Distribution limited

IOC/INF-518
Paris, 21 October 1982
English only

INTERGOVERNMENTAL OCEANOGRAPHIC COMMISSION
(of Unesco)

Twelfth Session of the Assembly
Paris, 3-20 November 1982

REPORT TO THE INTERGOVERNMENTAL OCEANOGRAPHIC COMMISSION ON OCEANOGRAPHIC DRIFTING BUOYS

prepared by John Garrett,
Chairman, SCOR Working Group 66:
Oceanographic Applications of Drifting Buoys.

(SC-82/CONF.218/COL.36)

Contents:

This is a preliminary version of the report requested by IOC, and has been prepared by the WG66 chairman with minimal consultation with the members. It is hoped that a final version will be forthcoming which will incorporate the suggestions of the membership.

1. Introduction

The availability of satellite systems for locating low power transmitters and storing the data they have transmitted has made it possible to track relatively small and inexpensive drifting buoys anywhere on the Earth's oceans. Such buoys have now been in use in oceanography for nearly a decade. This report attempts to provide a brief summary of the current level of technological achievement, and to outline some future possibilities and needs.

2. Background

Drifting buoys have a long history of use in oceanography, principally for the measurement of currents by following the motions of floats attached to some form of sea anchor or drogue. Such techniques are normally restricted to limited areas by the tracking method employed. Shore based visual, radar or radio direction finding tracking systems suffer from range limitations, while the finite speed and endurance of ships and aircraft combine with their high operational cost to make it impractical to track more than a few buoys for a few days or weeks.

The advent of artificial satellites carrying systems for collecting data from remote transmitters of relatively low power eliminated many of these restrictions. However the necessity of determining buoy position by reference to some existing navigation system resulted in comparatively expensive systems limited to working within the coverage of the navigation system. The development of systems which permitted the calculation of the position of a buoy or other remote platform directly from information received by the satellite, independent of other navigational aids, has removed even these limitations. The availability of satellite data collection and location systems which can routinely determine the position of a relatively inexpensive and low power station on a global basis has led to the present interest in oceanographic applications of drifting buoys and similar automatic platforms.

In the decade for which practical satellite tracking systems have been available, satellite-tracked drifting buoys have already made significant contributions to oceanography. Buoys tracked by the Eole satellite, and later by the RAMS system aboard Nimbus IV, helped reveal the nature of the eddy field in the Tasman Sea. The trajectories of drifters deployed as part of the NORPAX project contributed to the description of the easterly drift in the North Pacific. Drifting buoys deployed in the Gulf

Stream and Kuroshio have helped describe the nature of the meandering and eddy-shedding processes in these currents. Similarly, the trajectories of buoys in the Agulhas Current helped clarify ideas regarding the path of that current and its interactions with bottom topography. Tracks of drifters in the North Atlantic have confirmed several old ideas about the current patterns at the tail of the Banks. The large array of meteorological drifting buoys deployed in the Southern Hemisphere for the FGGE has produced a great deal of information about current systems and the statistics of meso-scale motions in the Southern Ocean. Equatorial regions in all three oceans have been studied using drifting buoys, which have revealed details of both time dependent behaviour and the structure of the mean currents. One of the earliest applications of satellite-tracked automatic stations was in studying the movement of Arctic pack ice, and such stations have been in almost continual use in both the Arctic and the Antarctic ever since. The attached bibliography gives references to all but the most recent work.

With care in the design, construction and handling of the buoy and its components, lifetimes of a year or more are not unusual. Of the 368 buoys deployed for the FGGE, 263 continued to transmit for more than 6 months, 177 for more than a year, and 56 for more than 18 months.

In almost all cases the main interest of oceanographers has been in the buoy tracks, even though many of the buoys were equipped with other sensors. Data from buoys equipped with drogues in the upper mixed layer is considered to be an accurate measure of the currents in that layer. However, the drogues do not last as long as the buoys, so that there has been considerable interest in interpreting the data from buoys without drogues. Rather surprisingly, the movements of such buoys are not obviously dominated by the wind, to the extent that, for some buoy types, it is difficult to tell from the buoy track whether or not a drogue is attached to the buoy.

The most commonly measured parameters have been barometric pressure and surface water temperature. Both types of measurements have been made reliably over long periods as part of both research and operational programs. The main difficulty in using the data has been that it is often hard to detect drifts or shifts in the sensor calibrations, due to a lack of other comparison data in the very isolated regions where the buoys are most valuable.

Although most drifting buoys have been launched from research vessels or other scientifically oriented ships, many have been deployed by normal commercial vessels, including container ships. A number have also been launched from aircraft, with no apparent degradation of their performance.



Figure 1. Tracks of drifting buoys in the North Atlantic)
(courtesy P. Richardson, WHOI)



Figure 2. Tracks of drifting buoys launched south of 20° South during the FGGE

3. Current Status

3.1 Data Collection and Location System

At the present time, the only operational satellite-based data collection and location system is the Argos system carried aboard the NOAA series of polar-orbiting satellites. This system first went into service in late 1978, and is planned to continue until at least 1987. The accuracy of buoy positions obtained with this system depends on the stability of the buoy transmitter, but is usually better than 1 km. with currently available commercial transmitters. There are normally two satellites in operation, which means that a minimum of 4 positions per day are obtained from a buoy in equatorial regions, and up to 28 positions per day in polar areas. In temperate latitudes 8 positions per day are typically provided. These are not equally spaced in time due to the fact that the angle between the orbital planes of the two satellites is approximately 60°, rather than the 90° which would give equal spacing of the data.

The special buoy transmitters used with Argos transmit at intervals of approximately 1 minute, and can send up to 64 data words of 8 bits each on every transmission. By sending different parts of the message in subsequent transmissions up to 256 data words can be sent with good probability of reception of the entire message.

The Argos system is administered by Service Argos, which is located in Toulouse, France and is part of the French space agency, Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES). To avoid interference between buoys a registration procedure must be followed, in which each buoy is given a unique identification code by Service Argos. Similarly, transmitters for use with the system must meet certain technical criteria and be approved by Service Argos. The frequency band used (401 MHz) is reserved for environmental purposes, so that programs using the Argos system must be related to environmental studies, and be accepted by the joint NOAA-NASA-CNES Argos Operations Commitee which normally meets two or three times a year. Details on the various administrative procedures which must be followed may be obtained by contacting Service Argos at the address given in Annex 1. This administrative process should be begun several months before the first transmissions are planned.

Depending on the requirements of the buoy user, there are several ways in which the data collected by the Argos system may be obtained. In addition to overseeing the administration of the system, Service Argos also operates a data processing centre in Toulouse, which can calculate buoy positions and also decode data transmissions. The resulting positions and data are then available to the user on computer compatible tapes on a monthly or fortnighly basis. They are also available in computer files accessible by telephone, or telex, within between 1.5 hours and 8 hours after the time of transmission. Data meeting the necessary criteria , including an appropriate format for the transmissions from buoy to satellite, may be distributed automatically over the Global Telecommunications System (GTS) operated by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO), again within 1.5 to 8 hours after being transmitted by the buoy.

Although the administration of the Argos system is supplied without charge, the data processing service is operated on a cost recovery basis, with charges based on the number of days for which transmissions are received for each buoy plus the costs of data delivery. For 1982 the standard rate was 125 French Francs per buoy day, plus 5 FF per day for access to the computer files, and 500 FF for each magnetic tape containing data from one experiment and sent outside the European Postal Union. However the rate structure is complicated and these are only examples, so that Service Argos should be contacted for details. Government and other non-profit users may reduce their costs by participating in the Argos Joint Tariff Agreement, which involves payment of a fixed cost covering all buoy usage up to a certain maximum. The best source of information is again Service Argos.

Buoy users requiring data within times shorter than a few hours after transmission have also the possibility of receiving the data directly from the satellite. Each buoy transmission is rebroadcast by the satellite as soon as it is received, so that anyone with a suitable receiver within radio range of the satellite can receive the data. Such receivers, or Local User Terminals (LUTs) are already being operated by a number of agencies, and are also available commercially. Although buoy positions can be determined from the information received by a LUT, the accuracies achieved so far are measured in tens of kilometers, much worse than those obtained through Service Argos's Toulouse processing centre. Transmitters for use with LUTs must still follow the Argos administrative procedures, to avoid interference aboard the satellite. There is no charge for use of the satellite with an LUT if the Argos processing centre is not also used. Some LUT operators have found it prudent to take advantage of the "back-up" service offered by Service Argos, which permits a rapid change from LUT to Argos processing centre in the event of a malfunction of the LUT, as well as allowing recovery of data up to three months after it was transmitted.

Buoy users have generally been very happy with the technical performance of the Argos system, and with the position accuracy in particular. On the other hand, the complexity of the administrative procedures has resulted in confusion and delay for many. Also the fact that the cost of data processing and position calculation, which must be paid by the user, is comparable to the cost of the buoy itself has restricted many users programs.

3.2 Buoys

Although many of the drifting buoys used by oceanographers continue to be built in laboratories or by small companies closely associated with laboratories, most of them follow similar basic designs. The most common consists of a cylindrical spar buoy, typically 20cm in diameter by 2 to 4 m in length, with a conical floatation collar in the region of the water line. The batteries, transmitter and other electronics are located inside the spar, which may be of metal or plastic material, and the antenna is located in a fibreglass reiniforced plastic cone or cylinder at the top. Depending on the complexity of the electronics and the battery life desired, the buoys weigh between 70 and 150 kg. Commercially available buoys built along such lines have demonstrated good reliability under all ocean conditions. Two examples are shown in Figure 3. A list of major known suppliers is given in Annex 2.

The standard designs have not yet fully explcited the possibilities for simple, small lightweight buoys which are permitted by the low power requirements of the transmitters. Some indications of these possibilities are given by Hermes Electronics' "air drop drifter" , shown in Figure 4a, and the CEIS CML 80 MP beacon, shown in Figure 4b. The former, which is suitable for deployment from light aircraft and small vessels, can be packaged in a cube 60 cm on a side, complete with drogue, and weighs 29 kg with batteries for 6 months operaticns. The latter, which was developed for attachment to the deck of sailing yachts participating in long distance races, is 40cm in diameter and 15 cm in height, with batteries for three to six months, and could be equally well attached to any sort of simple float of buoy to create an oceanographic drifting buoy.

3.3 Drogues

Confidence in the ability of drifting buoys to represent ocean currents is greatly enhanced by the addition of a sea anchor or drogue to increase the cross-sectional area of the buoy system at the depth at which the currents are to be measured. The most widely used forms of drogue have been parachutes and window-blind drogues. The drift of the buoy comes about as a result of the combined forces of wind drag, drag due to the motion of the buoy hull with respect to the water, and drag on the drogue and drogue line due to their motion with respect to the water at their respective depths. In the simplest case, where the drogue is in the surface mixed layer, the current seen by the buoy and by the drogue may be assumed to be the same, so the only forces which must be considered are the wind drag, generalised to include related ocean surface boundary layer effects acting in the direction of the wind, and the drag due to the movement of buoy and drogue through the water. The ratio between these two forces will depend on the relative densities of air and water (1/1000) and the ratic of the cross-sectional areas exposed to air and water. For a cylindrical buoy with equal areas above and



Figure 3. Two examples of commercially available drifting buoys: a)Safare-Crozet Marisonde, b)Hermes Electronics FGGE Drifter



Figure 4. Two examples of advanced designs exploiting the compactness of Argos beacon transmitters: a)Hermes Electronics Air Deployable Drifter, b) CML-80-MP from C.E.I.S.Espace,Centre Commercial de Gros, Avenue de Larrieu, 31094 Toulouse Cedex, France

below the water-line, and no drogue, the drift would be about 3% of the wind speed if the logarithmic boundary layers were neglected. In practice the wind drag seems to be much smaller than would be expected from theorectical calculations, perhaps due to the modification of the wind profile by waves or to the reduction in the buoy drag coefficient due to the high levels of turbulence in the atmospheric boundary layer. With the addition of a drogue it is possible to reduce the calculated drift to less than 1 or 2 cm/sec under all but the most extreme wind conditions.

In the case where the drogue is at a depth where the current is significantly different from that in the upper mixed layer the situation becomes much more complex. If the current shear is sufficiently large, the main balance of forces is between the drag on the buoy hull, the drag on the drogue and the drag on the tether line connecting them. Here the relative speeds of the hull and drogue with respect to the water at their particular levels will be inversely proportional to the square roots of their respective areas. This makes it much more difficult reduce the drift of the drogue relative to the water at its level to less than a few cm/sec, which may be quite significant if the currents at that depth are only a few cm/sec. Differences in direction between the currents in the surface layer and those at the drogue depth may also lead to a large errors in the apparent current at drogue depth.

The parachute is attractive for use as a drogue because of its relatively low cost and weight and the large surface area which can be obtained with from a compact predeployment package. Also, because of the horizontal length of the shroud lines, the buoy may move relatively freely in the vertical direction in response to waves. On the other hand, a parachute requires a certain minimum drift through the water to remain open, and there is always concern that, once collapsed, it will remain closed due to tangling of the shroud lines. In most cases where parachutes have been used, they have been deployed at depths of greater than 30m.

The other widely used type of drogue is the "window blind", which resembles a square sail suspended by a line attached to its upper yard. This has the advantage of remaining deployed even when it has no motion relative to the water. It is also relatively compact and is easily packaged with the buoy when the spars of the drogue are shorter than the buoy hull. Its main disadvantage is its great resistance to vertical motion, which, in the presence of waves, results in high loads on the buoy, the drogue support line and the drogue itself. To reduce this it is necessary to allow sufficient stretch or compliance in the drogue support line.

Many other types of drogues are possible. These include the "sock" (a vertical cylinder made of fabric with open hoops at the ends), various shapes made of rigid material, and long lengths of rope weighted at the free end.

One of the problems with most currently available drogues is that their average lifetime is less than that of the buoy. In many cases, it is not possible to unambiguously detect in the buoy trajectory the point at which the drogue has been lost. Several different principles have been used in attempting to design a sensor which will indicate whether the drogue is still attached, but the results have generally proven to be as unreliable as the drogue, introducing further uncertainties.

3.4 Sensors

The sensors which have been used most often on drifting buoys have measured surface temperature and barometric pressure. Although experience with such sensors goes back for several years, and includes the FGGE where more than 300 buoys with these sensors were used, much care is still required by the manufacturer and buoy user to ensure accurate and reliable measurements. In order to obtain barometric pressure measurements to an accuracy useful for the computation of geostrophic winds, careful attention must be giver to the choice of pressure sensor and to the design of the pressure inlet or port. An accuracy of 1 mb over the life of the buoy is still difficult to achieve, and even more dificult to verify. If barometric pressure measurments are required, it is recommended that one of the manufacurers with experience in the FGGE be consulted, as well as the meteorological agencies with experience in using drifting buoys.

Measurement of the water temperature at a shallow depth on the buoy hull is fairly straightforward, and standard techniques will yield an accuracy of 0.1 °C. The main problems are radiational heating of the sensor, and sensor drift over the buoy lifetime. One manufacturer encountered reliability problems because housing for the temperature sensor was made of a material which was electrochemically active with the buoy hull, and consequently corroded, reducing the sensor life. Others have avoided such problems by placing the temperature sensor inside the buoy, in thermal contact with the metal hull. The true accuracy of buoy temperature observations at sea has been difficult to assess due to the buoy sensors having different time constants and being at different depths from other conventional sensors.

Much work has been done on developing systems for obtaining water temperature measurements below the surface, with the result that such systems can now be expected to have a reliable life of several months and to not cost more than the rest of the buoy. The main problem has been in the mechanical engineering of the connection between the cable containing the temperature sensors and the buoy hull, which usually has a very "lively" motion in the ocean wave field. In the earlier versions, repeated flexure of the cable under load led to breaking of the electrical conductors. This problem seems to have been solved independently by the Polar Research Laboratory, the Bedford Institute of

Oceanography, and the Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique du Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle in Paris, all of whom have built thermistor chains which have survived several months at sea. The systems built to date only measure in the upper 100 to 150m, but efforts to extend this depth are continuing. Much improvement in such sensors can be expected in the next few years if the present level of development effort continues.

The measurement of wind speed and wind direction have also received some attention, but no satisfactory technique has resulted. The main problems are in finding an anemometer which will function reliably in the harsh environment close to the sea surface, and in deciding how to interpret measurements from a level usually below the average height of the wave crests.

Wave measurments have also been made from drifting buoys. In view of the similarity in size and heave response between typical drifting buoys and commercially available wave measuring buoys such as the "Waverider", these operationally proven systems can be readily adapted for use with multipurpose drifters. Also, commercially available wave measuring buoys equipped with appropriate satellite transmitters can be used in a free drifting mode if so desired.

Other sensors which have been considered, but which have not yet received any serious development effort, include air temperature, wet bulb temperature, air-sea temperature difference, incident radiation, and ambient noise for precipitation and wind speed. It has also been suggested that drifting buoys equipped with echo sounders could provide bathymetric data in regions which are presently poorly known, such as parts of the South Pacific and the Arctic Oceans.

One of the major problems with drifting buoy sensors is that of determining their accuracy under operational conditions. They are normally deployed in remote areas, where there are very few sources of more conventional data for use in comparisons. The sampling charactersitics of the buoy sensors may be so different from sensors carried aboard the ship launching the buoys that comparisons are difficult, even when special procedures are followed. A sensor which was operating perfectly at time of launch may drift or fail in some subtle way in the months following. In planning drifting buoy programs in remote areas no opportunity to obtain data which could verify buoy sensor performance should be neglected.

3.5 Interpretation of drifting buoy data

To the extent to which drifting buoys follow the motion of water particles, their data may be considered to be Lagrangian, in contrast with the Eulerian data obtained with sensors which are fixed relative to the Earth. Lagrangian data requires an entirely different set of techniques for analysis and interpretation, much of which is yet to be developed.

Considerable progress has already been made in theoretically relating the statistics obtained from Lagrangian measurements in a number of simple flow fields to those obtained from Eulerian measurements of the same flows. In practice, however, most of the work using drifting buoys in a quantitative way has relied on transformation of the buoy data into a quasi-Eulerian form. This usually involves an assumption that the flow is steady, or at least that the time required for features to change is much longer than the time required for a buoy to cross them.

Qualitative interpretations of buoy tracks have also proven to be useful in a variety of ways. They can be used to relate the locations of ship based observations to surrounding dynamic features. Also they may reveal flow patterns related to bottom topography, in the deep ocean as well as on the continental shelf, and thus aid in interpreting moored current meter data. Some types of oceanic features involve surface convergences, and will consquently trap drifting buoys, which then can be used to track the positions of the features.

The lack of understanding surrounding the effects of wind on drifting buoys and on the currents of the uppermost few meters of water has made many oceanographers justifiably cautious about directly relating buoy motions to ocean currents. Indirect evidence is beginning to accumulate which seems to indicate that wind effects are less important than might be expected. An example of this is shown in Figure 5, where the eddy kinetic of buoy motions in the North Atlantic is clearly out of phase with the eddy kinetic energy of the winds.



Figure 5: Eddy kinetic energy of winds and drifting buoy motions in the western North Atlantic, as a function of time during the year. (supplied by P.Richardson, WHOI)

4. Future prospects and needs

Drifting buoys have obviously been accepted as one of the standard techniques available to oceanographers, even though, like the other standard techniques, they have some limitations. Unlike some of the other techniques, which have reached a plateau of evolution, the drifting buoy technique is still under active improvement and development along clearly defined lines. One of these is in the area of sensors, particularly for subsurface measurements, but also for surface observations. Another area in which the technique can be expected to evolve is that of velocity measurement, where improvements in drogue performance and reliability will combine with improved understanding of the behaviour of the upper few metres of the ocean to reduce or eliminate many of the uncertainties currently experienced in interpreting buoy movements in terms of ocean currents. Thus there is every reason to expect increased scientific use of drifting buoys in programs requiring measurements from the upper ocean.

Although oceanographers can take credit for the initial demonstrations that small drifting buoy systems were feasible, much of the subsequent improvement in reliability and measurement capability has been achieved in response to the needs of meteorologists. The planning and implementation of the First Garp Global Experiment, with clearly stated requirements and definite deadlines, provided the momentum which brought substantial advances in drifter technology. The FGGE buoy array clearly demonstrated the capabilities and cost-effectiveness of such buoy systems, but their incorporation into operational meteorological systems has been much slower than hoped for. In the absence of a well defined meterological demand for more, better, and cheaper buoys, would-be buoy developers have been faced with an unorganized oceanographic research community in which every investigator wants things done according to his own ideas. This has slowed the pace of development of standard "operational" buoys, but will hopefully result in the most rapid evolution of a new generation of sensors and buoys, provided sufficient resources are available to permit experimentation.

The only currently foreseen large scale experimental requirement for drifting buoys, aside from operational meteorolgical arrays, lies in the World Climate Research Program (WCRP). Unfortunately the specifications for the observing systems to be used in the various experiments within this program are currently being established, before the evolution of the newer generation of drifting buoy systems is very far advanced. If drifting buoy techniques are to make an optimum contribution to the WCRP, a more organised and coordinated effort is required. Such an effort might also provide a focus for funding.

Although the use of drifting buoys in operational meteorology has been less than expected, it is still not insignificant. To the extent that their requirements are not mutually exclusive, meteorologists and oceanographers have much to gain by cooperation. Buoys deployed primarily for oceanographic purposes may contribute useful meteorological data if equipped with suitable sensors, and meteorological buoys may similarly provide oceanographic data. Hardware developments aimed at one application may be beneficial to the other. One community may be able to assist the other in the testing, deployment or tracking of buoys. This might take place on a local, national, regional or global scale. Suitable coordination mechanisms would greatly facilitate this.

A large amount of drifting buoy data has been collected in the last decade. Although the holdings of individual investigators may not seem very large, the total data set is potentially useful for large scale circulation studies, and climatologically oriented research. An effective way of collecting and archiving drifting buoy data is needed to improve its availability for such purposes.

One of the attractive features of the current situation is that the Argos data collection and location system provides global coverage, so that the same buoys may be used anywhere in the world. In view of the small size of the total drifting buoy market, this helps to keep the cost of buoy electronics below what it would be if each country were using something different. It also means that buoys which drift out of the area of interest of their original deployer may still be used by anyone willing to pay the cost of the tracking. The most important feature of the current satellite tracking system is that even the most remote areas are covered, where the rarity of data makes buoy observations most valuable. In order to be sure of the future availability of such systems, buoy users must continue to make their needs known to the agencies planning and operating satellites.

It seems clear that drifting buoys have earned a permanent place in the "tool-kit" of the research oceanographer. As long as suitable tracking and data recovery systems are available, there will continue to be an interest in their use. Indeed, the increasing costs of building and operating research vessels may be expected to stimulate the use of automatic platforms of all kinds, either to substitute for ships in some kinds of work or to improve the effectiveness of ship operations. On the other hand, the development of buoys, automatic platforms and related sensors requires funds and effort which seem to be increasingly hard to find within the research community. Without some definite commitments, realization of the full potential of such systems will take much longer than it would if even relatively modest resources were available. In any case the rapid evolution of sensor technology and the expanding use of microprocessors combined with the availability of a global satellite data collection and location system makes it practically certain that drifting buoys and other automatic platforms will play a major role in future oceanographic experiments and ocean monitoring systems.

Annex 1: How to Contact Service Argos

Address:

Service Argos
Centre Spatial de Toulouse
18, avenue Edouard-Belin
31055 Toulouse Cedex
France

Telephone: (61) 53.11.12

Telex: 531081 F

Annex 2: Major Commercial Buoy Suppliers

The following manufacturers all supplied buoys which performed satisfactorily during the FGGE, and have since supplied buoys to various agencies.

Hermes Electronics Ltd.
P.O. Box 1005
Dartmouth, Nova Scotia
Canada B2Y 4A1

Telephone:(902) 466-7491
Telex:019-21744

Polar Research Laboratory, Inc.
123 Santa Barbara St.
Santa Barbara, California 93101
U.S.A.

Telephone:(805) 963-1929
TWX: 910-334-3465

Safare-Crouzet
B.P. 171
06005 Nice Cedex
France

Telephone:(93) 84.72.79
Telex: 460813 F

Simrad as
Offshore and Naval Division
P.O. Box 6114 Etterstad
N-Oslo 6
Norway

Telephone: 47 2 67 04 90
Telex: 16136 sim n

Annex 3: Bibliography on Drifting Buoys

The following bibliography was compiled by the members of SCOR WG 66, to cover the period from 1972 through 1981. As well as published articles, it includes many internal and manuscript reports. For convenience it has been divided into three sections:

(A) Scientific applications and results
(B) Technical information
(C) Interpretation of Lagrangian data.

In cases where material in a document is relevant to more than one of the sections it is listed in all relevant sections.

Rather than repeating the addresses of sources for unpublished material, they have been listed separately and are referenced by superscripts in the citations.

Addresses of Sources for Unpublished Material

Superscript	Source
1	NOAA Data Buoy Office National Space Technology Laboratories NOAA NSTL Station, Mississippi 39529 U.S.A.
2	CSIRO Division of Oceanography P.O. Box 21 Cronulla, N.S.W. 2230 Australia
3	Tropical Ocean-Atmosphere Newsletter Dr. David Halpern JISAO University of Washington AK-40 Seattle, Washington 98195 U.S.A.
4	Polymode News Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543 U.S.A.
5	World Meteorological Organization Case Postale No. 5 CH-1211 Geneva 20 Switzerland
6	Etablissement d'Etudes et de Recherches Meteorologiques Ministere des Transport 77, Rue de Sèvres 92106 Boulogne-Billancourt Cedex France
7	Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique Museum d'Histoire Natuelle 43-45 rue Cuvier 75231 Paris Cedex France
8	CSIR National Research Institute for Oceanology P.O.Box 320 Stellenbosch 7600 South Africa

9 Centre Oceanologique de Bretagne
CNEXO
B.P. 337
29273 Brest Cedex
France

10 NOAA Environmental Research Laboratory
Boulder, Colorado 80302
U.S.A.

11 Hawaii Institute of Geophysics
2525 Correa Road
Honolulu, Hawaii 96822
U.S.A.

12 Scripps Institution of Oceanography
University of California
La Jolla, California 92093
U.S.A.

13 INDEX Coordinator
Nova University Ocean Sciences Center
8000 North Ocean Drive
Dania, Florida 33004
U.S.A.

14 Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution
Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543
U.S.A.

15 Argos Users Conference
Service Argos
Centre Spatial De Toulouse
18 ave Edouard-Belin
31055 Toulouse Cedex
France

16 Polar Science Center
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98195
U.S.A.

17 National Meteorological Center
NOAA
Camp Springs, Maryland 20233
U.S.A.

18 NASA Goddard Space Flight Center
Greenbelt, Maryland 20791
U.S.A.

19 National Centre for Atmospheric Research
P.O.Box 3000
Boulder, Colorado 80307
U.S.A.

Part A: Scientific Applications and Results

Booth, D.A., M.J. Howarth, J.A. Durance, and J.H. Simpson. 1978. A comparison of residual currents estimated with current meters and a parachute drogue in a shallow sea. Deutsche Hydrographische Zeitschrift 31, 347-248.

Byun, S.K., Madelain, F. (1978). A cyclonic eddy of the northeast Atlantic Ocean. Polymode News,[4] 49.

Byun, S.K. (1979). Etude hydrologique et structure d'un tourbillon cyclonique observé dans le proche Atlantique. D.E.A. Université de Bretagne Occidentale, Brest, France.

Cheney, B. and B. Blumenthal (1978). Air deployable ocean drifters. Polymode News,[4] 57, 1-4.

Computer Sciences Corporation, Sept. 1979. A FGGE drifting buoy performance evaluation for first and second special observing periods (January 1, 1979 - July 31, 1979) NDBO,[1] #R-250-1, Mississippi.

Colin de Verdiere, A. Topogulf Experiment. Northeast Atlantic Ocean 1983. COB, B.P. 337, Brest, France.

Cresswell, G.R. (1973). The French-Australian satellite buoy experiment. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[2] Report No. 53.

Cresswell, G.R. (1976). A drifting buoy tracked by satellite in the Tasman Sea. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 27, 251-262.

Cresswell, G.R. and C.S. Nilsson (1977). Three eddies monitored simultaneously by satellite-tracked buoys off southeast Australia. Polymode News,[4] 32.

Cresswell, G.R. (1977). The trapping of two free drifting buoys by an ocean eddy. Deep-Sea Research, 24, 1203-1209.

Cresswell, G.R. and D.J. Vaudrey (1977). Satellite-tracked buoy data report I. Western Australian releases 1975 and 1976. Australian CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[2] Report No. 86.

Cresswell, G.R. and J.E. Wood (1977). Satellite-tracked buoy data report II. Tasman Sea releases, November 1976-July 1977. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[2] Report No. 91.

Cresswell, G.R., T.J. Golding and F.M. Boland (1978). A buoy and ship examination of the subtropical convergence south of Western Australia. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 8, 315-320.

Cresswell, G.R. and T.J. Golding (1979). Satellite-tracked buoy data report III. Indian Ocean 1977. Tasman Sea July-December 1977. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[2] Report No. 101.

Cresswell, G.R. and M.A. Greig (1979). Satellite-tracked buoy data report IV. South West Pacific Ocean January-June 1978. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[2] Report No. 104.

Cresswell, G.R. (1979). Currents in the Northern Mozambique Channel. INDEX: Occasional Note No. 13, April 1979.

Cresswell, G.R. and J. Garrett (1980). The response of drogued and undrogued drifting buoys to eddies and the wind. Australian CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[2] Report No. 115.

Cresswell, G.R. (1980). Satellite tracking of icebergs and ocean currents. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography,[2] unpublished report. (Talk presented at Scientific Discussion Meeting on Iceberg Utilization; Australian Academy of Science, Melbourne 28-29 February 1980).

Cresswell, G.R. (1980). Satellite-tracked buoys in the Eastern Indian Ocean. Proceedings of the Fourteenth International Symposium on Remote Sensing of Environment 23-30 April 1980, San Jose, Costa Rica. (Environmental Research Institute of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan). Vol. 1, pp. 531-541.

Cresswell, G.R. (1980). An overview of recent Australian Drifter Work. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography,[2] unpublished report.

Cresswell, G.R. and T.J. Golding (1980). Observations of a south flowing current in the South Eastern Indian Ocean. Deep-Sea Research 27A, 445-466.

Cresswell, G.R., M. Fieux and J. Gonella (1980). The Wyrtki Indian Ocean Equatorial Jet, May/June 1980. Tropical Ocean-Atmosphere Newsletter,[3] January 1981.

Cresswell, G.R. (1981). Time series data from satellite-tracked buoys: Tasman Sea. World Meteorological Organization,[5] JSC/CCCO Meeting on Time Series of Ocean Measurements, 11-15 May 1981.

Cresswell, George (in press). The coalescence of two East Australian Current warm-core eddies. Science.

Daniault, N., Fusey, F.X. (1981). Etude des courants de surface dans l'Océan Pacifique. Note EERM,[6] n° 101, Avril 1981.

Davis, R., T.P. Barnett and C.S. Cox (1978). Variability of near-surface currents observed during the POLE experiment. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 8, 290-301.

Davis, Russ E., (1982). On relating Eulerian and Lagrangian velocity statistics: single particles in homogeneous flows. J. Fluid Mech. 114, 1-26.

Dotson, Alan, Lorenz Magaard, Gary Niemeyer and Klaus Wyrtki (March 1977). A simulation of the movements of fields of drifting buoys in the North Pacific Ocean, Hawaii Institute of Geophysics, Hawaii.

Fieux, M., Lamy, A. (1981). Bouées dérivantes. Campagne SINODE MD 18 PEMG. Rapp. Int. LOP-MNHN,[7] n° 81-05.

Fieux, M., Gonella, J. (1981). Drifting Buoys trajectories in the Equatorial Indian Ocean. Proceedings of the SCOR WG 47, Venice, April 1981.

Fleagle, R.G., M. Miyake, J.F. Garrett, and G.A. McBean (1982). Storm Transfer and Response Experiment, Bull. American Meteorological Soc., Vol 63, No. 1.

Fleming, R.J., T.M. Kaneshige and W.E. McGovern. The global weather experiment, the observational phase through the first special observing period. Bulletin American Meteorological Society, Vol. 60, No. 6, June 1979.

Fleming, R.J., T.M. Kaneshige, W.E. McGovern and T.E. Bryan. The global weather experiment II. The second special observing period. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, Vol. 60, No. 11, November 1979.

Fusey, F.X., Quenet, J. (1979). Expériences en Atlantique tropical. Etude des trajectoires et des mesures obtenues avec les MARISONDES BO4, BO6, BO9, en 1977 et 1978. Note EERM,[6] n° 28.

Fusey, F.X., Vautravers, J. (1981). Premières études dérives des MARISONDES PEMG de l'Océan Indien. Note EERM,[6] n° 93.

Fusey, F.X. (1981). Les bouées météorologiques en phase opérationnelle. METMAR, n° 10, ler trimestre 1981.

Garrett, J.F. (1978). Drifting buoys for ocean data collection, Proc. "Oceans '78," Marine Technology Society and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.

Garrett, J.F. (1980). Availability of the FGGE drifting buoy system data set; Deep-Sea Research, Vol. 27A, 1083-1086.

Garrett, J.F. (1981). Oceanographic features revealed by the FGGE drifting buoy array, in Oceanography from Space, ed. J. Gower, Plenum, N.Y.

Garrett, J.F. (1981). The performance of the FGGE Drifting Buoy Array, Advances in Space Research, Vol. 1, COSPAR, Pergamon.

GARP. Observing system operations, 1 December 1978 - 30 June 1979, Global Weather Experiment, FGGE Operations Report, Volume 1, June 1980.

Godfrey, J.S., G.R. Cresswell, T.J. Golding, A.F. Pearce and R. Boyd. (1980). The separation of the East Australian Current. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 10, 430-440.

Gonella, J. Drifting buoys located by the ARGOS System. FOCAL experiment. Atlantic Ocean (1982-1983). MHNH,[7] 75231 Paris-Cedex 05.

Greig, M.A. (1980). Satellite-tracked buoy data report V. Bureau of Meteorology buoys tracked in the Southern, Indian and Pacific Oceans January to March 1979. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography,[2] Report No. 120.

Grundlingh, Marten L. (1977). Drift observations from NIMBUS VI satellite-tracked buoys in the southwestern Indian Ocean, Deep-Sea Research, Vol. 24, 903-913.

Grundlingh, Marten L. (1977). A NIMBUS VI satellite-tracked buoy moored on the Mocambique Ridge, S.A. Journal of Science, Vol. 73, 384-385.

Grundlingh, Marten L. (1978). Drift of a satellite-tracked buoy in the Southern Agulhas Current and Agulhas Return Current, Deep-Sea Research, Vol. 25-12, 1209-1224.

Hansen, D., C. Duckett, W. Patzert, G. McNally and E. Kerut (1980). Drifting Buoy Data from the Tropical Pacific Ocean during NORPAX Equatorial Test Shuttle Experiment. NOAA Technical Memorandum ERL AMOL-45, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Laboratories, Miami Florida, 73 pp. 25 figs.

Harris, T.F.W., and C.C. Stavropoulos. Drifter trajectories tracked by NIMBUS-6 satellite, final report, Southern Ocean Experiment 1976. CSIR Oceanology (8).

Harris, T.F.W. and C.C. Stavropoulos. (1978). Satellite-tracked drifters between Africa and Antarctica, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, Vol. 59-1, 51-59.

Harris, T.F.W. and L.V. Shannon. (1979). Satellite-tracked drifter in the Benguela Current System, S.A. Journal of Science, Vol. 75. 316-317.

Ishii, H., R. Surawatari, Y. Ueno, S. Kuramoto, H. Nishidea. (1982). Application of drifting buoy in ocean research. Rep. Hydrogr. Res. No. 17, Japanese Hydrographic Department, 209-228.

Kerut, E.G. and R.P. Kozak, U.S. drifting buoy performance during FGGE, NDBO,[1] #F-235-2, Mississippi, October 1979.

Kerut, Edmund G., John O. Anderson, Ronald P. Kozak, Global weather experiment shows usefulness of drifting buoys, Sea Technology, June 1980.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr., G. McNally, M.S. Chang and R. Molinari (1975). The effect of wind surface currents on drifters. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 5, 361-368.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr., and G. McNally. (1975). A note on observations of long-term trajectories of the North Pacific current. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 5, 188-191.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr., G. McNally and J. Coehlo (1976). Gulf Stream kinematics inferred from a satellite-tracked drifter. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 6, 750-755.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr., and M.S. Chang. (1979). Effect of sampling rate and random position error on analysis of drifter data. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 9, 382-387.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr., G. McNally, S. Pazan and R. Wert (1979). Analysis of surface current response to wind. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 9, 401-412.

Kirwan, A.D. and G.R. Cresswell (1980). Observations of large and mesoscale motions in the ocean near surface layers. International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi" Summer Courses 1980. In: 'Topics in Ocean Physics'.

Kort, V.G., 1981. Mesoscale variability of currents and temperature in the Southern Ocean from drifting buoy data. Okeanologiia, 21(3): 405-415. (In Russian, English abstract).

Lai, David Y. and Philip L. Richardson. Distribution and movement of Gulf Stream rings. American Meteorological Society, September 1977.

Lutjeharms, J.R.E. (1979). Suid-Afrika se deelname aan die Global Weather Experiment 1978-79, University of Cape Town Institute of Oceanography Yearbook 1979, 26-29.

Lutjeharms, J.R.E., N.D. Bang and C.P. Duncan (1981). Characteristics of the currents east and south of Madagascar. Deep-Sea Research, 28, 879-900.

Luyten, Fieux, Gonella. (1980). Equatorial currents in the western Indian Ocean. Science, vol. 209, pp. 600-603.

Madelain, F. and E.G. Kerut. (1978). Evidence of mesoscale eddies in the northeast Atlantic from a drifting buoy experiment. Oceanologica Acta vol. 1, n° 2.

Madelain, F. and A. Billant. (1978). Campagne NIMBUS F. Bouées dérivantes dans l'Atlantique Nord-Est. Février 1976-Novembre 1977. Résultats des Campagnes à la Mer, CNEXO, 9 n° 15.

Madelain, Francois and Andre Billant, Centre National Pour L'Exploitation des Oceans, Campagne Nimbus F, Bouées Derivantes Dans L'Atlantique Nord-Est, France, 1978.

Madelain, F. and E.G. Kerut, Evidence of mesoscale eddies in the Northeast Atlantic from a drifting buoy experiment. Oceanologic ACTA, Volume 1, No. 2, 1978.

Maxwell, J.G.H. and G.R. Cresswell. (1981). Dispersal of tropical marine fauna to the Great Australian Bight by the Leeuwin Current. Australian Journal of Marine and Freshwater Research, 32, 479-492.

McNally, G. (1981). Satellite-tracked drift buoy observations of the near-surface flow in the eastern mid-latitude north. Journal of Geophysical Research, 86, 8022-8030.

Molinari, R.L. and A.D. Kirwan, Jr. (1975). Calculations of differential kinematic properties from Lagrangian observations in the western Caribbean Sea. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 5, 483-491.

Molinari, R.L., et al. (1981). Surface currents in the Caribbean Sea as deduced from Lagrangian observations. Journal of Geophysical Research, 86, 6537-6542.

Monahan, E.C., G.T. Kaye and E.D. Michelena. 1973. Drogue measurements of circulation in Grand Traverse Bay, Lake Michigan. University of Michigan, Sea Grant Program, Technical Report 35.

Nilsson, C.S. and G.R. Cresswell. (1981). The formation and evolution of East Australian Current warm-core eddies. Progress in Oceanography, 9, 133-183.

Nishida, H. (1978). Tracking of drifting buoys by the satellite and its application for current measurement. Mar. Sci. Monthly, 7, 593-603. (In Japanese.)

NOAA, An ocean climate research plan, Environmental Research Laboratories, Colorado[10] May 1979.

Okubo, A.and C.C. Ebbesmeyer (1976). Determination of vorticity, divergence, and deformation rates from analysis of drogue observations. Deep-Sea Research, 23, 349-352.

Okubo, A., C.C. Ebbesmeyer and J.M. Helseth. (1976). Determination of Lagrangian deformations from analysis of current followers. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 6, 524-527.

Patzert, W.C. (1969). Eddies in Hawaiian waters. Hawaii Institute of Geophysics[11] Rep. HIC 69-8, 51 pp.

Patzert, W.C., G.J. McNally and C.F. Barton. (1981). Drifting buoy data during the Hawaii/Tahiti Shuttle Experiment (1979-1980). Scripps Institution of Oceanography[12] Reference No. 81-21, 90pp, 70 figs.

Petit, M., V. Klaus, R. Gelci, F.X. Fusey, J.J. Thery, P. Bouly, (1978). Etude d'un tourbillon océanique d'échelle moyenne en Mer d'Alboran par emploi conjoint de techniques spatiales et océanographiques. C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 287 (Oct. 1978), série B 215.

Petit, M. et al. (1979). Bouées météorologiques pour la PEMG. Dossiers n° 1, 2, 3, EERM,[6] Juillet 1979.

Petit, M. BRAN Experiment. Northeast Atlantic (1982). EERM/CERAM,[6] 78570 Saint Rémy-les-Chevreuse, France.

Petit, M. (1983). Tropical Atlantic experiment (tropical storm's study). EERM/CERAM,[6] 78470 Saint Rémy-les-Chevreuse, France.

Pietrafesa, L.J., R. D'Amato, C. Babriel, R.J. Sawyer Jr., D.A. Brooks, P. Blankinship and R.H. Weisberg, Onslow Bay physical/dynamical experiments, data report, Summer 1976. North Carolina State University, Department for Marine Science and Engineering, #78-5, 1976.

Piton, B., F.X. Fusey. (1981). Two satellite-tracked buoys trajectories in the Gulf of Guinea. July 1978 to July 1979. Submitted to Tropical Ocean-Atmosphere Newsletter.[3]

Regier, L. (1978). Short spatial and temporal scales in the Somali Current. INDEX:[13] Occasional Note No. 10, 5 pp.

Regier, L. and H. Stommel. (1979). Float trajectories in simple kinematic flows. Geophysics, 76, 4760-4764.

Reid, J.L. Jr., R.A. Schwarzlose and D.M. Brown (1963). Direct measurements of a small surface eddy off northern Baja California. Journal of Marine Research, 21, 205-218.

Richardson, P.L., J.J. Wheat and D. Bennett. (1979). Free-drifting buoy trajectories in the Gulf Stream system. WHOI Data Report 79-4,[4] 159 pp.

Richardson, P.L., C. Maillard and T.B. Stanford. (1979). The physical structure and life history of cyclonic Gulf Stream Ring Allen. Journal of Geophysical Research, 84, 7727-7741.

Richardson, P.L. (1980). Anticyclonic eddies generated near the Corner Rise seamounts. Journal of Marine Research, 38, 673-686.

Richardson, P.L. (1980). Gulf Stream Ring trajectories. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 10, 90-104.

Richardson, P.L. (1981). Gulf-stream trajectories measured with free-drifting buoys. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 11, 999-1010.

Riley, J.J. and S. Corrsin. (1974). The relation of turbulent diffusivities to Lagrangian velocity statistics for the simplest shear flow. Journal of Geophysical Research, 79, 1768-1771.

Rossby, T., A.D. Voorhis and D. Webb. (1975). A quasi-Lagrangian study of mid-ocean variability using long range SOFAR floats. Journal of Marine Research, 33, 355-382.

Rossby, H.T., D.L. Dow and S.R. Signorini. (1977). SOFAR Floats in MODE Final Report of Float Trajectory data. Technical Report Ref. No. 77-3, MODE Contribution No. 22T, 107 pp.

Royer, T.C., D.V. Hansen, and D.J. Pashinski. (1979). Coastal flow in the northern Gulf of Alaska as observed by dynamic topography and satellite-tracked drogued drift buoys. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 9, 785-801.

Saunders, P.M. 1976. Near surface current measurements. Deep-Sea Res. 23, 249-259.

Spence, T.W. and R. Legeckis (1981). Satellite and hydrographic observations of low-frequency wave motions associated with a cold core Gulf Stream ring. Journal of Geophysical Research, 86, 1945-1954.

Stavropoulos, C.C. and C.P. Duncan. (1974). A satellite-tracked buoy in the Agulhas Current, Journal of Geophysical Research, Vol. 79-18, pp 2744-2746.

Stavropolous, C.C. and P.A. Le Roux. (1981). One thousand days in the brine. Presented at Argos Users Conference,[15] San Francisco, Oct. 28/29.

Tchernia, P., P.F. Jeannin. (1980). Observation of the Antarctic east wind drift using tabular iceberg tracked by NIMBUS F satellite (1975-1977). Deep-Sea Research, vol. 27 A, pp 467-474.

Thorndike, A.S. and R. Colony. 1980. Arctic Ocean Buoy Program, Data Report. Polar Science Center,[16] Washington.

Tranter, F.J., R.R. Parker and G.R. Cresswell. (1980). Are warm-core eddies unproductive? Nature, 284, 540-542.

University of Washington.[16] Arctic ice dynamics joint experiment, Aidjex Bulletin, No. 22, Washington, August 1973.

Vastano, A.C. and A.D. Kirwan, Jr. (1977). Tracking the Kuroshio by Nimbus 6. Naval Research Review, 30, 11-24.

Wright, David. The use of drifting buoy data at NMC. NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS NMC[17] 64, Washington, D.C. June 1980.

Wyrtki, Klaus. (1978). Lateral oscillations of the Pacific Equatorial counter-current. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 8, 530-532.

Wyrtki, K. Scientific and operational requirements for monitoring the ocean atmosphere environment by means of buoys. NDBO,[1] Mississippi, February 1980.

World Meteorological Organization. (1979). Critical Review of the Performance of FGGE Drifting Buoy System; Geneva, Switzerland.

Part B: Technical Information

Ayres, R.M. 1975. Fully inflated subsonic parachute aerodynamic simulation. University of Leicester, Ph.D. thesis.

Bargen, David W. Intercomparison test of NCAR digital barometers during a month at sea, Technical Report No. 051-043-004, NCAR,[18] Colorado. February 1977.

Beaurepaire, Frappier, A. (1980). Le nouveau baromètre CAP 130 pour mesure de la pression atmosphérique sur bouée ou station automatique. Note EERM,[6] n° 75.

Bervas, J.Y. (1974). Ancres flottantes. Rapp. Int. COB/DS/74-007/JYB.[9]

Bessant, M.F. 1978. A multichannel drift buoy tracking system. University College of North Wales, Oceanography Report 78-1.

Booth, D.A. 1978. The evaluation of a Lagrangian current meter system and its application to water movement in the Irish Sea. University College of North Wales, Oceanography Report 78-2.

Booth, D.A., M.J. Howarth, J.A. Durance, and J.H. Simpson. 1978. A comparison of residual currents estimated with current meters and a parachute drogue in a shallow sea. Deutsche Hydrographische Zeitschrift 31, 237-248.

Burdette, Michael K., John F. Holmes, Ronald T. Miles, Evaluation of Bunker-Ramo and Setra barometers for use in drifting buoys. NDBO,[1] #F-341-1, Mississippi, March 1979.

Cheney, B. and B. Blumenthal (1978). Air deployable ocean drifters. Polymode News,[4] 57, 1-4.

Chhabra, Narender K., Mooring mechanics a comprehensive computer study, Volume II, three dimensional dynamic analysis of moored and drifting buoy systems. The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc., Massachusetts, December 1976.

Cockrell, D.J. I.D. Huntley, and R.M. Ayres. 1975. Aerodynamic and inertial forces on model canopies. American Institute of Aerodynamics and Astronautics. Paper No. 75 - 1371.

Collins, Clayton W. Jr., and Robert G. Walden. The design, construction and testing of Loran-C from a drifting buoy. Woods Hole, Massachusetts, December 1980.

Computer Sciences Corporation. FGGE drifting buoy performance evaluation for first and second special observing periods (January 1, 1979 - July 31, 1979). NDBO,[1] #R-250-1, Mississippi, September 1979.

Cresswell, G.R. (1973). The French-Australian satellite buoy experiment. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[8] Report No. 53.

Cresswell, G.R. and D.J. Vaudrey. (1977). Satellite-tracked buoy data report I. Western Australian releases 1975 and 1976. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[8] Report No. 86.

Cresswell, G.R. and J.E. Wood. (1977). Satellite-tracked buoy data report II. Tasman Sea releases, November 1976-July 1977. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[8] Report No. 91.

Cresswell, G.R. and T.J. Golding. (1979). Satellite-tracked buoy data report III. Indian Ocean 1977. Tasman Sea July-December 1977. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[8] Report No. 101.

Cresswell, G.R. and M.A. Greig. (1979). Satellite-tracked buoy data report IV. South West Pacific Ocean January-June 1978. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[8] Report No. 104.

Cresswell, G.R., G.T. Richardson, J.E. Wood and R. Watts. (1979). The CSIRO satellite-tracked 'torpedo' buoy. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[8] Report No. 82.

Cresswell, G.R. and J. Garrett. (1980). The response of drogued and undrogued drifting buoys to eddies and the wind. Australia CSIRO Division of Fisheries and Oceanography[8] Report No. 115.

Crumpler, A. and L. Bivins. An IRLS buoy experiment. U. S. Naval Oceanographic Office, Washington, D. C. 1971.

De Chazeaux, E. (1981). L'observation du vent par les NAVISONDES. Note EERM,[6] n° 90.

Dooley, H.D. 1974. A comparison of drogue and current meter measurements in shallow water. Rapp. P.-v. Cons. Explor. Mer. 167, 225-230.

Dorman, C.E. and S. Pond. (1975). A small buoy for meteorological measurements at sea. Deep-Sea Research, 22, 177-184.

Dorsett Report No. ER-162. The application of omega to a free drifting buoy (final report), La Barge Inc., Oklahoma, July 1974.

Fusey, F.X., V. Klaus, P. Nacass. (1980). MARISONDE, NAVISONDE, bouées météorologiques. METMAR, n° 107.

Fusey, F.X., J. Vautravers. (1981). Premières études des dérives des MARISONDES PEMG de l'Océan Indien. Note EERM,[6] n° 93.

Fusey, F.X. (1981). Les bouées météorologiques en phase opérationnelle. METMAR, n° 10, ler trimestre 1981.

Garrett, J.F. (1978). Drifting buoys for ocean data collection, Proc. "Oceans '78," Marine Technology Society and Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers.

Garrett, J.F. (1981). The performance of the FGGE Drifting Buoy Array, Advances in Space Research, Vol. 1, COSPAR, Pergamon.

Gascard, J.C. Subsurface float regularly reaching the surface and located by the ARGOS System (1982-1984). MNHN,[7] 75231 Paris-Cedex 05.

Gill, Gerald C. Wind tunnel fact finding study on flow between two parallel rectangular plates. University of Michigan, Michigan. August 1975.

Gill, Gerald C. Developments and testing of a no-moving-parts static pressure inlet for use on ocean buoys. University of Michigan. Michigan. August 1976.

Hume, R.G. 1973. A two-dimensional mathematical model of a parachute in steady descent. Aeronautical Research Council, C.P. No. 1260

International Joint Commission. Workshop on the feasibility of remote tracking drogues and other instruments drifting in coastal waters. Great Lakes Research Advisory Board. Canada. February 1975.

James, Richard W. and Paul T. Fox. Comparative sea-surface temperature measurements. Reports on Marine Science Affairs No. 5, World Meteorological Organization, Switzerland, 1972.

Jesson, E.E. and R.J. McKenzie. 1975. Some design aspects of sensor and electronic systems for sea buoys. Bureau of Meteorology, Melbourne, Australia.

Juhel, P. (1976). Utilisation de la bouée légère L 55 avec le système NIMBUS. Rapp. Int. COB/DS/76-04/PJ.[9]

Juhel, P. (1980). Instrumentation océanographique. Publication CNEXO.[9]

Kerut, E.G. and G. Haas. Geostationary and orbiting satellites applied to remote ocean buoy data acquisition. NOAA,[1] #00E3, Washington, D.C., September 1979.

Kerut, E.G. and R.P. Kozak. U. S. drifting buoy performance during FGGE. NDBO,[1] #F-235-2, Mississippi, October 1979.

Kerut, Edmund G. Development of drifting buoy systems for oceanographic and meteorological applications. NDBO,[1] NSTL Station, Mississippi, September 1980.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr., G. McNally, M.S. Chang and R. Molinari. (1975). The effect of wind surface currents on drifters. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 5, 361-368.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr. and M.S. Chang. (1979). Effect of sampling rate and random position error on analysis of drifter data. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 9, 382-387.

Kirwan, A.D. and G.R. Cresswell. (1980). Observations of large and mesoscale motions in the ocean near surface layers. International School of Physic "Enrico Fermi" Summer Courses 1980. In: 'Topics in Ocean Physics'.

Klaus, V. (1979). Bouée NAVISONDE. Définition de la coque et de la voile. Note EERM,[6]. n° 46. Cahier MARISONDE n° 7.

Klaus, V. (1980). Bouée NAVISONDE C - type planche à voile. Note EERM,[6] n° 70. Cahier MARISONDE, n° 11.

Klaus, V. and A. Petitpa. (1980). Mesure de la houle. Note EERM,[6] n° 59. Cahier MARISONDE n° 8.

Klaus, V. (1981). Bouées météorologiques développées à l'EERM Colloque de l'ASTEO, Paris, Janvier 1982.

Klaus, V. and A. Petitpa. (1981). MARISONDE H. Etude de la structure et premiers résultats. Note EERM,[6] n° 92. Cahier MARISONDE n° 13.

Last, J.D., R.L. Prior-Jones, E.W. Roberts et al. 1976. A new Lagrangian drogue tracking system. Riv. ital. Geofis. 3, 245-251.

Review of process instrumentation components as candidates for meteorological sensors on small ocean buoys, Arthur D. Little, Inc., Massachusetts, November 1975.

McNally, G. Technical evaluation of ADS I and II drifter performance, technical report. Texas A & M University, Texas, April 1978.

McNally, G., E. Reyna, W.J. Merrell Jr. and A.D. Kirwan Jr. (1978). Technical report: technical evaluation of ADS I and II drifter performance. Texas A & M University Reference 78-3-T. p. 22.

Monahan, E.C., and E.A. Monahan. 1973. Drogues, drags and sea anchors. University of Michigan, Sea Grant Program, Technical Report 36.

The NIMBUS 6 User's Guide, NASA, Goddard Space Flight Center, Maryland, February 1975.

Nath, John H. Operating instructions numerical model computer program drifting buoy system. NDBO,[1] June 1976.

Nath, John H. Laboratory validation of numerical model drifting buoy-tether-drogue system, NDBO,[1] Mississippi, July 1977.

Nath, John H. Laboratory model tests of drifting buoy and drogue, NDBO,[1] Mississippi, September 1977.

Nath, John H. Techniques for ocean current studies in the 200 mile development and laboratory model studies, a review. Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon. March 1979.

Nath, John H. Drifting buoy-tether-drogue system: numerical model development and laboratory model studies, A Review. Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, March 1979.

Nath, John H. Hydrodynamic coefficients for NDBO drogues. Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon. September 1979.

Nath, John H. Report for TASK A drifting buoy numerical model improvement. Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon. August 1980.

Nath, John H. Final report and individual report for TASK B drifting buoy numerical model improvement. Oregon State University, Corvallis, Oregon, 1980.

Directions for data buoy technology 1978-1983, Buoy Technology Assessment Marine Board, National Academy of Engineering, Washington, D.C., 1974.

Research Systems Facility, NCAR,[19] Buoy pressure instrumentation operating and maintenance manual 017-036-001. Colorado. September 1974.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration.[18] NIMBUS-6 random access measuring system (RAMS), applications experiments, (Draft). Goddard Space Flight Center, Maryland, 1981.

NDBO,[1] System performance requirements and program plan for the NESS/NMC/NDBO buoy data acquisition, processing and dissemination system, #F234-2, Mississippi, January 1976.

NDBO,[1] Drifting buoy data quality experiment, second report, Andrew Johnson, Jr., #370-2, Mississippi, June 1977.

NDBO,[1] National Space Technology Laboratories. FGGE drifting buoy data quality analysis (predeployment and deployment), Mississippi, March 1979.

NOAA.[10] An ocean climate research plan. Environmental Research Laboratories, Colorado. May 1979.

Ocean Industry. Odessa: small buoys with big voices. Instrumentation report. October 1968.

Ocean Data Systems, Inc. Quality control data for subsurface temperature measurements. California. December 1978.

Padhi, Prafulla K. Interpretation of force vector recorder data describing buoy system dynamics, #T623. The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc., Massachusetts. February 1976.

Pauly, J., M. Petit, F.X. Fusey. (1978). La traversée de l'Atlantique tropical par la NAVISONDE 01. La météorologie, VIème série, n° 15.

Petit, M. Developments of MARISONDE buoys, type G and Type H:
- of a new atmospheric pressore sensor,
- of wind sensors.

EERM/CERAM,[6] 78470 Saint Rémy-les-Chevreuse, France.

Petit, M. V. Klaus, R. Gelci, F.X. Fusey, J.J. Thery, P. Bouly. (1978). Etude dùn tourbillon océanique d'échelle moyenne en Mer d'Alboran par emploi conjoint de techniques spatiales et océanographiques. C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 287 (Oct. 1978), série B 215.

Petit, M. and al. (1979). Bouées météorologiques pour la PEMG. Dossiers n° 1, 2, 3. EERM,[6] Juillet 1979.

Petit, M. and E. de Chazeaux. (1981). Durée de fonctionnement des MARISONDES B équipées de batteries au bioxyde de manganèse. Note EERM,[6] n° 60. Cahier MARISONDE n° 17.

Petit, M. BRAN Experiment. Northeast Atlantic. (1982). EERM/CERAM,[6] 78570 Saint Rémy-les-Chevreuse, France.

Pike, Julian M. Atmospheric instrumentation: the impact of solid state technology. American Meteorological Society, Vol. 55, No.1 9, September 1974.

Pike, J.M. and F.V. Brock. The microcomputer in meteorological instrumentation. NCAR,[19] 1976.

Pike, Julian M. and David W. Barger. The NCAR digital barometer. American Meteorological Society, Vol. 59, No. 9. September 1976.

Polar Research Laboratory, Inc. Drifting buoy drogue tether tests. California. October 1979.

Quinty, P. (1981). Utilisation des microprocesseurs en instrumentation météorologique autonome. Application à la mesure de la houle et projets divers. Note EERM[6] (à paraître).

Reid, J.L. Jr. (1958). A comparison of drogue and GEK measurements in deep water. Limnology and Oceanography, 3, 160-165.

Schieldge, John P. and Omar H. Shemdin. Remote sensing of ocean surface temperatures. NDBO,[1] California, April 1980.

Schmid, P.E. Jr. and J.J. Lynn. Satellite Doppler-data processing using a microcomputer. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience Electronics, Volume GE-16, No. 4, October 1978.

Stavropoulos, C.C. and Le Roux, P.K. (1981). One thousand days in the brine. Presented at Argos Users Conference, San Francisco, Oct. 28/29.

Taljaard, J.J. and Haines, P. (1977). Pressure measurements on small drifting buoys in the South African area. Unpublished Report, South African Weather Bureau.

Taljaard, J.J. (1977). South Africa's contribution to the FGGE drifting buoy programme for the Southern Hemisphere, S.A. Weather Bureau News Letter, No. 340, pp 198-207.

Thery, J.J., P. Bouly, M. Beaurepaire. (1979). Les MARISONDES B. Description série PEMG. Note EERM,[6] n° 41. Cahier MARISONDE n° 6.

Thorndike, A.S. and R. Colony. Arctic Ocean Buoy Program, Data Report. Polar Science Center, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, 1980.

Vachon, William A. Scale model testing of drogues for free drifting buoys, R-769. The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc. Massachusetts, September 1973.

Vachon, William A. Instrumented full-scale tests of a drifting buoy and drogue, #R-947. The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc. Massachusetts, December 1975.

Vachon, William A. and D.R. Yoerger. A drifting buoy positioning system for the study of western boundary currents. The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc., Massachusetts, June 1977.

Vachon, William A. Present status and future directions of drifting buoy developments. The Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc. Massachusetts, October 1978.

Vachon, William A. Assessment of reasons for successes, omissions, and failures in recent drifter technical developments. NCAR,[19] Colorado, September 1980.

Vachon, William A. A summary of recent technology developments on drifting buoy systems, final report. NCAR,[19] Colorado, October 1980.

Withee, Gregory W. and Andrew Johnson, Jr. Drifting buoy data quality experiment, first report. NDBO,[1] #370-1, Mississippi, February 1977.

Wolff, Edward A., Charles E. Cote, J. Earle Painter. Satellite data collection user requirements workshop, draft final report. Goddard Space Flight Center,[18] Maryland, May 1975.

World Meteorological Organization.[5] Development of the WWW oceanic observing sub-system for 1972-1975, World Weather Watch Planning Report No. 31, Switzerland, 1970.

World Meteorological Organization.[5] Means of acquisition and communication of ocean data, Report No. 6, Reports on Marine Science Affairs. Switzerland, 1973.

World Meteorological Organization.[5] Report on the meeting of drifting buoys for the first GARP global experiment. Global Atmospheric Research Programme. Special Report No. 13, Switzerland, March 1974.

World Meteorological Organization.[5] Report on the planning meeting on the drifting buoy observing system for the FGGE (draft). The first GARP global experiment. August 1975.

World Meteorological Organization,[5] The FGGE special observing systems, Implementation/Operation Plan, Part D: Southern Hemisphere drifting buoy system, global weather experiment. Switzerland, May 1978.

World Meteorological Organization.[5] Oceanographic aspects of the first GARP global experiment. Switzerland, July 1979.

World Meteorological Organization.[5] Joint WMO/IOC informal planning meeting on drifting buoy programmes, final report. Switzerland, December 1979.

World Meteorological Organization.[5] (1979). Critical review of the performance of the FGGE drifting buoy system. Geneva, Switzerland.

World Meteorological Organization.[5] Implementations/operations plan for the first GARP global experiment. The data management plan. Vol. 3, GARP, April 1980.

World Meteorological Organization.[5] Observing systems operations, 1 December 1978 - 30 June 1979. Global weather experiment, FGGE operations report, Vol. 1, GARP, June 1980.

Wright, David. The use of drifting buoy data at NMC. NOAA Technical Memorandum NWS NMC 64, Washington, D.C. June 1980.

Wyrtki, Klaus. Scientific and operational requirements for monitoring the ocean atmosphere environment by means of buoys. NDBO,[1] Mississippi. February 1980.

Part C: Interpretation of Lagrangian Data

Dyer, A.J. (1972). Do GHOST Balloons Measure Eulerian Mean Velocities? Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 30, 510-513.

Flierl, Glenn R. (1981). Particle Motions in Large-Amplitude Wave Fields. Geophys. Astrophys. Fluid Dynamics, 18, 39-74.

Freeland, H.J., P.B. Rhines and T. Rossby. (1975). Statistical observations of the trajectories of neutrally buoyant floats in the North Atlantic. Journal of Marine Research, 33, 383-404.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr. and C. McNally. (1975). A note on observations of long-term trajectories of the North Pacific current. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 5, 188-191.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr., G. McNally and J. Coehlo. (1976). Gulf Stream kinematics inferred from a satellite-tracked drifter. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 6, 750-755.

Kirwan, A.D. Jr. and M.S. Chang. (1979). Effect of sampling rate and random position error on analysis of drifter data. Journal of Physical Occeanography, 9, 382-387.

Molinari, R.I. and A.D. Kirwan, Jr. (1975). Calculations of differential kinematic properties from Lagrangian observations in the western Caribbean Sea. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 5, 483-491.

Regier, Lloyd and Henry Stommel. (1979) Float trajectories in simple kinematic flows. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 76, 4760-4764.

Sawford, B.L. (1982). Lagrangian Monte Carlo simulation of the turbulent motion of a pair of particles. Quart J. R. Met. Soc., 108, 207-213.