Estimating Carbon Flux From Optically Recording Total Particle Volume at Depths Below the Primary Pycnocline
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Optical instruments can rapidly determine numbers and characteristics of water column particles with high sensitivity. Here we show the usefulness of optically assessed total particle volume below the main pycnocline to estimate carbon export in two systems: the open subarctic North Atlantic and the Ross Sea, Antarctica. Both regions exhibit seasonally high phytoplankton production and efficient export (i.e., a strong biological pump). Total particle volumes in the mesopelagic (200–300 m) were significantly correlated with those in the overlying surface mixed layer (50–60 m), indicating that most particles at depth reflect export from the surface. This connectivity, however, is modulated by the physical structure of the water column and by particle type (e.g., the presence of colonies of the haptophyte Phaeocystis antarctica versus diatoms). Evidence from both regions show that a strong pycnocline can delay or may even prevent particles from settling to deeper layers, which then succumb to disintegration, and microbial and zooplankton consumption. Strong katabatic winds in the Ross Sea may deepen the mixed layer, causing a rapid transfer of particles to mesopelagic depths through the mixed-layer pump. Independent estimates of seasonally integrated export production in the Ross Sea, based on upper water column carbon mass balance, were significantly correlated (in the order of shared variance) with (1) total particle volumes from images, (2) particulate organic carbon, and (3) chlorophyll fluorescence, all recorded at a depth range of 200–300 m. Carbon export was not significantly correlated with particle abundance measured by a Coulter counter at the same depth range. Measuring total particle volume below the primary pycnocline is therefore a useful approach to estimate carbon export at least in regions characterized by seasonally high particle export.
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INTRODUCTION

Imaging systems have been used to characterize plankton and particles in the sea for many decades. Examples are the original film-based systems by Asper (1987), Walsh and Gardner (1992), Maclntyre et al. (1995), and Jackson et al. (1997). More recently, recordings have been made digitally, and some systems are available commercially (Stemmann et al., 2004 and references listed in their Table 1; Cowen and Guigand, 2008; Ohman et al., 2019). Other imaging systems rely on holography in various configurations (inline and off-axis), with their own advantages (e.g., large depth of field and survey volumes) and disadvantages (e.g., additional processing for image reconstruction) (Malkiel et al., 1999; Watson et al., 2001; Xu et al., 2001; Bochdansky et al., 2013; Lindensmith et al., 2016).

In contrast to other optical systems such as transmissometry, optical backscattering, forward scattering laser diffraction, and fluorometry, particle imaging systems allow a much more detailed analysis of particle morphology such as size, aspect ratio, roughness, and porosity using relatively simple image-analytical procedures. Image surveys can further be expanded to the classification of particles. For instance, zooplankton can be distinguished from marine snow and fecal pellets, all of which have different settling or migration rates through the water column. We are now at a threshold where machine learning will greatly improve throughput in the classification of particles once trained on specific targets by expert human operators (e.g., Zheng et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2018). Therefore, imaging systems can accurately survey relatively rare macroscopic particles in areas such as the deep sea where other optical systems such as optical backscatter or transmissometry reach their lower detection thresholds (Bochdansky et al., 2016).

Imaging systems were also developed that use fluorometers on moored arrays (McGill et al., 2016) or transmissometers on free-floating sediment traps (Estapa et al., 2017) as “optical sediment traps” which are a suitable match to the neutrally buoyant sediment traps that collect particulate organic carbon (Valdes and Price, 2000). While these latter systems represent direct flux measurements, the question remains how much can be learned from the standing stock of particles as detected by water column imaging systems alone. These comparisons go back at least to Walsh and Gardner (1992) when sediment trap fluxes were compared to aggregate abundance at various depths. More recently, studies concluded that carbon flux compared to the standing stock of particles in the water column correlates with export flux. Exploring the connection between particle abundance at the surface and at mesopelagic depths, we use data from two research expeditions; one to the North Atlantic in the summer of 2012 and one to the Ross Sea from February to March of 2013. Both regions are characterized by seasonally high export production of global significance (Arrigo et al., 1999; Sanders et al., 2014). In addition, the Ross Sea data allowed us to compare seasonally integrated export fluxes based on seasonal net community production (sNCP) and upper water column carbon mass balance to particle inventories and water column characteristics in four distinct regions on the Ross Sea shelf.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Expedition Details

Subarctic North Atlantic and Arctic Ocean

Data were collected on the RV Pelagia (Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research) during the MEDEA expedition between June 22 and July 22, 2012. Most stations were within the subarctic North Atlantic while three stations were located in the Norwegian Sea, Arctic Ocean (Figure 1A). Physical characteristics (conductivity, temperature, and pressure) of the water column was measured with a Seabird SBE9/11+ conductivity, temperature, and depth (CTD) probe. Factory calibrations of the conductivity and oxygen sensors were checked for stability with appropriate reference samples measured on board. Salinity standards and samples were measured with a Guildline 8400B salinometer. The CTD was lowered at ∼1 m s⁻¹.

Ross Sea

For the Ross Sea, we focused our analysis on three sites that were reoccupied multiple times during the cruise, and a zonal transect. Data were collected on the RVIB Nathaniel B Palmer from February 12 to March 18, 2013 (cruise designation NBP-1302). The main focus was on the western Ross Sea (Figure 1B) as it represents a significant site for Antarctic Bottom Water formation (Orsi et al., 2002) and also hosts significant phytoplankton blooms between late spring and early autumn. We focused on three areas in the western Ross Sea: north of Franklin Island (“south site”), south of Coulman Island (“north site”), and Terra Nova Bay (TNB), each revisited several times during the expedition to record temporal changes (DeJong et al., 2017). TNB was the site of highest drawdown of inorganic carbon of all sites visited during this expedition (DeJong et al., 2017). The zonal transect was along the 76° 30′ S line (Figure 1B), a section visited during many previous research cruises (e.g., Carlson et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2013). The CTD with instruments was lowered at 0.5 m s⁻¹ for the first 100 m, and then accelerated to 1 m s⁻¹ for the remainder of each cast. Salinity, temperature, and oxygen measurements were obtained using SeaBird 911+ CTD probes. Salinity was calibrated on discrete samples at 24°C using a Guildline 8400 Auto salimeter. The station maps were created using Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2018).

Video Particle Profiler

The Video Particle Profiler (VPP) was previously described in Bochdansky et al. (2017). Side lighting with two white high-intensity LED lights [Fenix L2P, powered by a 2.4 V, 35 Ah
NiMH battery pack (custom built by Batterspace/AA Portable Power Corp.) was used ∼7 cm in front of the sapphire window of a pressure case rated to 6000 m (Supplementary Figure 1), containing a Sentec monochrome video camera (Model STC-160BT2, VGA resolution, 640 × 480 pixels). At the focal plane, the field of view was 3.5 and 4.7 cm. The light beams were spatially filtered using 1 cm slits, however, only the brightest lit image plane was used for analysis in the exponentially decaying light field. Identical hardware and software configurations were used for all stations during both cruises. As the gray-level threshold was subjective, no precise image volume can be determined, and thus particle abundances are reported as particles per image frame, or cubic pixels per image frame (see Supplementary Figure 2 for examples). This selectivity during image analysis reduced bias caused by overlapping particles while providing more precise particle-size measurements. Each image pixel spans 0.034 mm in length with an area of 0.00116 mm². To avoid noise caused by random single-pixel electronic “snow,” the threshold for being considered a true particle was set at a 6-pixel minimum using eight-connectivity. It follows that the minimum size of a particle considered in this analysis translated to particles of a size of 2 × 3 or 1 × 6 pixels, equal to 68 × 102 or 34 × 204 μm, respectively. The VPP records 30 images per second that were analyzed with a custom Linux-based program (an adapted Avidemux video editing software in Ubuntu) after retrieval (see Supplementary Material for links to the open-source program and image analysis code used in this analysis). Image data were aligned in Matlab with depth from the CTD using a common time stamp at 1 s (∼1 m) resolution. The projected area of the particle (sum of white and black pixels within the perimeter of the particle determined by eight-connectivity) was converted to a circle that was then converted to the volume of a sphere (Iversen et al., 2010; Bochdansky et al., 2017). To obtain total volumes (pixel³ frame⁻¹), volumes of particles were summed for each frame and the average total volume was calculated for each meter, and then again averaged over the depth ranges (50–60 or 200–300 m).

**Chlorophyll Fluorescence**

*In situ* chlorophyll fluorescence during the MEDEA and Ross Sea expeditions was determined using Wetlabs ECO fluorometers.

**Particulate Organic Carbon, Total Dissolved Inorganic Carbon, and Dissolved Organic Carbon (Ross Sea Only)**

Details of POC and $\Sigma CO_2$ collection and analysis were previously published in DeJong et al. (2017). Between 0.5 and 4 L of seawater were filtered through combusted Whatman GF/C filters for POC analysis. Filters were rinsed with 0.1 N HCl, air dried, and analyzed using a Carlo Erba NA1500 Series elemental analyzer coupled with a Finnigan Delta + mass spectrometer with a ConFlo Il open split interface (DeJong et al., 2017). $\Sigma CO_2$ samples were collected in glass BOD bottles and analyzed shipboard within 6–12 h. From each sample, 1.5 ml was analyzed in triplicates after acidification with phosphoric acid, bubble stripping, mass flow control, and using a LICOR-based detection system for CO$_2$ mass integration (DeJong et al., 2017). DOC was determined using high temperature oxidation (Dickson et al., 2007).

**Coulter Counter**

Approximately 20 ml water samples were directly taken from the Niskin bottles in 25 ml polystyrene blood cell counting vials.
with lids. Particles were counted in 0.5 ml increments using a model Z2 Coulter counter. Seven counts were performed on each sample, the first discarded, and then the remaining six counts averaged. The method is based on electrical impedance when a particle passes a pore between two electrodes. For each station, deep-sea samples were counted first and surface samples last to avoid cross-contamination. Before and after each cast the glass aperture was cleaned with 0.2 μm filtered deep-sea water until blank values were at zero. The Coulter counter was calibrated using 5 μm diameter Coulter counter calibration size standard L5 beads (Beckman Coulter). The number of pulses represent the number of particles, and the size of the spike is proportional to particle size. The entire counter was wrapped in a brass grid (0.5 cm open squares) that was additionally covered with aluminum foil to function as a Faraday cage, preventing noise from electric systems on board. In addition, the door of the counter was bonded to the ship’s ground. During the North Atlantic expedition, we analyzed a size range from 2 to 3 μm. This size range, however, was associated with excessive electronic noise in the lowest size channels despite taking the precautions mentioned above. We therefore abandoned the channels between 2 and 3 μm during the Ross Sea expedition, and used a size range between 3 and 10 μm instead, reducing blank values (deep-sea water filtered through a 0.2-μm syringe filter) to zero or to the lower single digits so that no blank correction was needed.

**Estimates of Seasonal Carbon Export Using Upper Water-Column Mass Balance (Ross Sea Only)**

Data on seasonal export were taken directly from the Supplementary Materials of DeJong et al. (2017). Briefly, seasonal export was calculated by carbon mass balance: subtracting surplus water-column standing stocks of total particulate organic carbon from the estimated sNCP:

\[
s_{\text{Export}} = s_{\text{NCP}} - \text{Surp}(\text{TOC}) \tag{1}
\]

\[s_{\text{NCP}} = \text{Def}(n_{\text{DIC}}) + \int_{\text{Nov1}}^{\text{arrival}} \text{CO}_2\text{-flux} \, dt \tag{2}
\]

CO₂-flux was determined by

\[
\text{CO}_2\text{-flux} = (1 - A)k_s(\Delta p_{\text{CO}_2}), \tag{3}
\]

where \(A\) is the proportion of sea ice cover, \(k\) the CO₂ gas transfer velocity calculated by using squared wind velocity and the temperature-dependent Schmidt number according to Wanninkhof (1992), and \(s\) is the solubility term as a function of temperature and salinity (Weiss, 1974).

Surplus DOC and POC from pre-bloom conditions [\(\text{Surp}(\text{TOC}) = \text{Surp}(\text{DOC}) + \text{Surp}(\text{POC})\)] in Eq. 1 were calculated by adding POC and DOC integrated over the top 200 m consistent with Sweeney et al. (2000)

\[
\text{Surp}(X) = \int_{0m}^{200m} (X(\text{late summer})) \, dz - \int_{0m}^{200m} (X(\text{winter})) \, dz, \tag{4}
\]

where \(X\) is either DOC or POC. More detail can be found in DeJong et al. (2017).

**Choice of Depth Intervals for Estimating Export**

Depth intervals of 50–60 and 200–300 m were used previously in a comparison between upper and deeper water particle abundance across 77 stations visited during the Ross Sea cruise (Bochdansky et al., 2017). In our optical configuration the camera was exposed to ambient light that resulted in light contamination at the surface. Below 50 m, however, casts at all stations could be used. The 50–60 m interval was therefore used as a reliable shallow depth interval. The 200–300 m depth layer was well below the main pycnocline in all casts in both regions and particles arriving at this depth can safely be considered exported as this depth is well below euphotic zone and mixed layer depths (Giering et al., 2017). The 200–300 m depth interval was also below the depth range used in the calculations of export in Sweeney et al. (2000) and Long et al. (2011), and well below export depths typically defined for the 234Th method, either as the bottom of the euphotic zone (Buesseler and Boyd, 2009), equilibrium depth (Lemaître et al., 2018), or as 100 m (Maiti et al., 2013; Le Moigne et al., 2016). Importantly, and to allow for direct comparison, particle flux beyond 200 m was also used by DeJong et al. (2017) to define export (see above).

**RESULTS**

Particle abundance changed greatly across the subarctic North Atlantic and the Ross Sea during both expeditions (Figure 2), providing a wide dynamic range in interpreting particle abundances with depth, and as dependent on water column characteristics. For the North Atlantic expedition, we divided the stations (Figure 1) into seven sets. One set was located east of the Charlie Gibbs Fracture Zone (CGFZ, Figure 1), two sets delineated the southern and northern branch of the CGFZ; one station was located west of the CGFZ (station #12). Station 17, with its extreme density stratification, was kept separate for this analysis. A set of four stations (#18–21) were positioned on a trajectory north toward the Iceland-Faroe Ridge before entering the Arctic Ocean. Three CTD casts and one VPP cast were taken in the Norwegian Sea (Arctic Ocean) (Figure 1). The density structure was very different in the Arctic basin with considerably higher sigma-\(\tau\) values overall than those observed in the subarctic North Atlantic (Figure 2). Nepheloid layers close to the bottom were prominent in almost all casts in both cruises (Figure 2). Note that the scales for particle abundance in Figure 2 are different for the North Atlantic and the Ross Sea so that by comparison the Ross Sea nepheloid layers appear less...
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FIGURE 2 | Density (Sigma-t) and particle abundance (numbers per video frame), respectively, during the North Atlantic (A,B) and the Ross Sea (C,D) expeditions. The insets show a close-up of total particle volume (pixel$^3$ frame$^{-1}$). Total particle volume is a better predictor of particle carbon than is particle abundance because average particle volume changed with depth. Note that particle abundances are plotted at different scales for the two regions, with the open North Atlantic having lower abundance than the Ross Sea. Pronounced benthic nepheloid layers were present in most casts in both regions. Color codes of data points in the North Atlantic (A): black, stations east of the Charlie Gibbs Fracture Zone (CGFZ); red, southern trench of the CGFZ; magenta, station 12 west of the CGFZ; green, northern trench of the CGFZ; yellow, station 17; cyan, stations 18–21; blue, Norwegian Sea, Arctic. Color codes of data points in the Ross Sea (B): red, south site; green, Terra Nova Bay; blue, north site; black, transect stations.

pronounced than in the North Atlantic but in fact are equivalent in magnitude. In the North Atlantic at Station 16, the nepheloid layer reached 300 m in depth from the ocean bottom. In the Ross Sea, there was a gradient in surface particle abundance from high numbers at TNB (green) to the north site (blue), to the transect stations (black), to low particle abundances at the south site (red) (Figure 2D). In terms of total particle volumes, the difference between the north site and TNB was greatly reduced with approximately the same total particle volumes in the surface at both stations (insert in Figure 2D). At 100–300 m water depths, however, total particle volumes were much higher in TNB than at the north site.

There is a significant relationship between total particle volume at 50–60 and at 200–300 m in both expeditions (Figure 3). Where residuals were not normally distributed, p-values were based on custom F-distributions obtained by data randomization (10,000 ×) (Manly, 2007). A custom F-distribution based on randomization of the actual data
produces an accurate p-value independent of the normality assumption employed by standard statistical tables. The linear regression between total volume at 50–60 m and at greater depth for the North Atlantic is $y = 302 + 0.153x$, $n = 18$, $r^2 = 0.375$, $p = 0.022$ (Figure 3A). For the Ross Sea, the regression equation for total volumes between the same depth ranges is: $667 + 0.0856x$, $r^2 = 0.197$, $p = 0.0074$ (Figure 3C). The south site had low total particle volumes at 200–300 m, consistent with low total volumes at the surface. At the north site, mesopelagic total particle volumes were much lower compared to upper layers (Figure 3C). At TNB, total particle volumes were both high at the surface and at depth (Figure 3C). The total volumes during the transect stations were relatively low at the surface, but not as low as the values at the south site (Figure 3C). Deep-water total particle volumes at the transect stations fell consistently below the regression line, indicating a lack of export at these sites (Figure 3C).

While both regions (North Atlantic and Ross Sea) reflected significantly high connectivity between upper water column total volumes and those found in the deep sea, the shared variance was relatively low. The reason for this pattern can be attributed to a few stations that greatly deviated from the common slope: in the North Atlantic, stations 8 and 17 influenced the regression line in opposite directions (Figure 3A). In the Ross Sea, stations 56 and 102 represented equally extreme ends of the spectrum (Figure 3C). These apparent outliers prompted us to examine the physical structure of the water column. In the North Atlantic, station 17 had the greatest absolute density
difference between the upper water column and the mesopelagic (Figure 3B). In contrast, station 8 had a density difference of almost half that value over the same depth range (Figure 3B). While there was almost no difference in absolute density of the water column between station 56 and 102 in the Ross Sea, the depth of the mixed layer was greatly increased at station 102 (Figure 3D).

Modeled export data were only available for the Ross Sea (DeJong et al., 2017). Seasonal export was significantly related to total volume at 200–300 m (Figure 4A, linear regression: \( n = 41, r^2 = 0.414, F = 27.45, p < 0.0001 \)), significantly related to fluorescence at 200–300 m (Figure 4B, linear regression: \( n = 41, r^2 = 0.228, F = 11.50, p = 0.0018 \)), and significantly related to the standing stock of POC at the same depth range (Figure 4C, linear regression: \( n = 36, r^2 = 0.394, F = 22.12, p < 0.0002 \)). However, export was not significantly related to particle numbers as assessed by Coulter counts (Figure 4D, linear regression: \( n = 34, r^2 = 0.070, F = 2.398, p = 0.129 \)). The shared variance \((r^2)\) between carbon export on the one hand, and total particle volumes at 200–300 m depth range on the other, was therefore highest, followed by POC standing stock, and fluorescence (Figure 4).

Comparing the North Atlantic and Ross Sea particle abundances, the water column of the open North Atlantic had lower average abundances than the waters of the Ross Sea shelf system. Overall, the density range was larger in the North Atlantic because of the warmer surface layer. There was a discontinuity in the density profile in the eastern stations of the North Atlantic (Figure 2A), indicative of deeper mixing likely as a result of a storm system that moved over the region during the beginning of the research expedition. This mixing could have increased the number of particles reaching deeper layers, however, in the upper water column, the particle abundance was very low at these stations under the post-spring-bloom conditions. In both regions, most of the decrease in particle abundance with depth occurred within the first 100 m (Figures 2B,D). The water...
column structure and absolute density values were most similar between the Arctic Ocean and the north site in the Ross Sea (Figures 2A,C).

DISCUSSION

Connectivity of Particle Abundance Between Surface and Mesopelagic Layers

One of the fundamental assumptions for using total volumes at depth to infer exported POC rests on the connectivity between the upper ocean and the deeper layers through sinking particles. In the Ross Sea, there was indeed a highly significant correlation between particle abundance at 200–300 m and in the surface mixed layer during the same expedition, and across 77 stations sampled with the VPP (Bochdansky et al., 2017). In the North Atlantic, particle volume at 50–60 m and 200–300 m was also significantly correlated albeit with high variability (Figure 3). Most interestingly, the biggest discrepancy came from two stations that had similarly high particle abundance at the surface but with drastically different abundances at depth. Both stations (8 and 17) also displayed high chlorophyll values reflecting a large standing stock of phytoplankton. Station 8 was located at the eastern entrance of the CGFZ. In this region, the subtropical and the subarctic gyres collide, creating a meandering front that leads to high primary production and export for the benefit of rich deep-water planktonic and benthic fauna (Gislason et al., 2008; Youngbluth et al., 2008; Alt et al., 2019). Station 8 had the highest surface chlorophyll values and the second highest total particle volumes at the surface, and the highest particle volumes at depth (200–300 m). While total volumes at Station 17 were even higher at the 50–60 m depth range than that of Station 8, the standing stock of particles at 200–300 m was one of the lowest during this expedition. As in the Ross Sea, water column structure appears to have had a strong effect in the connectivity between surface and deep particle inventories. Station 17 had the highest density gradient from the surface mixed layer to 300 m with a strong epipelagic pycnocline that may have acted as a physical barrier to particles reaching deeper layers (Figure 2B). Another possible explanation is that the spring bloom in that region was in a relatively early phase and that aggregation, fecal pellet production, and export had not yet begun. This scenario is less likely because of the time of year (July); standing stocks were already high at stations much further north with higher particle abundances at depth. If it was a seasonal delay (more northern stations bloom later, and the eastern Arctic blooms earlier than the western Arctic; Friedland et al., 2016), the discrepancies between surface and deep particle inventories would have been even larger at stations 18–24 but that was not the case.

Physical Water Column Characteristics and Particle Export

One of the major challenges in interpreting the role of water column stratification on particle settling velocity and export is that no single metric, such as the water column potential energy anomaly over the entire water column (Simpson and Hunter, 1974) or the locally calculated Brunt–Väisälä buoyancy frequency, adequately captures the effects of stratification on particle settling velocity and overall flux. Another problem is that the effect depends on the type of particle and whether and how fast diffusive exchange of water with the pores of the particle occurs while settling through a strong density gradient (Kindler et al., 2010; Diercks et al., 2019; and references cited therein). In all cases, however, particle velocities generally decrease in strong density gradients, forming the characteristic bands of marine snow at or just below strong pycnoclines (e.g., MacIntyre et al., 1995; Allrdidge et al., 2002; Prairie et al., 2015, Prairie and White, 2017).

In the North Atlantic, stratification varied markedly among stations with a strong difference in sigma-τ between stations 8 and 17 (Figure 3B). Stratification indices defined as the difference in sigma-τ (Δsigma-τ) between the surface and 300 m have previously been employed with a cutoff criterion between stratified and non-stratified conditions at a Δsigma-τ of 0.125 kg m⁻³ (Dolan et al., 2019). The depth range to reach a Δsigma-τ of 0.125 kg m⁻³ has also been used as the broadest definition of mixed layers in the past (de Boyer Montégut, 2004). It is noteworthy that this cutoff criterion lies between the Δsigma-τ values observed at stations 8 and 17 leading to correspondingly high and low connectivity between particle abundances at the surface and depth, respectively (Figure 3B).

In the Ross Sea, differences in water column structure were the results of the depth of the mixed layer rather than absolute density differences. Due to the strong katabatic winds in TNB in the Ross Sea, especially during the second visit to the site, the main pycnocline eroded and particles were mixed deeper into the water column (Figures 2C,D, 3D). This process is similar to that previously described as the “mixed-layer pump” in the North Atlantic and in the Arctic where particles are convectively mixed deep into the water column (Gardner et al., 1995; Koeve et al., 2002; Giering et al., 2016; Wiedmann et al., 2017). Based on synchronous sediment trap and current meter observations, late summer–autumn wind-induced upper water column mixing has also been identified provoking enhanced organic C fluxes in the western Ross Sea (Langone et al., 2003). Mixing events also stimulate primary production in the surface layers due to nutrient pulses from the deep. Iron is particularly limiting in the Ross Sea, especially in the late season (e.g., Sedwick et al., 2000). A nutrient pulse due to deep mixing thus led to the high net community production we observed at TNB that late in the season (DeJong et al., 2017).

Particle Type and Export

Previous qualitative analysis of particle types at the TNB site from the same expedition revealed high abundances of heterotrophic and mixotrophic protists, diatoms such as Corethron sp., and chain-forming diatoms (Bochdansky et al., 2017). The north site had a large abundance of amorphous marine snow particles, while the south site was mostly characterized by smaller particles of unidentified composition. Consistent with previous studies (e.g., DiTullio and Smith, 1996) the transect stations in the central Ross Sea were dominated by Phaeocystis antarctica colonies.
The relationship between upper layer particle abundance and that at depth was thus very likely modulated by the particle type in addition to water column mixing as discussed above. We found only few *P. antarctica* colonies below 200 m as late as March 11 (Bochdansky et al., 2017), which shows that most of these colonies have not been exported yet, or resist sinking altogether (Wolf et al., 2016). In addition, *P. antarctica* colonies are typically not grazed by zooplankton, while the diatom-dominated TNB area very likely had associated grazers that produce fast-sinking fecal pellets. In contrast, amorphous marine snow particles that dominated the north site usually contain a large amount of gels that provide buoyancy and reduce sinking velocity (Alldredge and Crocker, 1995; Azetsu-Scott and Passow, 2004), which in turn may have kept them from sinking to the 200–300 m layer.

**Total Particle Volume at Depth and Carbon Export**

Carbon export determined by geochemical mass balance of carbon (DeJong et al., 2017) is an entirely independent estimate from the optically assessed total particle volume at 200–300 m. There are several caveats in estimating sinking fluxes from total particle volume at mesopelagic depths. For instance, if isopycnal transport is strong, resuspended particles can be carried far away from slopes (e.g., Gardner and Richardson, 1992; Gardner, 1997). We detected strong benthic nepheloid layers but while none of them were at 200–300 m depths (Figure 2), input from adjacent slopes may cause a problem especially in the shallow shelf systems. The other constraint is that by measuring superficial particle characteristics such as particle area, little information is available on ballast contained in particles, which is a major driver of settling velocities (e.g., Dunbar and Berger, 1981; Lima et al., 2014).

That seasonal export correlated well with several metrics of particle abundance at depth means that our timing of the research expedition in the Ross Sea was suitable to capture export. It may even be indicative that most of the carbon export occurs very late in the growing season. Late export is realistic as a delay between peak in primary production and export has previously been recorded for the Ross Sea (Dunbar et al., 1998; Sweeney et al., 2000; Langone et al., 2003) and in TNB in particular (Accornero et al., 2003). It would be interesting to investigate how well our method translates to other seasons and places, including those of relatively low export in the subtropical gyres, high export, and low particle flux attenuation with depth in the North Pacific (station K2) (Buesseler et al., 2007), or where primary production and export events are decoupled, such as in other locations of the Southern Ocean (e.g., Scotia Sea and near the Kerguelen Plateau; Maiti et al., 2013; Le Moigne et al., 2016).

**Total Volume and Other Metrics of Particle Abundance**

Total volume and POC behaved very similarly to estimated export. Of the three major elements of organic material (i.e., carbon, nitrogen, and phosphorus), carbon is most conserved in its transition through the water column while nitrogen and phosphorus are more rapidly remineralized, leading to increases in C:N and C:P ratios with depth (e.g., Schneider et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2004). Fluorescence is even more vulnerable to decay than N and P, which explains why fluorescence values, especially at the “south site” in the Ross Sea, were close to the detection limit (red symbols in Figure 4B), and why its relationship to estimated export is more variable than that of total volume or POC. The low explanatory power of Coulter counts for export likely had two reasons. First, Coulter counts are performed on discrete samples of very small volumes (only 0.5 ml per run × 6 runs = 3 ml per sample). In a heterogeneous environment small volume samples – by necessity – lead to high variability. Although POC measurements are also based on discrete samples collected with Niskin bottles, much larger volume (0.5–4 L depending on the depth) is used than for Coulter counts. The second, and probably more important factor, is that the size range between 3 and 10 µm in the ocean is dominated by microbes, and their abundance exponentially decreases with depth (Aristegui et al., 2009). In contrast, abundances of particles in the 100 µm to several millimeter size range either do not decrease with increasing depth, or decrease at much lower rates than the smaller size classes.

**Future Directions**

It is very promising that a metric as simple as total particle volume at depth is correlated to independent estimates of export based on upper-water carbon mass balance. However, total particle volume is very crude metric as it does not include particle size and morphology (Iversen et al., 2010; McDonnell and Buesseler, 2012). An important future step is the inclusion of classification of particles into sinking flux models. Smaller particles <0.1 mm can make a surprisingly high contribution to export either because of their high excess density or because of their transfer to deeper layers due to deep mixing (McDonnell and Buesseler, 2010; Giering et al., 2016). By using higher resolution images, it may also be possible to better distinguish between different particle types such as dense phytoplankton aggregates ballasted with silica shells of diatoms, marine snow buoyed by transparent polymers, highly compacted fecal pellets with high settling velocities, and carcasses of zooplankton. These classifications would likely improve particle flux estimates. Local calibrations of flux estimates of particle profiles using neutrally buoyant, free-floating gel traps (McDonnell and Buesseler, 2012) will further increase the accuracy of flux predictions. Once better classification-based calibrations are available, the high throughput of optically determined particle profilers routinely tethered to standard CTD-rosettes will allow for large-scale and frequent surveys.

**CONCLUSION**

This study shows a surprisingly robust correlation between export estimated from upper water column carbon mass balance calculations and image-based abundance of particles below the main pycncline. This tight relationship appeared despite different time scales (one is an integrated measure, and the other
a snapshot of the water column). Taking a particle census at mesopelagic depths instead of the surface also overcomes the limitations presented by a water column density structure that may not be in favor of carbon export.
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