

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Procedia Food Science 1 (2011) 1001 – 1006



## 11<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Engineering and Food (ICEF11)

# Quantifying the combined effect of salt and temperature on the growth of *Listeria* strains isolated from salmon and salmon processing environments

Torstein Skåra<sup>a,b</sup>, Astrid M Cappuyns<sup>b</sup>, Eva Van Derlinden<sup>b</sup>, Jan Thomas Rosnes<sup>a</sup>, Vasilis P Valdramidis<sup>c</sup>, Jan FM Van Impe<sup>b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Nofima, Stavanger, Norway

<sup>b</sup>Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Department of Chemical Engineering, BioTeC - Chemical and Biochemical Process Technology and Control, Leuven, Belgium

<sup>c</sup>Biosystems Engineering UCD, School of Agriculture, Food Science and Veterinary Medicine University College Dublin, Ireland

## Abstract

The effects of temperature and salt concentration on the growth of relevant strains of *L. monocytogenes* and *Listeria innocua*, were quantified based on growth in a liquid broth medium, monitored by measuring turbidity. Absorbance curves were used to estimate maximum growth rate and lag time. The growth rates increase with increasing temperatures. The levels were quite similar for both species, although *L. monocytogenes* showed higher growth rate than *L. innocua* at 4°C. Lag time was more affected both by temperature and salt concentration, and these effects were most prominent for *L. innocua*.

© 2011 Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of 11th International Congress on Engineering and Food (ICEF 11) Executive Committee.

Keywords: Listeria; turbidity; modelling; salt; temperature

## 1. Introduction

*Listeria monocytogenes* remains a challenging pathogenic organism for the seafood industry. Due to its ubiquitous presence, potential to contaminate products after processing, and ability to multiply at temperatures as low as 0°C [1], it is a target for control in many ready-to-eat (RTE) foods, including seafood products [2]. Smoked salmon is produced by salting, smoking, trimming and slicing of fillets. Salting is normally carried out by dry salting or injection salting [3].

Producers of RTE foods are advised to document that the maximum content of L. monocytogenes should not exceed 100 CFU/g at the end of the given shelf life period [4-6]. This implies that both the inactivation of potential *Listeria* present on the product as well as the growth limitations of potential

Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of 11th International Congress on Engineering and Food (ICEF 11) Executive Committee.

<sup>\*</sup> Jan FM Van Impe. Tel.: +32.16.32.14.66 ; fax: +32.16.32.29.91

E-mail address: Jan.VanImpe@cit.kuleuven.be

<sup>2211-601</sup>X © 2011 Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

survivors are critical factors to ensure food safety. The effect of salt on the growth dynamics of potential pathogens like *L. monocytogenes* is of importance to exposure assessment. The growth probability of *L. monocytogenes* has been found to be comparable in cooked salmon and in liquid media (TSB) with relevant levels of salt and phenol added, but only at temperatures higher than  $12^{\circ}C$  [7]. The same study also showed that the growth of *L. monocytogenes* was more profoundly affected by salt content and storage temperature, than by phenol content.

In recent guidelines for shelf life determination of RTE-products, documentation of growth potential of *L. monocytogenes* is recommended to be obtained either through actual challenge studies, or through modelling [4]. In this context the applicability and precision of growth models for *L. monocytogenes* is of vital importance for food safety. Generating data for model building based on culturing *Listeria* in the relevant food products is one approach. Less labour intensive is to grow bacteria in growth models have been published for *L. monocytogenes* in seafood products [9-11]. Given the slow growth response of *Listeria* at refrigerated storage temperatures, good models for estimation of growth parameters from optical measurements is still of interest for screening, e.g., strains and new preservation conditions.

The objective of this work is to study the effect of temperature and salt concentration on the growth of *Listeria*. The strains investigated originate from or are relevant for salmon processing. The current study focuses on *Listeria* growth in a liquid medium model system.

## 2. Material and Methods

#### 2.1. Strains

*L. monocytogenes* strains (5, 11, 14, 15, 21, 26, 44, 51-2) isolated from salmon and salmon processing environment were obtained from the National Institute of Nutrition and Seafood Research (Norway). Their origin and description are shown in table 1.

Origin	Factory	Code
Fish, ungutted	А	1BR5
Fish, to be "gravad"	А	1BR26
Fish, swab of fish at filleting line	А	2BR14
Fish, gutted fish on gutting line	В	3BS44
Environment, table for deheading	А	1BR11
Environment, slicing machine in slicing room	А	1BR56
Environment, forceps for picking bones	А	2BR21
Environment, conveyor belt manual gutting line	С	2HF15
Environment, surface sorting box after grader	В	3BS51-2

Table 1. Origin and description of Listeria monocytogenes strains used in this study.

Sequencing of 16S identified the strains as foodborne L. monocytogenes, serotype 1/2a, and Multiple Loci VNTR analysis divided the strains further into 8 different subtypes. In addition and due to their relevance as model organisms [12;13], two L. innocua strains, ATCC 33090 and CCUG 35613 (= ATCC 51742) were included in the experiments and investigated with respect to their growth kinetic properties.

The cultures were stored frozen at -80°C in cryovials (Microbank, Pro-Lab Diagnostics, Canada). Before each experiment a frozen bead was recovered in Tryptic Soy Broth (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) with 0.6% yeast extract (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) (TSBYE, 10 ml) at 37°C over night.

#### 2.2. Bioscreen-experiments

Each overnight recovered suspension was inoculated in a 100 mL erlenmeyer flask containing 25 ml TSBYE and grown to stationary phase (growth conditions:  $20h/30^{\circ}C/150$  rpm). 10-fold serial dilutions of the inoculum were prepared in TSBYE with 0, 2.5 and 5 % (w/v) NaCl. 5 dilutions ( $10^{-1}$  to  $10^{-5}$ ) of each strain were transferred to 100 well microtiter plates ( $300 \mu$ L in each well). The microtiter plates were mounted in a Bioscreen C (Oy Growth Curves Ab Ltd., Helsinki, Finland) programmed to measure absorbance at 600 nm ( $abs_{600nm}$ ) at regular time intervals. Prior to each measurement, the plates were shaken for 10 seconds (default setting). The temperatures investigated were 4, 12 and  $30^{\circ}$ C. When experiments were conducted at sub ambient temperatures, the Bioscreen C was placed in an incubator (ICP 600, Memmert GmbH + Co. KG, Schwabach, Germany) with temperature setting close to the setpoint temperature of the Bioscreen.

For each strain a calibration curve was set up to relate turbidity measurements ( $abs_{600nm}$ ) to total viable cell counts (TVC) on Tryptic Soy Agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) with 0.6% yeast extract (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) (TSAYE). This calibration curve was used to determine the initial cell concentration ( $N_i$ ), and the cell concentration at  $abs_{600nm} = 0.2$  ( $N_{turb}$ ). The growth parameters, i.e., the maximum specific growth rate,  $\mu_{max}$ , and the lag time,  $\lambda$ , were estimated from turbidity measurement data ( $abs_{600 nm}$ ) by determination of the time to detection (TTD) as shown in Figure 1, and by using the serial dilution method [14;15] (1):

$$TTD_i = \lambda + \frac{\ln(N_{turb} / N_i)}{\mu_{\max}} \tag{1}$$

 $TTD_i$  is the time to detection, i.e., the time it takes for the  $abs_{600 \text{ nm}}$  to reach 0.2.  $\lambda$  is the lag time,  $\mu_{max}$  is the maximum growth rate,  $N_{turb}$  equals the TVC at  $abs_{600 \text{ nm}} = 0.2$ , and  $N_i$  is the initial TVC in the well. Four dilutions were used to determine each value. In most cases results were obtained using the average of 2 parallel wells in 3 independent replicate runs.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Some of the parameters required for the estimations are shown in an actual Bioscreen growth curve graph (*L. innocua* ATCC 33090 in TSBYE + 5% NaCl at 30° C) in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Turbidity growth curves *L. innocua* ATCC 33090 in TSBYE + 5% NaCl at  $30^{\circ}$  C, obtained at Abs = 600 nm. The Time to Detection (TTD) is indicated for each of 5 decimal dilutions

From equation (1),  $\lambda$  and  $\mu_{max}$  could be determined by plotting  $\ln(N_{turb}/N_i)$  vs. TTD, as illustrated in Figure 2 for *L. innocua* ATCC 33090 in TSBYE + 5% NaCl at 30° C (as above). Based on the regression line equation (y = 1.6323x + 2.6177),  $\lambda$  and  $\mu_{max}$ , were estimated to be 2.6 and (1/1.6323) = 0.61, respectively.




Fig. 2. Example plot of the serial dilution method (equation (1)) for *Listeria innocua* ATCC 33090 in TSBYE + 5% NaCl at 30° C. *TTD* is the time to detection,  $N_i$  is the initial cell concentration and  $N_{nucb}$  the cell concentration at  $abs_{600nm} = 0.2$ 

For the different salt and temperature combinations under study, no significant differences in  $\mu_{max}$  and  $\lambda$  were observed for the different strains within one species. Therefore, no individual strain results are presented, but the results are grouped per species, i.e., *L. monocytogenes* and *L. innocua*. This also implies that the reported standard deviation values reflect both strain and replicate variation.

#### 3.1. Growth rate estimations

The estimated maximum growth rates obtained by using the serial dilution method are presented in Table 2.

Salt (%)	Species	Temperature (°C)		
		30	12	4
0	Listeria monocytogenes	$1.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.185 \pm 0.004$	$0.042 \pm 0.002$
	Listeria innocua	$1.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.179\pm0.003$	$0.034 \pm 0.001$
2.5	Listeria monocytogenes	$0.85\pm0.02$	$0.158 \pm 0.006$	$0.032 \pm 0.002$
	Listeria innocua	$0.89\pm0.03$	$0.157\pm0.001$	$0.024 \pm 0.002$
5	Listeria monocytogenes	$0.49 \pm 0.05$	$0.124 \pm 0.007$	$0.025 \pm 0.05$
	Listeria innocua	$0.62\pm0.02$	$0.119\pm0.007$	$0.010\pm0.02$

Table 2: Effect of temperature and salt concentration on the maximum growth rate (mean maximum growth rate,  $\mu_{max}$  (h<sup>-1</sup>) ± SD) of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria innocua* strains in TSBYE.

From Table 2 it can be seen that the maximum specific growth rate decreased with decreasing temperature. An approximate 80% decrease in maximum specific growth rate was observed between 30 and 12°C. A similar decrease was observed when the temperature was further reduced to 4°C. Table 2 also shows the effect of increasing the salt concentration from 0% to 5%. At 30°C, this increase in salt concentration reduced the growth rate by approximately 50%. A 30% reduction in growth rate was observed at 12°C. For *L. monocytogenes* a similar reduction (30%) was observed at 4°C. Overall *L. innocua* showed a higher growth rate than *L. monocytogenes* at 30°C. At 12°C the growth rates were similar for both species, while at 4°C *L. monocytogenes* showed the higher growth rates.

#### 3.2. Lag time estimations

The estimated lag times obtained by using the TTD method are presented in table 3.

Table 3: Effect of temperature and salt concentration on lag time (mean lag time,  $\lambda$  (h) ± SD) of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Listeria innocua* strains in TSBYE.

Salt (%)	Species	Temperature (°C)		
		30	12	4
0	Listeria monocytogenes	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$5.7 \pm 0.7$	37 ± 7
0	Listeria innocua	$1.5 \pm 0.5$	$6.8 \pm 1.7$	$80 \pm 8$
2.5	Listeria monocytogenes	$1.3 \pm 0.2$	$6.5 \pm 1.4$	$23 \pm 11$
2.5	Listeria innocua	$1.7 \pm 0.3$	$10.0 \pm 0.7$	$35 \pm 18$
F	Listeria monocytogenes	$1.0. \pm 0.5$	9.9 ± 1.6	$48 \pm 26$
5	Listeria innocua	$2.2 \pm 0.5$	$15.5 \pm 2.4$	$71 \pm 24$

For *L. monocytogenes* the lag time increased with decreasing temperature. From Table 3 it can be seen that the increase is approximately 5-fold from 30°C to 12°C and 3-8 fold between 12 and 4°C. Salt concentration also affected the lag time of *L. monocytogenes*. At 30°C, however, the estimated lag time values were in the same range for the different salt concentrations. The increase in lag time between 0 and 5% salt was approximately 2-fold at 12°C. While at 4°C, an increase was observed between 0 and 5% salt, this was not reflected at 2.5%. However, for these data (2.5 and 5% salt at 4°C) additional replicated experiments still have to be included. For *L. innocua* an increase in lag time can also be seen for decreasing temperature. The increase was approximately 5 fold from 30 to 12°C. From 12 to 4°C the increase was between 4 and 10-fold. At 30°C the increase in salt concentration from 0 to 5% resulted in increase in salt concentration at 12°C. At 4°C, collection of additional data will permit elucidation of effects of salt concentration. In general the lag time of *L. innocua* increased more than that of *L. moncytogenes* with increasing salt concentration and decreasing temperature.

## 4. Conclusion

The results show an increase in growth rate of *Listeria* with increasing temperatures. The levels were quite similar for both species, although *L. monocytogenes* showed the higher growth rate at 4°C. Lag time was more affected than growth rate; both by temperature and salt concentration, and these effects were most prominent for *L. innocua.* 20 to 40 fold increase in lag time was observed when temperature was decreased from 30 to 4°C. Increasing salt concentration from 0 to 5% approximately doubled the lag time.

The different growth responses shown by *L. innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* must lead to caution with respect to the potential use of *L. innocua* as a model organism for *L. monocytogenes* when studying the effects of storage temperature and salt concentration, and this mainly due to the likelihood of overestimating the lag time. As temperature and salt are the major factors for controlling growth of *Listeria* in salted fish products, their specific content is relevant to product development and food safety.

## Acknowledgements

This work was funded by The Research Council of Norway (project no. 186905). The authors wish to thank the National Institute of Nutrition and Seafood Research (www.nifes.no) for providing the strains and the characterisation (Multiple Loci VNTR Analysis) and Stord/Haugesund University College for lending their Bioscreen C instrument. E. Van Derlinden is supported by grant PDMK/10/122 of the Research Fund of the Katholieke Universiteit Leuven. Jan Van Impe holds the chair Safety Engineering sponsored by the Belgian chemistry and life sciences federation essenscia. This work was supported by the Belgian Program on Interuniversity Poles of Attraction, initiated by the Belgian Federal Science Policy Office.

## References

[1] Rocourt J, Jacquet C, Reilly A. Epidemiology of human listeriosis and seafoods. Int J Food Microbiol 2000;62:197-209

[2] Porsby CH, Vogel BF, Mohr M, Gram L. Influence of processing steps in cold-smoked salmon production on survival and growth of persistent and presumed non-persistent *Listeria monocytogenes*. Int J Food Microbiol, 2008;122:287-295.

[3] Birkeland S, Rørå AMB, Skåra T, Bjerkeng B. Effects of cold smoking procedures and raw material characteristics on product yield and quality parameters of cold smoked Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) fillets. *Food Res Int* 2004;**37**:273-286.

[4] Beaufort A, Cornu M, Bergis H, Lardeux A-L, Lombard B. (2008) Technical Guidance Document on Shelf-life Studies for *Listeria monocytogenes* in Ready-to-eat Foods. Version 2, EU CRL for Listeria monocytogenes.

[5] Codex Alimentarius. Report on the thirty-fourth session of the Codex Committee on food hygiene. ALINORM 03/13. 2002. Rome, Italy, FAO/WHO.

[6] COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1441/2007 of December 2007 amending Regulation (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs. L 322, 12-29. 2007. Official Journal of the European Union.

[7] Hwang CA. The probability of growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* in cooked salmon and tryptic soy broth as affected by salt, smoke compound, and storage temperature. *Food Microbiol* 2009;**26**:253-258.

[8] Augustin JC, Rosso L, Carlier V. Estimation of temperature dependent growth rate and lag time of *Listeria monocytogenes* by optical density measurements. *J Microbiol Methods* 1999;**38**:137-146.

[9] Mejlholm O, Dalgaard P. Modeling and predicting the growth boundary of *Listeria monocytogenes* in lightly preserved seafood. *J Food Prot* 2007;**70**:70-84.

[10] Mejlholm O, Dalgaard P. Development and validation of an extensive growth and growth boundary model for *Listeria* monocytogenes in lightly preserved and ready-to-eat shrimp. *J Food Prot* 2009;**72**:2132-2143.

[11] Mejlholm O, Gunvig A, Borggaard C, Blom-Hanssen J, Mellefont L, Ross T, Leroi F, Else T, Visser D, Dalgaard P. Predicting growth rates and growth boundary of *Listeria monocytogenes* - An international validation study with focus on processed and ready-to-eat meat and seafood. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2010;**141**:137-150.

[12] Himelbloom BH, Crapo C, Thombatu TS, Vorholt C. 2008.Pellicle formation and inactivation of *Listeria* and *Staphylococcus* species in hot-smoking of salmon. In: Alaska Sea Grant College Program,

[13] Vitt SM, Himelbloom BH, Crapo CA. Inhibition of *Listeria innocua* and *L. monocytogenes* in a laboratory medium and cold-smoked salmon containing liquid smoke. *J Food Saf* 2001;**21**:111-125

Presented at ICEF11 (May 22-26, 2011 – Athens, Greece) as paper MFS455.