Received: 10 June 2019 | Revised: 29 July 2019 | Accepted: 2 August 2019

DOI: 10.1111/fwb.13396

OPINION

Freshwater Biology WILEY

Biogeographic conundrum: Why so few stream nerite species (Gastropoda: Neritidae) in Australia?

Andrew R. Davis[1] | Winston Ponder[2]

[1]School of Earth, Atmospheric and Life Sciences, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia

[2]Australian Museum Research Institute, Sydney, NSW, Australia

Correspondence
Andrew R. Davis, School of Earth, Atmospheric and Life Sciences, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia.
Email: adavis@uow.edu.au

Abstract

1. Nerites (Gastropoda: Neritidae) are prominent members of tropical marine and freshwater gastropod faunas and rich assemblages can be found in many streams of islands in the Indo-Pacific. For example, the streams of Fiji and New Guinea each support at least 23 species of freshwater neritimorphs, with representatives in the genera: *Clithon*, *Neripteron*, *Neritilia*, *Neritina*, *Neritona*, *Neritodryas*, *Septaria*, and *Vittina*.
2. The striking diversity of this group in the small coastal streams of Pacific Islands contrast with a paucity of taxa in tropical Australia, despite northern Australia occupying a similar latitude. Just four taxa have been reported from Australia and only two can be considered common. These patterns are in marked contrast to the wide distribution of many marine nerites in the Pacific and conflicts with Island Biogeography Theory.
3. Strikingly, many of these stream taxa have adopted an amphidromous lifestyle; adult gastropods feed and reproduce in freshwater, whereas larvae are swept to the ocean and undergo a marine dispersive phase before settling near the entrance to creeks and re-entering these freshwater systems as crawling juveniles.
4. Rapid transit of larvae to the ocean via short, steep, fast-flowing streams may offer an explanation for this biogeographic conundrum. Larvae that do not reach the ocean within a few days may starve or exhibit poor survival. Hence, the disruption of stream–ocean connectivity may explain the low diversity of these taxa in northern Australia. Sea level rise in northern Australia in the current interglacial has further weakened stream–ocean connectivity with the development of vast flood plains and slow-moving rivers.
5. We contend that: (1) poor stream–ocean connectivity is not conducive to the maintenance of populations of nerites in northern Australia; and (2) new records of freshwater nerites may be revealed by surveys in short, steep coastal streams of northern Australia.

KEYWORDS
amphidromy, dispersal, island biogeography, mollusc, stream fauna

1 | THE CONUNDRUM

The Neritimorpha are a group of gastropods totalling several hundred taxa in marine brackish and freshwater habitats, particularly in tropical regions (Fukumori & Kano, 2014; Scott & Kenny, 1998). The marine members of this group often dominate tropical shores. Streams on many Pacific Islands also support a rich fauna with around 33 species of Neritidae drawn from eight genera in the Indo-Pacific region (Haynes, 1988)[1]. More than 20 species of stream nerites can be found in Fiji as well as New Guinea, closely followed by the islands of New Caledonia, Samoa, the Solomon Islands, and Vanuatu with species numbering in the high teens (Table 1). Even modest-sized creeks in this region can possess an impressive range of taxa, often in large numbers (Kano et al., 2011, Figure 1).

The diversity that occurs in the modest-sized creeks of these Pacific Island nations contrasts with the paucity of this stream fauna observed in tropical and subtropical Australia. Just four taxa, drawn from four genera, are recorded in the Atlas of Living Australia for Australian streams (Table 2).[2] Even the Islands of Hawaii support as many taxa, despite their remoteness (Table 1). The small number of taxa in Australia runs counter to Island Biogeography theory (MacArthur & Wilson, 1967). Species–area relationships alone suggest that northern Australia should support many more taxa than have been observed (McGuinness, 1984). Here, we seek to highlight this apparent biogeographic conundrum and offer an explanation.

TABLE 1 Indo-Pacific stream neritid gastropods taxa by nation or region. Numbers of species and genera are drawn from two sources: 1 Smith (1992); 2 Haynes (1988) with taxon counts updated using the World Register of Marine Species

Location	Species	Genera	Source
Australia	4	4	1[a]
SE Asia	20	7	2
New Guinea	23	7	2
Palau	5	4	2
Guam	7	4	2
Caroline Is. Truk & Ponepe	9	6	2
Hawaii	4	2	2[b]
Solomon Is.	16	7	2
Vanuatu	14	7	2[c]
New Caledonia	18	6	2
Fiji	23	8	2
Samoa	16	7	2[d]
Tahiti	12	6	2

[a]Eichhorst (2016) lists an additional genus and several additional species (see text for details), but only those taxa listed Table 2 are recorded in the Atlas of Living Australia.
[b]Cowie, Evenhuis, & Christensen, 1995 conservatively listed 2 species in a single genus.
[c]Kano et al., 2011 suggested that *approximately* 40 species occur on the Island of Santo, Vanuatu.
[d]Cowie, 1998; listed 26 species in 5 genera, although 3 subgenera are now elevated to the level of genus.

2 | AMPHIDROMY: THE KEY TO UNDERSTANDING NERITE BIOGEOGRAPHY?

Streams on Pacific Islands are usually short, steep, and reliant on very small catchments. Consequently, they experience extremes in climatic and hydrological variation. In turn, these streams carry a high likelihood of faunal extirpation and have selected for an unusual mode of development—amphidromy (Abdou, Keith, & Galzin, 2015; McDowall, 2007, 2010). Adult gastropods feed and reproduce in freshwater, while their larvae are swept to the ocean and may undergo an extended marine dispersive phase before resuming a freshwater existence.

Following recruitment at the entrance of creeks and streams, upstream movements by crawling snails have been observed, sometimes *en masse* following significant river discharge (Blanco & Scatena, 2005; Schneider & Frost, 1986).

The important role of amphidromy in the biogeography of insular lotic fauna of islands has been recognised for some time (Smith, Covich, & Brasher, 2003). Amphidromy has evolved in a range of disparate taxa including decapod crustaceans (Castelin et al., 2013; Cook, Page, & Hughes, 2012) and at least nine families of stream-dwelling fishes, particularly representatives of the Eleotridae and Gobiidae (Keith & Lord, 2011; McDowall, 1988). Among gastropods, amphidromy is also observed for a few Thiaridae (e.g. Hidaka & Kano, 2014) and the neritimorph families Neritiliidae (Kano & Kase, 2003) and neritids (Abdou et al., 2015; Eichhorst, 2016). These taxa possess small larvae which may reduce their risk of being swept from their natal estuaries (Fukumori & Kano, 2014); however, all studied amphidromous taxa have extended pelagic larval duration with their planktotrophic larvae capable of dispersing for weeks and even months (Alda et al., 2016; Crandall, Taffel, & Barber, 2010; Myers, Meyer, & Resh, 2000 and references therein). It has been hypothesised that these larvae are capable of delayed metamorphosis (Pechenik, 1990) resulting in the possibility of extremely long-distance dispersal events (Crandall, Treml, & Barber, 2012).

The high dispersal potential of amphidromous nerites is reflected in the distribution of this group among Indo-Pacific islands; these islands share many taxa in common. If we ignore the four taxa restricted to Hawaii, then 27 of the remaining 29 species are shared among three or more island nations, as well as Southeast Asia (see Table 1 in Haynes, 1988). Furthermore, three of the four taxa in Australia are widespread across the Pacific (Table 2). Genetic evidence lends support to the high dispersive potential

[1]Haynes originally noted the presence of five genera, but this has subsequently expanded to eight as listed in the World Register of Marine Species.

[2]We note, however, that the recent monograph by Eichhorst (2016) records the genus *Septaria* and several additional taxa in the genera *Clithon*, *Neritina and Vittina* from Australian streams.



FIGURE 1 Typical modest-sized Pacific high island creek containing 15 species of nerites; Rukuruku Creek, Island of Ovalua, Fiji. (a) creek *c*. 1.5 km above the entrance. (b) *Clithon pritchardi* (spinose form). Photos A.R.D. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

TABLE 2 Numbers of Australian Records (Atlas of Living Australia, ALA) for freshwater Neritidae in Australia. Distribution in the Pacific is drawn from Table 1 of Haynes (1988) and the World Register of Marine Species database; data are the number of nations or Island States in which a taxon has been recorded from a maximum of 12

Taxon	ALA records in Australia	Distribution in the Pacific
Clithon oualaniense (Lesson, 1831)	186	8/12
Neritina pulligera (Linnaeus, 1767)	56	9/12
Vittina variegata (Lesson, 1831)	30	11/12
Neripteron violaceum (Gmelin, 1791)	128	3/12

of amphidromous species; they show little evidence of population structuring in the Caribbean (Page et al., 2013) and the Pacific (Crandall et al., 2010; Myers et al., 2000). The high dispersal potential of these taxa adds further to our biogeographic conundrum; amphidromous species should be capable of reaching Australian streams. So why don't they?

It is now widely accepted that the amphidromous mode of development is a response to unstable habitats where expiration through flood or drought is highly likely (Abdou et al., 2015; Thuesen et al., 2011). However, not only are these habitats unstable over ecological time frames, islands erode and no longer induce orographic rainfall. Consequently, oceanic islands are relatively short-lived and represent unstable habitats over evolutionary and geological time scales (Smith et al., 2003; Whittaker, Triantis, & Ladle, 2008). Changes in the suitability of habitat as island chains *evolve* may explain the apparent preference of the amphidromous nerite, *Neritona* (*Neritina) granosa*, for younger Islands in the Hawaiian chain (Alda et al., 2016).

3 | THE IMPORTANCE OF STREAM–OCEAN CONNECTIVITY

Amphidromy is most prevalent on small oceanic islands and is coincident with steep, short coastal streams with small catchments (McDowall, 2010; Thuesen et al., 2011). These conditions provide strong connectivity between stream and ocean, ensuring the rapid transit of larvae to the ocean. Rapid transit appears to be important, at least for amphidromous fish larvae, as delays induce starvation and increase mortality among early life stages (Iguchi & Mizuno, 1999). Data for nerites are scant, but there are two reports (the first unpublished) of high mortality associated with holding nerite larvae in freshwater for several days (Ford 1979—cited in Abdou et al., 2015, Crandall 1999—cited in Eichhorst, 2016). Consistent with the notion of rapid transit, Resh, Barnes, Benis-Steger, and Craig (1992) observed five-fold more nerite egg cases deposited on stones in fast-moving sections of creeks in French Polynesia compared with pools.

Surveys of short, steep streams in northern Australia have revealed a poorly characterised fish assemblage and added 10 new records (8 of them gobies) to the Australian freshwater fish fauna (Thuesen et al., 2011). Most of these streams were fed from tiny catchments <6 km^2. Amphidromous fishes dominated this fauna and these streams were faunistically more similar to high islands of the Pacific than to nearby continental river systems. McDowall (2010) has also observed the low incidence of amphidromy on continents, noting that coastal streams of central America are the exception, but are characterised by small steep streams derived from small catchments (McDowall, 2010).

Changes wrought by sea level rise since the last glacial may have further compromised stream–ocean connectivity (Thuesen et al., 2011). Northern Australia is now dominated by extensive flood plains with relatively slow-moving rivers. Larvae released from the headwaters of streams will take some time to reach the ocean, disrupting stream–ocean connectivity. We are not aware of any studies on the development of amphidromous nerite larvae, including their growth and survivorship in freshwater environments, but these hypotheses are clearly testable.

Australia's increasing aridity (White, 1994) and variability in hydrological flows (Kennard et al., 2010; Unmack, 2001) will no doubt see the extinction of established freshwater taxa. However, the recent documenting of a freshwater fish fauna in very small streams in Australia's wet tropics, dominated by amphidromous taxa (Thuesen et al., 2011) is instructive. This argues that the dispersive abilities of fishes with amphidromous development allows their reinvasion and persistence in unstable habitats.

We conclude that hydrological conditions on continental northern Australia are currently not conducive to the maintenance of amphidromous gastropods. We also predict that searches in small coastal northern Australian streams may generate new records of nerites for Australia and, given the dispersal abilities of amphidromous species, it seems unlikely that endemic taxa will be found.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alison Haynes piqued our interest in this freshwater nerite fauna at a Malacological Society of Australasia meeting. We wish to dedicate this manuscript to her memory in recognition of her contributions to understanding this fauna in the western Pacific. The Centre for Sustainable Ecosystem Solutions at the University of Wollongong supported this work. This represents contribution no. 321 from the Ecology and Genetics group at the University of Wollongong.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data presented in this opinion piece are from published sources and have been cited.

ORCID

Andrew R. Davis https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8146-7424

REFERENCES

Abdou, A., Keith, P., & Galzin, R. (2015). Freshwater neritids (Mollusca: Gastropoda) of tropical islands: Amphidromy as a life cycle, a review. *Revue d'Ecologie (Terre et Vie)*, *70*, 387–397.

Alda, F., Gagne, R. B., Walter, R. P., Hogan, J. D., Moody, K. N., Zink, F., … Blum, M. J. (2016). Colonization and demographic expansion of freshwater fauna across the Hawaiian archipelago. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *29*(10), 2054–2069. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.12929

Blanco, J. F., & Scatena, F. (2005). Floods, habitat hydraulics and upstream migration of *Neritina virginea* (Gastropoda: Neritidae) in Northeastern Puerto Rico. *Caribbean Journal of Science*, *41*, 55–71.

Castelin, M., Feutry, P., Hautecoeur, M., Marquet, G., Wowor, D., Zimmermann, G., & Keith, P. (2013). New insight on population genetic connectivity of widespread amphidromous prawn *Macrobrachium lar* (Fabricius, 1798)(Crustacea: Decapoda: Palaemonidae). *Marine Biology*, *160*(6), 1395–1406. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2191-y

Cook, B. D., Page, T. J., & Hughes, J. M. (2012). Phylogeography of related diadromous species in continental and island settings, and a comparison of their potential and realized dispersal patterns. *Journal of Biogeography*, *39*(2), 421–430. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2011.02579.x

Cowie, R. H. (1998). *Catalog of the nonmarine snails and slugs of the Samoan Islands* (p. 122). Honolulu, HI: Bishop Museum Press.

Cowie, R. H., Evenhuis, N. L., & Christensen, C. C. (1995). *Catalog of the native land and freshwater molluscs of the Hawaiian Islands* (p. 248). Leiden, the Netherlands: Backhuys Publishers.

Crandall, E. D., Taffel, J. R., & Barber, P. H. (2010). High gene flow due to pelagic larval dispersal among South Pacific archipelagos in two amphidromous gastropods (Neritomorpha: Neritidae). *Heredity*, *104*(6), 563–572. https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2009.138

Crandall, E. D., Treml, E. A., & Barber, P. H. (2012). Coalescent and biophysical models of stepping-stone gene flow in neritid snails. *Molecular Ecology*, *21*(22), 5579–5598. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12031

Eichhorst, T. E. (2016). *Neritidae of the world*, Vol. *1 and 2* (p. 1366). Hackenheim, Germany: ConchBooks.

Fukumori, H., & Kano, Y. (2014). Evolutionary ecology of settlement size in planktotrophic neritimorph gastropods. *Marine Biology*, *161*, 213–227. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-013-2330-5

Haynes, A. (1988). Notes on the stream neritids (Gastropoda; Prosobranchia) of Oceania. *Micronesica*, *21*, 93–102.

Hidaka, H., & Kano, Y. (2014). Morphological and genetic variation between the Japanese populations of the amphidromous snail *Stenomelania crenulata* (Cerithioidea: Thiaridae). *Zoological Science*, *31*(9), 593–603. https://doi.org/10.2108/zs140074

Iguchi, K., & Mizuno, N. (1999). Early starvation limits survival in amphidromous fishes. *Journal of Fish Biology*, *54*, 705–712. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1999.tb02027.x

Kano, Y., & Kase, T. (2003). Systematics of the *Neritilia rubida* complex (Gastropoda: Neritiliidae): Three amphidromous species with overlapping distributions in the Indo-Pacific. *Journal of Molluscan Studies*, *69*(3), 273–284. https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/69.3.273

Kano, Y., Strong, E. E., Fontaine, B., Gargominy, O., Glaubrecht, M., & Bouchet, P. (2011). Focus on freshwater snails. *The Natural History of Santo. Patrimoines Naturels*, *70*, 257–264.

Keith, P., & Lord, C. (2011). Tropical freshwater gobies: Amphidromy as a life cycle. In R. A. Patzner, J. L. Van Tassell, M. Kovacic, & B. G. Kapoor (Eds.), *The biology of Gobies* (pp. 119–128). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/b11397

Kennard, M. J., Pusey, B. J., Olden, J. D., Mackay, S. J., Stein, J. L., & Marsh, N. (2010). Classification of natural flow regimes in Australia to support environmental flow management. *Freshwater Biology*, *55*(1), 171–193. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2009.02307.x

MacArthur, R. H., & Wilson, E. O. (1967). *The theory of island biogeography. Monographs in population biology, no. 1*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.

McDowall, R. M. (1988). *Diadromy in fishes: Migrations between marine and freshwater environments*. London: Croom Helm.

McDowall, R. M. (2007). On amphidromy, a distinct form of diadromy in aquatic organisms. *Fish & Fisheries*, *8*(1), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-2979.2007.00232.x

McDowall, R. M. (2010). Why be amphidromous: Expatrial dispersal and the place of source and sink population dynamics? *Reviews in Fish Biology & Fisheries*, *20*(1), 87–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-009-9125-2

McGuiness, K. A. (1984). Species–area curves. *Biological Reviews*, *59*(3), 423–440. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185x.1984.tb00711.x

Myers, M. J., Meyer, C. P., & Resh, V. H. (2000). Neritid and thiarid gastropods from French Polynesian streams: How reproduction (sexual, parthenogenetic) and dispersal (active, passive) affect population structure. *Freshwater Biology*, *44*(3), 535–545. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2000.00599.x

Page, T. J., Torati, L. S., Cook, B. D., Binderup, A., Pringle, C. M., Reuschel, S., … Hughes, J. M. (2013). Invertébrés sans frontières: Large scales of connectivity of selected freshwater species among Caribbean Islands. *Biotropica*, *45*(2), 236–244. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7429.2012.00900.x

Pechenik, J. A. (1990). Delayed metamorphosis by larvae of benthic marine invertebrates: Does it occur? Is there a price to pay? *Ophelia*, *32*(1–2), 63–94. https://doi.org/10.1080/00785236.1990.10422025

Resh, V. H., Barnes, J. R., Benis-Steger, B., & Craig, D. A. (1992). Life history features of some macroinvertebrates in a French Polynesian stream. *Studies on Neotropical Fauna & Environment*, *27*(2–3), 145–153. https://doi.org/10.1080/01650529209360874

Schneider, D. W., & Frost, T. M. (1986). Massive upstream migrations by a tropical freshwater neritid snail. *Hydrobiologia*, *137*(2), 153–157. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00004211

Scott, B. J., & Kenny, R. (1998). Superfamily Neritoidea. In P. L. Beesley, G. J. Ross, & A. Wells (Eds.), *Mollusca: The Southern synthesis. Fauna of Australia*, Vol. *5* (pp. 694–702). Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing, Part B viii 565-1234 pp.

Smith, B. J. (1992). Non-marine Mollusca. In W. W. K. Houston (Ed.), *Zoological catalogue of Australia*, Vol. *8* (pp. xii 708). Canberra: AGPS.

Smith, G. C., Covich, A. P., & Brasher, A. M. (2003). An ecological perspective on the biodiversity of tropical island streams. *BioScience*, *53*(11), 1048–1051. https://doi.org/10.1641/0006-3568(2003)053[1048:aepotb]2.0.co;2

Thuesen, P. A., Ebner, B. C., Larson, H., Keith, P., Silcock, R. M., Prince, J., & Russell, D. J. (2011). Amphidromy links a newly documented fish community of continental Australian streams, to oceanic islands of the West Pacific. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(10), e26685. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026685

Unmack, P. J. (2001). Biogeography of Australian freshwater fishes. *Journal of Biogeography*, *28*(9), 1053–1089. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.2001.00615.x

White, M. E. (1994). *After the greening: The browning of Australia* (p. 288). Kenhurst, NSW: Kangaroo Press.

Whittaker, R. J., Triantis, K. A., & Ladle, R. J. (2008). A general dynamic theory of oceanic island biogeography. *Journal of Biogeography*, *35*(6), 977–994. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.01892.x

How to cite this article: Davis AR, Ponder W. Biogeographic conundrum: Why so few stream nerite species (Gastropoda: Neritidae) in Australia?. *Freshw Biol*. 2019;64:2084–2088. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.13396