

Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems®



RESEARCH ARTICLE

10.1029/2023GC011190

Key Points:

- Nitrogen isotope ratios of mixed-layer nitrate, zooplankton, and foraminifera in an Agulhas eddy are lower than in the southeast Atlantic
- Deeper-dwelling, symbiont-barren foraminifera record the nitrogen isotope ratio of thermocline nitrate
- Foraminifer-bound nitrogen isotopes in the Cape Basin sediment record could be used to reconstruct past variations in Agulhas leakage

Supporting Information:

Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

Correspondence to:

R. Granger and S. E. Fawcett,
robyn.granger@nioz.nl;
sarah.fawcett@uct.ac.za

Citation:

Granger, R., Smart, S. M., Foreman, A., Auderset, A., Campbell, E. C., Marshall, T. A., et al. (2024). Tracking Agulhas leakage in the South Atlantic using modern planktic foraminifera nitrogen isotopes. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 25, e2023GC011190. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2023GC011190>

Received 22 AUG 2023

Accepted 28 AUG 2024

Author Contributions:

Formal analysis: R. Granger

Funding acquisition: G. H. Haug, S. E. Fawcett

Investigation: R. Granger, S. M. Smart, A. Martínez-García, S. E. Fawcett

Project administration: A. Martínez-García, S. E. Fawcett

Writing – original draft: R. Granger

Writing – review & editing: R. Granger, S. M. Smart, A. Foreman, A. Auderset, E. C. Campbell, T. A. Marshall, D. M. Sigman, A. Martínez-García, S. E. Fawcett

© 2024 The Author(s). Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of American Geophysical Union. This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Tracking Agulhas Leakage in the South Atlantic Using Modern Planktic Foraminifera Nitrogen Isotopes

R. Granger^{1,2,3} , S. M. Smart^{1,4} , A. Foreman² , A. Auderset^{2,5} , E. C. Campbell^{6,7} , T. A. Marshall^{1,6} , G. H. Haug², D. M. Sigman⁶ , A. Martínez-García² , and S. E. Fawcett^{1,8}

¹Department of Oceanography, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa, ²Climate Geochemistry Department, Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany, ³Now at Department of Marine Microbiology and Biogeochemistry, NIOZ Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research, Yerseke, The Netherlands, ⁴Department of Geological Sciences, The University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL, USA, ⁵School of Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK, ⁶Department of Geosciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA, ⁷Now at School of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA, ⁸Marine and Antarctic Centre for Research and Innovation and Sustainability (MARIS), University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, South Africa

Abstract Seawater transported into the South Atlantic from the Indian Ocean via “Agulhas leakage” modulates global ocean circulation and has been linked to glacial-interglacial climate cycles. However, constraining past Agulhas leakage has been a challenge. We sampled a transect of the Cape Basin in winter 2017 that intersected a mature Agulhas eddy and found that the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratio ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of mixed-layer nitrate, zooplankton, and foraminifera (tissue and shells) was 2‰–3‰ lower in the eddy than in the background Atlantic even though the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the underlying thermocline nitrate was indistinguishable between the two settings. We suggest that the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of foraminifera and other zooplankton in the eddy reflects the original Agulhas Current thermocline nitrate, which is ~2‰ lower than that of the South Atlantic due to N₂ fixation that occurs in the Indian Ocean. Foraminifera $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ may have been lowered further during eddy migration by in situ N₂ fixation and/or recycling of low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ammonium. The absence of low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ Agulhas nitrate in the eddy thermocline can be explained by partial assimilation of the nitrate as it was mixed into the euphotic zone during and after eddy formation, raising its $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. The low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of eddy foraminifera, apparent even after several months of eddy migration across the Cape Basin, suggests that fossil foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from the region could record variations in past Agulhas leakage.

Plain Language Summary “Agulhas leakage,” the flow of seawater from the Indian to the Atlantic Ocean, is a key component of global ocean circulation and predominantly takes the form of eddies. Identifying past changes in leakage can provide insights into the relationship between Atlantic Ocean circulation and climate changes. Here, we investigated whether the ratio of the nitrogen isotopes, ¹⁵N and ¹⁴N, in organic matter preserved in the shells of fossil foraminifera could be used to investigate past Agulhas leakage. Thermocline (i.e., sub-surface) nitrate has a lower ¹⁵N-to-¹⁴N ratio in the Agulhas Current region, the source region for Agulhas leakage, than in the South Atlantic. To determine whether foraminifera inhabiting Agulhas leakage reflect this trend, we collected living specimens from inside and outside of a well-developed Agulhas eddy in the southeastern Atlantic. We found that the nitrogen in foraminifera biomass and shells is isotopically lower in the eddy than in the “background” southeastern Atlantic. This signal can be explained by the lower ¹⁵N-to-¹⁴N ratio of the original (Agulhas-sourced) nitrate, potentially augmented by internal nitrogen cycle processes occurring within the eddy. Our results strongly suggest that the nitrogen isotopes of fossil foraminifera could be used as an indicator of past variations in Agulhas leakage.

1. Introduction

The southeast Atlantic Ocean is important for global ocean-atmosphere dynamics because it is the site of heat and salt transport from the Indian to the Atlantic Ocean, a process that is fundamental to the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) (Figure 1; De Ruijter et al., 1999; Garzoli & Matano, 2011; Gordon, 1986; Rühls et al., 2013). Warm, saline upper Indian Ocean waters enter the South Atlantic via large anticyclonic eddies, jets, and filaments that flow into the Cape Basin (Ballegooyen et al., 1994; Duncombe Rae, 1991; Schouten et al., 2000); this “Agulhas leakage” is the only pathway by which Indian Ocean waters enter the Atlantic (Beal et al., 2011; De Ruijter et al., 1999; Gordon, 1986; Rühls et al., 2013). The spawning of Agulhas eddies occurs at




Figure 1. Cruise track followed by the R/V *S.A. Agulhas II* in July 2017 along the SAMBA line (dashed black transect). Contour lines show sea-surface height anomaly (SSHA) relative to the geoid (SSALTO/DUACS product distributed by AVISO via the Copernicus Marine Environment Monitoring Service (Lea et al., 2018; <http://marine.copernicus.eu>, 24 July 2017)), with positive and negative anomalies shown by the light green and purple dashed lines, respectively. The outer edge of Agulhas eddy E1, as defined in Section 2.4, is indicated by the thick black contour. Along the transect, the white circles represent stations sampled for nitrate concentration, nitrate isotopes, and particulate organic nitrogen, while red circles show stations where foraminifera and bulk zooplankton were additionally collected using net tows. The positions of stations sampled in 2015 along the same 34.5°S transect (Campbell, 2016; Marconi et al., 2017) are indicated by arrows and labeled C1–C5, noting that the background SSHA does not apply to these stations. The position of a station located in the Agulhas Current region in the southwest Indian Ocean (in the vicinity of the ASCA line) that was sampled in July 2016 is indicated by the yellow circle.

the Agulhas Retroflection where the Agulhas Current loops anticyclonically back on itself to form the eastward-flowing Agulhas Return Current (Beal et al., 2011; De Ruijter et al., 1999; Gordon et al., 1987; Lutjeharms & Valentine, 1984). Some Agulhas water escapes at the Retroflection to form mesoscale anticyclonic eddies (the largest termed “Agulhas rings”; Lutjeharms & Gordon, 1987), which then either dissipate in the Cape Basin or continue past the Walvis Ridge into the southwest Atlantic, occasionally even entering the North Atlantic (Arhan et al., 1999; Goni et al., 1997; Schouten et al., 2000).

Once in the Cape Basin, Agulhas eddies rapidly lose the warm temperatures of their (sub)tropical Indian Ocean source waters (Goni et al., 1997; Olson et al., 1992; Schmid et al., 2003; Schouten et al., 2000). They can nonetheless be identified by a characteristic positive sea-surface height anomaly (SSHA) associated with convergence at their centers, as well as by elevated salinity, which persists on advective timescales (Ballegooyen et al., 1994; Gordon & Huber, 1990; Schouten et al., 2000). The export of relatively saline waters from the Indian Ocean by Agulhas eddies contributes to the densification and subduction of surface waters in the North Atlantic (through the formation of North Atlantic Deep Water (NADW); Donners et al., 2005; Garzoli & Matano, 2011; Gordon et al., 1992), which leads to freshly oxygenated deep waters spreading southwards via the AMOC's lower limb (Ferreira & Kerr, 2017; Garzoli & Matano, 2011; Gordon, 1986; Rintoul, 1991; Wefer et al., 1996). It has been suggested that decreased Indo-Atlantic exchange during ice ages inhibited NADW production, weakening the AMOC, while a deglacial increase in Agulhas leakage may have helped to re-establish warmer interglacial conditions by strengthening the AMOC (Berger & Wefer, 2002; Knorr & Lohmann, 2003; Peeters et al., 2004; Weijer et al., 2002). Long-term variability in Agulhas leakage thus has global-scale climate implications (Beal et al., 2011; Schouten et al., 2000; Van Aken et al., 2003).

Past variations in Agulhas leakage have been reconstructed from the species composition of planktic foraminifera, single-celled zooplankton with calcite shells that can be preserved in seafloor sediments for millions of years (Bé & Hutson, 1977; Schiebel & Hemleben, 2005). This approach employs the sedimentary ratio of

(sub)tropical Indian Ocean species (termed “Agulhas leakage fauna”) to species better adapted to cooler South Atlantic conditions as an indicator of the strength of leakage (Caley et al., 2014; Lončarić, 2006; Peeters et al., 2004). The idea is that foraminifera originating in (sub)tropical Indian Ocean waters are transported via Agulhas eddies into the South Atlantic where they sink and accumulate on the seafloor. The stronger the Agulhas leakage, the more abundant the (sub)tropical foraminifera in the sediments relative to the temperate Atlantic species (Caley et al., 2011, 2012; Martínez-Méndez et al., 2010; Peeters et al., 2004).

Attempts to ground-truth this assemblage-based approach using modern foraminifera indicate that it works well for young Agulhas eddies (<9 months old) located near the Retroflexion (Caley et al., 2014; Peeters et al., 2004). However, foraminifer species collected from a mature Agulhas eddy (>10 months old) in the Cape Basin were found to be indistinguishable from the species sampled in South Atlantic waters surrounding the eddy (Lončarić, 2006). The implication of this finding is that although conditions within an Agulhas eddy may initially favor (sub)tropical foraminifera, changes in the eddy environment with time (e.g., rapid heat loss; Ballegooyen et al., 1994) prevent their sustained growth, allowing them to be succeeded by temperate Atlantic species (Lončarić, 2006). This pattern of succession will ultimately be communicated to the sediment record, with temperate foraminifera potentially overwhelming the Agulhas assemblage and, by extension, the evidence of leakage. There is thus a need for alternative proxies that better preserve the signal of Agulhas leakage, especially beyond the region of eddy formation.

The nitrogen (N) isotopes might record past changes in Agulhas leakage (Campbell, 2016). While both the South Atlantic and Indian Oceans rely on Subantarctic Mode Water (SAMW) as the ultimate source of their mixed-layer nitrate (Palter et al., 2010; Sarmiento et al., 2004), the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of nitrate in the subtropical Indian Ocean thermocline is substantially lower than that observed in the subtropical South Atlantic (<5.4‰ vs. ~6.8‰; Flynn et al., 2020; Marconi et al., 2017; Marshall et al., 2023; where $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (in ‰ vs. N_2 in air) = $[(^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N})_{\text{sample}} / (^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N})_{\text{air}} - 1] \times 1,000$). This difference is likely due to the occurrence of N_2 fixation in the southwest Indian Ocean at higher rates than in the subtropical South Atlantic (Harms et al., 2019; Marshall et al., 2023; Moore et al., 2009). N_2 fixation introduces bioavailable N to the upper water column that is low in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (−2‰ to 0‰; Carpenter et al., 1997; Hoering & Ford, 1960; Minagawa & Wada, 1986), ultimately causing the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of thermocline nitrate to decline relative to the underlying source waters (Knapp et al., 2008). In other ocean regions that host significant rates of N_2 fixation, such as the (sub)tropical North Atlantic, the low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of thermocline nitrate is passed on to phytoplankton (Fawcett et al., 2011, 2014; Van Oostende et al., 2017) and zooplankton (Loick-Wilde et al., 2016; Somes et al., 2010), including foraminifera (Ren et al., 2012; Smart et al., 2018). We thus expect the organic matter produced from the consumption of Agulhas nitrate (including Agulhas eddies), as well as the foraminifera reliant on this organic matter, to be lower in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than that from the Cape Basin.

To this point, most paleoceanographic N isotope studies have relied on measurements of the N in bulk sediments, based on a demonstrated correlation between the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of sinking particulate organic N (PON) and that of bulk sediments in some regions (Altabet & Francois, 1994; Tesdal et al., 2013). However, there can be diagenetic change in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of bulk sediment (Altabet & Francois, 1994; Holmes et al., 2002; Möbius et al., 2010; Robinson et al., 2012), and this appears to vary with the degree of organic matter preservation in sediments (e.g., compare Altabet & Francois, 1994 with Thunell et al., 2004). Exogenous inputs of N, for example, from the continental shelves, can also be significant (e.g., Meckler et al., 2011; Schubert & Calvert, 2001).

To overcome concerns regarding diagenesis and exogenous N inputs, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of organic matter bound in marine microfossils has been used as a proxy for the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of organic N generated in surface waters, with the recent development of planktonic foraminifera shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (foraminifera-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) for application to low to mid-latitude open ocean sediments (Ren et al., 2009, 2012). During chamber formation, foraminifera precipitate calcite onto an organic sheet containing N-rich amino acids (Bé et al., 1977; Hemleben et al., 1977; K. King & Hare, 1972; Spero, 1988). This material ends up encased within the foraminifer calcite matrix (Bé et al., 1979; Hemleben et al., 1985) where its composition appears largely protected from alteration (Martínez-García et al., 2022), including during sinking and burial in the sediments (K. King & Hare, 1972; Ren et al., 2009, 2012; Robbins & Brew, 1990; Smart et al., 2018) where it can be preserved for millions of years (Auderset et al., 2022; Kast et al., 2019; Moretti et al., 2024).

In the case of low and mid-latitude sediment records, the main goal is to reconstruct the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the subsurface nitrate supply to the euphotic zone, as this subsurface nitrate pool records many of the signals of interest. The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the organic matter sinking out of surface waters must, by mass balance, be similar to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the nitrate

supply (Altabet & Francois, 1994). However, due to upper ocean N recycling, any sub-pool of the euphotic zone N inventory or of N export may well be offset from the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the nitrate supply (Altabet, 1988; Fawcett et al., 2011). Recent comparisons of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of foraminifer tissue (i.e., the non-calcified biomass of living foraminifera; FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) and shells have revealed a near 1:1 relationship and minimal offset between the two in the mixed layer (Ren et al., 2012; Smart et al., 2018, 2020). Additionally, foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in sinking shells appears to change only slightly between the mixed layer and twilight zone, and then remains constant until foraminifera are incorporated into the sediments (Smart et al., 2018). In low-latitude regions where surface-water nitrate is always low, there is a strong link between foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of thermocline nitrate supplied to the surface annually (Ren et al., 2009, 2012; Smart et al., 2018). By contrast, in the high-latitudes where phytoplankton do not fully consume surface nitrate, foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is expected to reflect the extent of nitrate drawdown (Martínez-García et al., 2014; Ren et al., 2015). This is because isotope fractionation during nitrate assimilation causes the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of nitrate (and phytoplankton biomass, part of the foraminifer's diet) to rise as the nitrate concentration declines (Altabet & Francois, 1994; Sigman et al., 1999); as such, more complete nitrate consumption should increase foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. However, a recent ground-truthing study in the Southern Ocean water column showed that on a seasonal basis, the modern FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was more strongly linked to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of PON than to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of nitrate at the time of sample collection (Smart et al., 2020). In addition, because the lifespan of most species is much shorter than a year, foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ can also reflect changes in upper ocean N cycling (Smart et al., 2018, 2020). Thus, variations in fossil foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ may depend on the species analyzed (Ren et al., 2015).

On an annual basis, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of symbiont-bearing foraminifera (shells and tissue) in the low-latitude ocean (e.g., in the Sargasso and South China Seas) has been shown to record the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of shallow thermocline nitrate almost without offset, while the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of foraminifera without symbionts is generally 1‰–2‰ higher than that of the source nitrate (Ren et al., 2009, 2012; Smart et al., 2018). Given the demonstrated sensitivity of low-latitude foraminifer $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to thermocline nitrate (Ren et al., 2009, 2012; Schiebel et al., 2018), we propose that foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ provides a potential proxy for monitoring Agulhas leakage into the South Atlantic. Here, we investigate the potential utility of foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ as a proxy for Agulhas leakage and lay the groundwork for its application to paleoceanographic records from the Cape Basin. We present measurements of nitrate isotope ratios for samples collected in 2015 and 2017 along a transect extending from the west coast of South Africa, across the Cape Basin, and into the South Atlantic subtropical gyre that intersected Agulhas eddies. For the 2017 sampling, we also measured the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of various forms of PON and of the tissue and shells of living planktic foraminifera captured in shallow net tows, both within an Agulhas eddy and in the “background” (i.e., non-eddy) South Atlantic. We compare our data to new nitrate isotope measurements from the Agulhas Current (Marshall et al., 2023) and confirm that Agulhas thermocline nitrate is low in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compared to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of nitrate in SAMW and the Cape Basin thermocline. Our results show that foraminifera living in Agulhas eddies have a unique and persistent $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signature, which we propose could be leveraged to reconstruct past Agulhas leakage.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Shipboard Sampling

The South AMOC Basin-wide Array (SAMBA) is a zonal transect of moorings along 34.5°S (Morris et al., 2017). In 2017, we sampled 21 conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) hydrocast stations along the eastern sub-array of SAMBA (i.e., east of 0°E) for a variety of physical, chemical, and biological parameters (Figure 1). We supplement this data set with hydrographic, nutrient, and nitrate isotope measurements from five hydrocasts conducted along the same array in 2015 (Campbell, 2016; Marconi et al., 2017; C1–C5 in Figure 1). In both years, sampling occurred during austral winter (July) aboard the R/V *S.A. Agulhas II*, with water-column samples collected using Niskin bottles attached to a rosette equipped with Sea-Bird CTD, oxygen, and fluorescence sensors that assisted in targeting specific features (e.g., the mixed layer depth (MLD) and depth of maximum fluorescence (F-max)). In 2017, the cruise detoured south (to 35.5°S) between 11.2°E and 14.3°E to sample an anticyclonic Agulhas eddy (hereafter referred to as E1) that was tracked prior to and during the cruise using satellite altimetry (see Section 2.4).

In July 2016, additional samples were collected for nitrate concentrations and isotopes aboard the R/V *S.A. Agulhas II* along the Agulhas System Climate Array (ASCA) transect in the southwest Indian Ocean that extends 300 km offshore of South Africa across the Agulhas Current (Marshall et al., 2023; Morris et al., 2017). From




Figure 2. Section plots from the 2017 cruise showing (a) nitrate concentration and (c) salinity overlaid with isopycnals (kg m^{-3} ; dotted black contour lines). Subantarctic Mode Water (SAMW) and South Atlantic Subtropical Mode Water (SASTMW) are defined by density (Donners et al., 2005). The approximate mixed layer depth is indicated by the dashed white line. Sampling station numbers are labeled on the bottom x-axis, and the location of Agulhas eddy E1 is shown by the dashed black polygon (labeled E1). Panels (b) and (d) show the vertical profiles of nitrate and salinity for the SAMBA stations sampled in 2015, with the colors indicating whether those stations were located in background Atlantic- (blue) or Agulhas eddy (green) waters at the time of sampling.

these samples, a representative station (35.52°S ; 28.77°E) located just offshore of the current core was selected for comparison with the SAMBA stations (yellow circle in Figure 1). Samples were processed as described for the SAMBA collections, with measurements from the entire ASCA transect detailed in Marshall et al. (2023).

For both the 2015 and 2017 SAMBA data sets, MLD was calculated as the depth at which potential density exceeded the value at 25 m by 0.05 kg m^{-3} . These derived MLDs closely tracked the $26.1\text{--}26.2 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$ isopycnals that denote the top of the thermocline and yielded upper layers over which the nitrate concentrations were near-homogeneous (Figures 2a and 2b).

All CTD stations were sampled for seawater nitrate + nitrite and nitrite concentrations, with five and 19 stations also sampled for nitrate isotopes in 2015 and 2017, respectively. Seawater was collected in well-rinsed 50 mL polypropylene tubes for nutrients and high-density polyethylene bottles for nitrate isotopes, with the latter immediately frozen at -20°C . The nitrate + nitrite concentrations were measured shipboard using a Lachat QuickChem flow injection autoanalysis platform (Diamond, 1994; Grasshoff, 1976) in a configuration with a detection limit of $0.1 \mu\text{M}$. Nitrite concentrations were determined manually via the colorimetric method of Strickland and Parsons (1968) using a Thermo Scientific Genesys 30 visible spectrometer. Certified reference materials (KANSO; lots CG, CH, and CE) were included in each nitrate + nitrite and nitrite run to ensure measurement accuracy. Nitrate-only concentrations were calculated by subtraction.

In 2017, four to six bulk suspended PON samples were collected over the mixed layer (0–175 m) at all stations. We targeted the surface ($<10 \text{ m}$), the F-max, and two to four additional depths including the approximate MLD.

At each depth, 4 L of seawater were filtered through pre-combusted (450°C for 8 hr) 0.3 μm glass fiber filters (GF-75; Sterlitech) that were then stored frozen in pre-combusted foil at -80°C until processing.

Living planktic foraminifera were collected at nine stations (Figure 1, red circles) using a double 1 m^2 250 μm -mesh plankton net, towed obliquely over the upper 200 m at 0.1–0.6 knots for ~ 40 min. On deck, around 90% of each collection was preserved using 5%–10% pH-buffered formalin and refrigerated at 4°C until processing, following the protocol of Smart et al. (2020) (modified from Ren et al., 2012). The remaining 10% was size-fractionated on board for later analysis of bulk zooplankton $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ by sieving the material in series through nylon mesh sieves of 5,000, 2,000, 1,000, 500, 250, and 150 μm . The contents of each sieve were transferred to pre-combusted 0.7 μm glass fiber filters (GF/F; Whatman) and frozen at -20°C until processing.

2.2. Foraminifera and Bulk Zooplankton Sample Preparation

Sample preparation took place in the Marine Biogeochemistry Lab at the University of Cape Town (UCT-MBL), South Africa, and the Max Planck Institute for Chemistry (MPIC) in Mainz, Germany. Foraminifera were picked according to the methods outlined in Ren et al. (2012) and Smart et al. (2020). Briefly, formalin-preserved material was passed through a 1,000 μm -mesh sieve to remove large zooplankton, then rinsed several times with deionized water. A density separation was subsequently performed using a 200 g L^{-1} NaCl solution before the foraminifer-containing material was rinsed again with deionized water and transferred to clean plastic petri dishes, and the liquid was allowed to evaporate under a fume hood.

For tissue measurements, between three and 14 specimens of each species were picked, photographed (Olympus UC90 camera), and transferred to weighed, pre-combusted (500°C for 5 hr) 4 mL Wheaton vials. Picked foraminifera were rinsed with Milli-Q water under an Olympus incident light microscope using a pipette to remove residual nitrate and formalin (Ren et al., 2012; Smart et al., 2020), then the remaining liquid was removed, and the samples were dried in a desiccator overnight. Specimens were weighed (Mettler Toledo XP6U comparator 7-digit microbalance), crushed inside the vial with an ethanol-cleaned spatula, and transferred to a -20°C freezer until oxidation. Shell samples were treated in the same way, but using between 15 and 100 specimens per vial.

Persulfate oxidizing reagent (POR; 1 mL) was added to each tissue (1 g of four-times recrystallized potassium persulfate combined with 0.7 g NaOH and dissolved in 100 mL Milli-Q water) or shell sample (1.5 g potassium persulfate and 1.5 g NaOH dissolved in 100 mL Milli-Q water) to convert the external (tissue) organic N to nitrate, facilitated by autoclaving for 65 min at 120°C on a slow vent setting (Knapp et al., 2005; Nydahl, 1978). Samples were prepared in triplicate in different oxidation batches (with the exception of rare species). POR blanks and a dilution series of the amino acid standards, USGS-40 and USGS-41 (Qi et al., 2003), were included in all batches and used to quantify the magnitude and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the POR-associated N blank and ensure complete oxidation (standards). The dilution series bracketed the expected range of foraminifer N content (5–50 nmols). After autoclaving, tissue samples were pH-adjusted to 5–7 using 4 N Optima grade HCl. For shell samples, the tissue-derived nitrate was removed, the samples were rinsed 4 times with Milli-Q water, and the remaining calcite was left to dry overnight at 40°C (Ren et al., 2009). Samples were then transferred to clean Wheaton vials to which 50 μL of 4 N HCl was added to release the calcite-bound organic N into solution. This biomineral-derived organic N was oxidized to nitrate via the addition of POR (in this case, 0.7 g of potassium persulfate and 4 mL of 6.25 M NaOH in 96 mL Milli-Q), after which sample pH was adjusted to 5–7.

Size-fractionated zooplankton samples were prepared for N isotope analysis by freeze-drying at -80°C using a Scanvac Coolsafe. Where possible, the dried material was gently scraped from the GF/F into a tin cup and weighed. Between 0.125 and 0.750 mg of sample was analyzed. Where scraping was not possible due to small particles adhering to the GF/F, filter quarters were folded into tin cups and measured separately alongside blank pre-combusted filters. The bulk PON samples were prepared and analyzed in the same way as the zooplankton filter quarters.

2.3. Particulate and Nitrate Isotope Analysis

The concentration of nitrate resulting from the oxidation of foraminifer tissue and shells was measured by chemiluminescence (Braman & Hendrix, 1989; Ren et al., 2012). 10 nmol N (for tissue runs) or 5 nmol N (for shell runs) of nitrate was then quantitatively converted to N_2O gas via the denitrifier method (Sigman et al., 2001) and the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the N_2O was measured by gas chromatography-isotope ratio mass spectrometry at MPIC using a

Thermo MAT253 with custom-built N₂O extraction and purification system (Weigand et al., 2016). N₂O isotope measurements were calibrated to N₂ in air using the nitrate reference materials, USGS-34 and IAEA-NO₃ (Böhlke et al., 2003; Gonfiantini et al., 1993). The pooled standard deviation for USGS-34 was 0.09‰ ($n = 71$ samples, $n = 7$ runs) and 0.06‰ ($n = 24$, runs = 3) for tissue and shell runs, respectively, while the pooled standard deviation for IAEA-NO₃ was 0.15‰ ($n = 71$ samples, $n = 7$ runs) and 0.01‰ ($n = 23$, runs = 3) for the tissue and shell runs. Amino acid standards USGS-40 and USGS-41 had pooled standard deviations of 0.05 and 0.15‰ ($n = 5$ runs), respectively, for tissue runs and 0.20‰ and 0.24‰ ($n = 3$ runs) for shell runs. Measurements from each batch run were corrected for the POR blank (which on average accounted for 0.7% of the N in the foraminifer tissue samples and 10.7% of the N in the shell samples). The pooled standard deviation for cleaning-and-oxidation replicates of the same sample (same species and tow) was 0.03‰ for the tissue samples ($n = 188$). The N content of the shell samples was not high enough to run replicates. The average $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the blanks was $-2.2\text{‰} \pm 3.2\text{‰}$.

Seawater samples underwent nitrite removal via sulfamic acid addition (J. Granger & Sigman, 2009) prior to N and oxygen (O) isotope analysis since even very low concentrations of nitrite can significantly affect the measured $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of nitrate + nitrite (where $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (in ‰ vs. Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water) = $[(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O})_{\text{sample}}/(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O})_{\text{VSMOW}} - 1] \cdot 1,000$) (Casciotti & McIlvin, 2007; Fawcett et al., 2015). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of nitrate ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$) were subsequently determined using the denitrifier-IRMS method (Casciotti et al., 2002; Sigman et al., 2001; Weigand et al., 2016). The pooled standard deviations for replicate measurements ($n \geq 2$) were 0.05‰ and 0.18‰ ($n = 245$) for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$, respectively.

The nitrate isotope data were used to calculate $\Delta(15-18)$, which equals $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3} - \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (Rafter et al., 2013; Sigman et al., 2005). Because the ratio of the N and O isotope effects expressed during phytoplankton nitrate assimilation is approximately 1:1 (J. Granger et al., 2004, 2010), assimilation does not alter nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$. By contrast, processes that produce nitrate have disparate effects on its $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$, and thus change $\Delta(15-18)$. This difference occurs because the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of nitrate produced by subsurface nitrification depends on the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the organic matter and ammonium being remineralized and nitrified, while the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of newly nitrified nitrate is set by the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of seawater (plus an isotopic offset of $\sim 1.1\text{‰}$; Boshers et al., 2019; Buchwald & Casciotti, 2010; Sigman et al., 2005, 2009). As such, nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$ can be used to disentangle overlapping N cycle processes that cannot be diagnosed from measurements of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ or $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ alone. For example, N₂ fixation introduces nitrate to the subsurface that is lower in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than deep-ocean nitrate, thus causing nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$ to decrease (e.g., Knapp et al., 2008; Marshall et al., 2023). Similarly, co-occurring partial nitrate assimilation and nitrification (e.g., at the base of the mixed layer), which has no net effect on $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ but causes $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ to rise (because the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of newly nitrified nitrate is higher than the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of the nitrate removed by phytoplankton), drives a decline in nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$ (Deman et al., 2021; Fawcett et al., 2015; Marshall et al., 2023; Rafter et al., 2013; Sigman et al., 2005, 2009; Wankel et al., 2007).

The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of bulk PON and size-fractionated zooplankton were measured in the Stable Light Isotope Laboratory at UCT using a Delta V Plus IRMS coupled to a Flash 2000 elemental analyzer. In-house standards calibrated against IAEA reference materials were run after every 5–8 samples and used to reference the measurements to atmospheric N₂. The detection limit for N was 1 μg and precision was $<0.2\text{‰}$. On average, the filter blanks contributed 1.4% to the bulk zooplankton N concentration and 6.9% to the bulk PON samples. The pooled standard deviation for replicate sample analyses ($n = 15$) was 0.18‰.

2.4. Satellite Imagery and Model Products

Satellite altimetry was used alongside ship-board hydrographic and acoustic Doppler current profiler (ADCP) data to track an Agulhas eddy before and during the SAMBA 2017 cruise. A large asymmetrical eddy (E1) formed in December 2016 and was evident at the time of sampling as a closed-contour, positive SSHA $> +7$ cm (CMEMS, Figure 1). E1 was characterized by high sea-surface salinity ($>35.5 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$), depressed isopycnals (Figure 2c), and anticyclonic rotation (apparent in the ADCP data; Wallschuss et al., 2022). For the 2015 cruise, Agulhas eddies were identified post-cruise from locally elevated water temperatures (by as much as 4.7°C at 250 m; Campbell, 2016), anticyclonic rotation (via ADCP), high mixed-layer salinity (Figure 2d), and the depression of isopycnals in the upper water column. Two additional eddies (E2, $7.5\text{--}8.3^\circ\text{E}$ and E3, $3.6\text{--}3.7^\circ\text{E}$) were identified by Wallschuss et al. (2022) along the 2017 transect. Our stations 12, 13, 13R, 15, and 16 were located with these features (Figure 1; where “R” indicates “repeat” since station 13 was sampled on both the

outbound and inbound legs of the cruise). However, we classify only station 12 (8.3°E) as an eddy station and refer to stations 13, 13R, 15, and 16 as “mixed.” This decision is supported by (a) reduced rotation at the mixed stations due to their location at the eddy edges, and recognizing that the physical and biological properties at eddy edges can reflect either the eddy (e.g., station 3) or the background Atlantic (e.g., station 10); and (b) the low sampling resolution within E2 and E3, resulting in these features being poorly defined (a limitation also noted by Wallschuss et al., 2022).

The CMEMS product, Global_Forecast_Bio_001_028, was used to visualize the seasonal cycle of surface (0.5 m) nitrate concentrations at four locations along 34.5°S over four years, encompassing the two cruises (2014–2018 at 0.25°E, 7.5°E, 10°E, and 13°E). This product uses the output from the PISCES model (Aumont et al., 2015), which simulates the daily cycles of carbon and nutrients. The surface nitrate concentration is resolved at 0.25° horizontal resolution.

3. Results

3.1. Hydrography and Identification of Eddy Stations

Eddy stations were distinguished from the background Atlantic using a combination of altimetry, density, and salinity data, along with the derived MLDs. Agulhas eddies are characterized by anticyclonic rotation, positive SSHAs, and deep, low-density, high-salinity mixed layers (Dufois et al., 2016; Moutin & Prieur, 2012; Schouten et al., 2000; Van Aken et al., 2003). In 2017, stations 4 to 9 were located within E1, with the stations on either side representing the eddy edges (Figures 1 and 2). The most positive SSHA (+38 cm) was observed at station 7 (13.1°E), which we take to represent the core of E1. As expected, the E1 mixed layer was more saline than at the surrounding Atlantic stations (e.g., 35.55 g kg⁻¹ at station 5 vs. 35.45 g kg⁻¹ at station 11; Figure 2c).

Satellite imagery indicated the presence in 2017 of an additional eddy-like feature just north of our transect, with station 12 located at its southern edge (Figure 1). The high-salinity, low-density, deep mixed layer (277 m; Figure 2) at station 12 implicates Agulhas leakage, leading us to classify it as an eddy station. Agulhas leakage was less apparent at the neighboring station 13 (and 13R). Here, the isopycnals shoaled rapidly, leading to shallower MLDs (158 and 211 m). We classify stations 13 and 13R as mixed and as such, do not include them (or the E1 edge stations 3 and 10) in our comparisons of Atlantic and eddy seawater characteristics.

At the trailing (i.e., eastern) edge of E1, a dipole effect was evident, with the cyclonic circulation of a non-Agulhas eddy to the east creating a steep gradient in SSHA (approximately 50 cm over 100 km between stations 2 and 4; Figure 1). The interaction of E1 with the cyclone altered the physical and chemical characteristics of the water column, evinced by a sudden shoaling of the mixed layer (to 67 m) and nitracline at stations 3 to 5 compared to the stations in the center of E1 (Figure 2b).

The average MLD in E1 was significantly greater than the average Atlantic MLD in 2017 (MLD = 216 ± 37 m ($n = 5$; stations 5 to 9) and 106 ± 40 m ($n = 8$; stations 2, 11, 14 to 19), respectively; Welch's t -test $p < 0.001$). Eddy station 4 was excluded from this analysis due to its much shallower mixed layer (67 m) resulting from isopycnal shoaling during the interaction of the trailing edge of E1 with the cyclone to its east. The same trend of deeper in-eddy mixed layers was evident in the 2015 data set, with an average MLD of 187 ± 32 m ($n = 2$) for the eddy stations (C3 and C5) and 139 ± 16 m ($n = 3$) for the Atlantic stations (C1, C2, and C4; Figures 2b and 2d).

Using potential density, we identified several water masses in the upper 1,000 m of the 2015 and 2017 transects. SAMW was evident between 26.6 and 27.0 kg m⁻³ (~350–750 m) and was overlaid by South Atlantic Subtropical Mode Water (SASTMW; 26.2–26.6 kg m⁻³; ~200–350 m), which is formed through the modification of SAMW by mixing with less dense surface waters (Donners et al., 2005). Surface waters overlying SASTMW had a potential density of 25.9–26.2 kg m⁻³, with the least dense waters encountered at the westernmost edge of the transect (i.e., station 19 in the subtropical gyre), as well as at mid-transect stations 12, 13, and 13R.

3.2. Seawater Nitrate Concentrations and Isotopes

Mixed-layer nitrate concentrations were similar for the 2015 and 2017 transects (<4.1 μM in 2017 and <3.1 μM in 2015; Figures 2a and 2b), with a consistent east-to-west decrease of ~0.2 μM per degree of longitude (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). In E1, mixed-layer nitrate was on average 1.2 μM higher than in the background Atlantic. In 2015, the eddies were encountered further west along the transect, and eddy mixed-layer nitrate was




Figure 3. Surface (0.5 m) nitrate concentrations from the CMEMS product at four locations along the SAMBA transect (34.5°S; 0.25°E (dark blue), 7.5°E (dark green), 10°E (light blue), 13°E (light green)) between January 2014 and January 2018. The thin lines show monthly reanalysis data and the thick lines show smoothed data (moving average = 2 weeks) (<http://marine.copernicus.eu/documents/QUID/CMEMS-GLO-QUID-001-028.pdf>). Colored circles indicate the surface nitrate concentrations measured at the corresponding locations during the 2015 and 2017 cruises.

on average 0.7 μM lower than in the Atlantic. Below the mixed layer, the nitrate concentration of SASTMW in 2017 was fairly uniform, averaging $8.6 \pm 2.3 \mu\text{M}$ ($n = 12$) for the Atlantic stations and $8.5 \pm 1.9 \mu\text{M}$ ($n = 14$) in E1; these concentrations are consistent with previous measurements of SASTMW in the southeast Atlantic ($8.7 \pm 2.6 \mu\text{M}$; Flynn et al., 2020). The nitrate concentration of the underlying SAMW ranged from 11.3 to 23.6 μM (transect average of $16.7 \pm 3.7 \mu\text{M}$, $n = 27$), with no significant difference between the Atlantic stations and E1. The nitrate concentrations determined in 2015 for these water masses were similar; $9.2 \pm 6.6 \mu\text{M}$ ($n = 17$) for SASTMW and $20.2 \pm 5.6 \mu\text{M}$ ($n = 13$) for SAMW.

The surface (0.5 m) nitrate data from CMEMS agree well with our measured concentrations (Figure 3). The model time-series shows that our sampling took place during the nitrate resupply period, which begins in late autumn (April/May). Surface nitrate concentrations peak in spring (September), reaching 4–5 μM at 13°E, 2–4 μM at 10°E, 1–3 μM at 7.5°E, and 1–2 μM at 0.25°E, and nitrate is almost completely exhausted by late summer (March).

Comparing the nitrate concentration data from 2015 to 2017 with the model output suggests that both samplings captured typical winter conditions in the southeast Atlantic, and that the two years can be analyzed as a single, combined data set. Thus, unless otherwise stated, further discussion of water masses and seawater nitrate isotopes refers to a composite of the 2015 and 2017 data sets.

Across the transect, the mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ for SAMW was $6.5\text{‰} \pm 0.2\text{‰}$ and $3.4\text{‰} \pm 0.4\text{‰}$ ($n = 58$), respectively, while the mean SASTMW $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ was $6.9 \pm 0.4\text{‰}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ was $3.8\text{‰} \pm 0.6\text{‰}$ ($n = 51$, Figure 4). Above SASTMW, we observe a clear difference between eddy and Atlantic $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, but not $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (Figures 2, 4b, and 4c). While $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ increased from the thermocline (i.e., SASTMW) into the surface (<20 m) at all stations, the magnitude of the increase was smaller at the eddy stations (average surface $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of $8.6\text{‰} \pm 0.5\text{‰}$ and $10.3\text{‰} \pm 0.8\text{‰}$ for the eddy- ($n = 19$) and Atlantic stations ($n = 40$), respectively). Similarly, the concentration-weighted average mixed-layer $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ for the eddy stations (calculated using 1 m gridded values) was $7.9 \pm 0.7\text{‰}$ (i.e., 1.0‰ higher than mean SASTMW nitrate), while in the Atlantic mixed layer, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ averaged $9.6\text{‰} \pm 1.2\text{‰}$ (i.e., 2.7‰ higher than mean SASTMW nitrate). In addition, some of the E1 profiles showed a negative $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ deviation at the top of the thermocline (from 6.9‰ in SASTMW to as low as 6‰ at 230–250 m) that was not apparent at the Atlantic stations (nor in the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ data). Like the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, the




Figure 4. Depth profiles (0–400 m) of (a) nitrate concentration, (b) $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, and (c) $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ for the SAMBA line stations sampled in 2015 and 2017, colored by station type (blue = background Atlantic, green = Agulhas eddy). Triangles represent nitrate measurements from 2015, while square symbols represent measurements from 2017. Also shown are data from a representative station located in the Agulhas Current region (dashed black line; Marshall et al., 2023). Error bars show ± 1 standard deviation of duplicate measurements.




Figure 5. Average nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$, i.e., $(\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3} - \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3})$, at the Atlantic and eddy stations, gridded at 1 m intervals. Shaded areas indicate ± 1 standard deviation of the combined 2015 and 2017 data set. The black dashed line shows the nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$ for the Agulhas Current station included in Figure 4 (Marshall et al., 2023).

$\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ also increased from the thermocline into the mixed layer, but by similar amounts at the eddy- and Atlantic stations; averaged over the mixed layer, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ was elevated relative to the thermocline by $2.3\text{‰} \pm 1.3\text{‰}$ at the eddy stations and $2.8\text{‰} \pm 2.1\text{‰}$ at the Atlantic stations.

The difference between the thermocline-to-mixed-layer changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ in the eddy versus background Atlantic is highlighted by the nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$ profiles, where the influence of nitrate assimilation on $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ is effectively removed (Figure 5; Rafter et al., 2013). Below the thermocline, all the seawater nitrate profiles converged on a $\Delta(15-18)$ of 3.2‰ . At the eddy stations, $\Delta(15-18)$ then decreased through the thermocline and into the surface (average mixed-layer $\Delta(15-18)$ of $1.8 \pm 1.2\text{‰}$) whereas at the Atlantic stations, $\Delta(15-18)$ remained roughly constant between the thermocline and the surface (average mixed-layer $\Delta(15-18)$ of $3.0 \pm 1.4\text{‰}$).

At the Agulhas (i.e., ASCA) station, the mixed layer had a density of 25.4 kg m^{-3} , which was low compared to the Cape Basin mixed layer, and a nitrate concentration of $1.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$, which was similar (Figure 4a). While SAMW ($26.6\text{--}27.0 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$), with a core $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of $6.9\text{‰} \pm 0.2\text{‰}$ and $3.5\text{‰} \pm 0.2\text{‰}$, respectively ($\Delta(15-18)$ of $3.4\text{‰} \pm 0.2\text{‰}$; Marshall et al., 2023), also underlies the Agulhas Current thermocline, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ decreased strongly into the thermocline (reaching a minimum of 4.9‰ at 250 m, Figure 4b) and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ decreased slightly (to a minimum of

2.2‰ at 250 m; Figure 4c). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ subsequently increased into the mixed layer by 2.4 and 4.3‰ , respectively (Figure 5), similar to the increases observed in E1 (although offset to lower values, particularly for $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$). As such, mixed-layer $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ in the Agulhas profile (concentration-weighted average of $8.2 \pm 0.8\text{‰}$) was similar to that measured in the Cape Basin eddies and lower than in the Atlantic mixed layer (by 1.4‰), while its $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (concentration-weighted mixed-layer average of 7.2‰) overlapped with the lower end of the mixed-layer values measured across the Cape Basin. The averaged mixed-layer $\Delta(15-18)$ for the Agulhas profile was $1.0\text{‰} \pm 0.3\text{‰}$, which is 0.8‰ and 2.0‰ lower than at the eddy and Atlantic stations, respectively.

3.3. Foraminifera and Particulate Organic N Isotopes

In our analysis of the foraminifera (tissue and shell) and particulate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ data, we divide the stations into two groups, “eddy” and “Atlantic.” We include the mid-transect “mixed” station 13 in the Atlantic group since, although it showed some properties consistent with an eddy-influenced environment (e.g., high salinity and positive SSHA), it was not enclosed by anticyclonic flow (Figure 1). We also classify the leading edge of E1 (station 10) as Atlantic, as the upward-sloping isopycnals led to Atlantic waters lying just below the surface ($<50 \text{ m}$) even as the surface waters showed some Agulhas influence (Figures 2a and 2c). The trailing edge of E1 (station 3) is considered part of the eddy group given its proximity to the eddy center (where retention of the eddy source waters is typically strongest; Wang et al., 2018) compared to the stations at the leading edge. We note that excluding these three stations (3, 10, and 13) from our analysis does not significantly alter the results. Standard deviations reported for foraminifera- and particulate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ reflect variability among sampled specimens (typically from averaging across multiple stations) rather than analytical error, as the former is nearly always greater than the latter.

3.3.1. Foraminifer Abundance and Size

Three dominant foraminifer species were present at all stations sampled in 2017; combined, the deeper-dwelling species, *Globorotalia inflata*, *Globorotalia truncatulinoides*, and *Globorotalia hirsuta* accounted for between 73% and 100% of the total foraminifera at each station (Figure 6). Atlantic stations 17 (2.6°E) and 14 (5.1°E) were characterized by the highest relative abundances of spinose shallower-dwellers (*Globigerina bulloides*, *Orbulina universa*, and *Globigerina falconensis*) at 26.5% and 18.5% of the total foraminifera. In contrast, stations 13




Figure 6. Relative abundance of different species of foraminifera captured in the net tows across the transect. Numbers in parentheses indicate station numbers. Station 10 at the leading edge of E1 is assigned to the Atlantic group while station 3 at the trailing edge is grouped with the eddy stations (see text for details).

(7.5°E) and 9 (11.8°E) consisted almost entirely of *G. inflata*, *G. hirsuta*, and *G. truncatulinoides*. We observed none of the typical subtropical species previously recorded in this region (e.g., *Globigerinoides ruber*, *Trilobus sacculifer*, *Globorotalia menardii*; Bergh & Compton, 2020; Kemle-von Mücke & Oberhänsli, 1999; Lončarić, 2006; Schiebel & Hemleben, 2017) apart from a single *G. ruber* specimen at station 14.

Although the three deeper-dwelling species dominated the foraminiferal assemblage across the transect, their abundances relative to each other varied, with the *G. inflata* and *G. truncatulinoides* proportions varying inversely ($r^2 = 0.89$; $p < 0.01$). *Globorotalia truncatulinoides* dominated the western section of the transect (e.g., 63% of the total assemblage at station 17 where *G. inflata* comprised just 7%), while *G. inflata* dominated in the east (e.g., 80% at station 5 where *G. truncatulinoides* contributed only 7%). The contribution from *G. hirsuta* across the transect was smaller (3%–28%; lowest in the Atlantic and highest at the leading edge of E1) and did not show a relationship with either the *G. inflata* or *G. truncatulinoides* abundances. Most other species (*O. universa*, *Globigerinella calida*, *Globigerinella siphonifera*, and *G. bulloides*) were present in low numbers at several stations across the transect. *Globigerina falconensis* was only present at two Atlantic stations (13 and 14), as was *Globigerinita glutinata* (stations 14 and 17).

G. truncatulinoides and *G. hirsuta* were the two largest species sampled across the transect (longest dimensions averaging 614 ± 138 and 614 ± 113 μm , respectively), followed by *G. inflata* (507 ± 78 μm). On average, all three of these species were larger at the Atlantic stations than in E1, although the differences were not statistically significant. The opposite trend was observed for the euphotic-dwelling *G. bulloides* and *O. universa*, which were on average slightly larger in E1 than in the Atlantic, although specimen numbers were too low to establish significance.

3.3.2. Foraminifer Tissue Nitrogen Isotopes (FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$)

For the eddy group, the overall FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (i.e., combining data from all species) ranged from 1.7‰ to 6.1‰, with a mean of $4.4\text{‰} \pm 1.2\text{‰}$ ($n = 45$) (Figure 7a). FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was significantly higher ($p < 0.01$) at the Atlantic stations (5.7‰–8.0‰, mean of $6.8\text{‰} \pm 0.6\text{‰}$ ($n = 63$)) and less variable (range of 2.3‰ in the Atlantic, vs. 4.4‰ in the eddy). The same trends hold for most species (i.e., lower FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in the eddy than in the Atlantic samples; Figure 7b). The mean FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the highly abundant *G. inflata* was $6.7\text{‰} \pm 0.5\text{‰}$ ($n = 14$) and $3.6 \pm 1.0\text{‰}$ ($n = 12$) at the Atlantic and eddy stations, respectively, while the less abundant *G. bulloides* had a mean FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of $6.3\text{‰} \pm 0.6\text{‰}$ ($n = 4$) in the Atlantic stations and $2.9\text{‰} \pm 0.9\text{‰}$ ($n = 4$) in the eddy. Where numerous enough to measure, *G. siphonifera* had a higher FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than other species in both the Atlantic and eddy samples ($8.0\text{‰} \pm 0.1\text{‰}$ ($n = 3$ samples from one station) and $4.6\text{‰} \pm 0.8\text{‰}$ ($n = 7$ samples from three stations), respectively). Additionally, *G. hirsuta* and *G. truncatulinoides* were consistently higher in FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than co-




Figure 7. (a) Violin plot showing the concentration-weighted average $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of mixed-layer particulate organic N, bulk zooplankton (250–500 and 500–1,000 μm size classes), and foraminifer tissue (i.e., FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) for six species collected in the 0–200 m net tows in 2017. Circles represent the average FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measured in triplicate for each species at a single station, with the blue circles indicating Atlantic stations and the green circles showing the Agulhas eddy (E1) data. The shapes behind or alongside the circles show the spread of the data; (b) The difference in mean FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between Atlantic and E1 foraminifera for each particulate pool or foraminifer species; (c) Species-specific average FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ at each station sampled along the transect, with circles and triangles representing Atlantic and eddy stations, respectively.

occurring species at all stations, with an average eddy FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of $5.3\text{‰} \pm 0.5\text{‰}$ ($n = 12$) and $5.4\text{‰} \pm 0.7\text{‰}$ ($n = 9$) and Atlantic FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of $7.1\text{‰} \pm 0.4\text{‰}$ ($n = 19$) and $7.3\text{‰} \pm 0.5\text{‰}$ ($n = 19$), respectively.

3.3.3. Foraminifer Shell-Bound Nitrogen Isotopes

Shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ correlated well with FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for all species ($r^2 = 0.8$; Figure 8a) and was on average $1.3\text{‰} \pm 0.8\text{‰}$ higher than FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for the same species at a given station. Shell measurements for the three species present at both the eddy and Atlantic stations (*G. truncatulinoides*, *G. hirsuta*, and *G. inflata*) yielded an average $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of $6.3\text{‰} \pm 1.4\text{‰}$ ($n = 7$) and $7.9\text{‰} \pm 0.7\text{‰}$ ($n = 11$), respectively (i.e., an Atlantic-eddy difference




Figure 8. (a) Cross-plot of FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ vs. foraminifera shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from the same net tows; (b) Comparison of FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (approximated by the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of SASMW measured across the transect). Blue symbols show nitrate and foraminifer measurements from the Atlantic stations and the leading edge of Agulhas eddy E1 while green symbols show data from within E1 and include the trailing edge station (see Section 2.4 for details). Error bars show ± 1 standard deviation of triplicate measurements of the same foraminifer species at each station (y-axis) and duplicate nitrate isotope measurements from the same station and depth (x-axis). In panel b, open symbols in the shaded green box indicate where the average eddy FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for each foraminifer species would be positioned if plotted versus the mean Agulhas thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (4.9‰); calculated for all thermocline data available for the Agulhas System Climate Array line (Marshall et al., 2023) rather than from just the representative Agulhas profile shown in Figure 4b. The dashed gray line in both panels indicates a 1:1 line.

of 1.3‰). The spread in the shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ data was also greater for the eddy (2.4‰) than the Atlantic samples (1.3‰). Similar to FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, there was a larger difference between Atlantic and eddy shell samples for *G. inflata* than for *G. hirsuta* or *G. truncatulinoides* (the difference between Atlantic and eddy shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was 1.5‰ greater for *G. inflata* than for the other two species; in the case of FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, the difference was 1.0‰).

3.3.4. Bulk Zooplankton and Particulate Organic N

The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the bulk zooplankton (for the combined eddy and Atlantic stations) increased with increasing size-fraction, from a mean of $5.8\text{‰} \pm 0.6\text{‰}$ for the 250–500 μm size-class to $6.7\text{‰} \pm 1.2\text{‰}$ for the 500–1,000 μm size-class (Figure 7a). Both these zooplankton size classes (chosen due to their containing most of the foraminifera and their larger prey) had a higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ at the Atlantic (mean of $6.0\text{‰} \pm 0.1\text{‰}$ ($n = 3$) and $7.2\text{‰} \pm 0.6\text{‰}$ ($n = 3$), respectively) than the eddy stations ($3.9\text{‰} \pm 1.0\text{‰}$ ($n = 2$) and $5.1\text{‰} \pm 0.4\text{‰}$ ($n = 2$), respectively). This equates to an Atlantic-eddy difference of 1.9‰ and 2.0‰ for the 250–500 and 500–1,000 μm size classes, respectively, similar to the trends observed for the foraminifera.

We observed no difference in upper-ocean (0–100 m) PON concentrations between the eddy and Atlantic stations ($0.3 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{M}$ ($n = 7$) and $0.3 \pm 0.4 \mu\text{M}$ ($n = 6$), respectively). Similarly, there was no significant difference in the mean PON- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between the two groups ($2.8\text{‰} \pm 1.6\text{‰}$ ($n = 6$) for the Atlantic and $2.5\text{‰} \pm 0.7\text{‰}$ ($n = 7$) for the eddy), although the Atlantic samples exhibited larger within-group variability (Figures 7a and 7b). Both the lowest and the highest average mixed-layer PON- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($1.2\text{‰} \pm 0.8\text{‰}$ and $5.5\text{‰} \pm 0.9\text{‰}$) were measured at Atlantic stations.

3.3.5. Foraminifer Tissue $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ Versus Seawater Nitrate $\delta^{15}\text{N}$

Because we have considerably more measurements (and replicate samples) of FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, we focus our comparison with $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ on the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ data. We nonetheless expect the same trends to apply to the shells given their strong correlation with FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (Figure 8a; Smart et al., 2018, 2020). Of all the species measured, *G. truncatulinoides*, *G. hirsuta*, and *G. inflata* were most similar in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to thermocline nitrate at the Atlantic stations (with the mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of SASTMW, the water mass just below the mixed layer, taken to represent thermocline nitrate). The combined average FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for *G. truncatulinoides* and *G. hirsuta* was $7.2\text{‰} \pm 0.4\text{‰}$, compared to a thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of $6.9\text{‰} \pm 0.4\text{‰}$ (or $7.0\text{‰} \pm 0.3\text{‰}$ when comparing only the data from 2017 when the net tows were undertaken) (Figure 8b).

The similarity of FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ did not extend to E1 where *G. truncatulinoides* and *G. hirsuta* had a combined FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ that was on average 1.5‰ lower than the local thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (mean FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of $5.3\text{‰} \pm 0.6\text{‰}$ vs. $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of $6.8\text{‰} \pm 0.6\text{‰}$; Figure 8b). Across all the eddy stations, *G. bulloides* and *G. inflata* were consistently most different in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from thermocline nitrate, with their minimum FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (as for all species) observed at station 4 in the center of E1. The thermocline-to-FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ difference for *G. bulloides* and *G. inflata* at this station was 5.0‰ and 3.9‰, respectively. Comparing the mean eddy FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ with the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of the Agulhas thermocline (4.9‰, calculated by averaging all thermocline data available for the ASCA line; Marshall et al., 2023) reveals a strong similarity for *G. truncatulinoides* ($5.4\text{‰} \pm 0.7\text{‰}$), *G. hirsuta* ($5.3\text{‰} \pm 0.5\text{‰}$), *G. siphonifera* ($5.3\text{‰} \pm 0.3\text{‰}$), and *O. universa* (4.6‰), while *G. inflata* and *G. bulloides* were lower in FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than Agulhas thermocline nitrate ($3.6\text{‰} \pm 1.0\text{‰}$ and $2.9\text{‰} \pm 0.9\text{‰}$, respectively).

4. Discussion

4.1. Absence of Agulhas Planktic Foraminifer Assemblages in the Cape Basin

For samples collected in winter 2017, we observed no robust differences in the foraminifer assemblages inside and outside Agulhas eddy E1. The temperate winter/spring species, *G. truncatulinoides* and *G. hirsuta*, and the more transitional species, *G. inflata*, dominated across the transect. *G. inflata* appeared to fare best in more turbulent waters (increasing in relative abundance at the trailing edge of E1). The transect-wide similarity in foraminifer community composition implies that diagnosing Agulhas leakage through an abundance-based index is not always possible, particularly once Agulhas eddies have migrated some distance from the Retroflection region. Similar foraminifer species homogeneity has been observed for a mature (i.e., >9 month-old) Agulhas ring and its Cape Basin surrounds during late summer (Froyland et al., 2015; Schouten et al., 2000), with the (sub)

tropical/warm-water species, *T. sacculifer* and *G. ruber* (both considered Agulhas leakage fauna), dominating both inside and outside the eddy (Lončarić, 2006).

Seasonality is likely the primary determinant of the “background” foraminifer assemblage of the Cape Basin (Peeters et al., 2004; Van Aken et al., 2003), as is the case for other ocean regions (Boltovskoy, 1994; Eguchi et al., 2003; Jonkers & Kučera, 2017). Recently shed eddies can disrupt this scenario, however, as the upper-ocean temperatures of young Agulhas rings and eddies are warmer than the Cape Basin, creating a temporary niche for (sub)tropical foraminifer species in the southeast Atlantic. Eddies located near the Retroflexion tend to be relatively young (<5 months), characterized by large SST and SSH anomalies, but in a stage of active decay (Schouten et al., 2000). Thus, intense heat loss and advective mixing rapidly cool eddy surface waters, particularly as they near the South Atlantic subtropical gyre (De Ruijter et al., 1999; Duncombe Rae, 1991; Goni et al., 1997; Van Aken et al., 2003). The mean annual SST at 28°E in the Agulhas Current is ~23°C (Garcia et al., 2019); further west and closer to the Retroflexion at 18.5°E, SST decreases to ~19°C (Locarini et al., 2013). By the time E1 reached our SAMBA transect in 2017 (7–8 months after shedding), its SST had dropped to 16°C. Although within the temperature range determined under laboratory conditions to be acceptable to (sub)tropical foraminifer species (e.g., *T. sacculifer*, *G. ruber*, *N. dutertrei*; Bijma et al., 1990), the E1 SST was nonetheless below that considered optimal for these species to reproduce (i.e., above 20°C for all three species; Hecht, 1976; Waterson et al., 2017). In the summer, however, SSTs in the Cape Basin rise above 20°C (Lea et al., 2018), which is adequate to at least temporarily sustain (sub)tropical foraminifer populations imported by Agulhas rings (Lončarić, 2006; Peeters et al., 2004).

Among the (more temperate) foraminifer species present in E1, the variations in relative abundance might reflect their preferences for different hydrographic conditions (Feldmeijer et al., 2015). The high percentage of *G. inflata* within and at the trailing edge of E1 relative to the Atlantic stations (Figure 6) is consistent with previous assertions that this species is more tolerant of vertical mixing and rapidly changing conditions (Chapman, 2010; Deuser et al., 1981; Kretschmer et al., 2018; Schiebel & Hemleben, 2017). In contrast, *G. truncatulinoides* was most abundant at stations located near the comparatively stable South Atlantic subtropical gyre.

4.2. Origin of the Distinct Agulhas Eddy Isotope Ratios

As the dominant form of fixed N in the ocean, nitrate sets the baseline for the N isotope distributions in an ecosystem. The lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (and $\Delta(15-18)$) in the mixed layer at the eddy stations relative to the background South Atlantic (Figures 4b and 5) suggests that the controls on the nitrate isotope distributions in eddy waters are distinct, and that eddies may receive a low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ source that is not available to the surrounding Atlantic mixed-layer. We examine the possibilities in detail below.

4.2.1. Limited Role of Phytoplankton Nitrate Assimilation

One mechanism that can cause mixed-layer $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ to vary is the extent to which vertically supplied nitrate is assimilated by phytoplankton. Phytoplankton preferentially consume ^{14}N -bearing nitrate, such that the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of partially assimilated nitrate rises as its concentration declines (Minagawa & Wada, 1986; Sigman et al., 1999). Thus, the more completely a particular supply of nitrate has been consumed, the higher its $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, and vice versa. It is therefore possible that the lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ in the eddy mixed layer relative to the background Atlantic was due to less complete consumption of the same nitrate supply.

At first glance, the cruise nitrate concentration data appear consistent with this idea. An east-west gradient is apparent in both the 2015 and 2017 measurements, with surface nitrate concentrations declining near-linearly from the nearshore (~3 μM) to the most offshore station (0.8 μM at 0°E) (Figure S1 in Supporting Information S1). In 2017, E1 was located at the eastern edge of the transect and its mixed layer hosted higher nitrate concentrations (by ~0.4 μM) than the Atlantic stations to the west. One might thus conclude that the mixed-layer $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (and by extension, the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) difference between the eddy and Atlantic stations can be explained by a lower degree of nitrate consumption to the east (eddy) versus the west (Atlantic) of the transect.

However, our sampling occurred near the beginning of the nitrate resupply period (Figure 3), such that the mixed layer nitrate concentration and $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ will mainly reflect ongoing mixing of thermocline nitrate with a small volume of nitrate-depleted surface water left over from the previous growing season (i.e., late-summer/autumn).

By contrast, because the lifetime of zooplankton and foraminifera is weeks to months (Loick-Wilde et al., 2016; Montoya et al., 2002; Schiebel & Hemleben, 2005), their biomass $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ will largely reflect the N consumed over the previous growing season, prior to the onset of nitrate resupply. In other words, there is likely a temporal decoupling between the nitrate present in the surface (although not the subsurface) at the time of our sampling and the zooplankton and foraminifera biomass. The longer residence time of zooplankton and foraminifera relative to phytoplankton (represented here by the bulk PON; residence time of days to weeks) likely also explains the lack of $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{PON}}$ difference between the eddy and Atlantic stations (see Section 4.3.3).

Notwithstanding a temporal decoupling between surface nitrate and foraminifera, the CMECS concentration data suggest that the fraction of the nitrate supply remaining in the surface (i.e., $[\text{NO}_3^-]_{\text{(July, mixed layer)}}$ / $[\text{NO}_3^-]_{\text{(Sept/Oct, supply)}}$) was relatively constant across the transect (64%–67%), rather than lower to the east than the west as would be required to explain the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ data by differential nitrate consumption. Furthermore, during the 2015 cruise, Agulhas eddies were encountered further west, and their mixed-layer nitrate was on average 0.7 μM lower than in the Atlantic mixed layer. This should have resulted in a higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ in the eddy mixed layers than the background Atlantic if the trend in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ was driven by nitrate consumption. Instead, eddy mixed-layer $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ in 2015 was 2.3‰ lower than the average Atlantic mixed-layer $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, consistent with the eddy-Atlantic difference observed in 2017.

In addition, if the difference in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ between the eddy and background Atlantic was due to differential degrees of nitrate consumption, the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ should be similarly variable since $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ increase proportionally during phytoplankton nitrate assimilation (J. Granger et al., 2004, 2010; Rohde et al., 2015). However, we observe a much smaller (statistically insignificant; $p = 0.2$) difference in the mean $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ in the mixed layer of the eddy versus the background Atlantic (6.1‰ $\pm$ 1.3‰ vs. 6.6‰ $\pm$ 2.1‰, Figure S2 in Supporting Information S1), which strongly suggests that nitrate assimilation is not the dominant driver of the eddy-Atlantic difference in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$.

Below the depth of winter mixing (~ 300 m), nitrate $\Delta(15\text{--}18)$ averages 3.2‰ $\pm$ 0.2‰ for all stations across the transect, consistent with previous observations for SAMW in the South Atlantic (Flynn et al., 2020; Marconi et al., 2019; Tuerena et al., 2015). At the background Atlantic stations, mixed-layer nitrate $\Delta(15\text{--}18)$ was 3.0‰ $\pm$ 1.4‰, indistinguishable from the subsurface nitrate and indicating that the main driver of the nitrate isotope distributions in the Atlantic mixed layer is the seasonal supply and consumption of the subsurface nitrate pool (J. Granger et al., 2004; Rafter et al., 2013). By contrast, mixed-layer nitrate $\Delta(15\text{--}18)$ in the eddies was low (average of 1.8‰ $\pm$ 1.2‰) and similar to the mean $\Delta(15\text{--}18)$ observed in the Agulhas Current thermocline and mixed layer (2.1‰ and 1.3‰, respectively; Figure 5; Marshall et al., 2023). We thus conclude that a mechanism other than differential nitrate consumption is required to explain the lower nitrate $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\Delta(15\text{--}18)$ in Agulhas eddies versus the background Atlantic.

4.2.2. Transported Signals: N_2 Fixation and Co-Occurring Nitrate Assimilation and Nitrification

N_2 fixation introduces organic N to the mixed layer that is low in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, -2‰ to 0‰ (Hoering & Ford, 1960; Minagawa & Wada, 1986). The subsequent sinking and remineralization of this organic N yields subsurface nitrate that is similarly low in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (Knapp et al., 2005, 2008; Marshall et al., 2023). By contrast, the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of newly nitrified nitrate is relatively high, as it is set by the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of seawater ($\sim 0\text{‰}$) plus an isotopic offset of $\sim 1.1\text{‰}$ (Boshers et al., 2019; Buchwald & Casciotti, 2013; Sigman et al., 2009). As such, N_2 fixation causes both the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and the $\Delta(15\text{--}18)$ of nitrate to decline (Rafter et al., 2013; Sigman et al., 2009). Data from the Agulhas Current (Figure 4; Marshall et al., 2023) and the waters of the southern Indian Ocean (Harms et al., 2019) that feed the Agulhas Current have a low $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\Delta(15\text{--}18)$ throughout the thermocline (4.9‰ and 2.4‰, respectively) and low $\Delta(15\text{--}18)$ in surface waters ($\sim 1.3\text{‰}$). The similarity of the eddy and Agulhas Current $\Delta(15\text{--}18)$ strongly suggests that Agulhas leakage transports recently fixed nitrate from the South Indian Ocean into the Cape Basin. The potential vorticity and kinematic steering associated with the circulation of migrating eddies (Chelton et al., 2011; Condie & Condie, 2016) likely help to maintain the isotopic distinction between the eddy and the surrounding South Atlantic.

Thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ is robustly low across the Agulhas Current region (Harms et al., 2019; Marshall et al., 2023) yet within Agulhas eddies, subsurface $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ is generally more similar to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of the South Atlantic thermocline (i.e., SASTMW). The absence of low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ in the eddy thermocline can be attributed to deep convective mixing of Agulhas mixed-layer and thermocline waters at the Retroflexion and within Agulhas eddies (Dufois et al., 2016; Marshall et al., 2023; Olson et al., 1992; Schmitt & Olson, 1985). In addition, the consumption of this low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ Agulhas nitrate by phytoplankton in the eddy mixed layer will rapidly raise its $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$), overprinting the low $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ but not the low $\Delta(15-18)$ characteristic of the Agulhas Current/southwest Indian Ocean thermocline (Marshall et al., 2023).

The low nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$ in the eddies may also be influenced by coupled partial nitrate assimilation and nitrification. If nitrate assimilation and nitrification co-occur (e.g., at the base of the mixed layer; Fawcett et al., 2015; Marshall et al., 2023), the cycling between organic N and nitrate yields no net change in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of the combined (i.e., partially assimilated plus newly nitrified) nitrate pool, provided that N is neither lost nor gained therefrom (Rafter et al., 2013; Sigman et al., 2005, 2009). By contrast, assimilation is a sink for the O atoms in nitrate while nitrification is a source, with the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ reset by nitrification to $\sim 1.1\text{‰}$ (Boshers et al., 2019; Buchwald & Casciotti, 2013; Sigman et al., 2009). As such, coupled partial nitrate assimilation and nitrification causes the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of the combined nitrate pool to rise, which, along with the lack of change in $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, drives a decline in $\Delta(15-18)$ (Deman et al., 2021; Fawcett et al., 2015; Marshall et al., 2023; Rafter et al., 2013; Sigman et al., 2005, 2009; Wankel et al., 2007). Nitrification of partially assimilated N at the base of the mixed layer could occur prior to and/or following eddy spawning, making the low- $\Delta(15-18)$ either a transported or in situ signal, or both. Indeed, it has been suggested that some portion of the low- $\Delta(15-18)$ nitrate in the mixed layer and upper thermocline of the Agulhas Current (Figure 5) derives from in situ coupled partial nitrate assimilation and nitrification (Marshall et al., 2023). Our data indicate that this signal is then transported (and possibly augmented) in Agulhas leakage. Coupled partial nitrate assimilation and nitrification cannot account for the entire lowering of the nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$, however, either in the Agulhas Current or in the eddy, as this mechanism does not lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$. Thus, there must be a role for N_2 fixation in driving the nitrate isotope distributions observed in Agulhas leakage.

4.2.3. In-Eddy N-Cycling Processes: N_2 Fixation and Ammonium Recycling

Above, we argue that the low foraminifer (and bulk zooplankton) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in the eddy reflects the lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of Agulhas-sourced waters relative to the background Atlantic. In-eddy FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ may also be affected by in situ processes that further decrease the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (and $\Delta(15-18)$) of eddy nitrate, resulting in a corresponding decline in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of foraminifera (and other plankton) in Agulhas eddies during their migration into the South Atlantic (i.e., after spawning). Anticyclonic eddies in other subtropical and mid-latitude regions have been observed to host elevated rates of N_2 fixation (Fong et al., 2008; Holl et al., 2007; J. Liu et al., 2020; Löscher et al., 2016); similar conditions in the Cape Basin may favor N_2 fixation, provided that the iron supply is sufficient (Deutsch et al., 2007; Marshall et al., 2022; Martin et al., 2024). Agulhas eddies do appear to contain higher trace metal concentrations than the surrounding Atlantic because they include a significant contribution of southwest Indian Ocean coastal waters (Conway et al., 2016; Paul et al., 2015; Samanta et al., 2023). However, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\Delta(15-18)$ of nitrate in the eddy mixed-layer and thermocline is higher than in the Agulhas Current (Figures 4b and 5), which suggests that if N_2 fixation does occur in Agulhas eddies, its influence on $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ is relatively minor.

Another mechanism that could lower foraminifer $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is the recycling of low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ammonium within the eddy. Ammonium produced via zooplankton excretion and bacterial decomposition of organic matter has a lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (by $\sim 5\text{‰}$) than nitrate (Checkley & Miller, 1989; Möbius, 2013); when assimilated by phytoplankton, this ammonium decreases the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of PON (Altabet, 1988; Fawcett et al., 2011; Treibergs et al., 2014) and subsequently, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of foraminifera that feed on PON (Smart et al., 2020). Enhanced reliance of phytoplankton on regenerated N in anticyclonic eddies has previously been suggested in response to light limitation induced by their characteristically deep mixed layers (Dortch, 1990; Siegel et al., 1995). Indeed, Wallschuss et al. (2022) measured nitrification rates in the mixed layer of E1 that were an order of magnitude higher than in the surrounding Atlantic. This finding indicates that (a) the deep mixed-layer of E1 was conducive to intense N recycling, and (b) the eddy phytoplankton were light-limited, a condition that favors reliance on regenerated N, which is energetically

cheaper to assimilate than nitrate (Dortch, 1990). It is thus likely that ammonium recycling during eddy transit contributed to the low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the foraminifera in E1.

Regardless of whether N_2 fixation or ammonium recycling were active during eddy transit, our data indicate that the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and $\Delta(15-18)$ of mixed-layer nitrate in Agulhas leakage are strongly influenced by processes occurring in the Agulhas Current and its source waters, and as such, are distinct from the surrounding Cape Basin. While the low $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ signal is rapidly eroded by nitrate assimilation during eddy migration, its influence persists in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of other in-eddy N pools. Moreover, the low nitrate $\Delta(15-18)$ is retained, making this parameter a robust tracer of Agulhas leakage (Marshall et al., 2023).

4.3. Controls on Foraminifer $\delta^{15}\text{N}$

The slight offset (1.3‰) observed between tissue- and shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (paired tissue-shell data from the same species and same tow) appears consistent across all samples. The lack of a similarly observed offset in the Sargasso Sea (from a study that included multiple seasons; Smart et al., 2018) for the same species that were present in our system suggests that we can rule out fractionation (e.g., during biomineral formation) or a systematic difference in N sources used in cell functioning versus those involved in shell building (Muscatine et al., 2005). We instead suggest that the comparatively high shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ observed in the Cape Basin can be explained by recent winter mixing having supplied new, relatively low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ nitrate to the upper water column; foraminifer tissue $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ would be more rapidly affected by this new N supply than shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, which integrates over a longer period (Robinson et al., 2023).

All foraminifer species in E1 were significantly lower in FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than the Atlantic foraminifera ($4.4\text{‰} \pm 1.2\text{‰}$ vs. $6.8\text{‰} \pm 0.6\text{‰}$; Figures 7 and 8). We observe the same trend for the foraminifer shells ($6.3\text{‰} \pm 1.4\text{‰}$ vs. $7.9\text{‰} \pm 0.7\text{‰}$), as well as for tissue and shell-bound N within the same species, suggesting that the eddy community was supported by a lower- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ diet. The similar Atlantic-eddy $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ difference observed for bulk zooplankton in the foraminifer size range ($7.2\text{‰} \pm 0.6\text{‰}$ vs. $5.1\text{‰} \pm 0.4\text{‰}$) supports this notion. Nitrate supplied to the winter mixed layer of the South Atlantic was completely consumed by phytoplankton during the spring/summer growth season preceding our sampling (Figure 3). This nitrate consumption would have generated mixed-layer PON with a similar $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to the subsurface nitrate supply (Mariotti et al., 1981). As such, in-eddy PON produced from the consumption of Agulhas nitrate would have been lower in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ($\sim 4.9\text{‰}$) than PON outside the eddy that was fueled by SASTMW nitrate ($\sim 6.9\text{‰}$). Since all planktic foraminifera and zooplankton consume some form of particulate N (Bé & Hutson 1977; Bird et al., 2020; Spindler et al., 1984; Uhle et al., 1997), those inhabiting the eddy must have incorporated the low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of Agulhas nitrate into their biomass. The lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of foraminifera (tissue and shell) in the eddy versus the background Atlantic is thus consistent with the eddy fauna recording the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of Agulhas thermocline nitrate while foraminifera in background Atlantic waters reflect the higher $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of SASTMW.

In contrast to the zooplankton and foraminifera, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of contemporaneously collected mixed-layer PON was not robustly different between the eddy and the background Atlantic. We attribute this lack of isotopic difference to the comparatively short integration time of much of the suspended PON pool (that we assume comprised mainly phytoplankton) compared to zooplankton in general and foraminifera in particular (Altabet & McCarthy, 1985; Capone et al., 2008; Eppley et al., 1983; Fasham et al., 1990). Indeed, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{PON}}$ can be rapidly altered (within hours to days; Savoye et al., 2003; Treibergs et al., 2014) by several processes, including a switch in the dominant N form consumed by phytoplankton (e.g., from nitrate to ammonium) (K.-K. Liu et al., 2007; Treibergs et al., 2014) and mixing with surrounding water masses (Haas et al., 2022; Mino et al., 2020). The isotopes of suspended PON are discussed further in Section 4.3.3 below.

4.3.1. Interspecies Relationships

We found broadly consistent interspecies FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ relationships across the eddy and Atlantic stations that are largely in agreement with previous observations (Li et al., 2019; Ren et al., 2009, 2012; Smart et al., 2018, 2020). Higher FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is associated with deep-dwelling non-spinose species (*G. truncatulinoides* and *G. hirsuta*, typically inhabiting depths >100 m; Reynolds et al., 2018), the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of *G. inflata* (a mid- to shallow-depth dwelling non-spinose species) is slightly lower (by 1‰ on average), and the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of *G. bulloides* and *G. falconensis* (spinose shallow dwellers) is the lowest (on average 1.9‰ lower than the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of *G.*

Table 1

Mean FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the Foraminifer Species Measured in This Study, in the Background Atlantic and Inside the Agulhas Eddy, Along With Their Average Living Depth

Species	Atlantic FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	Eddy FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	Estimate of average living depth ^a (m)	Symbionts
<i>G. bulloides</i>	6.3 ± 0.6	2.9 ± 0.9	0–100	No
<i>G. falconensis</i>	6.2 ± 0.0	–	50–100	Facultative (unknown)
<i>G. glutinata</i>	7.0 ± NA	–	0–80	Facultative (chrysophytes)
<i>G. hirsuta</i>	7.1 ± 0.4	5.3 ± 0.5	100–200	No
<i>G. inflata</i>	6.7 ± 0.5	3.6 ± 1.0	80–100	Facultative (chrysophytes)
<i>G. siphonifera</i>	8.0 ± 0.1	5.3 ± 0.3	80–100	Facultative (chrysophytes)
<i>G. truncatulinoides</i>	7.3 ± 0.5	5.4 ± 0.7	80–200 ^b	No
<i>O. universa</i>	7.1 ± NA	4.6 ± NA	70–100	Obligate (dinoflagellates)

^aThe average living depth was estimated from Peeters and Brummer (2002), Sousa et al. (2014), Rebotim et al. (2017), Schiebel and Hemleben (2017), Meilland et al. (2018, 2019), and Lessa et al. (2020). ^b*G. truncatulinoides* experiences large seasonal vertical displacement and can at times be found at depths >600 m (Cl  roux et al., 2007; Feldmeijer et al., 2015; Lohmann & Schweitzer, 1990; Reynolds et al., 2018 and references therein).

truncatulinoides) (Table 1). This pattern closely resembles that reported for the Southern Ocean (where FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of *G. truncatulinoides* / *G. hirsuta* > *G. inflata* > *G. bulloides*; Smart et al., 2020). Differences in FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ among species are likely a reflection of both diet and species-specific metabolic processes. For example, *G. truncatulinoides* and *G. hirsuta* are thought to predominantly graze on sinking and subsurface suspended PON (B   & Hutson, 1977; Sen Gupta, 2003), which is high in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ due to the preferential decomposition of ^{14}N -bearing material by heterotrophic bacteria (Altabet, 1988; M  bius, 2013).

Interestingly, *G. siphonifera* had a similar FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to the deep-dwellers despite hosting symbionts (Faber et al., 1988; Gastrich, 1987), which might be expected to lower its FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (Ren et al., 2012). This observation is consistent with tissue and shell measurements from the Sargasso Sea, where the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of *G. siphonifera* was as high or higher than that of the deep-dwelling foraminifera (Smart et al., 2018). In that study it was posited that the chrysophyte symbionts of *G. siphonifera* might be less active in N cycling with the host foraminifera than the dinoflagellates possessed by other symbiotic species (e.g., *G. ruber*), rendering *G. siphonifera* more reliant upon predation and leading to its higher than expected $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. Consumption of higher- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ food sources available at the dwelling depth of *G. siphonifera* (i.e., at times, sub-euphotic zone; Rebotim et al., 2017; Meilland et al., 2019) may have also contributed to its elevated FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (Li et al., 2019).

The dinoflagellate-bearing *O. universa* has previously been observed to be lower in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ than non-symbiont-hosting species, despite its diet consisting of higher trophic-level prey (i.e., through predation on other zooplankton; B   et al., 1977; Spindler et al., 1984). This low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ has been explained by symbiont-facilitated retention and recycling of low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ammonium normally excreted by foraminifera (Lekieffre et al., 2020; Ren et al., 2012; Uhle et al., 1999). However, we measure an FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for *O. universa* (present at stations 4 and 14) that is similarly high to that of the symbiont-barren, deep-dwelling species, *G. hirsuta* and *G. truncatulinoides* (Table 1). This elevated FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ may indicate a more carnivorous diet for *O. universa* in our system. Alternatively, conditions may have been unfavorable for symbiont photosynthesis (and thus ammonium retention) in the wintertime Cape Basin (e.g., deep mixed layers and elevated turbulence), as has previously been suggested for Sargasso Sea foraminifera in winter (Smart et al., 2018), resulting in the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of *O. universa* converging on that of non-dinoflagellate bearing foraminifera.

The different amounts by which the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (and shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of the various foraminifer species are lower in the eddy than the Atlantic (i.e., the “FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ offset”) provides an upper bound on the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ excursion we might expect to see in the sediments (e.g., inside vs. outside the main corridor of Agulhas leakage). The similar FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ offset for the two non-spinose deep dwellers (1.9‰ and 1.8‰ for *G. truncatulinoides* and *G. hirsuta*, respectively) is likely explained by their similar depth habitat and a common food source. Likewise, the similar (and larger) FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ offset for *G. inflata* and *G. bulloides* (2.9‰ and 3.4‰, respectively) suggests a similar depth habitat (and thus access to similar food sources), as has been observed for these two species in nutrient-rich regions (Mohtadi et al., 2007; Sagueiro et al., 2020; Zarkogiannis et al., 2020). The larger FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ offset for these and other shallow-to intermediate-depth dwellers (including *G. siphonifera*) compared to *G. truncatulinoides* and *G. hirsuta* could be

linked to their faster isotope turnover times (i.e., shorter lifespans and/or faster metabolisms) and/or their inhabiting a restricted depth range within the eddy (e.g., upper 100 m, Table 1), leading them to incorporate the low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signature of the eddy more quickly. For example, *G. bulloides*, commonly found in the upper 100 m (Jonkers et al., 2013; Peeters & Brummer, 2002), appears to reproduce monthly (Schiebel et al., 1997), in contrast to the annual reproductive cycle of *G. truncatulinoides* (Hemleben et al., 1985; Lohmann & Schweitzer, 1990). Moreover, some of the deeper-dwelling foraminifera sampled within the eddy may have been recently entrained from greater depths (where they likely consumed a higher- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ food source), effectively “diluting” the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ offset of these species. Nonetheless, a substantial FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ offset ($>2\text{‰}$) persisted in all the species studied here, which is encouraging for the development of an Agulhas leakage proxy based on foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. If seasonally resolved sampling continues to show large FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ offsets for *G. bulloides* (3.4‰ in this study), then this species might be considered a prime target for future leakage reconstructions.

4.3.2. Relationship Between FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$

At the Atlantic stations, the average FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the three most abundant foraminifer species (*G. truncatulinoides*, *G. hirsuta*, *G. inflata*; all deep and/or mid-depth dwellers) closely resembled the thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ (i.e., offset by only $0.4\text{‰} \pm 0.3\text{‰}$; Figure 8b). A similar pattern was observed in the Sargasso Sea, but in that case it was the euphotic-dwelling, symbiont-hosting species, *G. ruber*, *T. sacculifer*, and *O. universa*, that most closely matched $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ while the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the deep dwelling foraminifera was $\sim 1\text{‰}$ higher (Smart et al., 2018). A comparison of thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and foraminifera-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ from surface sediments across the low-mid latitude ocean similarly revealed a lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ for symbiont-hosting foraminifera than for non-symbiotic, deeper-dwelling species (Ren et al., 2012). In that study, the authors reasoned that the dinoflagellate symbionts in shallow-dwelling foraminifera were responsible for the similarity between foraminifer $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ as they retain (low- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) ammonium, thereby preventing the full isotopic enrichment expected for a consumer relative to its diet (Deniro & Epstein, 1978; Minagawa & Wada, 1984; Montoya et al., 1990). In our study, the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the facultatively symbiotic *G. inflata* and potentially symbiotic *G. falconensis* (Gastrich, 1987; Jonkers & Kučera, 2015) was slightly lower (0.5‰ – 0.8‰) than thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$, seemingly at odds with these earlier findings. However, in the short-term, FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is set by the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the foraminifer diet and species-specific metabolism (e.g., Bird et al., 2020) rather than by $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ directly. In the Southern Ocean, for example, seasonal changes in PON $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ appear to drive large deviations (up to 4‰) in FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ relative to both the annual average FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the nitrate supply (Smart et al., 2020). As such, the fact that we only have winter data for the South Atlantic may explain the apparently anomalous relationship of the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of certain foraminifer species to $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$.

The similarity between FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and thermocline $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ observed at the Atlantic stations did not hold in the eddy. Here, FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ was on average 2‰ lower than the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of SASTMW and was more similar to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of the Agulhas thermocline (Figures 8b and 9). As outlined in Section 4.2, we suggest that as the eddy migrated into the South Atlantic, nitrate originating in the Agulhas Current thermocline (and mixed layer, although its concentration in this layer would have been extremely low; Marshall et al., 2023) was incorporated into the eddy's deepening mixed layer where it would have been rapidly consumed by phytoplankton and thus integrated into the eddy's planktonic ecosystem. In other words, the waters underlying the eddy mixed layer at the time of our sampling did not reflect the original nitrate supply to its surface ecosystem although the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the foraminifera and zooplankton did. Given the age of the eddy, along with its retentive anticyclonic circulation and the considerably longer lifetime of foraminifera compared to phytoplankton (i.e., PON), SASTMW nitrate likely contributed only minimally to the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measured in the eddy.

4.3.3. Relationship Between Foraminifer $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and PON $\delta^{15}\text{N}$

Above, we have compared FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$. However, foraminifera do not consume nitrate, but rather the photosynthetic biomass generated from the assimilation of nitrate and other N forms, as well as heterotrophic and detrital organic matter (collectively, the suspended PON pool). A recent study from the Southern Ocean showed that FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is more closely tied to the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of PON than to $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ on seasonal timescales (Smart et al., 2020). As such, for the eddy foraminifera to acquire their lower FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, they would have had to consume a different




Figure 9. Schematic of the proposed nitrogen isotope dynamics in (a) the background Atlantic and (b) an Agulhas eddy sampled in the Cape Basin in 2017, with $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ shown by the vertical black arrows. The horizontal yellow arrows and dashed lines indicate South Atlantic Subtropical Mode Water (SASTMW, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of 6.9‰), which is the water mass (and nitrate supply) located directly below the mixed layer across the Cape Basin. The arrows in panel (b) show the mean $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of Agulhas Current thermocline nitrate ($\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of 4.9‰) and Subantarctic Mode Water (SAMW, $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ of 6.7‰) (Marshall et al., 2023), which underlies the thermocline and is the ultimate source of nitrate to both the Cape Basin and Agulhas region. Suspended particulate organic N (PON) $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, bulk zooplankton $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (for the 250–500 μm and 500–1,000 μm size classes), and FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ are shown using symbols and images of the various species (see legend). The numbers next to the organisms indicate their biomass $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, in units of ‰. The thin vertical arrows show the calculated difference (Δ) in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ between an example foraminifer species (*G. inflata*; chosen due to its high abundance across the transect and its mid-range trophic position) and the suspended PON.

(i.e., lower- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) PON pool from the Atlantic foraminifera, which we propose ultimately derived from the assimilation of Agulhas-sourced nitrate. Yet, at the time of sampling, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of upper mixed-layer PON in E1 was similar to the PON collected at the Atlantic stations ($2.5\text{‰} \pm 0.7\text{‰}$, $n = 7$ stations vs. $2.8\text{‰} \pm 1.6\text{‰}$, $n = 6$, respectively).

To explain the suspended PON data, we consider the timescales over which the isotopic signal of the different N pools integrate and examine the potential effect(s) of circulation and seasonality. Phytoplankton, a large component of the PON pool, live for hours to days, which allows for rapid changes to their biomass $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (d'Ovidio et al., 2010; Pasquero, 2005; Treibergs et al., 2014). Suspended PON in the eddy may therefore reflect recent phytoplankton N assimilation (likely of a combination of recycled ammonium and vertically and/or laterally supplied Cape Basin nitrate), while foraminifer shell $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ integrates the isotopic signal of all PON consumed by the foraminifera over their lifetime (noting that while the turnover time for foraminifer tissue is unknown, it must be shorter than the integration time of the shell). The foraminifera chosen for isotope analysis in this study were adults, and the majority (all except potentially *G. siphonifera*; Bijma et al., 1998; Jonkers et al., 2015) reproduce on monthly or longer timescales, such that their FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ likely integrates over weeks to months. Thus, the suspended PON sampled in the eddy is unlikely to reflect that consumed by the foraminifera during the period over which they generated the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ that we measured.

The discrepancy between the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ trends evident in the nitrate and suspended PON additionally illustrates the weakness in assuming that the isotopic signal of PON is solely generated through nitrate assimilation. While our sampling took place near the start of the nitrate resupply period in the Cape Basin (Figure 3), the very deep eddy mixed layers (~250 m) would have caused severe light limitation of phytoplankton (Wallschuss et al., 2022); this, combined with the fact that nitrate is energetically expensive to assimilate (Dortch, 1990), likely led to limited consumption of newly supplied nitrate near the time of our study. Indeed, direct measurements of N uptake in E1 indicate that phytoplankton were assimilating almost exclusively recycled N during our sampling (Wallschuss

et al., 2022). We conclude that discrepancies in the spatial $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ trends of contemporaneously sampled suspended PON and foraminifera are not unexpected in highly variable environments given the different turnover times of these two N pools.

While the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of suspended PON does not align with the trends observed in the $\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{NO}_3}$ and FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, the bulk zooplankton $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ does (Figure 7). It is thus possible that the zooplankton biomass (particularly in the 250–500 μm range, which had an N concentration 3–4 times that of the $>0.3 \mu\text{m}$ suspended PON) more accurately represents the diet of the foraminifera. Not only are foraminifera known to consume other zooplankton in addition to PON (Bé & Hutson, 1977; Hemleben et al., 1989), but the zooplankton biomass integration time would have been more similar to that of the foraminifera (Loick-Wilde et al., 2016; Montoya et al., 2002). As such, the measured bulk zooplankton $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, which was $\sim 2\text{‰}$ lower in the eddy than the Atlantic, may better approximate the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the organic matter consumed by foraminifera (Figure S3 in Supporting Information S1).

4.4. Potential for Reconstruction of Past Agulhas Leakage From Foraminifer-Bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$

Our data show that foraminifera in Agulhas eddies retain the distinct $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of Agulhas thermocline nitrate, which could be leveraged to trace Indo-Atlantic exchange through past climate transitions. Previous paleoclimate studies have suggested that glacial-to-interglacial transitions were associated with increased leakage of Indian Ocean waters into the South Atlantic, which would have increased the salinity of waters returning to the North Atlantic, thus enhancing NADW subduction and strengthening the AMOC (e.g., Ballalai et al., 2019; Franzese et al., 2006; Peeters et al., 2004; Simon et al., 2020). Studies of fossil foraminifera collected in sediment cores from the western continental shelf of South Africa reveal a higher abundance of (sub)tropical species (i.e., Agulhas leakage fauna) during glacial terminations, consistent with an increase in the strength of Agulhas leakage at this time (Caley et al., 2014; Peeters et al., 2004). From our FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ results and the strong correlation of FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ to shell-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ observed here and elsewhere (Figure 8a; Ren et al., 2012; Smart et al., 2018, 2020), we would expect these glacial-interglacial transitions to also be characterized by comparatively low foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. In line with this expectation, anomalously low foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in Southern Ocean ($\sim 41^\circ\text{S}$) sediments from a “super interglacial” (Marine Isotope Stage 31, $\sim 1,070 \text{ ka}$) were recently hypothesized to reflect increased reliance on Agulhas-sourced N relative to Southern Ocean nitrate (Marcks et al., 2023).

Our samples were collected from a relatively mature Agulhas eddy and yet the isotopic influence of Agulhas nitrate was still apparent in the FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$. This observation suggests that the foraminifera- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ proxy has the potential to provide an annually integrated view of leakage, as it is independent of species assemblage, which shifts seasonally (Lončarić, 2006). Furthermore, foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ raises the possibility of extending Agulhas leakage reconstructions beyond the Retroflexion region and into the offshore South Atlantic where Agulhas leakage fauna (e.g., *G. ruber*, *G. menardii*, *T. sacculifer*) no longer dominate surface waters due to cooling during eddy decay (Lončarić, 2006).

One caveat to these ideas is that the foraminifer- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ leakage proxy relies on the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of Agulhas nitrate being distinct from that of the Cape Basin thermocline, as is the case today. Since Agulhas nitrate is low in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ because of N_2 fixation (Marshall et al., 2023), a past decrease in N_2 fixation in the southwest Indian Ocean would presumably lead to higher- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ nitrate in the Agulhas Current, which would be passed on to the upper-ocean ecosystem, including the foraminifera. Conversely, a past increase in N_2 fixation in the South Atlantic, which today hosts negligible rates of this process (Marconi et al., 2017; Moore et al., 2009; Sohm et al., 2011), could have lowered the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of thermocline nitrate in the Cape Basin, weakening the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ difference between Agulhas leakage and the surrounding Atlantic.

An additional consideration is that we only have data from winter. At higher latitudes (e.g., in the southern Subantarctic/Polar Frontal Zone), winter is typically much less productive than summer, with lower total mass and foraminifera fluxes to the seafloor (Honjo et al., 2000; A. L. King & Howard, 2003), implying that winter N isotope signals may contribute minimally to the foraminifera-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ record (Smart et al., 2020). However, in the lower latitudes (i.e., near the Subtropical Front and equatorward), the flux to the seafloor is dominant in spring (A. L. King & Howard, 2001; Nodder & Northcote, 2001), and in more oligotrophic waters, winter can even constitute a secondary peak in biomass production (e.g., Conte et al., 2001). Furthermore, several foraminifera species, such as the three *Globorotalia* species that dominated our collections, are more abundant in winter and

spring than in summer. We therefore expect the distinctively low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of Agulhas leakage to be resolvable in seafloor sediments in the Cape Basin, at the very least in these more winter-typical species.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we compared the isotope ratios of nitrate, PON, bulk zooplankton, and foraminifera in an anticyclonic Agulhas eddy to those measured in the surrounding southeast Atlantic. We attribute the low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the N in the eddy to the retention of Agulhas-sourced nitrate, which is low in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (and $\Delta(15-18)$) because of N_2 fixation in the southwest Indian Ocean (Harms et al., 2019; Marshall et al., 2023). This low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signal is retained by in-eddy foraminifera and other zooplankton even after the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of eddy mixed-layer nitrate is raised by phytoplankton nitrate assimilation and mixing with Cape Basin nitrate. Differences in the magnitude of the Atlantic-eddy $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ offset in mixed-layer nitrate, PON, bulk zooplankton, and foraminifera can be explained by the different integration times of these N pools. For instance, foraminifera and zooplankton assemblages inhabiting Agulhas eddies appear to retain the low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of Agulhas nitrate for several months, despite ongoing exchange with the surrounding Cape Basin and changes in the dominant foraminifer species. These findings are of particular relevance for tracking Agulhas leakage some distance from the Retroflection region.

Our data add to a growing body of work showing a strong (near 1:1) correlation between tissue- and shell-bound foraminifer $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and confirm previous assertions that foraminifer-bound $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ is a faithful recorder of the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the low-latitude subsurface nitrate supply (Ren et al., 2012; Smart et al., 2018). However, our observations differ from previous findings in that it is the deep-dwelling, non-symbiont-hosting foraminifer species rather than the shallow-dwelling, dinoflagellate-bearers that most closely match the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of thermocline nitrate, at least during winter when our sampling occurred.

Future investigations into spring and summer N dynamics in the Cape Basin would be useful for assessing the relationships among foraminifer $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, species assemblage, and shallow thermocline nitrate, as well as for determining the relative importance of seasonal fluxes (and consequently, the dominant $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ signals) reaching the seafloor (Smart et al., 2020). Additionally, PON and foraminifer isotope measurements in the Agulhas Current region (i.e., prior to the formation of eddies) would provide important end-member information for interpreting Agulhas eddy N isotope dynamics, as would observations from newly formed Agulhas eddies. Comparing such data with the results of the present study would also allow us to disentangle imported signals from in situ eddy processes that may alter nitrate and particle $\delta^{15}\text{N}$, such as in-eddy N_2 fixation and ammonium recycling that could have contributed to the low FT- $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measured in the mature Agulhas eddy.

Data Availability Statement

Nitrate isotope data for SAMBA 2017 can be found online (R. Granger et al., 2023), whilst data for SAMBA 2015 is published by Marconi et al. (2017). Existing nitrate isotope data from the Agulhas Current (2015) can be found via Marshall et al. (2023). Foraminifera, zooplankton and PON data can be accessed via R. Granger et al. (2024).

References

- Altabet, M. A. (1988). Variations in nitrogen isotopic composition between sinking and suspended particles: Implications for nitrogen cycling and particle transformation in the open ocean. *Deep-Sea Research, Part A: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 35(4), 535–554. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(88\)90130-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(88)90130-6)
- Altabet, M. A., & Francois, R. (1994). Sedimentary nitrogen isotopic ratio as a recorder for surface ocean nitrate utilization. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 8(1), 103–116. <https://doi.org/10.1029/93gb03396>
- Altabet, M. A., & McCarthy, J. J. (1985). Temporal and spatial variations in the natural abundance of ^{15}N in PON from a warm-core ring. *Deep-Sea Research, Part A: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 32(7), 755–772. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(85\)90113-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(85)90113-X)
- Arhan, M., Mercier, H., & Lutjeharms, J. R. E. (1999). The disparate evolution of three Agulhas rings in the South Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104(C9), 20987–21005. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JC900047>
- Auderset, A., Moretti, S., Taphorn, B., Ebner, P. R., Kast, E., Wang, X. T., et al. (2022). Enhanced ocean oxygenation during Cenozoic warm periods. *Nature*, 609(7925), 77–82. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05017-0>
- Aumont, O., Ethé, C., Tagliabue, A., Bopp, L., & Gehlen, M. (2015). PISCES-v2: An ocean biogeochemical model for carbon and ecosystem studies. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 8(8), 2465–2513. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-8-2465-2015>
- Ballalaj, J. M., Santos, T. P., Lessa, D. O., Venancio, I. M., Chiessi, C. M., Johnstone, H. J. H., et al. (2019). Tracking spread of the Agulhas leakage into the Western South Atlantic and its Northward transmission during the last interglacial. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, 34(11), 1744–1760. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019PA003653>
- Ballagooyen, R. C. V., Gründlingh, M. L., & Lutjeharms, J. R. E. (1994). Eddy fluxes of heat and salt from the southwest Indian Ocean into the southeast Atlantic Ocean: A case study. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 99(94), 14053–14070.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Captain and crew of the R/V *S.A. Agulhas II* for their efforts during the 2015 and 2017 SAMBA cruises and the 2016 ASCA cruise, and would like to thank Chief Scientists I. Ansoorge and M. van den Berg, as well as the South African Department of Forestry, Fisheries and Environment: Oceans and Coasts for providing the nets used during the 2017 voyage. We are also grateful to B. Hinnenberg, J. Luyt, I. Newton, S. Oleynik, R. Roman, F. Rubach, and R. Schiebel for laboratory and technical expertise, H. Forrer, P. Kemeny, and K. Spence for help with sampling, and J. Magner for assistance with Figure 9. This work was supported by the South African Department of Science and Innovation (DSI) through their SEAmester programme (seamester.co.za), the South African National Research Foundation through Grants 129320 and 116142 to SEF and Grants 112379 and 121126 to RG, the University of Cape Town through a VC Future Leaders 2030 award and Research Committee Equipment grant to SEF, and by the Royal Society/African Academy of Sciences through a FLAIR Fellowship to SEF. The 2015 SAMBA nitrate isotope analyses were supported by US NSF Grant 1060947 to DMS. The 2017 SAMBA nitrate and foraminifer nitrogen isotope analyses were supported by the Max Planck Society (MPG). The authors also acknowledge the DSI's Biogeochemistry Research Infrastructure Platform (BIOGRIP; www.biogrip.ac.za).

- Bé, A. W. H., Hemleben, C., Anderson, O. R., & Spindler, M. (1979). Chamber Formation in planktonic foraminifera. *Micropaleontology*, 25(3), 294. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1485304>
- Bé, A. W. H., Hemleben, C., Anderson, O. R., Spindler, M., Hacunda, J., & Tuntivate-Choy, S. (1977). Laboratory and field observations of living planktonic foraminifera. *Micropaleontology*, 23(2), 155–179. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1485330>
- Bé, A. W. H., & Hutson, W. H. (1977). Ecology of planktonic foraminifera and biogeographic patterns of life and fossil assemblages in the Indian ocean. *Micropaleontology*, 23(4), 369. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1485406>
- Beal, L., De Ruijter, W. P. M., Biastoch, A., Zahn, R., Cronin, M., Hermes, J., et al. (2011). On the role of the Agulhas system in ocean circulation and climate. *Nature*, 472(7344), 429–436. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09983>
- Berger, W. H., & Wefer, G. (2002). On the reconstruction of upwelling history: Namibia upwelling in context. *Marine Geology*, 180(1–4), 3–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227\(01\)00203-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(01)00203-1)
- Bergh, E., & Compton, J. (2020). Quaternary foraminifera and mollusc assemblages on the southwestern Africa shelf. *Palaeontologia Electronica*, 23(2), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.26879/1018>
- Bijma, J., Faber, W. W., & Hemleben, C. (1990). Temperature and salinity limits for growth and survival of some planktonic foraminifera in laboratory cultures. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 20(2), 95–116. <https://doi.org/10.2113/gsfjr.20.2.95>
- Bijma, J., Hemleben, C., Huber, B. T., Erlenkeuser, H., & Kroon, D. (1998). Experimental determination of the ontogenetic stable isotope variability in two morphotypes of Globigerinella siphonifera (d'Orbigny). *Marine Micropaleontology*, 35(3–4), 141–160. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8398\(98\)00017-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8398(98)00017-6)
- Bird, C., LeKieffre, C., Jauffrais, T., Meibom, A., Geslin, E., Filipsson, H. L., et al. (2020). Heterotrophic foraminifera capable of inorganic nitrogen assimilation. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 11(December), 3076. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.604979>
- Böhlke, J. K., Mroczkowski, S. J., & Coplen, T. B. (2003). Oxygen isotopes in nitrate: New reference materials for ^{18}O : ^{16}O measurements and observations on nitrate-water equilibration. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 17(16), 1835–1846. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1123>
- Boltovskoy, D. (1994). The sedimentary record of pelagic biogeography. *Progress in Oceanography*, 34(2–3), 135–160. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6611\(94\)90006-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0079-6611(94)90006-X)
- Boshers, D. S., Granger, J., Tobias, C. R., Böhlke, J. K., & Smith, R. L. (2019). Constraining the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate produced by nitrification. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 53(3), 1206–1216. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b03386>
- Braman, R. S., & Hendrix, S. A. (1989). Nanogram nitrite and nitrate determination in environmental and biological materials by Vanadium(III) reduction with chemiluminescence detection. *Analytical Chemistry*, 61(24), 2715–2718. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac00199a007>
- Buchwald, C., & Casciotti, K. L. (2010). Oxygen isotopic fractionation and exchange during bacterial nitrite oxidation. *Limnology & Oceanography*, 55(3), 1064–1074. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2010.55.3.1064>
- Buchwald, C., & Casciotti, K. L. (2013). Isotopic ratios of nitrite as tracers of the sources and age of oceanic nitrite. *Nature Geoscience*, 6(4), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1745>
- Caley, T., Giraudeau, J., Malaizé, B., Rossignol, L., & Pierre, C. (2012). Agulhas leakage as a key process in the modes of Quaternary climate changes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(18), 6835–6839. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115545109>
- Caley, T., Kim, J. H., Malaizé, B., Giraudeau, J., Laepple, T., Caillon, N., et al. (2011). High-latitude obliquity as a dominant forcing in the Agulhas current system. *Climate of the Past*, 7(4), 1285–1296. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-7-1285-2011>
- Caley, T., Peeters, F. J. C., Biastoch, A., Rossignol, L., Sebille, E. V., Durgadoo, J., et al. (2014). Quantitative estimate of the paleo-Agulhas leakage. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41(4), 1238–1246. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL059278>. Received
- Campbell, E. C. (2016). *Where three oceans meet: Nitrate isotope measurements from the South Atlantic along 34.5°S*. Senior thesis. Princeton University. Retrieved from <http://arks.princeton.edu/ark:/88435/dsp01j3860941p>
- Capone, D. G., Bronk, D. A., Mulholland, M. R., & Carpenter, E. J. (2008). *Nitrogen in the marine environment* (2nd ed., p. 1729). Elsevier.
- Carpenter, E. J., Harvey, H. R., Brian, F., & Capone, D. G. (1997). Biogeochemical tracers of the marine cyanobacterium Trichodesmium. *Deep-Sea Research Part I Oceanographic Research Papers*, 44(1), 27–38. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(96\)00091-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(96)00091-X)
- Casciotti, K. L., & McIlvin, M. R. (2007). Isotopic analyses of nitrate and nitrite from reference mixtures and application to Eastern Tropical North Pacific waters. *Marine Chemistry*, 107(2), 184–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2007.06.021>
- Casciotti, K. L., Sigman, D. M., Hastings, M. G., Böhlke, J. K., & Hilkert, a. (2002). Measurement of the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method. *Analytical Chemistry*, 74(19), 4905–4912. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac020113w>
- Chapman, M. R. (2010). Seasonal production patterns of planktonic foraminifera in the NE Atlantic Ocean: Implications for paleotemperature and hydrographic reconstructions. *Paleoceanography*, 25(1), PA1101. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008PA001708>
- Checkley, D. M., & Miller, C. A. (1989). Nitrogen isotope fractionation by oceanic zooplankton. *Deep-Sea Research, Part A: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 36(10), 1449–1456. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(89\)90050-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(89)90050-2)
- Chelton, D. B., Schlax, M. G., & Samelson, R. M. (2011). Global observations of nonlinear mesoscale eddies. *Progress in Oceanography*, 91(2), 167–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pocean.2011.01.002>
- Cléroux, C., Cortijo, J., Duplessy, J., & Zahn, R. (2007). Deep-dwelling foraminifera as thermocline temperature recorders. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 8(4), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GC001474>
- Condie, S., & Condie, R. (2016). Retention of plankton within ocean eddies. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 25(10), 1264–1277. <https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12485>
- Conte, M. H., Ralph, N., & Ross, E. H. (2001). Seasonal and interannual variability in deep ocean particle fluxes at the oceanic flux program (OFP)/Bermuda Atlantic time series (BATS) site in the Western Sargasso Sea near Bermuda. *Deep-Sea Research II*, 48(8–9), 1471–1505. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645\(00\)00150-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00150-8)
- Conway, T. M., John, S. G., & Lacan, F. (2016). Intercomparison of dissolved iron isotope profiles from reoccupation of three GEOTRACES stations in the Atlantic Ocean. *Marine Chemistry*, 183, 50–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2016.04.007>
- Demant, F., Fonseca-Batista, D., Roukaerts, A., García-Ibáñez, M. I., Le Roy, E., Thilakarathne, E. P. D. N., et al. (2021). Nitrate supply routes and impact of internal cycling in the North Atlantic ocean inferred from nitrate isotopic composition. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 35(4), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2020GB006887>
- Deniro, M., & Epstein, S. (1978). Influence of diet on the distribution of carbon isotopes in animals. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 42(5), 495–506. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mop.25285>
- De Ruijter, W. P. M., Biastoch, A., Drijfhout, S. S., Lutjeharms, J. R. E., Matano, R. P., Pichevin, T., et al. (1999). Indian-Atlantic interocean exchange: Dynamics, estimation and impact. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104(C9), 20885–20910. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JC900099>

- Deuser, W. G., Ross, E. H., Hemleben, C., & Spindler, M. (1981). Seasonal changes in species composition, numbers, mass, size, and isotopic composition of planktonic foraminifera settling into the deep Sargasso Sea. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 33(1–3), 103–127. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182\(81\)90034-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0031-0182(81)90034-1)
- Deutsch, C., Sarmiento, J. L., Sigman, D. M., Gruber, N., & Dunne, J. P. (2007). Spatial coupling of nitrogen inputs and losses in the ocean. *Nature*, 445(7124), 163–167. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05392>
- Diamond, D. (1994). *QuikChem method* (pp. 31–115). Lachat Instruments Inc.
- Donners, J., Drijfhout, S. S., & Hazeleger, W. (2005). Water mass transformation and subduction in the South Atlantic. *Journal of Physical Oceanography*, 35(10), 1841–1860. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JPO2782.1>
- Dortch, Q. (1990). The interaction between ammonium and nitrate uptake in phytoplankton. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 61(1), 183–201. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps061183>
- d'Ovidio, F., De Monte, S., Alvain, S., Dandonneau, Y., & Levy, M. (2010). Fluid dynamical niches of phytoplankton types. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(43), 18366–18370. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1004620107>
- Dufois, F., Hardman-Mountford, N. J., Greenwood, J., Richardson, A. J., Feng, M., & Matear, R. J. (2016). Anticyclonic eddies are more productive than cyclonic eddies in subtropical gyres because of winter mixing. *Science Advances*, 2(5), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1600282>
- Duncombe Rae, C. M. (1991). Agulhas retroflection rings in the South Atlantic ocean: An overview. *South African Journal of Marine Science*, 11(1), 327–344. <https://doi.org/10.2989/025776191784287574>
- Eguchi, N. O., Ujiie, H., Kawahata, H., & Taira, A. (2003). Seasonal variations in planktonic foraminifera at three sediment traps in the Subarctic, Transition and Subtropical zones of the central North Pacific Ocean. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 48(1–2), 149–163. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8398\(03\)00020-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-8398(03)00020-3)
- Eppley, R. W., Renger, E. H., & Betzer, P. R. (1983). The residence time of particulate organic carbon in the surface layer of the ocean. *Deep-Sea Research, Part A: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 30(3), 311–323. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(83\)90013-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(83)90013-4)
- Faber, W. W., Anderson, O. R., Lindsey, J. L., & Caron, D. A. (1988). Algal-foraminiferal symbiosis in the planktonic foraminifer *Globigerinella aequilatoria*; I. Occurrence and stability of two mutually exclusive chrysophyte endosymbionts and their ultrastructure. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 18(4), 334–343. <https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.18.4.334>
- Fasham, M. J. R., Ducklow, H. W., & McKelvie, S. M. (1990). A nitrogen-based model of plankton dynamics in the oceanic mixed layer. *Journal of Marine Research*, 48(3), 591–639. <https://doi.org/10.1357/002224090784984678>
- Fawcett, S. E., Lomas, M. W., Casey, J. R., Ward, B. B., & Sigman, D. M. (2011). Assimilation of upwelled nitrate by small eukaryotes in the Sargasso Sea. *Nature Geoscience*, 4(10), 717–722. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo1265>
- Fawcett, S. E., Lomas, M. W., Ward, B. B., & Sigman, D. M. (2014). The counterintuitive effect of summer-to-fall mixed layer deepening on eukaryotic new production in the Sargasso Sea. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28(2), 86–102. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013GB004579>
- Received
- Fawcett, S. E., Ward, B. B., Lomas, M. W., & Sigman, D. M. (2015). Vertical decoupling of nitrate assimilation and nitrification in the Sargasso Sea. *Deep-Sea Research Part I Oceanographic Research Papers*, 103, 64–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2015.05.004>
- Feldmeijer, W., Metcalfe, B., Brummer, G. J. A., & Ganssen, G. M. (2015). Reconstructing the depth of the permanent thermocline through the morphology and geochemistry of the deep dwelling planktonic foraminifer *Globorotalia truncatulinoides*. *Paleoceanography*, 30(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014PA002687>
- Ferreira, M. L. D. C., & Kerr, R. (2017). Source water distribution and quantification of North Atlantic deep water and Antarctic bottom water in the Atlantic Ocean. *Progress in Oceanography*, 153, 66–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poccean.2017.04.003>
- Flynn, R. F., Granger, J., Veitch, J. A., Siedlecki, S., Burger, J. M., Pillay, K., & Fawcett, S. E. (2020). On-shelf nutrient trapping enhances the fertility of the southern Benguela upwelling system. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 125(6), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015948>
- Fong, A. A., Karl, D. M., Lukas, R., Letelier, R. M., Zehr, J. P., & Church, M. J. (2008). Nitrogen fixation in an anticyclonic eddy in the oligotrophic North Pacific Ocean. *ISME Journal*, 2(6), 663–676. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.22>
- Franzese, A. M., Hemming, S. R., Goldstein, S. L., & Anderson, R. F. (2006). Reduced Agulhas leakage during the last glacial maximum inferred from an integrated provenance and flux study. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 250(1–2), 72–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2006.07.002>
- Froyland, G., Horenkamp, C., Rossi, V., & Sebille, E. V. (2015). Studying an Agulhas ring's long-term pathway and decay with finite-time coherent sets. *Chaos*, 25(8), 083119. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4927830>
- Garcia, H. E., Weathers, K., Paver, C. R., Smolyar, I., Boyer, T. P., Locarnini, R. A., et al. (2019). World Ocean Atlas 2018, volume 4: Dissolved Inorganic nutrients (phosphate, nitrate and nitrate+nitrite, silicate). In A. Mishnov (Ed.), *NOAA atlas NESDIS 84* (p. 35).
- Garzoli, S. L., & Matano, R. P. (2011). The South Atlantic and the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. *Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 58(17–18), 1837–1847. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.063>
- Gastreich, M. (1987). Ultrastructure of a new intracellular symbiotic alga found within planktonic foraminifera. *Journal of Phycology*, 25(4), 623–632. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1529-8817.1987.tb04215.x>
- Gonfiantini, R., Stichler, W., & Rozanski, K. (1993). Standards and intercomparison materials distributed by the International Atomic Energy Agency for stable isotope measurements. In *Standards and intercomparison materials distributed by the international atomic energy agency for stable isotope measurements*, (No. IAEA-TECDOC-825).
- Goni, G. J., Garzoli, S. L., Roubicek, A. J., Olson, D. B., & Brown, O. B. (1997). Agulhas ring dynamics from TOPEX/POSEIDON satellite altimeter data. *Journal of Marine Research*, 55(5), 861–883. <https://doi.org/10.1357/0022240973224175>
- Gordon, A. L. (1986). Inter-ocean exchange of thermocline water. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91(C4), 5037–5046. <https://doi.org/10.1029/jc091ic04p05037>
- Gordon, A. L., & Huber, B. A. (1990). Southern ocean winter mixed layer. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 95(C7), 11655–11672. <https://doi.org/10.1029/jc095ic07p11655>
- Gordon, A. L., Lutjeharms, J. R. E., & Gründlingh, M. L. (1987). Stratification and circulation at the Agulhas retroflection. *Deep-Sea Research, Part A: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 34(4), 565–599. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(87\)90006-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(87)90006-9)
- Gordon, A. L., Weiss, R., Smethie, W. M., & Warner, M. J. (1992). Thermocline and intermediate water communication between the South Atlantic and Indian oceans. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 97(C5), 7223–7240. <https://doi.org/10.1029/92jc00485>
- Granger, J., & Sigman, D. M. (2009). Removal of nitrite with sulfamic acid for nitrate N and O isotope analysis with the denitrifier method. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 23, 3753–3762. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm>
- Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., Needoba, J. A., & Harrison, P. J. (2004). Coupled nitrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation of nitrate during assimilation by cultures of marine phytoplankton. *Limnology & Oceanography*, 49(5), 1763–1773. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2004.49.5.1763>

- Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., Rohde, M. M., Maldonado, M. T., & Tortell, P. D. (2010). N and O isotope effects during nitrate assimilation by unicellular prokaryotic and eukaryotic plankton cultures. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 74(3), 1030–1040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2009.10.044>
- Granger, R., Smart, S., Foreman, A., Martínez-García, A., Auderset, A., Campbell, E., et al. (2024). Southeast Atlantic foraminifera N isotope data from winter 2017 [Dataset]. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10656959>
- Granger, R., Smart, S. M., Foreman, A., Martínez-García, A., Auderset, A., Campbell, E., et al. (2023). Southeast Atlantic nitrate isotope data from winter 2017 [Dataset]. *Zenodo*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7648606>
- Grasshoff, K. (1976). *Methods of seawater analysis* (2nd ed.). Verlag Chemie.
- Haas, S., Rakshit, S., Kalvelage, T., Buchwald, C., Algar, C., & Wallace, D. (2022). Characterization of nitrogen isotope fractionation during nitrification based on a coastal time series. *Limnology & Oceanography*, 9999(8), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.12161>
- Harms, N. C., Lahajnar, N., Gaye, B., Rixen, T., Dähnke, K., Ankele, M., et al. (2019). Nutrient distribution and nitrogen and oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate in water masses of the subtropical southern Indian Ocean. *Biogeosciences*, 16(13), 2715–2732. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-16-2715-2019>
- Hecht, A. D. (1976). An ecologic model for test size variation in Recent planktonic foraminifera; applications to the fossil record. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 6(4), 295–311. <https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.6.4.295>
- Hemleben, C., Bé, A. W. H., Anderson, O. R., & Tunitivate, S. (1977). Test morphology, organic layers and chamber formation of the planktonic foraminifer *Globorotalia menardii* (D'Orbigny). *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 7(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.7.1.1>
- Hemleben, C., Spindler, M., & Anderson, O. R. (1989). *Modern planktonic foraminifera* (1st ed., pp. 1–363). Springer-Verlag. <https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.20.1.90>
- Hemleben, C., Spindler, M., Breiteringer, I., & Deuser, W. G. (1985). Field and laboratory studies on the ontogeny and ecology of some globorotaliid species from the Sargasso Sea off Bermuda. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 15(4), 254–272. <https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.15.4.254>
- Hoering, T. C., & Ford, H. T. (1960). The isotope effect in the fixation of nitrogen by *Azotobacter*. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 82(2), 376–378. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja01487a031>
- Holl, C. M., Waite, A. M., Pesant, S., Thompson, P. A., & Montoya, J. P. (2007). Unicellular diazotrophy as a source of nitrogen to Leeuwin Current coastal eddies. *Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 54(8–10), 1045–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr2.2007.02.002>
- Holmes, E., Lavik, G., Fischer, G., Segl, M., Ruhland, G., & Wefer, G. (2002). Seasonal variability of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ in sinking particles in the Benguela Upwelling region. *Deep-Sea Research*, 49(2), 377–394. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(01\)00055-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(01)00055-3)
- Honjo, S., Francois, R., Manganini, S., Dymond, J., & Collier, R. (2000). Particle fluxes to the interior of the Southern Ocean in the Western Pacific sector along 170°W. *Deep Sea Research II*, 47(15), 3521–3548. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645\(00\)00077-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00077-1)
- Jonkers, L., & Kučera, M. (2015). Global analysis of seasonality in the shell flux of extant planktonic Foraminifera. *Biogeosciences*, 12(7), 2207–2226. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-2207-2015>
- Jonkers, L., & Kučera, M. (2017). Quantifying the effect of seasonal and vertical habitat tracking on planktonic foraminifera proxies. *Climate of the Past*, 13(6), 573–586. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-13-573-2017>
- Jonkers, L., Reynolds, C. E., Richey, J., & Hall, I. R. (2015). Lunar periodicity in the shell flux of planktonic foraminifera in the Gulf of Mexico. *Biogeosciences*, 12(10), 3061–3070. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-12-3061-2015>
- Jonkers, L., Van Heuven, S., Zahn, R., & Peeters, F. J. (2013). Seasonal patterns of shell flux, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of small and large N. pachyderma (s) and G. bulloides in the subpolar North Atlantic. *Paleoceanography*, 28(1), 164–174. <https://doi.org/10.1002/palo.20018>
- Kast, E. R., Stolper, D. A., Auderset, A., Higgins, J. A., Ren, H., Wang, X. T., et al. (2019). Nitrogen isotope evidence for expanded ocean suboxia in the early Cenozoic. *Science*, 364(6438), 386–389. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau5784>
- Kemle-von Mücke, S., & Oberhänsli, H. (1999). The distribution of living planktic foraminifera in relation to southeast Atlantic oceanography. In *Use of proxies in paleoceanography* (pp. 91–115). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-58646-0_3
- King, A. L., & Howard, W. R. (2001). Seasonality of foraminiferal flux in sediment traps at Chatham rise, SW Pacific: Implications for paleotemperature estimates. *Deep-Sea Research I*, 48(7), 1687–1708. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(00\)00106-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(00)00106-0)
- King, A. L., & Howard, W. R. (2003). Planktonic foraminiferal flux seasonality in Subantarctic sediment traps: A test for paleoclimate reconstructions. *Paleoceanography*, 18(1), 1019. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002PA000839>
- King, K., & Hare, P. E. (1972). Amino acid composition of the test as a taxonomic character for living and fossil planktonic foraminifera. *Micropaleontology*, 18(3), 285. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1485009>
- Knapp, A. N., DiFiore, P. J., Deutsch, C., Sigman, D. M., & Lipschultz, F. (2008). Nitrate isotopic composition between Bermuda and Puerto Rico: Implications for N_2 fixation in the Atlantic Ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 22(3), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007GB003107>
- Knapp, A. N., Sigman, D. M., & Lipschultz, F. (2005). N isotopic composition of dissolved organic nitrogen and nitrate at the Bermuda Atlantic Time-series study site. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002320>
- Knorr, G., & Lohmann, G. (2003). Southern Ocean origin for the resumption of Atlantic thermohaline circulation during deglaciation. *Letters to Nature*, 424(July), 532–536. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01856.1>
- Kretschmer, K., Jonkers, L., Kucera, M., & Schulz, M. (2018). Modeling seasonal and vertical habitats of planktonic foraminifera on a global scale. *Biogeosciences*, 15(14), 4405–4429. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-15-4405-2018>
- Lea, D. J., Mirouze, I., Martin, M. J., King, R. R., Hines, A., Walters, D., & Thurlow, M. (2018). GLOBAL_ANALYSISFORECAST_PHY_CPL_001_015. E.U. Copernicus marine Service information.
- Lekieffre, C., Spero, H. J., Fehrenbacher, J. S., Russell, A. D., Ren, H., Geslin, E., & Meibom, A. (2020). Ammonium is the preferred source of nitrogen for planktonic foraminifer and their dinoflagellate symbionts: N recycling in a symbiotic foraminifer. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 287(1929), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.0620rsbp20200620>
- Lessa, D., Morard, R., Jonkers, L., Venancio, I. M., Reuter, R., Baumeister, A., et al. (2020). Distribution of planktonic foraminifera in the subtropical South Atlantic: Depth hierarchy of controlling factors. *Biogeosciences*, 17(16), 4313–4342. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-17-4313-2020>
- Li, D. W., Xiang, R., Wu, Q., & Kao, S. J. (2019). Planktic foraminifera-bound organic nitrogen isotopic composition in contemporary water column and sediment trap. *Deep-Sea Research Part I*, 143, 28–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2018.12.003>
- Liu, J., Zhou, L., Li, J., Lin, Y., Ke, Z., Zhao, C., et al. (2020). Effect of mesoscale eddies on diazotroph community structure and nitrogen fixation rates in the South China Sea. *Regional Studies in Marine Science*, 35, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsma.2020.101106>
- Liu, K.-K., Kao, S.-J., Wen, L.-S., & Chen, K.-L. (2007). Carbon and nitrogen isotopic compositions of particulate organic matter and biogeochemical processes in the eutrophic Danshuei Estuary in northern Taiwan. *Science Direct*, 382(1), 104–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.04.019>

- Locarini, R. A., Mishonov, A. V., Antonov, J. I., Boyer, T. P., Garcia, H. E., Baranova, O. K., et al. (2013). World Ocean Atlas 2013, volume 1: Temperature. In S. Levitus & A. Mishonov (Eds.) *NOAA atlas NESDIS 73* (p. 40).
- Lohmann, G. P., & Schweitzer, P. N. (1990). Globorotalia truncatulinoides' growth and chemistry as probes of the past thermocline: 1. Shell size. *Paleoceanography*, 5(1), 55–75. <https://doi.org/10.1029/pa005i001p00055>
- Loick-Wilde, N., Weber, S. C., Conroy, B. J., Capone, D. G., Coles, V. J., Medeiros, P. M., et al. (2016). Nitrogen sources and net growth efficiency of zooplankton in three Amazon River plume food webs. *Limnology & Oceanography*, 61(2), 460–481. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.10227>
- Lončarić, N. (2006). Planktic foraminiferal content in a mature Agulhas eddy from the SE Atlantic: Any influence on foraminiferal export fluxes? *Geologia Croatica*, 59(1), 41–50. <https://doi.org/10.4154/ge.2006.03>
- Löscher, C. R., Bourbonnais, A., Dekaezemaeker, J., Charoenpong, C. N., Altabet, M. A., Bange, H. W., et al. (2016). N₂ fixation in eddies of the eastern tropical South Pacific Ocean. *Biogeosciences*, 13(10), 2889–2899. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-13-2889-2016>
- Lutjeharms, J. R. E., & Gordon, A. L. (1987). Shedding of an Agulhas ring observed at sea. *Nature*, 325(6100), 138–140. <https://doi.org/10.1038/325138a0>
- Lutjeharms, J. R. E., & Valentine, H. R. (1984). Southern ocean thermal fronts south of Africa. *Deep-Sea Research, Part A: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 31(12), 1461–1475. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149\(84\)90082-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0198-0149(84)90082-7)
- Marcks, B. A., Dos Santos, T. P., Lessa, D. V. O., Cartagena-Sierra, A., Berke, M. A., Starr, A., et al. (2023). Glacial Southern Ocean expansion recorded in foraminifera-bound nitrogen isotopes from the Agulhas Plateau during the mid-Pleistocene transition. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, 38(6), e2022PA004482. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022PA004482>
- Marconi, D., Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Campbell, E. C., Weigand, M. A., Fawcett, S. E., et al. (2017). Tropical dominance of N₂ fixation in the North Atlantic ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 31(10), 1608–1623. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016gb005613>
- Marconi, D., Weigand, M. A., & Sigman, D. M. (2019). Nitrate isotopic gradients in the North Atlantic Ocean and the nitrogen isotopic composition of sinking organic matter. *Deep-Sea Research Part I Oceanographic Research Papers*, 145, 109–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2019.01.010>
- Mariotti, A. A., Germon, J. C., Hubert, P., Kaiser, P., Letolle, R., Tardieux, A., & Tardieux, P. (1981). Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: Some principles: Illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes. *Plant and Soil*, 62(3), 413–430. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02374138>
- Marshall, T., Granger, J., Casciotti, K., Dähnke, K., Emeis, K., Marconi, D., et al. (2022). The Angola Gyre is a hotspot of dinitrogen fixation in the South Atlantic Ocean. *Communications Earth and Environment*, 3(151), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-022-00474-x>
- Marshall, T. A., Sigman, D. M., Beal, L. M., Foreman, A., Martínez-García, A., Blain, S., et al. (2023). The Agulhas current transports signals of local and remote Indian ocean nitrogen cycling. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 128(3), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022jc019413>
- Martin, B., Koppelman, R., Harmer, A., & Plonus, R.-M. (2024). Possible transport pathway of diazotrophic Trichodesmium by Agulhas leakage from the Indian into the Atlantic Ocean. *Scientific Reports*, 14(1), 2906. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-53297-5>
- Martínez-García, A., Jung, J., Ai, X. E., Sigman, D. M., Auderset, A., Duprey, N. N., et al. (2022). Laboratory assessment of the impact of chemical oxidation, mineral dissolution, and heating on the nitrogen isotopic composition of fossil-bound organic matter. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 23(8), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022GC010396>
- Martínez-García, A., Sigman, D. M., Ren, H., Anderson, R. F., Straub, M., Hodell, D. A., et al. (2014). Iron fertilization of the Subantarctic ocean during the last ice age. *Science*, 343(6177), 1347–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1246848>
- Martínez-Méndez, G., Zahn, R., Hall, I. R., Peeters, F. J. C., Pena, L. D., Cacho, I., et al. (2010). Contrasting multiproxy reconstructions of surface ocean hydrography in the Agulhas Corridor and implications for the Agulhas Leakage during the last 345,000 years. *Paleoceanography*, 25(4), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009PA001879>
- Meckler, A. N., Ren, H., Sigman, D. M., Gruber, N., Plessen, B., Schubert, C. J., & Haug, G. H. (2011). Deglacial nitrogen isotope changes in the Gulf of Mexico: Evidence from bulk sedimentary and foraminifera-bound nitrogen in Orca Basin sediments. *Paleoceanography*, 26(4), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011PA002156>
- Meilland, J., Schiebel, R., Lo Monaco, C., Sanchez, S., & Howa, H. (2018). Abundances and test weights of living planktic foraminifers across the Southwest Indian Ocean: Implications for carbon fluxes. *Deep-Sea Research Part I Oceanographic Research Papers*, 131, 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2017.11.004>
- Meilland, J., Siccha, M., Weinkauf, M. F. G., Jonkers, L., Morard, R., Baranowski, U., et al. (2019). Highly replicated sampling reveals no diurnal vertical migration but stable species-specific vertical habitats in planktonic foraminifera. *Journal of Plankton Research*, 41(2), 127–141. <https://doi.org/10.1093/plankt/fbz002>
- Minagawa, M., & Wada, E. (1984). Stepwise enrichment of ¹⁵N along food chains: Further evidence and the relation between $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and animal age. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 48(5), 1135–1140. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(84\)90204-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(84)90204-7)
- Minagawa, M., & Wada, E. (1986). Nitrogen isotope ratios of red tide organisms in the east China sea: A characterization of biological nitrogen fixation. *Marine Chemistry*, 19(3), 245–259. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203\(86\)90026-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4203(86)90026-5)
- Mino, Y., Sukigara, C., Honda, M. C., Kawakami, H., Wakita, M., Sasaoka, K., et al. (2020). Seasonal and interannual variations in nitrogen availability and particle export in the Northwestern north Pacific subtropical Gyre. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 125(5), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019JC015600>
- Möbius, J. (2013). Isotope fractionation during nitrogen remineralization (ammonification): Implications for nitrogen isotope biogeochemistry. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 105, 422–432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2012.11.048>
- Möbius, J., Lahajnar, N., & Emeis, K.-C. (2010). Diagenetic control of nitrogen isotope ratios in Holocene sapropels and recent sediments from the Eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Biogeosciences*, 7(11), 3901–3914. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-3901-2010>
- Mohtadi, M., Max, L., Hebbeln, D., Baumgart, A., Krück, N., & Jennerjahn, T. (2007). Modern environmental conditions recorded in surface sediment samples off W and SW Indonesia: Planktonic foraminifera and biogenic compounds analyses. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 65(1–2), 96–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2007.06.004>
- Montoya, J. P., Carpenter, E. J., & Capone, D. G. (2002). Nitrogen fixation and nitrogen isotope abundance in zooplankton of the oligotrophic North Atlantic. *Limnology & Oceanography*, 47(6), 1617–1628. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lno.2002.47.6.1617>
- Montoya, J. P., Horrigan, S. G., & McCarthy, J. J. (1990). Natural abundance in particulate nitrogen and zooplankton in the Chesapeake Bay. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 65(1), 35–61. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps065035>
- Moore, M. C., Mills, M. M., Achterberg, E. P., Geider, R. J., Laroche, J., Lucas, M. I., et al. (2009). Large-scale distribution of Atlantic nitrogen fixation controlled by iron availability. *Nature Geoscience*, 2(12), 867–871. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo0667>
- Moretti, S., Auderset, A., Deutsch, C., Schmitz, R., Gerber, L., Thomas, E., et al. (2024). Oxygen rise in the tropical upper ocean during the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum. *Science*, 383(6684), 727–731. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adh4893>

- Morris, T., Hermes, J., Beal, L., Du Plessis, M., Rae, C. M. D., Gulekana, M., & Ansoorge, I. J. (2017). The importance of monitoring the Greater Agulhas Current and its inter-ocean exchanges using large mooring arrays. *South African Journal of Science*, 113(7–8), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.17159/sajs.2017/20160330>
- Moutin, T., & Prieur, L. (2012). Influence of anticyclonic eddies on the biogeochemistry from the oligotrophic to the Ultraoligotrophic Mediterranean (BOUM cruise). *Biogeosciences*, 9(10), 3827–3855. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-9-3827-2012>
- Muscantine, L., Goiran, C., Land, L., Jaubert, J., Cuif, J. P., & Allemand, D. (2005). Stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$) of organic matrix from coral skeleton. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 102(5), 1525–1530. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0408921102>
- Nodder, S. D., & Northcote, L. C. (2001). Episodic particulate fluxes at southern temperate mid-latitudes ($42\text{--}45^\circ\text{S}$) in the Subtropical Front region, east of New Zealand. *Deep-Sea Research I*, 48(3), 833–864. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(00\)00062-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(00)00062-5)
- Nydahl, F. (1978). On the peroxodisulphate oxidation of total nitrogen in waters to nitrate. *Water Research*, 12, 1123–1130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354\(78\)90060-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(78)90060-x)
- Olson, D. B., Fine, R. A., & Gordon, A. L. (1992). Convective modifications of water masses in the Agulhas. *Deep-Sea Research, Part A: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 39(March), S163–S181. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0198-0149\(11\)80010-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0198-0149(11)80010-5)
- Palter, J. B., Sarmiento, J. L., Gnanadesikan, A., Simeon, J., & Slater, R. D. (2010). Fueling export production: Nutrient return pathways from the deep ocean and their dependence on the Meridional Overturning Circulation. *Biogeosciences*, 7(11), 3549–3568. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-3549-2010>
- Pasquero, C. (2005). Differential eddy diffusion of biogeochemical tracers. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(17), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL023662>
- Paul, M., Van De Fliedert, T., Rehkämper, M., Khondoker, R., Weiss, D., Lohan, M. C., & Homoky, W. B. (2015). Tracing the Agulhas leakage with lead isotopes. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(20), 8515–8521. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GL065625>
- Peeters, F. J. C., Acheson, R., Brummer, G.-J. A., De Ruijter, W. P. M., Schneider, R., Ganssen, G. M., et al. (2004). Vigorous exchange between the Indian and Atlantic oceans at the end of the past five glacial periods. *Nature*, 430(7000), 661–665. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02785>
- Peeters, F. J. C., & Brummer, G. J. A. (2002). The seasonal and vertical distribution of living planktic foraminifera in the NW Arabian Sea. *Geological Society Special Publication*, 195(1), 463–497. <https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.2002.195.01.26>
- Qi, H., Coplen, T. B., Geilmann, H., Brand, W. A., & Böhlke, J. K. (2003). Two new organic reference materials for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measurements and a new value for the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ of NBS 22 oil. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 17(22), 2483–2487. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.1219>
- Rafter, P. A., Difiore, P. J., & Sigman, D. M. (2013). Coupled nitrate nitrogen and oxygen isotopes and organic matter remineralization in the Southern and Pacific Oceans. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 118(10), 4781–4794. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrc.20316>
- Rebotim, A., Voelker, A. H. L., Jonkers, L., Waniek, J. J., Meggers, H., Schiebel, R., et al. (2017). Factors controlling the depth habitat of planktonic foraminifera in the subtropical eastern North Atlantic. *Biogeosciences*, 14(4), 827–859. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-14-827-2017>
- Ren, H., Sigman, D. M., Meckler, A. N., Plessen, B., Robinson, R. S., Rosenthal, Y., & Haug, G. H. (2009). Foraminiferal isotope evidence of reduced nitrogen fixation in the ice age Atlantic Ocean. *Science*, 323(5911), 244–248. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165787>
- Ren, H., Sigman, D. M., Thunell, R. C., & Prokopenko, M. G. (2012). Nitrogen isotopic composition of planktonic foraminifera from the modern ocean and recent sediments. *Limnology & Oceanography*, 57(4), 1011–1024. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2012.57.4.1011>
- Ren, H., Studer, A. S., Serno, S., Sigman, D. M., Winckler, G., Anderson, R. F., et al. (2015). Glacial-to-interglacial changes in nitrate supply and consumption in the subarctic North Pacific from microfossil-bound N isotopes at two trophic levels. *Paleoceanography*, 30(9), 1217–1232. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014PA002765>
- Reynolds, C. E., Richey, J. N., Fehrenbacher, J. S., Rosenheim, B. E., & Spero, H. J. (2018). Environmental controls on the geochemistry of Globorotalia truncatulinoides in the Gulf of Mexico: Implications for paleoceanographic reconstructions. *Marine Micropaleontology*, 142, 92–104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marmicro.2018.05.006>
- Rintoul, S. R. (1991). South Atlantic interbasin exchange. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 96(C2), 2675–2692. <https://doi.org/10.1029/90jc02422>
- Robbins, L. L., & Brew, K. (1990). Proteins from the organic matrix of core-top and fossil planktonic foraminifera. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 54(8), 2285–2292. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037\(90\)90052-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(90)90052-M)
- Robinson, R. S., Kienast, M., Luiza Albuquerque, A., Altabet, M. A., Contreras, S., De Pol-Holz, R., et al. (2012). A review of nitrogen isotopic alteration in marine sediments. *Paleoceanography*, 27(PA4203), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2012PA002321>
- Robinson, R. S., Smart, S. M., Cybulski, J. D., McMahon, K. W., Marcks, B., & Nowakowski, C. (2023). Insights from fossil-bound nitrogen isotopes in Diatoms, foraminifera, and corals. *Annual Review of Marine Science*, 15(1), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-marine-032122-104001>
- Rohde, M. M., Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., & Lehmann, M. F. (2015). Coupled nitrate N and O stable isotope fractionation by a natural marine plankton consortium. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 2(May), 28. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2015.00028>
- Rühs, S., Durgadoo, J. V., Behrens, E., & Biastoch, A. (2013). Advective timescales and pathways of Agulhas leakage. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 40(15), 3997–4000. <https://doi.org/10.1002/grl.50782>
- Salgueiro, E., Voelker, A. H. L., Martin, P. A., Rodrigues, T., Zúñiga, D., Froján, M., et al. (2020). $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and Mg/Ca thermometry in planktonic foraminifera: A multiproxy approach toward tracing coastal upwelling dynamics. *Paleoceanography and Paleoclimatology*, 35(2), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019PA003726>
- Samanta, S., Cloete, R., Dey, S., Barraqueta, J., Looek, J., Meynecke, J., et al. (2023). Exchange of Pb from Indian to Atlantic Ocean is driven by Agulhas current and atmospheric Pb input from south Africa. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1), 5465. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-32613-5>
- Sarmiento, J. L., Gruber, N., Brzezinski, M. A., & Dunne, J. P. (2004). High-latitude controls of thermocline nutrients and low latitude biological productivity. *Nature*, 427(6969), 56–60. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02127>
- Savoye, N., Aminot, A., Tréguer, P., Fontugne, M., Naulet, N., & Kérouel, R. (2003). Dynamics of particulate organic matter $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ during spring phytoplankton blooms in a macrotidal ecosystem (Bay of Seine, France). *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 255, 27–41. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps255027>
- Schiebel, R., Bijma, J., & Hemleben, C. (1997). Population dynamics of the planktic foraminifer Globigerina bulloides from the eastern North Atlantic. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 44(9–10), 1701–1713. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0967-0637\(97\)00036-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0967-0637(97)00036-8)
- Schiebel, R., & Hemleben, C. (2005). Modern planktic foraminifera. *Paläontologische Zeitschrift*, 79(1), 135–148. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03021758>
- Schiebel, R., & Hemleben, C. (2017). *Planktic foraminifera in the modern ocean* (pp. 1–358). Springer-Verlag. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-50297-6>
- Schiebel, R., Smart, S. M., Jentzen, A., Jonkers, L., Morard, R., Meilland, J., et al. (2018). Advances in planktonic foraminifer research: New perspectives for paleoceanography. *Revue de Micropaleontologie*, 61(3–4), 113–138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revmic.2018.10.001>

- Schmid, C., Boebel, O., Zenk, W., Lutjeharms, J. R. E., Garzoli, S. L., Richardson, P., & Barron, C. (2003). Early evolution of an Agulhas ring. *Deep-Sea Research Part II*, 50(1), 141–166. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645\(02\)00382-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00382-X)
- Schmitt, R. W., & Olson, D. B. (1985). Wintertime convection in warm-core rings: Thermocline ventilation and the formation of mesoscale lenses. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 90(C5), 8823–8837. <https://doi.org/10.1029/jc090ic05p08823>
- Schouten, M., De Ruijter, W. P. M., Leeuwen, P. J. V., & Lutjeharms, J. R. E. (2000). Translation, decay and splitting of Agulhas rings in the southeastern Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105(9), 21913–21925.
- Schubert, C. J., & Calvert, S. E. (2001). Nitrogen and carbon isotopic composition of marine and terrestrial organic matter in Arctic ocean sediments: Implications for nutrient utilization and organic matter composition. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers*, 48(3), 789–810. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637\(00\)00069-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0637(00)00069-8)
- Sen Gupta, B. K. (2003). *Modern foraminifera* (Vol. 36, p. 384). Kluwer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-48104-9>
- Siegel, D. A., Ohlmann, J. C., Washburn, L., Bidigare, R. R., Nosse, C. T., Fields, E., & Zhou, Y. (1995). Solar radiation, phytoplankton pigments and the radiant heating of the equatorial Pacific warm pool. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 100(C3), 4885–4891. <https://doi.org/10.1029/94JC03128>
- Sigman, D. M., Altabet, M. A., McCorkle, D. C., Francois, R., & Fischer, G. (1999). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of nitrate in the Southern Ocean: Nitrate consumption in surface waters. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 13(4), 1149–1166. <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB900038>
- Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., & Böhlke, J. K. (2001). A bacterial method for the nitrogen isotopic analysis of nitrate in seawater and freshwater. *Analytical Chemistry*, 73(17), 4145–4153. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac010088e>
- Sigman, D. M., DiFiore, P. J., Hain, M. P., Deutsch, C., Wang, Y., Karl, D. M., et al. (2009). The dual isotopes of deep nitrate as a constraint on the cycle and budget of oceanic fixed nitrogen. *Deep-Sea Research Part I Oceanographic Research Papers*, 56(9), 1419–1439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2009.04.007>
- Sigman, D. M., Granger, J., DiFiore, P. J., Lehmann, M. F., Ho, R., Cane, G., & Geen, A. V. (2005). Coupled nitrogen and oxygen isotope measurements of nitrate along the eastern North Pacific margin. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 19(4), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GB002458>
- Simon, M. H., Ziegler, M., Barker, S., van der Meer, M. T. J., Schouten, S., & Hall, I. R. (2020). A late Pleistocene dataset of Agulhas Current variability. *Scientific Data*, 7(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00689-7>
- Smart, S. M., Fawcett, S. E., Ren, H., Schiebel, R., Tompkins, E. M., García, A. M., et al. (2020). The nitrogen isotopic composition of tissue and shell-bound organic matter of planktic foraminifera in Southern Ocean surface waters. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 21(2), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2019gc008440>
- Smart, S. M., Ren, H., Fawcett, S. E., Schiebel, R., Conte, M., Rafter, P. A., et al. (2018). Ground-truthing the planktic foraminifer-bound nitrogen isotope paleo-proxy in the Sargasso Sea. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 235, 463–482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2018.05.023>
- Sohm, J. A., Webb, E. A., & Capone, D. G. (2011). Emerging patterns of marine nitrogen fixation. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 9(July), 499–508. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2594>
- Somes, C. J., Schmittner, A., Galbraith, E. D., Lehmann, M. F., Altabet, M. A., Montoya, J. P., et al. (2010). Simulating the global distribution of nitrogen isotopes in the ocean. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 24(4), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GB003767>
- Sousa, S. H. M., de Godoi, S. S., Amaral, P. G. C., Vicente, T. M., Martins, M. V. A., Sorano, M. R. G. S., et al. (2014). Distribution of living planktonic foraminifera in relation to oceanic processes on the southeastern continental Brazilian margin (23°S–25°S and 40°W–44°W). *Continental Shelf Research*, 89, 76–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2013.11.027>
- Spero, H. J. (1988). Ultrastructural examination of chamber morphogenesis and biomineralization in the planktonic foraminifer *Orbulina universa*. *Marine Biology*, 99(1), 9–20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00644972>
- Spindler, M., Hemleben, C., Salomons, J. B., & Smit, L. P. (1984). Feeding behavior of some planktonic foraminifera in laboratory cultures. *Journal of Foraminiferal Research*, 14(4), 237–249. <https://doi.org/10.2113/gsjfr.14.4.237>
- Strickland, J. D. H., & Parsons, T. R. (1968). A Practical Handbook of seawater analysis. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1979241>
- Tesdal, J. E., Galbraith, E. D., & Kienast, M. (2013). Nitrogen isotopes in bulk marine sediment: Linking seafloor observations with subsurface records. *Biogeosciences*, 10(1), 101–118. <https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-10-101-2013>
- Thunell, R. C., Sigman, D. M., Muller-Karger, F., Astor, Y., & Varela, R. (2004). Nitrogen isotope dynamics of the Cariaco basin, Venezuela. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 18(3), GB3001. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003gb002185>
- Treibe, L. A., Fawcett, S. E., Lomas, M. W., & Sigman, D. M. (2014). Nitrogen isotopic response of prokaryotic and eukaryotic phytoplankton to nitrate availability in Sargasso Sea surface waters. *Limnology & Oceanography*, 59(3), 972–985. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.2014.59.3.0972>
- Tuerena, R. E., Ganeshram, R. S., Geibert, W., Fallick, A. E., Dougans, J., Tait, A., et al. (2015). Nutrient cycling in the Atlantic basin: The evolution of nitrate isotope signatures in water masses. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 29(10), 1830–1844. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015GB005164>. Received
- Uhle, M. E., Macko, S. A., Spero, H. J., David, W. L., Ruddiman, W. F., & Engel, M. H. (1999). The fate of nitrogen in the *Orbulina universa* foraminifera-symbiont system determined by nitrogen isotope analyses of shell-bound organic matter. *Limnology & Oceanography*, 44(8), 1968–1977. <https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1999.44.8.1968>
- Uhle, M. E., Macko, S. A., Spero, H. J., Engel, M. H., & Lea, D. W. (1997). Sources of carbon and nitrogen in modern planktonic foraminifera: The role of algal symbionts as determined by bulk and compound specific stable isotopic analyses. *Organic Geochemistry*, 27(3–4), 103–113. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380\(97\)00075-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0146-6380(97)00075-2)
- Van Aken, H. M., Van Veldhoven, A. K., Veth, C., De Ruijter, W. P. M., Van Leeuwen, P. J., Drijfhout, S. S., et al. (2003). Observations of a young Agulhas ring, Astrid, during MARE in March 2000. *Deep-Sea Research Part II Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 50(1), 167–195. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645\(02\)00383-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00383-1)
- Van Oostende, N., Fawcett, S. E., Marconi, D., Lueders-Dumont, J., Sabadel, A. J. M., Woodward, E. M. S., et al. (2017). Variation of summer phytoplankton community composition and its relationship to nitrate and regenerated nitrogen assimilation across the North Atlantic Ocean. *Deep-Sea Research Part I Oceanographic Research Papers*, 121(June 2016), 79–94. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2016.12.012>
- Wallschuss, S., Mdutshana, M., Parrott, R. G., Forrer, H. J., Roman, R., Walker, D., et al. (2022). The influence of Agulhas leakage on primary production and nitrogen cycling in the southeastern Atlantic Ocean. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 127(9), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JC018971>
- Wang, L., Huang, B., Laws, E. A., Zhou, K., Liu, X., Xie, Y., & Dai, M. (2018). Anticyclonic eddy edge effects on phytoplankton communities and particle export in the northern South China sea. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 123(11), 7632–7650. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2017JC013623>
- Wankel, S. D., Kendall, C., Pennington, J. T., Chavez, F. P., & Paytan, A. (2007). Nitrification in the euphotic zone as evidenced by nitrate dual isotopic composition: Observations from Monterey Bay, California. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 21(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006GB002723>

- Waterson, A. M., Edgar, K. M., Schmidt, D. N., & Valdes, P. J. (2017). Quantifying the stability of planktic foraminiferal physical niches between the Holocene and Last Glacial Maximum. *Paleoceanography*, 32(1), 74–89. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016PA002964>
- Wefer, G., Berger, W. H., Siedler, G., & Werb, D. (1996). *The South Atlantic*. Springer (p. 644).
- Weigand, M. A., Foriel, J., Barnett, B., Oleynik, S., & Sigman, D. M. (2016). Updates to instrumentation and protocols for isotopic analysis of nitrate by the denitrifier method. *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrometry*, 30(12), 1365–1383. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.7570>
- Weijer, W., De Ruijter, W. P. M., Sterl, A., & Drijfhout, S. S. (2002). Response of the Atlantic overturning circulation to South Atlantic sources of buoyancy. *Global and Planetary Change*, 34(3–4), 293–311. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181\(02\)00121-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0921-8181(02)00121-2)
- Zarkogiannis, S., Kontakiotis, G., & Antonarakou, A. (2020). Recent planktonic foraminifera population and size response to Eastern Mediterranean hydrography. *Revue de Micropaleontologie*, 69(100450), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revmic.2020.100450>