Causes of performance differences between scallop culture in Peru and Chile: a bio-economical modelling approach

Bossier Sieme¹, Matthias Wolff², Marc Taylor², and Karline Soetaert³

- ¹ Interuniversity Master in Marine and Lacustrine Science and Management, University of Brussels, University of Ghent and University of Antwerp, Belgium E-mail: sieme.bossier@ugent.be
- ² Leibniz Center for Tropical Marine Ecology (ZMT), Fahrenheitstraße 8, 28359 Bremen, Germany
- ³ Department of Ecosystem Studies, Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), Yerseke, the Netherlands

Peru and Chile cultivate the same scallop species (*Argopecten purpuratus*). While the Peruvian scallop farming on the seafloor has proliferated greatly over the past decade, the scallop cultivation in hanging cultures in Chile has greatly decreased during the same period. We attempt to understand these changes in production by intertwining different research disciplines: biology, economy, modelling. We plan to assemble data on growth and mortality rates, harvest size and season, cultivation costs and scallop market prices at both places, in order to feed this data into a bio–economic model for both sites (e.g. Taylor *et al.*, 2006; Molina *et al.*, 2012). Using this model, we expect to estimate and compare profitability and rentability of the different modes of aquaculture in both countries. We expect to find differences in environmental as well as economic conditions between both places, with faster scallop growth to market size and lower production costs in Peru.

References

Molina R., R. Cerda, E. Gonzalez, and F. Hurtado. 2012. Simulation model of the scallop (*Argopecten purpuratus*) farming in northern Chile: some applications in the decision making process. Latin American journal of Aquatic Research 40(3). Special Issue: SI. p.679–693.

Taylor M.H., V. Koch, M. Wolff, and F. Sinsel. 2006. Evaluation of different shallow water culture methods for the scallop *Nodipecten subnodosus* using biologic and economic modeling. Aquaculture 254:301–316. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.10.048.