ORIGINAL PAPER

SENCKENBERG



The dispersal capacity of Mollusca—a test on the South Azorean Seamount Chain

José Antonio Caballero Herrera^{1,2} · Leon Hoffman³ · André Freiwald³ · Serge Gofas^{1,4}

Received: 30 March 2023 / Revised: 3 June 2023 / Accepted: 15 June 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

This study investigates the molluscan fauna of the South Azorean Seamount Chain (SASC), which comprises several seamounts culminating in 300–1600 m depth, separated by distances less than 200 km. Material was collected mainly by dredging and comprises mostly empty shells. A total of over 111,000 shells representing at least 439 species (409 identified) was collected. Larval development was inferred from protoconch morphology, and the assemblage comprises species with planktotrophic larvae, with non-feeding planktonic larva, and with lecithotrophic larvae with direct development. The direct developers are more prevalent among species endemic to the SASC in the upper bathyal part (300–800 m) of the seamounts, whereas most planktotrophic species are shared with the Lusitanian seamounts and/or the European mainland. Nevertheless, there are notable exceptions to this trend, where species with non-planktotrophic larvae are also widespread, and a large proportion of the species with non-feeding planktonic larvae are shared with Eastern and/or Western Atlantic. Level of endemism of Mollusca is high within the SASC (22.5% overall, 35.8% considering only the interval < 800 m) and even higher (32.6% overall) when considering together the SASC and the Azores. The generic composition and large set of overlapping fauna suggest a strong relation to the temperate Eastern Atlantic, whereas only 19% of the species are shared with the Western Atlantic.

Keywords Larval development · Seamount · Deep sea · Marine biogeography · North Atlantic

Introduction

The geographic range and the local abundance of animals are the result of a suite of factors which first involve their ability to arrive, and next the ability to persist and form viable populations (Barroso et al. 2022; Jablonski 1986; Pechenik 1999; Strathmann 1985). The demands of each of those steps may involve contradictory factors.

In a first approach, we could predict that the more remotely a site is located, the less easily it can be colonized by species

Communicated by M. Kaufmann

José Antonio Caballero Herrera caballero.jant@gmail.com

- ¹ Departamento de Biología Animal, Universidad de Málaga, Campus de Teatinos S/N, 29071 Málaga, Spain
- ² Centro Oceanográfico de Málaga, Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO, CSIC), Puerto Pesquero S/N, 29640 Fuengirola (Málaga), Spain
- ³ Senckenberg Am Meer, Südstrand 40, 26382 Wilhelmshaven, Germany
- ⁴ Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France

which do not spend a long time in the plankton as drifting larvae or propagules. Here, however, a second element has to be considered. For a species having succeeded in establishing a reproductive population in a very isolated site, the long planktonic larval development leads to the loss in the plankton of most of the progeny and constitutes a selective disadvantage compared to species which have a direct development without a planktonic stage. Direct development, if it makes colonization a rare event, is also a favorable factor for the sustainability of the founding population (Johannesson 1988). Planktonic larval dispersal is effective in recolonizing the sector in the next generation only if the colonizable environment is large enough. Below a critical threshold of geographical extent, the species can only be maintained by recurrent recolonization by larvae from the continent (we then speak of pseudopopulations, Bouchet and Taviani 1992). There is therefore a priori a contradiction between the prediction of an easier arrival of species with planktotrophic larval development, and that of a more efficient colonization by species with non-planktotrophic development.

The South Azorean Seamount Chain (SASC) comprises a varied set in terms of age, dimensions, and relative distances to the mainland, making therefore a natural laboratory for

testing these aspects of the evolution of marine species. They are isolated by large stretches of deep oceans, with distances to the mainland in the order of 1500 km, and to the Azores of ca 600 km. The very large Great Meteor seamount forms a flat plateau of nearly 2000 km² culminating at ca 300 m depth, surrounded by very steep cliffs which reach down to the surrounding abyssal plain. The other seamounts have a smaller plateau (Irving with about 750 km², Atlantis and Hyères with about 350 km²) or a summit deeper than 600 m like Plato. The SASC originated from the activity of the long-lived Azores mantle plume beneath the mid-Atlantic oceanic lithosphere (Ribeiro et al. 2017). Great Meteor is not a piece of drifting continental plate, as had been once suggested (Fleischer et al. 1970), and the flattened plateaus of the seamounts are believed to be abrasion surfaces formed near sea-level (Tucholke and Smoot 1990). However, determining here the age is not straightforward because the seamounts have a complex history of sinking and rejuvenation. The oldest structure in the area is believed to be the deep Cruiser plateau at the base of Irving/Cruiser seamount (50-76 million years) now sunken in more than 2000 m. Following the reconstructed plate movement, the seamounts have younger ages (Plato: 40 million years; Atlantis: 20 million years; Irving: 17 million years; Meteor 11-16 million years) along a back-and-forth track in the area (Tucholke & Smoot 1990). The seamounts are intra-plate structures, which means that their distance from the mainland or from each other has remained unchanged since their formation. Therefore, dispersal is the sole process for benthic life to colonize them.

The sedimentary bottoms on the seamounts are characterized by the lack of any terrigenous input of fine sediments (von Rad 1974), contrary to what occurs along continental margins. All new sediment, apart from the erosion of the geological structure itself, must originate from the production of bioclasts by pelagic and benthic organisms. This is a slow process and allows time for most of the fine particles to be winnowed away. The winnowing and the rugged topography also result in the availability of large rock surfaces in the 200–600 m depth interval, which is usually clad with sediments along the continental margin.

This study aims to investigate the molluscan fauna of the South Azorean Seamount Chain, assembling a taxonomic checklist as complete as possible with relevant data (type of larval development, trophic group, body size, depth range, geographical distribution) regarding the species. We then will attempt to find general patterns related with those attributes: how does geographical distribution relate with type of larval development? Do species with a direct or generally non-planktonic larval development have a more restricted distribution than those with a planktonic phase? Do endemics form a significant part of bathyal mollusc fauna in the SASC? Are specimen size and depth range correlated with different larval development types? Is the origin of the malacofauna rather derived from the Eastern Atlantic, the Western Atlantic, or elsewhere?

Material and methods

Sample collection and processing

Material from the North Atlantic seamounts was collected during the French Seamount 2 expedition and the German M151 and POS397 expeditions (Fig. 1). Seamount 2 was conducted in January/February 1993 by SG with R/V Le Suroit and visited the Great Meteor, Hyères, Irving, Plato, Atlantis, Tyro, and Antialtair seamounts (Gofas 1993; material from the latter two seamounts still unsorted and not taken into account in this study), of which 100 operations on Great Meteor, Hyères, Irving, Plato, and Atlantis (17 beam trawls, 74 dredge haul, 8 epibenthic sleds, and one suprabenthic sled) are taken into account in this study. M151 visited Great and Little Meteor seamounts, Atlantis, and several small seamounts in the vicinity of the Azores Eastern group of islands in October 2018 by AF and LH (Frank 2018), collecting box core and van Veen samples, and a ROV with various devices for sampling, of which 12 are studied here; the ROV sediment samples were small but focussed on specific prospective habitats near corals, octocorals and sponges. The POS397 expedition only visited the upper plateau of Great Meteor in March 2010 and this study incorporates 21 samples collected with a small Shipek grab (up to ca 1 L of sediment), aimed to evaluate the sediment composition (George 2010).

The coarse fractions were mostly sorted on board to phyla and later sorted to species level. Samples of the finer fractions were preserved on board, sieved on 5, 2, 1, and 0.5 mm sieves, and sorted for separating specimens under a stereomicroscope. Particular attention was given to cleaning the collecting gear when proceeding from one seamount to another, in order to avoid contaminations. The nets were thoroughly washed on deck during transit between seamounts, and sieves were stained in methylene blue.

Identification of specimens and assembly of the data matrix

Publications covering a large area of the North Atlantic, as well as comparative material from museum collections (mostly MNHN, Paris), were used for species identification. Important works are a series of monographs on North-West Atlantic deep-sea gastropods (Bouchet and Warén 1980, 1985, 1986, 1993; Warén 1989a, 1991, 1992, 1993, 1996a; Warén and Bouchet 1991; Warén and Gofas 1997) and deepsea bivalves (Allen and Morgan 1981; Allen and Turner



Fig. 1 Scope of the present study in the South Azorean Seamount Chain (Seamount 2, M151, and POS397 expeditions) and the Azores eastern group (M151). The grey arrows indi-

cate the overall direction of near-surface water circulation, redrawn from a near-surface velocity model in Johnson and Stevens (2000)

1974; Krylova 2006; Salas 1996; Sanders and Allen 1973, 1977; Warén 1989b) in addition to the works on CANCAP Molluscs (Dijkstra and Goud 2002; van Aartsen et al. 1998, 2000; van der Linden 1995; 1998; Verhecken 2007). Species which are not named at the species level are denoted by "n. sp." (or "n. gen. n. sp." if appropriate) when they are under study and recognized as new taxa pending formal description, and those are taken into account in the assessment of endemism. Other species denoted as simply "sp." are only recognized as a morphospecies for which we cannot venture a species name, and are excluded from those estimates.

Species occurrences and numbers were taken from the published articles for those groups already studied: *Anatoma* (Hoffman et al. 2021), *Clelandella* (Gofas 2005), *Calliostoma* (Gofas and Hoffman 2020), Skeneidae (Hoffman et al. 2020a; Rubio et al. 2015, 2019); Seguenziidae (Hoffman et al. 2020b); *Kaiparapelta* (Warén and Gofas 1996); Fissurellidae (Hoffman and Freiwald 2023); Eulimidae (Hoffman and Freiwald 2020); *Papuliscala* (Hoffman et al. 2020c), Rissoidae (Gofas 2007; Hoffman and Freiwald 2021); *Trituba* (Gofas 2003); Cerithiopsidae and Newtoniellidae (Gofas et al. 2023); Haloceras (Gofas 2018); *Pedicularia* (Lorenz 2009); Tonnoidea (Gofas and Beu 2003); Muricidae (Houart 1996; Oliverio and Gofas

2006); Fasciolariidae (Gofas 2000); Columbellidae (Gofas et al. 2019); Pyramidellidae (Peñas and Rolán 1999); Phyllidiidae (Valdés and Ortea 1996); Pectinidae (Dijkstra and Gofas 2004); Dacrydium (Salas and Gofas 1997). For other groups, the identified specimens were counted from the original collection. Families Architectonicidae and Mathildidae are recorded at the family level only, because the material was lost when sent on loan for study (estimated 10-15 species, all with planktotrophic larval development). Additional occurrences of identified species found in the collection, and which had not been taken into account in the published accounts, were added. The study concentrated on benthic species only and therefore all records of planktonic pteropods, heteropods, and species in Janthina were removed from the data set. The occurrences of the species in each sampling location are detailed in supplementary Table S1.

Attributes of the species

Each species was qualified with an assessment of its type of larval development, feeding type, size range, depth range, and known biogeographic distribution. The list of species with their attributes is given in Table S2.

Larval development

Molluscs are the only animals in which clues to the mode of larval development can be found in the adult (Jablonski and Lutz 1980) The larval shell of gastropods (called protoconch) and that of bivalves (called prodissoconch), is usually retained in the adult shell and, in small-sized specimens, it is usually pristine. The protoconch is interpreted as indicating planktotrophic development when it is multispiral (typically > 2 whorls), but above all when there is a differentiated protoconch 1 and protoconch 2 (Fig. 2a, b), and as non-planktotrophic when it is paucispiral (typically $1-1\frac{1}{2}$ whorl with only one unit) or when, albeit multispiral, there is no discontinuity between the first protoconch whorl and the following (see Bouchet and Warén 1993: 596, and Gofas 2003). Among the non-planktotrophic larval type, further distinction is made between planktonic but supposedly non-feeding (but see Jaeckle and Manahan 1989) larvae, typical of, e.g., Vetigastropoda, denoted by a small larval shell with generally a well-defined outer lip separating it from the teleoconch (Fig. 2f, g), and lecithotrophic development where the planktonic stage is either completely lacking (the juveniles count on yolk for intracapsular development and hatch as benthic juveniles), or the larvae hatch as pelagic late veligers swimming for a very short time before settlement. Whether a particular species can shift between lecithotrophic with or without a short planktonic phase (poecilogony) has been debated (Bouchet 1989) but seems demonstrated in



Fig. 2 Examples of protoconchs; a-e denoting planktotrophic development; a-b Halgyrineum louisae (Lewis, 1974), Atlantis, SMT2 DW274; c-e: Spondylus gussonii (Costa, 1830), Atlantis, SMT2 DW274; f-h denoting non-feeding planktonic development; f Danilia affinis Dautzenberg & Fischer, 1896, Atlantis, SMT2 DW274; g Propilidium exiguum (Thompson, 1844), Atlantis, SMT2 DW274; h Tindaria sericea (Jeffreys, 1876), off Morocco 1378 m, BALGIM CP95; i-l denoting direct development; i Cerithiella metula (Lovén,

1846), Atlantis, SMT2 DW261; **j** *Haloceras meteoricum* Gofas, 2018, Great Meteor, SMT2 DW152; **k** *Fusinus meteoris* Gofas, 2000, Great Meteor, SMT2 DW152; **l** *Dacrydium dauvini* Salas & Gofas, 1997, Atlantis, SMT2 DW274. Maximum height for **a** 2.75 mm; for **c** 2.85 mm; for **k** 1.55 mm; scale bars for the other figures 100 μ m, small arrows denote protoconch 1-protoconch 2 (or prodissoconch 1-prodissoconch 2) limit, large arrowheads the protoconch-teleoconch (or prodissoconch-dissoconch) limit

some cases (e.g., Warén 1996b). The protoconch morphology does not allow to detect those variations in the timing of hatching and settlement, and all developmental types inferred to lack a substantial planktonic stage will be scored as "direct." In those species lacking a substantial planktonic stage, the transition from protoconch to teleoconch may be gradual (Fig. 2i), or marked by a distinct suture and a drastic change in sculpture (Fig. 2j, k).

Among bivalves, similar patterns are found but without coiling; planktotrophic species have a differentiated prodissoconch 1 and prodissoconch 2 (Fig. 2c–e), whereas species with non-feeding larvae have a small, continuous prodissoconch (Fig. 2h). Bivalves with a direct development often brood their larvae (Salas and Gofas 1997) and those have a prodissoconch without internal discontinuity, often with a rim at the prodissoconch/dissoconch limit (Fig. 2l). In scaphopods, larval development is poorly known but, in the few species where data are available, the larvae are non-feeding even if they go through a short planktonic phase (Engeser et al. 1993).

The inference of larval development is not always straightforward. The small paucispiral larval shell of Vetigastropoda is generally interpreted as denoting a short nonfeeding planktonic stage, but brooding of larvae has been documented in at least one species (Williams et al. 2020). Among heterobranchs, there is no obvious protoconch 1 and 2, and the significance of protoconchs has been debated. In the family Pyramidellidae, the axis of the protoconch is at an angle with that of the teleoconch, and whereas the multispiral protoconchs with an apparent spire (type A and B) are interpreted as planktotrophic, those with a concealed spire do not allow a whorl count and are ambiguous (Robertson 2012). The heterobranch genus Acteocina features both species with planktotrophic and non-planktotrophic larvae, the difference in protoconch morphology being only a small difference in the number of whorls (Mikkelsen and Mikkelsen 1984). No larva of any septibranch has been described (Morton and Machado 2019). Clues from other anomalodesmatan bivalves suggest that both brooded embryos and short non-feeding pelagic larvae are possible, but brooded larvae were never reported in the large array of septibranchs examined anatomically (Allen and Turner 1974; Allen and Morgan 1981) so that a short non-feeding planktonic larva is the most probable condition in deep-sea septibranchs. Nonetheless, larval development for those species is here scored as "unknown."

Size range

Specimens were measured with a precision of 0.02 mm using an ocular micrometer under the stereomicroscope for the whole specimens. The species were distributed in size classes following Bouchet et al. (2002) with the following intervals: < 1.8 mm, 1.9-4.1 mm,

4.2–8.7 mm, 8.8–18.9 mm, 19.0–40.9 mm, 41–88 mm, 88–199 mm, > 200 mm (selected because they have intervals that are equivalent in a logarithm transformation). The first three categories fall within the size class known as "micromolluscs" (Sasaki 2008). Most of the species considered here correspond to macrofauna (0.5–50 mm, Watling 2019), very few to megafauna.

Trophic groups

Species were assigned to trophic groups, mainly inferred from the higher taxa to which they belong when this attribute is known to be homogeneous. All the samples are located beyond the photic zone, therefore without herbivores. Four categories were considered: filter-feeders, deposit-feeders, predators, and specialized ectoparasites. Grazers on sessile invertebrates (e.g., *Diodora, Calliostoma, Epitonium*) were counted as predators, whereas small species more or less attached to a host (e.g., Pyramidellidae, Eulimidae, Cerithiopsidae, Montacutidae) were counted as ectoparasites (called "micropredators" by Poulin 2011), but the divide between this and the "predators" group is blurry. Taxa for which data are not straightforward were not scored.

Depth range

Depth range was qualified as upper bathyal (i.e., characteristic of the upper part of the seamounts) or lower bathyal according to the prevalent occurrence of the species in the upper bathyal (<800 m) or lower bathyal (>800 m) sample groups. The divide between upper bathyal and lower bathyal samples is taken at the 800 m isobath, proposed as the boundary between upper and lower bathyal provinces by Watling et al. (2013). Species were scored as upper bathyal where their abundance in the upper bathyal samples was more than twice their abundance in the lower bathyal samples, and vice-versa; those with balanced abundance were not scored. The 800 m isobath corresponding to Watling et al. zonation was downloaded from the Marine Regions website (Flanders Marine Institute 2023), and the 2000 m isobath (containing all of our samples) from Natural Earth (2023). These were plotted in a GIS in order to assess the surfaces of seabed involved in each depth range (less than 800 m and 800–2000 m).

Geographical distribution

Species were scored for their presence/absence on each of the five seamounts. Data of occurrence of the species outside the SASC were derived from the relevant literature, in some cases completed with our own data (mainly BALGIM

1984 and SEAMOUNT1 1987 cruises in MNHN, Paris, and, among others, a large set of bottom sediment samples from German and Dutch research cruises in upper bathyal Cold Water Coral (CWC) habitats in NW Morocco (Moundforce 2004), Lusitanian Seamounts, Galicia Bank and Bay of Biscay (VH97), Rockall Bank (M61-1; M61-3; POS316; Moundforce 2004, HERMES 2005, HERMES 2006), and Hatton Bank (HERMES 2008)). For the Eastern Atlantic continental slope, here understood as the slopes of Morocco, the Iberian Peninsula, and Bay of Biscay, a wealth of data are available, and we mainly used records (excluding the shallow-water fauna) for "Demarcación Noratlántica" and "Demarcación Suratlántica" in the Spanish checklist (Gofas et al. 2017) which takes into account all previous records to that date; those were completed for Portugal and Morocco using Bouchet and Warén (1980, 1985, 1986, 1993) for gastropods and Allen (2008) for bivalves. For the Azores, we used Dautzenberg and Fischer (1896, 1897), Bouchet and Warén (1980, 1985, 1986, 1993) and original results from cruise M151. Occurrences in the Canaries are taken from "Demarcación Canaria" in the Spanish checklist (Gofas et al. 2017) excluding the shallow-water fauna, and completed with Ortega and Gofas (2019); in Madeira from Segers et al. (2009); on the Lusitanian seamount mainly on the BIAS atlas (Beck et al. 2006); on Galicia Bank, on Gofas et al. (2021); on Rockall and Hatton banks mainly on our original data and Hoffman et al. (2011) and references therein.

There is no obvious literature source for the distribution of the deep-sea fauna of the Western Atlantic and there we used the Malacolog database (Rosenberg 2009), downloading faunal lists for Florida, the Carolinas, Georgia, Cuba and Puerto Rico as a representation, and retaining from these only the species whose depth ranges are recorded between 300 and 1500 m.

Searching for trends

The type of larval development was matched against depth intervals of the samples, size classes, and biogeographical attributes. The abundance of each species was plotted against the type of larval development, in each of the depth ranges < 800 m and > 800 m (the divide between Upper and Lower bathyal zones according to Watling et al. 2013).

Regarding distribution, species were rated according to the number of seamounts in the SASC where recorded, and this in turn was matched against the type of larval development. Endemism is defined as the relation of a species to an area. The percentage of endemic species was investigated for different geographical scopes: single seamounts, the SASC as a whole, the central North Atlantic (SASC+ the Azores excluding coastal fauna), and broader areas in the North Atlantic.

Affinity between samples

We only retained for this analysis the samples in which at least 200 specimens were recovered. Affinity between samples was assessed based on the abundance data of the species, using the software PRIMER (v. 6, Clarke and Warwick 1994). The data were transformed by applying the fourth root (executed by default in PRIMER v.6), which reduces the distortion brought by the very abundant species on the similarity between two samples. The Bray–Curtis similarity index was calculated from this transformed matrix.

Similarity was visualized with a dendrogram (CLUSTER function in Primer6, using UPGMA algorithm) and nMDS (Non-Metric Multidimensional Scaling). Dendrogram nodes are distributed according to an axis indicating percentage of similarity between samples. In MDS, the points (samples) are represented graphically with a distance reflecting the similarity between the samples. In the nMDS, a stress coefficient measures the discrepancies between the resulting distances (value of less than 0.1 suggest a very reliable representation, values above 0.3 suggest an almost arbitrary arrangement; Clarke and Warwick 1994).

A SIMPER (SIMilarity PERcentage) analysis was performed in order to know the contribution of the species in the similarity/dissimilarity within and between the groups of samples which resulted from the clustering. The ANOSIM test, through a R_{ANOSIM} was applied to assess the significance of the differences between groups (significant with R_{ANOSIM} value approaching 1 or – 1, not significant when R_{ANOSIM} value near 0). The analysis was performed on two datasets, one in which samples with less than 200 specimens in the catch were excluded (this reduced dataset representing 95% of the total catch), and another only excluding samples with less than 10 specimens. The contribution of the species to the similarity/dissimilarity within and between groups of samples was assessed using the SIMPER (SIMilarity PERcentage) routine of Primer6.

Affinities with the biogeographical context

For this analysis, we considered not only the occurrences of the seamount species, but also representative lists of deep-sea species which were not found on the SASC (same sources as for species shared with the SASC). We scored presence/absence for the Azores, Madeira, the Canaries, the Lusitanian seamounts (Gorringe, Ampère, Seine, Josephine, Coral Patch), Galicia Bank, and the Rockall and Hatton banks in the Eastern North Atlantic, for Florida, Carolinas, Georgia, Cuba, and Puerto Rico in the Western North Atlantic. The list of species with their score in these areas is given in supplementary Table S3.

The method used to identify the biogeographic affinity between the SASC and its geographical context in the



Fig. 3 Plot of abundance of the 100 most abundant species on the SASC, ranked in decreasing values. *Basilissopsis athenae* Hoffman, Gofas & Freiwald, 2020, *Gibberula vignali* (Dautzenberg & Fischer, 1896), *Gofasia atlantidis* Gofas, 2007, *Alvania adiaphoros* Bouchet & Warén, 1993, *Cirsonella gaudryi* (Dautzenberg & Fischer, 1896), *Porosalvania solidula* Gofas, 2007, *Porosalvania profundior* Gofas, 2007, *Pedicularia sicula* Swainson, 1840, *Asperarca nodulosa* (Müller, 1776), *Limopsis*

Atlantic is based on Olivero et al. (2013) and the "RMacoqui 1.0" software (http://rmacoqui.r-forge.r-project.org/). Geographic units are classified hierarchically according to the presence/absence of the species, using the Baroni-Urbani and Buser similarity index and the UPGMA algorithm. The *G*-tests of independence (Sokal and Rohlf 1981) were used to determine significant boundaries for the resulting geographical units between classification clusters. For further information regarding the methodology, we refer to Olivero et al. (2013).

Results

A total of over 111,000 specimens were obtained from the area of interest including Meteor, Hyères, Plato, Irving, and Atlantis seamounts (ca. 89,000 in Seamount

minuta (Philippi, 1836), Alvania elenae Gofas, 2007, Amphissa acutecostata (Philippi, 1844), Parvamussium intuslaeve Dijkstra & Gofas, 2004, and Alvania microtuberculata Gofas, 2007, are represented by more than 2000 shells, and half of these species are endemic to the SASC (denoted by an asterisk)

2 samples, 22,000 in M151 and POS297). The total of 133 operations resulted in very unequal yields (supplementary Table S1). The beam trawls performed poorly, most of them with less than 10 mollusc specimens. The 15 most successful operations (5 dredge hauls on Hyères, 5 on Atlantis, 2 on Plato, 2 on Irving, and only one on Meteor) obtained 1000 to 10,000 specimens each, whereas nearly half of the operations obtained less than 200 specimens and 15, less than 10. Most of the material consisted of empty shells, whereas only 123 species were represented by 1952 live-taken specimens (1.7% of the total catch) in the Seamount 2 material and 20 in the M151 and POS397 material. The beam trawls, despite the paucity of their overall catch, accounted for many of the live-taken megafaunal species (e.g., five of the 17 live-taken Ranella olearium (Linnaeus, 1758) and 16 of the 38 live-taken Tritonoranella ranelloides (Reeve, 1844), whereas the grab



Fig. 4 Patterns of larval development for molluscan species recorded in the SASC, according to size classes; a species count; b specimen count



Fig. 5 Percentage of the different trophic groups, in number of species (above) and specimen count (below)

samples only exceptionally would yield live specimens. The small Shipek grab samples taken during POS397 yielded usually less than 300 shells and the ROV sediment samples taken during M151 typically less than 1000 shells. Box core and van Veen samples taken during M151 provided higher numbers of molluscs; most box core samples contained more than 1000 individuals.

A total of 437 taxa could be recognized (Table S1), of which 409 were identified to the species level (this including 19 species, mostly in the superfamily Conoidea, recognized as undescribed and currently pending a formal description).

Table 1 Number of putativeendemic species according to increasingly inclusive geographic scopes. "%" is the percentage of endemics referred to the total species in each area as stated in Supplementary Table S3. The upper bathyal (< 800 m) and lower bathyal (< 800 m) counts sum less than the total because eurybathic species are not included

Another 28 were recognized as putative morphospecies for which an identification could not be ventured.

Abundance of species is utterly unbalanced (Fig. 3), with the 20 most abundant species accounting for half of the individuals. At the other end, there are 96 species represented by less than 10 specimens and 20 represented by only one specimen. The very abundant species are all micromolluscs, with the megafauna accounting for a very small part (360, i.e., 0.3%) of the total specimens and shells count.

Size classes

Most of the species and specimens found on the seamounts are small. As shown in Fig. 4, planktotrophic larval development is not recorded among the tiniest (<1.8 mm) molluscs, and is quantitatively unimportant in all micromolluscs (36 out of 134 species in the 4.1 size class but these 36 species account for only 2% of the total individuals). Planktotrophic larval development is more prevalent in the 8.7 mm size class and above (14 of 25 species and half of the specimens in the 40.9 + 88 size classes). The megafauna (the last size class) comprises only four species and 366 specimens.

Trophic groups

Predators, most of them conoidean neogastropods, are the most numerous in the species count, followed by ectoparasites. However, those groups are minorities in the specimen count, where detritus feeders account for half of the total. Filter feeders are few, both as species and as individuals (Fig. 5).

Species-level endemism

Patterns of endemism are detailed in Table 1, showing that species are seldom found on a single seamount. There

	Gastropods endemic	Bivalves endemic	Total endemic	% endemic	Total identified
Great Meteor + Little Meteor	3	0	3	1.0	226
Hyères	7	0	7	2.6	266
Irving+Cruiser	1	0	1	0.4	223
Plato	12	0	12	5.0	243
Atlantis	15	2	17	6.4	266
Group (Meteor + Hyères + Irving)	34	0	34	10.4	324
Group (Plato + Atlantis)	30	2	32	9.9	323
SASC (overall)	88	4	92	22.5	409
SASC (upper bathyal)	60	2	62	35.8	173
SASC (lower bathyal)	15	0	15	12.0	125
SASC+Azores	139	6	145	32.6	444



Fig. 6 Examples of species which were only found on the SASC and are presumably endemic; **a** *Asthelys hyeresensis* Hoffman, Gofas & Freiwald, 2020, Hyères, SMT2 DW197 (4.5 mm); **b** *Basilissopsis athenae* Hoffman, Gofas & Freiwald, 2020, Hyères, SMT2 DW182 (2.2 mm); **c** *Clelandella perforata* Gofas, 2005, Atlantis, SMT2 DW254 (6.5 mm); **d** *Parviturbo seamountensis* Rubio, Rolán & Gofas, 2015, Great Meteor, SMT2 DW152 (1.75 mm); **e** *Calliostoma heugteni* Vilvens & Swinnen, 2003, Atlantis, SMT2 CP257, H 6.0 mm; **f** *Calliostoma cyrtoida* Gofas & Hoffman, 2020 (holotype),

Hyères, SMT2 DW200 H 6.7 mm; **g** *Calliostoma freiwaldi* Gofas & Hoffman, 2020, Hyères, SMT2 DW182 (13 mm); **h** *Cerithiella seilae-formis* Gofas, Freiwald & Hoffman, 2023, Hyères, MT2/DW182 (4.0 mm); **i** *Krachia meteoris* Gofas, Freiwald & Hoffman, 2023, Plato, SMT2 DW240 (2.7 mm); **j** *Haloceras meteoricum* Gofas, 2018, Great Meteor, SMT2 DW152 (2.2 mm); **k** *Parvamussium intuslaeve* Dijkstra & Gofas, 2004 (holotype), Great Meteor, SMT2 DW143 (3.9 mm). Species **a** to **d** have non-feeding planktonic larvae, **h** to **k** are inferred to be direct developers

are 93 species (22.5% of the 409 identified) which were found on one or more of the SASC seamounts and have never been reported elsewhere (Table 1; Figs. 6 and 7). Most of them were described in this century from this material, or belong to the species under study; the exceptions are *Trituba superstes* (Bouchet & Fechter, 1981), *T*. anelpistos (Bouchet & Fechter, 1981), and Cuspidaria meteoris Krylova, 2006, described from material collected by the German cruise 9C of R/V Meteor in July 1967, and Calliostoma heugteni Vilvens & Swinnen, 2003, described from a shell of unknown origin. Among these, 62 (35.8%) of the 173 identified species scored as upper bathyal but



Fig. 7 Examples of species of the superfamily Rissooidea described by Gofas (2007) as endemic to the SASC, all direct developers; **a** *Alvania elenae*, Great Meteor SMT2 DW152 (2.6 mm); **b** *Alvania hyerensis*, Hyères, SMT2 DW182 (3.0 mm); **c** *Alvania suroiti*, Hyères, SMT2 DW182 (2.6 mm); **d** *Alvania microtuberculata*, Atlantis, SMT2 DW255 (2.1 mm); **e** *Gofasia atlantidis*, Atlantis, SMT2 DW255 (1.8 mm); **f** *Gofasia obtusellaeformis*, Atlantis,

SMT2 DW255 (1.5 mm); **g** *Porosalvania solidula*, Great Meteor, SMT2 DW152 (3.1 mm); **h** *Porosalvania angulifera*, Great Meteor, SMT2 DW143 (2.1 mm); **i** *Porosalvania vixplicata*, Atlantis, SMT2 DW263 (2.3 mm); **j** *Porosalvania profundior*, Atlantis, SMT2 DW261 (3.0 mm); **k** *Rissoina meteoris*, Great Meteor, SMT2 DW143 (6.2 mm); **l** *Schwartziella peregrina*, Great Meteor, SMT2 DW143 (6.2 mm)

only 15 (12.0%) of the 125 species scored as lower bathyal are only found on the SASC. A further 50 species identified in this study on the SASC are also reported from the Azores, but not elsewhere.

Levels of endemism drop drastically when only one particular seamount is considered. Only *Trituba superstes*, *T. incredita* Gofas, 2003, and one undescribed conoidean were found only on Meteor. On Atlantis, we find the highest figure but it still represents only 6.4% of the species present there. The rissoids *Gofasia atlantidis* Gofas, 2007, and *G. obtusellaeformis* Gofas, 2007, totalize respectively 3760 and 1085 specimens were found nowhere else and are probably genuinely endemic of Atlantis Seamount, but other species found as very few specimens (e.g., the three specimens of the nudibranch *Reticulidia gofasi* Valdés & Ortea, 1996) are likely to be found on other seamounts.

There are several local radiations, believed to be endemic of the SASC + Azores area, in the genera *Trituba*, *Krachia*,

Papuliscala, in the family Rissoidae (Fig. 7), and in a possible new genus in the conoidean family Borsoniidae.

Relating larval development with geographic range

The number of species found in the SASC (spanning from only one to all five seamounts) is quite balanced for each category of larval development (Fig. 8a). From the quantitative point of view, the species represented in all five areas totalize a considerably higher number of specimens (Fig. 8b), i.e., the more widespread species are also much more abundant.



Fig. 8 Patterns of larval development for molluscan species recorded in the SASC, according to the number of seamounts on which they were collected; **a** all species (species count); **b** all species (specimen

count); **c** upper bathyal group (species count); **d** upper bathyal group (specimen count); **e** lower bathyal group (species count); **f** lower bathyal group (specimen count)



Fig. 9 Patterns of larval development for molluscan species found only on the SASC and presumed endemic, according to the number of seamounts on which they were collected; a species count; b specimen count

There is a tendency for the species with direct development being more abundant in the upper bathyal group of samples. In this respect, the prevalence of direct developers (both qualitatively, Fig. 8c, and quantitatively, Fig. 8d) among the upper bathyal species is considerably more among the restricted species (1-3 seamounts) than among the widespread ones, whereas the planktotrophic species are most widespread ones. The trend is not so apparent in the lower bathyal group, where planktonic larvae (both planktotrophic and non-feeding) are important both in the widespread and in the restricted species, and the increase of species with direct development among the restricted species is not marked (Fig. 8e). Quantitatively, the direct developers recorded on one or two seamounts totalize very few specimens (Fig. 8f). In the deep part, all dispersal types do equally, but the abundant species are mostly on all five seamounts.

When only the endemic component (91 species, Table 1, Fig. 9) is considered, the share of direct developers is considerably larger, particularly among the species found only on 1-4 seamounts. Species with a short non-feeding planktonic development are also present, their high number in the specimen count for species found on all five seamounts being due to the high numbers of *Basilissopsis athenae* Hoffman, Gofas & Freiwald, 2020, and, to a lesser extent, *Clelandella perforata* Gofas, 2005, and *Seamountiella dimidia* Rubio, Gofas & Rolán, 2019.

If the type of larval development is scored on a broader geographic scale (Fig. 10), we find a markedly higher proportion of species with planktotrophic larval development among the widespread species, shared between the SASC and the Eastern Atlantic continental margin on the one hand, with the Western Atlantic on the other hand.



Fig. 10 Patterns of larval development for molluscan species found on the SASC, according to distribution patterns; **a** species count; **b** specimen count. SASC+LUS/CAN refers to species found in the SASC and in at least one of the following: Canaries, Madeira, Lusitanian seamounts, Galicia Bank but not on the mainland continental

Affinity between samples

Depth appears as a major factor determining the affinity between samples, overriding the location on one or another seamount (Fig. 11a). Twelve Shipek samples from POS397 were all taken on the sandy plateau of the Great Meteor Seamount (cluster 1) with very similar sediment properties and narrow depth range (290–330 m); they cluster with DW143 from the same area and with the samples from the summit of Little Meteor. The deeper samples, predominantly of Hyères seamount, cluster on the right side of the dendrogram. The remaining samples seem to form amorphous clusters from intermediate depths (450–1100 m), with little statistical support and without any comprehensive group displaying a similarity above 50%.

Cluster 1, with an average similarity of 56.5%, is supported by a suite of species, mostly endemic to the SASC and confined to the shallow part of the seamounts (Table 2). Cluster 3 has a low (average 31.0%) similarity and the species pointed out in the ANOSIM comprise



Fig. 11 a Dendrogram using abundance data of all mollusc species (fourth root transformed) collected on the SASC (dataset of samples with more than 200 specimens, sample depth (m) in parentheses), and the Bray–Curtis similarity index. Clusters numbered 1 to 3 are dis-

cussed in the text; **b** nMDS plot of the same data. LB refers to lower bathyal samples from exceeding 800 m depth and UB refers to samples less than 800 m

Table 2 Contributions to the similarity detected on SIMPER analyses of mollusc species characterizing the three clusters of samples obtained in the dendrogram (Fig. 11a) using abundance data. Contrib%: individual contribution of the species; Cum.%: cumulative contribution of that species and the previously listed ones. Asterisks denote species endemic to the SASC

Species	Contrib%	Cum.%
Cluster 1—average similarity: 56.5%		
Parvamussium intuslaeve Dijkstra & Gofas, 2004*	9.79	9.79
Alvania elenae Gofas, 2007*	9.59	19.38
Alvania micropilosa Gofas, 2007*	8.57	27.95
Rissoina meteoris Gofas, 2007*	5.89	33.84
Parviturbo seamountensis Rubio, Rolán & Gofas, 2015*	5.83	39.67
Limea cf. bronniana (Dall, 1886)	5.81	45.48
Porosalvania solidula Gofas, 2007*	5.25	50.73
Porosalvania angulifera Gofas, 2007*	4.25	54.98
Anatoma bisculpta Hoffman, Gofas & Freiwald, 2021	4.05	59.03
Clelandella perforata Gofas, 2005*	3.83	62.86
Cluster 2—average similarity: 31.0%		
Solariella rhina (Watson, 1886)	6.78	6.78
Asthelys munda (Watson, 1879)	6.71	13.49
Calliotropis rudecta (Locard, 1898)	5.2	18.68
Amphissa acutecostata (Philippi, 1844)	5.02	23.7
Benthonella tenella (Jeffreys, 1869)	4.56	28.26
Acteon incisus Dall, 1881	4.14	32.4
Opaliopsis opalina (Dall, 1927)	3.78	36.19
Cadulus monterosatoi Locard, 1897	3.72	39.91
Gymnobela leptoglypta (Dautzenberg & Fischer, 1896)	3.02	42.93
Ancistrobasis reticulata (Philippi, 1844)	2.71	45.64
Cluster 3—average similarity: 25.9%		
Asperarca nodulosa (O, F, Müller, 1776)	3.66	3.66
Spinosipella acuticostata (Philippi, 1844)	3.39	7.05
Basilissopsis athenae Hoffman, Gofas & Freiwald, 2020*	2.95	9.99
Pyrunculus ovatus (Jeffreys, 1871)	2.87	12.86
Spondylus gussonii Costa, 1830	2.74	15.6
Limopsis minuta (Philippi, 1836)	2.6	18.2
Amphissa acutecostata (Philippi, 1844)	2.47	20.67
Haliris granulata (Seguenza, 1860)	2.44	23.11
Pedicularia sicula Swainson, 1840	2.38	25.49
Calliostoma cyrtoida Gofas & Hoffman, 2020*	1.74	27.23

several widespread species (*Asperarca nodulosa*, *Spondylus gussonii*, *Amphissa acutecostata*) linked to the habitat of Cold Water Corals. For the large Cluster 2, the ANO-SIM yields a list of mostly lower bathyal species which do not appear to characterize a definite assemblage The ANOSIM test yielded a R_{ANOSIM} value R = 0.597, p = 0.001with factor "depth" and an even lower R_{ANOSIM} value R = 0.361, p = 0.001 with factor "seamount." The nMDS plot (Fig. 11b) clearly displays depth as a determining factor, supported by a low-stress value (0.14).

Affinities with the biogeographical context

The similarity between the SASC and the rest of the geographical units analyzed are shown in Fig. 12. The

seamount chain is loosely grouped with the eastern Atlantic units and shows significant dissimilarity with respect to the Western Atlantic clusters (*G*-test = 121.682; p < 0.005). Here, with pooled samples for each seamount and presence/absence data, the similarity between neighboring seamounts (Meteor + Hyères + Irving on the one hand, Plato + Atlantis on the other) is about 80% with strong support, and both clusters are most similar to the Azores.

The number of shared species (Fig. 13) clearly reflects this trend: more than half (62%) of the 409 species identified on the SASC are also found in the Azores, and nearly half are shared with the European mainland and/or the Canaries, Madeira, and the Lusitanian seamounts. Conversely, only 19% are documented in the Western Atlantic. Fig. 12 Dendrogram representing the similarity of the SASC seamounts with representative biogeographic units in the North Atlantic, based on Baroni-Urbani and Buser's index

Fig. 13 Number of species found on the SASC and shared with other regions of the Atlantic Ocean referred to the total of 409 identified species



Discussion

General trends in the type of larval development

Our results show that all types of larval developments are well represented among the Mollusca found on the SASC seamounts, and that the type of larval development matters with respect to distribution. At the local scale (the SASC), there is a trend for species with direct development being more prevalent among the upper bathyal species with a restricted range (1–3 seamounts), which is compliant with predictions. This trend is not so apparent in the lower bathyal group, possibly because the extension of the target areas for propagules is considerably larger. The shallowest part of the seamounts, within the 800 m isobath, is exiguous (Meteor 2050 km², Hyeres 431 km², Irving 987 km², Plato 297 km², Atlantis 865 km²) compared to the more than 20,000 km² covered by the 800–2000 m interval around the SASC

seamounts and the huge area of bathyal bottoms surrounding the Azores.

This study also clearly shows that the widespread species are represented in larger numbers than the restricted ones (Fig. 8 b, d, f). This can also be stated the other way around: independently of their mode of larval development, the abundant species disperse better than the rare ones because propagule pressure is higher. However, findings restricted to a single seamount only prove endemism when a species is plentiful in one seamount and absent in others sampled with the same effort in the same depth. With this consideration, true one-seamount endemics would be between one and six species per seamount (e.g., Schwartziella peregrina Gofas, 2007, and Trituba superstes (Bouchet & Fechter, 1981) on Meteor, Alvania hyerensis Gofas, 2007, and Porosalvania decipiens Gofas, 2007, on Hyères, Krachia cochleapex Gofas, Freiwald & Hoffman, 2023, and Porosalvania diaphana Gofas, 2007, on Plato,

Alvania microtuberculata Gofas, 2007, Gofasia atlantidis and Gofasia obtusellaeformis Gofas, 2007, on Atlantis, also some of the undescribed conoideans on several seamounts).

Rarity has often been correlated to dispersal ability, with good dispersers having diverse range sizes, but poor dispersers tending only to have small to intermediate-sized ranges (Gaston et al. 1997: 16). However, a distinction is to be made between species which are rare because they occur very locally (which is the case of the seamount endemics), and species which are rare because they occur very sporadically over large areas. A textbook example of the latter kind of rarity is *Cerithiella candela* Fernandes, Garofalo & Pimenta, 2015, a planktotrophic species originally described from seamounts off SE Brazil and found in our material with seven specimens on Great Meteor and Hyères seamounts; at the time of collecting, it could have been taken as a SASC endemic.

Relating larval development with geographic range

The trend for more of the widespread species having planktotrophic development and more of the short-range endemics having direct development is overwhelmingly supported by our data (see Fig. 10). A similar and equally clear trend was found in the shallower (less than 200 m) islands Fernando de Noronha and Atoll das Rocas (off NE Brazil) and in the Vitoria-Trindade Seamount Chain (off SE Brazil) (Leal 2000), where planktotrophs were found to be 39.9–48.3% of the species overall but only 15.8–28.6% of the species endemic to (or rather "only found on") a particular group of seamounts or islands. Based on the analysis of the 238 species of gastropods present in shallow waters (≤ 200 m) of the Western Atlantic, Barroso et al. (2022) also found that planktotrophic species tend to have the largest distribution range.

This superiority of planktotrophs in becoming widespread must be qualified. Some direct developers also do well (e.g., *Eumetula bouvieri* (Dautzenberg & Fischer, 1896) and *Cerithiella metula* (Lovén, 1846) shared with Lusitanian seamounts and the European mainland, *Actinotrophon actinophorus* (Dall, 1889) shared with the Caribbean). At a more local scale, direct developers such as *Alvania adiaphoros* Bouchet & Warén, 1993, *Onchodia tenuicula* Gofas, Freiwald & Hoffman, 2023, and *Trophonopsis droueti* (Dautzenberg, 1889) are found throughout the SASC and in the Azores, proving that distances in the order of magnitude of 200—600 km are not an obstacle to their dispersal. Alternative modes of dispersal, such as the rafting of detached egg capsules, lecithotrophic larvae, or juveniles, can be hypothesized.

The species with a supposedly short and non-feeding larval stage are surprisingly successful at occupying extensive geographic ranges. Of the 92 species of Vetigastropoda identified on the SASC, only 13 (14.1%) were not found elsewhere and of these, 8 are minute "skeneimorphs" which could easily escape notice. This leaves only *Basilissopsis athenae*, *Asthelys hyeresensis*, *Parviturbo seamountensis*, *Clelandella perforata*, and *Seamountiella dimidia* Rubio, Gofas & Rolán, 2019, to be reliably assumed as SASC endemics and there is no species proved to be endemic of one seamount. Conversely, 51 species (55.4%) of those Vetigastropoda, and also all four species of Protobranchia reported on the SASC, are shared with at least one of the mainland margins. Therefore, it could be hypothesized that such larvae, even though considered non-feeding, can survive a long time, maybe weeks, before settling if they do not find promptly an appropriate substrate.

Therefore, any type of larval development could potentially enable a mollusc species to reach the SASC seamounts. The question arises of why some species (e.g., Alvania cimicoides (Forbes, 1844), Tritia recidiva (Martens, 1876), Mitrella canariensis (d'Orbigny, 1840), all recorded from the Azores) which are widespread on the continental margins in the corresponding depth range, have planktotrophic larvae and seem to fulfil all requirements for getting there, do not reach the seamounts. There are entire families usually well represented in mainland faunas which are not found, or are underrepresented on the SASC: among gastropods, Nassariidae and Mitridae are conspicuously absent, Naticidae represented by only one species; among bivalves, large families of endofaunal bivalves such as Cardiidae, Tellinidae, and Semelidae are absent, Veneridae represented by only one species, possibly extinct, on Atlantis. Much of the limiting factors must be related to the lack of a suitable habitat or suitable resources rather than a consequence of dispersal riddle. The same habitat-driven limitation could also explain why do some species which are common on the seamounts (e.g., Latirus rugosissimus Locard, 1897, Tritonoranella ranelloides) and have a good potential for dispersal with their planktotrophic larvae, reach Madeira and the Canaries but not the European mainland where the sediment-clad continental margin may lack the appropriate substrate. The successful species on the SASC are those which find their requirement satisfied on the seamounts. Among them, a significant number of species are known to accompany the cold water coral-related habitats like the spongivore fissurellids (Emarginula tuberculosa, E. christiaensi, Diodora tenuiclathrata), the corallivore Coralliophila richardi, and the byssally attached or sessile epibenthic suspension feeders (Asperarca nodulosa, Spondylus gussonii, Lima marioni). The carnivores are mostly small species, comprising the many conoidean species presumably feeding on the small macrofauna or the meiofauna, and the Eulimidae, Epitoniidae, Pyramidellidae ectoparasitic on larger invertebrates.

That direct developers achieve high number in the seamount summits is an indication of their success, within present time and context. However, geological history has proved that poor dispersal capacity and a restricted range considerably increase extinction risk (Pechenik 1999; Vermeij 1989), when environmental conditions fluctuate. On the long term, some short-range endemics with direct development might go extinct, but there is a large pool of better dispersers to draw from and maintain species richness at its equilibrium level.

Levels of endemism and biogeographic affinity of the SASC molluscan fauna

The level of endemism is high in the SASC (22.5%, rising to 35.8% when considering only the upper bathyal part of the seamounts, and is 32.6% when considering the SASC+the Azores as a whole. Endemism is considerably higher in some taxonomic groups which comprise many direct developers (100% among Trituba; 92% among Rissooidea where only the planktotrophic Benthonella tenella is found throughout the North Atlantic and possibly Alvania funiculata is shared with the Lusitanian seamounts; 75% among Papuliscala). Such levels of endemism surpass the 10% threshold required to define a "province" (Briggs and Bowen 2012). However, genus-level endemism is low (maybe limited to one undescribed conoidean genus in the family Borsoniidae, and to Porosalvania if the single record on Coral Patch Seamount is disregarded), whereas, e.g., in Tropical West Africa, 19 out of 264 bivalve genera (7.2%) are endemic to the province (Caballero-Herrera et al. 2022).

Could this level of endemism result from taxonomic artifacts? Some endemic species seem related to a more widespread mainland species, e.g., Cuspidaria meteoris to C. rostrata (Spengler, 1793), Parvamussium intuslaeve to P. fenestratum (Forbes, 1844), and Krachia meteoris Gofas, Freiwald & Hoffman, 2023, to K. tiara (Monterosato, 1874) and could possibly prove to be local subspecies instead of fully segregated species. Conversely, some non-planktotrophic species were assumed to be shared with the mainland or between seamounts when morphological differentiation was undetected or deemed to fit within intraspecific variation (e.g., Migrogaza rotella (Dall, 1881), Ancistrobasis reticulata, Cerithiella metula), but this assumption is not based on genetic data and there is the possibility of cryptic species. Therefore, one bias compensating the other, we are convinced that the figures given here for levels of endemism are realistic.

The maximum level of endemism is found when considering the entire group of seamounts in the SASC, or the (SASC + Azores) region, whereas levels of endemism are very low (maximum 6.4% on Atlantis) when a particular seamount is considered alone. The same trend was found among the seamounts and island chain off NE and SE Brazil by Leal (2000), where endemisms computed for the islands separately ranged between 5.1% and 16%, when examined as island groups the rate of endemism was 19.2% (23 species) at the northern group and 18.3% at the southern group.

The overall affinity of the SASC clearly lies with temperate Western Europe (Figs. 12, 13). Even among taxa which are currently SASC endemics, several have been shared with Europe in the past: Trituba spp. were present in the European Tertiary (Lozouet 1999), and a species quite similar to Haloceras meteoricum was present in the Italian Pliocene (Bertolaso and Palazzi 2000). Despite acknowledging that most macro- and megafaunal invertebrates (66% across several phyla) are common to the northeastern Atlantic mainland areas, Mironov and Krylova (2006) formulated the hypothesis that the recent Meteor seamounts fauna is mainly a result of a late Pliocene-Pleistocene colonization from the Indo-West Pacific around the southern tip of Africa. We do not find any support to this view, when among Mollusca only a handful of species with teleplanic larvae (Akibumia, Tritonoranella) are shared with the Indo-Pacific.

As a conclusion on levels of endemism, we can see a strong faunal affinity of the SASC with the Western European margin and nearby archipelagos, do not find sensible to give this small, isolated peripheral region the same rank as the larger provinces of continental margins in biogeographic regionalization.

Main Conclusions

- Species with direct development are locally successful among SASC endemics in the upper bathyal part (300–800 m) of the seamounts, but these are vulnerable in a changing environment.
- Species with planktotrophic development are mostly found among the larger ones in size and among species shared with other archipelagos, other seamount groups, or the mainland.
- Species with non-feeding pelagic larval development are prominent in the deeper part (> 800 m) of the SASC, and many are shared with Eastern Atlantic, some also with the Western Atlantic.
- Widespread species are also commonly the most abundant, but there are exceptions where short-range endemic species locally achieve high abundance.
- Species with any of the types of larval development can achieve widespread distributions.
- Level of endemism is high on the SASC (22.5%) or on the SASC + the Azores (32.6%), well above the threshold of 10%, but hardly any genus-level endemism. The affinity with temperate fauna of the Eastern North Atlantic is so clear that segregating a distinct "province" is not found sensible.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s12526-023-01366-9.

Acknowledgements We thank the captains, crews, and scientific staff for their assistance during the research cruises. We particularly acknowledge staff involved in the Seamount 2 (R/V Le Suroit) and M151 (R/V Meteor) cruises. Kai Horst George and Achim Wehrmann provided access to the POS397 (R/V Poseidon) samples. We are grateful to Mauricio R. Fernandes (UFRJ, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) for a very thorough review of a previous draft of this work.

Funding Funding for open access charge was provided by Universidad de Málaga/CBUA. Seamount 2 expedition was funded by Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers (INSU) of the French CNRS, with additional funding from Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle for travel of participants. German cruises were funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethical approval No animal testing was performed during this study.

Sampling and field studies All necessary permits for sampling and observational field studies have been obtained from the competent authorities for the research expeditions involved in this study. The study is compliant with CBD and Nagoya protocols.

Data availability All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files.

Author contributions All authors contributed to the study conception and design and to drafting the manuscript. Serge Gofas was the expedition leader on Seamount 2. All authors participated in the specimen sorting and identification. The PRIMER and r-Macoqui analyses were performed by José Antonio Caballero Herrera. All authors commented on the drafts and approved the final manuscript.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Allen JA (2008) Bivalvia of the deep Atlantic. Malacologia 50(1):57– 173. https://doi.org/10.4002/0076-2997-50.1.57
- Allen JA, Morgan RE (1981) The functional morphology of Atlantic deep water species of the families Cuspidariidae and Poromyidae (Bivalvia): an analysis of the evolution of the septibranch condition. Philos Trans R Soc 294:413–546. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1981.0117

- Allen JA, Turner JF (1974) On the functional morphology of the family Verticordiidae (Bivalvia) with descriptions of new species from the abyssal Atlantic. Philos Trans R Soc 268(894):401–536. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1974.0038. (**pl. 58**)
- Beck T, Metzger T, Freiwald A (2006) Biodiversity inventorial atlas of macrobenthic seamount animals. Deliverable 25 of the EU-ESF project OASIS (Oceanic seamounts: an integrated study; EVK2-CT-2002–00073, p 126. Available from: https://epic.awi. de/id/eprint/37314/7/OASIS_BIAS.pdf. Accessed 6 June 2023
- Barroso CX, da Cruz Lotufo TM, Matos AS, de Macêdo Carneiro PB, Cascon HM (2022) The distribution of marine gastropods is more influenced by larval development than by adult characteristics. Mar Biol 169:83. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-022-04069-0
- Bertolaso L, Palazzi S (2000) Un probabile rappresentante della famiglia Haloceratidae Warén & Bouchet, 1991 nel Pliocene emiliano. Boll Malacol 34:23–26
- Bouchet P (1989) A review of poecilogony in gastropods. J Molluscan Stud 55(1):67–78. https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/55.1.67
- Bouchet P, Lozouet P, Maestrati P, Heros V (2002) Assessing the magnitude of species richness in tropical marine environments: exceptionally high numbers of molluscs at a New Caledonia site. Biol J Linn Soc 75(4):421–436. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1095-8312.2002.00052.x
- Bouchet P, Taviani M (1992) The Mediterranean deep-sea fauna: pseudopopulations of Atlantic species? Deep Sea Res 39(2):168–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(92)90103-Z
- Bouchet P, Warén A (1980) Revision of the Northeast Atlantic bathyal and abyssal Turridae (Mollusca, Gastropoda). J Molluscan Stud Supplement 8:1–119. https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/46.Suppl ement_8.1
- Bouchet P, Warén A (1985) Revision of the Northeast Atlantic bathyal and abyssal Neogastropoda excluding Turridae (Mollusca, Gastropoda). Boll Malacol Supplement 1:123–296. https://doi.org/ 10.5962/bhl.title.140763
- Bouchet P, Warén A (1986) Revision of the Northeast Atlantic bathyal and abyssal Aclidiidae, Eulimidae, Epitoniidae (Mollusca, Gastropoda). Boll Malacol Supplement 2:297–576. https://doi.org/ 10.5962/bhl.title.140762
- Bouchet P, Warén A (1993) Revision of the Northeast Atlantic bathyal and abyssal Mesogastropoda. Boll Malacol Supplement 3:577– 840. https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.140732
- Briggs JC, Bowen BW (2012) A realignment of marine biogeographic provinces with particular reference to fish distributions. J Biogeogr 39(1):12–30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2011.02613.x
- Caballero-Herrera JA, Olivero J, von Cosel R, Gofas S (2022) An analytically derived delineation of the West African Coastal Province based on bivalves. Divers Distrib 28(12):2791–2805. https:// doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13454
- Clarke KR, Warwick RM (1994) Change in marine communities: an approach to statistical analysis and interpretation. Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Bourne Press Ltd., Bournemouth
- Dautzenberg P, Fischer H (1896) Dragages effectués par l'Hirondelle et par la Princesse Alice 1888–1895. 1. Mollusques Gastéropodes. Mém Soc Zool France 9: 395–498. pl. 15–22
- Dautzenberg P, Fischer H (1897) Dragages effectués par l'Hirondelle et par la Princesse Alice 1888–1896. Mém Soc Zool France 10(139–234):3–7
- Dijkstra HH, Gofas S (2004) Pectinoidea (Bivalvia: Propeamussiidae and Pectinidae) from some northeastern Atlantic seamounts. Sarsia 89(1):33–78. https://doi.org/10.1080/00364820410003469
- Dijkstra HH, Goud J (2002) Pectinoidea (Bivalvia, Propeamussiidae & Pectinidae) collected during the Dutch CANCAP and MAU-RITANIA expeditions in the south-eastern region of the North Atlantic Ocean. Basteria 66(1):31–82
- Engeser TS, Riedel F, Bandel K (1993) Early ontogenetic shells of recent and fossil Scaphopoda. Scrip Geol Special Issue 2:83–100

Flanders Marine Institute (2023) MarineRegions.org. Available online at www.marineregions.org. Accessed 2023–03–18

Fleischer U, Meyer O, Schaf H (1970) Über den Aufbau der untermeerischen Tafelberge südlich der Azoren anhand eines gravimetrisch-magnetischen Nord-Süd-Profils uber die Große-Meteor-Bank. Meteor Forschungergebn (C) 3:37–47

Frank N (2018) Short Cruise Report. M151. Atlantic thermocline ocean and ecosystems dynamic during natural climate change. Ponta Delgada – Funchal, Portugal. Institut für Umweltphysik, Universität Heidelberg, Germany, unpublished report]. Available from https:// www.ldf.uni-hamburg.de/meteor/wochenberichte/wochenberichtemeteor/m149-m152/scr-m151.pdf. Accessed 06 June 2023

Gaston KJ, Blackburn TM, Lawton JH (1997) Interspecific abundancerange size relationships: an appraisal of mechanisms. J Anim Ecol: 579–601. https://doi.org/10.2307/5951

George KH (2010) Abschlussbericht POS397 [DZMB, Senckenberg am Meer, Wilhelmshaven, Germany, unpublished report]

Gofas S (1993) Mission Océanographique SEAMOUNT 2. Compterendu et liste des stations. [MNHN, unpublished report]. https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7697124

- Gofas S (2000) Four species of the family Fasciolariidae (Gastropoda) from the North Atlantic seamounts. J Conchol 37(1):7–16
- Gofas S (2003) An endemic radiation of *Trituba* (Mollusca, Gastropoda) on the North Atlantic seamounts. Am Malacol Bull 17(1–2):45–63
- Gofas S (2005) Geographical differentiation in *Clelandella* (Gastropoda: Trochidae) in the northeastern Atlantic. J Molluscan Stud 71:133–144. https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyi016

Gofas S (2007) Rissoidae (Mollusca: Gastropoda) from northeast Atlantic seamounts. J Nat Hist 41(13–16):779–885. https://doi. org/10.1080/00222930701298085

- Gofas S (2018) A non-planktotrophic haloceratid (Gastropoda) from the Meteor seamount group, central North Atlantic. Iberus 36(2):149–155
- Gofas S, Beu A (2003) Tonnoidean Gastropods of the North Atlantic seamounts and the Azores. Am Malacol Bull 17(1–2):91–108

Gofas S, Freiwald A, Hoffman L (2023) New species and new records in Cerithiopsidae and Newtoniellidae (Triphoroidea, Gastropoda) from the Azores and South Azorean Seamount Chain. Iberus 41(1):113–150

Gofas S, Hoffman L (2020) Deep-water Calliostomatidae (Vetigastropoda, Gastropoda) from the South Azorean Seamount Chain. Iberus 38(2):195–211

Gofas S, Luque ÁA, Oliver JD, Templado J, Serrano A (2021) The Mollusca of Galicia Bank (NE Atlantic Ocean). Eur J Taxon 785:1–114. https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2021.785.1605

Gofas S, Luque AA, Templado J, Salas C (2017) A national checklist of marine Mollusca in Spanish waters. Sci Mar 81 (2): 241–254, and supplementary material. https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar. 04543.21A

Gofas S, Luque Á, Urra J (2019) Planktotrophic Columbellidae (Gastropoda) in the northeast Atlantic and the Mediterranean Sea, with description of a new species in the genus *Mitrella*. Bull Mar Sci 96(1):145–168. https://doi.org/10.5343/bms.2019.0015

Hoffman L, Freiwald A (2020) Bathyal Eulimidae (Gastropoda: Vanikoroidea) from the Azorean seamounts collected during the R/V Meteor Cruise M151 Athena. Misc Malacol 8(6):81–99

Hoffman L, Freiwald A (2021) Bathyal species in Rissoidae (Gastropoda) from Azorean seamounts. Biodivers J 12(4):777– 792. https://doi.org/10.31396/Biodiv.Jour.2021.12.4.777.792

Hoffman L, Freiwald A (2023) Species in Fissurellidae (Gastropoda) from the North Atlantic with a focus on the Azorean seamounts. Basteria 87(1):77–96

Hoffman L, Gofas S, Freiwald A (2020a) A large biodiversity of "skeneimorph" (Gastropoda: Vetigastropoda) species from the South Azorean Seamount Chain, with the description of seventeen new species. Iberus supplement 9 [to vol. 38]: 1–82

- Hoffman L, Gofas S, Freiwald A (2020b) New and little-known Seguenziidae (Vetigastropoda, Gastropoda) from the South Azorean Seamount Chain. Iberus 38(1):1–18
- Hoffman L, Gofas S, Freiwald A (2020c) Ten new species in *Papulis-cala* de Boury, 1911 (Gastropoda, Epitoniidae) from the South Azorean Seamount Chain. Iberus 38(1):29–53

Hoffman L, Gofas S, Freiwald A (2021) The genus Anatoma Woodward, 1859 (Gastropoda, Anatomidae) from Azorean seamounts. Iberus 39(2):139–152

Hoffman L, van Heugten B, Lavaleye MSS (2011) Gastropoda (Mollusca) from the Rockall and Hatton Banks, northeastern Atlantic Ocean. Misc Malacol 4(6):85–118 (5(2): 23-52)

Houart R (1996) Description of new species of Muricidae (Gastropoda) from New Caledonia, the Philippine Islands, the Northeast Atlantic, and West Africa. Apex 11(2):59–75

Jablonski D (1986) Larval ecology and macroevolution in marine invertebrates. Bull Mar Sci 39(2):565–587

Jablonski D, Lutz RA (1980) Molluscan larval shell morphology. Ecological and paleontological applications. In: Rhoads DC, Lutz RA (eds) Skeletal growth of aquatic organisms. Plenum Press, New York, pp 323–377

Johannesson K (1988) The paradox of Rockall: why is a brooding gastropod (Littorina saxatilis) more widespread than one having a planktonic larval dispersal stage (*L. littorea*)? Mar Biol 99(4):507–513. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00392558

Jaeckle WB, Manahan DT (1989) Feeding by a "nonfeeding" larva: uptake of dissolved amino acids from seawater by lecithotrophic larvae of the gastropod *Haliotis rufescens*. Mar Biol. https://doi. org/10.1007/BF00391067

Johnson J, Stevens I (2000) A fine resolution model of the eastern North Atlantic between the Azores, the Canary Islands and the Gibraltar Strait. Deep Sea Res Part I 47:875–899. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0967-0637(99)00073-4

Krylova EM (2006) Bivalves of seamounts of the north-eastern Atlantic. Part 1. In: Mironov AN, Gebruk AV, Southward AJ (eds) Biogeography of the North Atlantic Seamounts. KMK Scientific Press, Moscow, pp 76–95

Leal JH (2000) Endemism and modes of development of marine prosobranch gastropods (Mollusca) from oceanic islands off Brazil. Arquipélago. Life Mar Sci Supplement 2(Part A): 79–87

Lorenz F (2009) Two new Pediculariidae from Hyères Seamount, eastern central Atlantic (Gastropoda: Cypraeoidea). Acta Conchyliorum 10:87–94

Lozouet P (1999) Nouvelles espèces de gastéropodes (Mollusca: Gastropoda) de l'Oligocène et du Miocène inférieur d'Aquitaine (sud-ouest de la France). Partie 2. Cossmanniana 6:1–68

Mikkelsen PS, Mikkelsen PM (1984) Comparison of Acteocina canaliculata (Say, 1826), A. candei (d'Orbigny, 1841) and A. atrata spec. nov. (Gastropoda: Cephalaspidea). Veliger 27(2): 164–192

Mironov AN, Krylova EM (2006) Origin of the fauna of the Meteor Seamounts, north-eastern Atlantic. In: Mironov AN, Gebruk AV, Southward AJ (eds) Biogeography of the North Atlantic Seamounts. KMK Scientific Press, Moscow, pp 22–57

Morton B, Machado FM (2019) Predatory marine bivalves: a review. Adv Mar Biol 84:1–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.amb.2019. 10.001

Natural Earth (2023) World map data, available from https://www. naturalearthdata.com/. Accessed 2023–03–18

Oliverio M, Gofas S (2006) Coralliophiline diversity at mid-Atlantic seamounts (Neogastropoda, Muricidae, Coralliophilinae). Bull Mar Sci 79(1):205–230

Olivero J, Marquez AL, Real R (2013) Integrating fuzzy logic and statistics to improve the reliable delimitation of biogeographic regions and transition zones. Syst Biol 62:1–21. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/sysbio/sys061

- Ortega J, Gofas S (2019) The unknown bathyal of the Canaries: new species and new records of deep-sea Mollusca. Zoosystema 41(26):513–551. https://doi.org/10.5252/zoosystema2019v41a26
- Pechenik JA (1999) On the advantages and disadvantages of larval stages in benthic marine invertebrate life cycles. Mar Ecol Progr Ser 177:269–297. https://doi.org/10.3354/meps177269
- Peñas A, Rolán E (1999) Pyramidellidae (Gastropoda, Heterostropha) de la misión oceanográfica "Seamount 2". Iberus supplement 5 [to vol. 17]: 151-199. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4731128
- Poulin R (2011) The many roads to parasitism: a tale of convergence. Adv Parasitol 74:1–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-385897-9.00001-X
- von Rad U (1974) Great Meteor and Josephine seamounts (eastern North Atlantic): composition and origin of bioclastic sands, carbonate and pyroclastic rocks. Meteor Forschungsergeb C 19:1–61
- Ribeiro LP, Martins S, Hildenbrand A, Madureira P, Mata J (2017) The genetic link between the Azores Archipelago and the Southern Azores Seamount Chain (SASC): the elemental, isotopic and chronological evidences. Lithos 294–295:133–146
- Robertson R (2012) Pyramidellid protoconchs, eggs, embryos and larval ecology: an introductory survey. Am Malacol Bull 30(2):219–228. https://doi.org/10.4003/006.030.0201
- Rosenberg G (2009) Malacolog 4.1.1. A database of Western Atlantic Marine Mollusca. available from http://www.malacolog.org/. Accessed 06 June 2023
- Rubio F, Gofas S, Rolán E (2019) A new genus of small Vetigastropoda from eastern Atlantic Ocean and Indo-Pacific islands and seamounts. Iberus 37(2):249–265
- Rubio F, Rolán E, Fernández-Garcés R (2015) Revision of the genera *Parviturbo* and *Pseudorbis* (Gastropoda, Skeneidae). Iberus 33(2):167–259. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4586816
- Salas C (1996) Marine bivalves from off the Southern Iberian Peninsula collected by the Balgim and Fauna 1 expeditions. Haliotis 25:33–100
- Salas C, Gofas S (1997) Brooding and non-brooding *Dacrydium* (Bivalvia, Mytilidae): a review of the Atlantic species. J Molluscan Stud 63(2):261–283. https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/63.2.261
- Sanders HL, Allen JA (1973) Studies on deep-sea Protobranchia (Bivalvia) Prologue and the Pristiglomidae. Bull Mus Comp Zool 145(6):237–262
- Sanders HL, Allen JA (1977) Studies on deep-sea Protobranchia (Bivalvia). The family Tindariidae and the genus Pseudotindaria. Bull Mus Comp Zool 148(2):23–59
- Sasaki T (2008) Micromolluses in Japan: taxonomic composition, habitats, and future topics. Zoosymposia 1:147–232. https://doi.org/ 10.11646/zoosymposia.1.1.12
- Segers W, Swinnen F, De Prins R (2009) Marine Molluscs of Madeira. Snoeck Publishers, Heule, p 612
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ (1981) Biometry. W.H. Freeman & Co., New York, p 859
- Strathmann RR (1985) Feeding and nonfeeding larval development and life-history evolution in marine invertebrates. Ann Rv Ecol Syst 16(1):339–361. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.16.110185. 002011
- Tucholke BE, Smoot NC (1990) Evidence for age and evolution of Corner Seamounts and Great Meteor Seamount Chain from multibeam bathymetry. J Geophys Res 95(B11):17555–17569. https://doi.org/10.1029/JB095iB11p17555
- Valdés Á, Ortea J (1996) Review of the family Phyllidiidae in the Atlantic Ocean (Nudibranchia, Doridoidea). Am Malacol Bull 13(1–2):1–9
- van Aartsen JJ, Gittenberger E, Goud J (1998) Pyramidellidae (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Heterobranchia) collected duning the Dutch CANCAP and MAURITANIA expeditions in the

south-eastern part of the North Atlantic Ocean (part 1). Zool Verhand 321:1–57

- van Aartsen JJ, Gittenberger E, Goud J (2000) Pyramidellidae (Mollusca, Gastropoda, Heterobranchia) collected during the Dutch CANCAP and MAURITANIA expeditions in the south-eastern part of the North Atlantic Ocean (part 2. Zool Meded 74:1–50
- van der Linden J (1995) Philinidae dredged by the CANCAP expeditions (Gastropoda, Opisthobranchia). Basteria 59(1-3):65-83
- van der Linden J (1998) The Metaxiinae dredged by the CANCAP expeditions, with the new species *Metaxia carinapex* and *Metaxia hapax* from the Cape Verde Islands (Gastropoda, Heteropoda: Triphoridae). Basteria 61(4/6):115–122
- Verhecken A (2007) Revision of the Cancellariidae (Mollusca, Neogastropoda, Cancellarioidea) of the eastern Atlantic (40°N-40°S) and the Mediterranean. Zoosystema 29(2):281–364
- Vermeij GJ (1989) Geographical restriction as a guide to the causes of extinction: the case of the cold northern oceans during the Neogene. Paleobiology 15(4):335–356
- Warén A (1989a) New and little known Mollusca from Iceland. Sarsia 74(1):1–28. https://doi.org/10.1080/00364827.1989.10413419
- Warén A (1989b) Taxonomic comments on some protobranch bivalves from the Northeastern Atlantic. Sarsia 74(4):223–259. https:// doi.org/10.1080/00364827.1989.10413432
- Warén A (1991) New and little known Mollusca from Iceland and Scandinavia. Sarsia 76(1–2):53–124. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00364827.1991.10413466
- Warén A (1992) New and little known "Skeneimorph" gastropods from the Mediterranean Sea and the adjacent Atlantic Ocean. Boll Malacol 27(10–12):149–248
- Warén A (1993) New and little known Mollusca from Iceland and Scandinavia. Part 2. Sarsia 78(3–4):159–201. https://doi.org/10. 1080/00364827.1993.10413534
- Warén A (1996) New and little known Mollusca from Iceland and Scandinavia. Part 3. Sarsia 81(3):197–245. https://doi.org/10. 1080/00364827.1996.10413622
- Warén A (1996b) Ecology and systematics of the north European species of Rissoa and Pusillina (Prosobranchia: Rissoidae). J Mar Biol Assoc UK 76(4):1013–1059. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0025 315400040947
- Warén A, Bouchet P (1991) Mollusca Gastropoda: systematic position and revision of *Haloceras* Dall, 1889 (Caenogastropoda, Haloceratidae fam. nov.). In: Crosnier A et al (eds) Résultats des Campagnes MUSORSTOM 7. Mém Mus Nat Hist Nat, sér A, Zool 150: 111–161
- Warén A, Gofas S (1996) Kaiparapelta askewi Mc Lean & Harasewych, 1995 (Gastropoda: Pseudococculinidae): a spongivorous cocculiniform limpet and a case of remarkable convergence in radular morphology. Haliotis 25:107–116
- Warén A, Gofas S (1997) A new species of Monoplacophora, redescription of the genera *Veleropilina* and *Rokopella*, and new information on three species of the class. Zool Scr 25(3):215– 232. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.1996.tb00163.x
- Watling L, Guinotte J, Clark MR, Smith CR (2013) A proposed biogeography of the deep ocean floor. Prog Oceanog 111:91–112. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2012.11.003
- Watling L (2019) Macrofauna. In: Cochran JK, Bokuniewicz HJ, Yager PL (eds) Encyclopedia of Ocean Sciences. Elsevier/ Academic Press, London, pp 728–734. https://doi.org/10. 1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.11069-3
- Williams ST, Kano Y, Warén A, Herbert DG (2020) Marrying molecules and morphology: first steps towards a reevaluation of solariellid genera (Gastropoda: Trochoidea) in the light of molecular phylogenetic studies. J Molluscan Stud 86(1):1–26. https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyz038

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.