PCS-GENM-217, TARA-GIRUS/ANR-09-PCS-GENM-218), EU FP7 MicroB3/No.287589, US NSF grant DEB-1031049 to MES, FWO, BIO5, Biosphere 2, Agnès b., the Veolia Environment Foundation, Region Bretagne, World Courier, Illumina, Cap L’Orient, the EDF Foundation EDF Diversiterre, FRB, the Prince Albert II de Monaco Foundation, Etienne Bourgois, and not least, the *Tara* schooner and its captain and crew. *Tara* Oceans would not exist without continuous support from 23 institutes (<http://oceans.taraexpeditions.org>). We acknowledge Samuel Chaffron, Lionel Guidi and Lars Stemmann for help with the environmental parameters, Claude Scarpelli for support with the high-performance computing. We warmly thank Gwenaél Piganeau for reading and suggestions on this manuscript. We thank members of the *Tara* Oceans consortium, coordinated by Eric Karsenti, for the creative environment and constructive criticism.

Author Contributions

C.d.V., M.S., P.W. and O.J. designed the study. O.J. wrote the paper, with significant inputs from D.V., T.V. and P.W. M.S. managed the single cell isolation; Y.S. and J.M.A. managed the SAG assembly and gene predictions. T.V. and O.J. analyzed the genomic data, with significant input from J.L., Y.S., S.M., E.P., J.M.A., D.V. and P.W. T.V., J.L., D.V., D.I. and O.J. analyzed the oceanographic data. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Additional Information

Accession codes: This article is contribution number 48 of Tara Oceans. Physicochemical parameters from all Tara Oceans samples are available at Pangea (<http://doi.pangea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.840721>); metagenomics reads can be downloaded at SRA under identification study number PRJEB402 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB402>). The sequences of TOSAG39-1 were deposited and are available at EMBL/DBBL/GenBank under accession number ERA768231.

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at <http://www.nature.com/srep>

Competing financial interests: The authors declare no competing financial interests.

How to cite this article: Vannier, T. *et al.* Survey of the green picoalga *Bathycoccus* genomes in the global ocean. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 37900; doi: 10.1038/srep37900 (2016).

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in the credit line; if the material is not included under the Creative Commons license, users will need to obtain permission from the license holder to reproduce the material. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

© The Author(s) 2016