Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY Javier A. Concha, European Space Research Institute (ESRIN), Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Martin Hieronymi Martin.hieronymi@hereon.de

RECEIVED 04 October 2023 ACCEPTED 13 October 2023 PUBLISHED 26 October 2023

CITATION

Hieronymi M, Bi S, Müller D, Schütt EM, Behr D, Brockmann C, Lebreton C, Steinmetz F, Stelzer K and Vanhellemont Q (2023) Corrigendum: Ocean color atmospheric correction methods in view of usability for different optical water types. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 10:1307517. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2023.1307517

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Hieronymi, Bi, Müller, Schütt, Behr, Brockmann, Lebreton, Steinmetz, Stelzer and Vanhellemont. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Ocean color atmospheric correction methods in view of usability for different optical water types

Martin Hieronymi^{1*}, Shun Bi¹, Dagmar Müller², Eike M. Schütt^{1,3}, Daniel Behr¹, Carsten Brockmann², Carole Lebreton², François Steinmetz⁴, Kerstin Stelzer² and Quinten Vanhellemont⁵

¹Department of Optical Oceanography, Institute of Carbon Cycles, Helmholtz-Zentrum Hereon, Geesthacht, Germany, ²Brockmann Consult GmbH, Hamburg, Germany, ³Earth Observation and Modelling, Department of Geography, Kiel University, Kiel, Germany, ⁴HYGEOS, Lille, France, ⁵Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Operational Directorate Natural Environments, Brussels, Belgium

KEYWORDS

atmospheric correction, ocean color, optical water types, satellite remote sensing, essential climate variable, Sentinel-3/OLCI

A corrigendum on

Ocean color atmospheric correction methods in view of usability for different optical water types

by Hieronymi M, Bi S, Müller D, Schütt EM, Behr D, Brockmann C, Lebreton C, Steinmetz F, Stelzer K and Vanhellemont Q (2023) *Front. Mar. Sci.* 10:1129876. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2023.1129876

Error in Figure

In the published article, there was an error in Figure 3 as published. Figure 3 shows a comparison of the reflectance results of all atmospheric correction methods for selected points marked in Figure 2. In the published version of Figures 3D, F, points 4 and 6 do not match the exact positions in Figure 2, instead the points were slightly shifted in waters where ACOLITE-DSF did not give results (NaN), the DSF spectra are accordingly not shown. In the corrected version, points 4 and 6 agree with the positions in Figure 2, DSF provides results here. The explaining text in the publication refers, among other things, to these two spectra, which are now displayed as well. All other results remain identical. The corrected Figure 3 and its caption appear below.

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.



FIGURE 3

(A–H) Comparison of spectral remote-sensing reflectance derived from the different AC methods for eight points marked in Figure 2. The right axis and the corresponding grey dashed lines show the initial TOA reflectance. (E, F) include corresponding normalized *in-situ* measurements.