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## RESUME

En 1982-1984 des recherches ont été menées sur Anisakis en tant que marque biologique chez le maquereau pour déterminer l'origine et le trajet migratoire du maquereau du sud de la Mer du Nord. Les donnees partielles collectées à cette fin ont fait l'objet d'une précédente communication. Les résultats complets des recherches sur l'Anisakis dans les échantillons de maquereau récoltés dans les sous-zones CIEM IV, VI, VII et VIII ainsi que les résultats de recherches de la période antéricure 1970-1971 sont donnés dans la présente communication.

L'infestation est beaucoup moins liće à la longueur et à l'âge du poisson qu'on ne pourrait le prévoir en faisant lhypothèse d'une infestation par Anisakis augmentant annuellement.

L'abondance d'Anisakis chez le maquereau atteint un niveau maximal dès l'âge de 3 à 5 ans. Ceci est probablement dû à une résistance accrue de l'hôte aux noevelles invasions par les larves d'Anisakis plutót qu'a une perte de larves. La mortalité des hôtes moyennement et fortement infestés ne peut expliquer cette forte diminution des infestations annuelles par Anisakis chez les groupes d'áges les plus vieux. Le taux d'infestation au cours de la (ou des) première(s) année(s) de vie du maquereau détermine probablement le taux d'infestation des poissons âgés et détermine également le temps nécessaire au développement d'une résistance aux nouvelles invasions de larves. L'abondance d'Anisakis chez le maquereau de la Mer du Nord a approximativement doublé entre le début des années 70 et le début des années 80.

L'abondance d'Anisakis chez le maquereau du sud de la Mer du Nord a relativement moins progresse au cours de la méme période. Dans la population de maquereau de l'ouest, on note un accroissement de l'infestation de sud au nord, entre mai et juillet.

En 1982-1984, la prévalence et l'abondance les plus grandes apparaissent dans la population de maquereau de la Mer de Nord tandis que prévalence et abondance les plus faibles apparaîssent chez le maquereau du sud de la Mer du Nord et du Golfe de Gascogne.

L'abondance de L'Anisakis dans la population de maquereau de l'ouest est à peu près moitie moindre que celle du maquereau de la Mer du Nord.
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#### Abstract

In 1982-1984 investigations were carried out to investigate the origin and migration of the southern North Sea mackerel using Anisakis as a biological tag in mackerel for which purpose only part of the Anisakis data were presented earlier. All results of these investigations on Anisakis in mackerel samples, collected in ICES Subareas IV, VI, VII and VIII together with results of investigations in an earlier period 1970-1971, are presented in this paper. The infestation is much less related to the length and age of the fish than expected assuming an increasing yearly Anisakis infestation. The abundance of Anisakis in mackerel reaches as early as age 3 to 5 the highest level. This is probably due to an increased host resistance to newly invading Anisakis larvac rather than the loss of larvae. Mortality of the medium and high infestated hosts can not explain this sharp decrease in the yearly Anisakis infestations for the older age groups. The level of Anisakis infestation during the first year(s) of life of the mackerel determines probably the level of infestation when they are old fish and also determines the time needed to develop a resistance to newly invading larvae. The abundance of Anisakis in North Sea Mackerel doubled approximately from the early seventies till the early eighties. The abundance of Anisakis in the mackerel from the southern North Sea Mackerel increased relatively less during these time periods. Within the Western Mackerel population there is a gradual increase in the infestation from south to north from May to July. In 1982-1984 the highest prevalence and abundance occurs in North Sea Mackerel population. The lowest. prevalence and abundance occurs in mackerel from the southern North Sea and the Bay of Biscay. The abundance of Anisakis in the Western Mackerel population is about half of the infestation in the North Sea Mackerel.


## INTRODUCTION

Two mackerel (Scomber scombrus L.) populations occur in the northeast Atlantic: the Western Mackerel and the North Sea Mackerel stocks (Anon.; 1988). The Western Mackerel spawn in the Celtic Sca and Bay of Biscay, while the North Sea Mackerel spawn in the central North Sea. After spawning the main part of both populations migrate to the same feeding grounds in the northern North Sea, which is a very important feeding area for the mackerel. Euphausidds, copepodes and fish are the most important food (Mehl and Westgaard, 1983 and Anon., 1984). The main infestation of mackerel with larvac of Anisakis simplex (Nematoda: Ascaridida) (Pippy and van Banning, 1975) takes place in the northern North Sea (Smith, 1983a).
The life cycle of Anisakis goes from the final host; which contains the adults, through an intermediate and a paratenic host, containing the larval stages and back to the final host again. Intermediate hosts are crustaceans, mainly euphausiids (Smith, 1983a and Smith 1983b). Paratenic hosts of Anisakis are in most cases Teleostei, like herring, horse mackerel and mackerel, eating these cuphausiids. Final hosts of Anisakis are mainly Cetaccans (van Banning and Becker, 1978); feeding on these fish. In the final hosts the adult Anisakis produce eggs, which enter sea water along with the facces of the marine mammalian final host.
In 1982-1984 investigations were carried out on Anisakis as a biological tag in mackerel to find out the origin and migration of the southern North Sca mackerel in relation to the North Sea and Western Mackerel populations for which purpose only part of the Anisakis data were presented earlier. The southern North Sea mackerel should be regarded as an overflow of mackerel of the Western Mackerel population to the North Sea Mackerel population (Eltink et al., 1986). This group of mackerel , which spawns in the North Sea, is born and has partly spent the juvenile phase in the western areas and probably does not feed in the northern North Sea and has therefore a characteristic low infestation of Anisakis. All results of these investigations on Anisakis in mackerel samples, collected in ICES Subareas IV, VI, VII and VIII together with results of investigations in an earlier period 1970-1971 (collected by dr P. van Banning) are listed in this paper. The prevalence, abundance, mean intensity and degree of infestation of Anisakis in mackerel by area and by length or age of the fish or only by area in ICES Sub-areas IV, VI, VII and VIII in the two time periods 1970-1971 and 19821984 are presented. Instead of by area this information is also given for the Western and North Sea Mackerel population and the mackerel from the southern North Sea. Furthermore, the Anisakis distributions by area and time within the western and North Sea area are examined. Infestation by sex was examined, because there was a suspect that the male sex would be more prone to infestation with Anisakis than the female sex, for males mature earlier and therefore migrate at a younger age to the feeding grounds in the northern North Sea. The degree of Anisakis infestation is investigated in relation to the loss of condition of the fish. Hypotheses are given for the mechanisms preventing an excessive infestation and the determination of the infestation level.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

During the period 1970-1971 1064 mackerel and during the period 1982-1984 3008 mackerel were examined for Anisakis larvae in ICES Subareas IV, VI, VII and VIII.
Table 1 shows the number of mackerel, which were examined for Anisakis and which were aged, by year and by ICES Division or Subdivision. These
sampled areas are shown for both time periods in respectively Figures 3 and 4 (the large ICES Divisions IVa, IVb and VIa have been divided in smaller Subdivisions, which have additions like e.g. 'SW' for southwest etc.).
In 1970 and 1971 aselect samples were taken from mackerel catches of commercial and research vessels in ICES Divisions IVa, VIa and VIIg (Table 1). All fish were cxamined for Anisakis larvae and of these fish sex, maturity, age, weight and length were estimated.
In 1982-1984 part of the samples of the market sampling programme were used for counting Anisakis together with samples :from research vessels. These samples were representative for the length and age composition of the catches. These fish were examined for Anisakis larvae and the sex, maturity, age, weight and length were estimated. Of some additional samples of research and commercial vessels, being representative for the length distribution of the catch, only the length was estimated and the number of Anisakis counted.
Two methods of counting the number of Anisakis larvae were used:

* The 'Naked-eye' counting method. The visceral mass of each mackerel separately was examined very carefully for nematodes, which were then identified and counted. This method was used in 1982 and 1983, because also the plerocercus of Grillotia angeli (Cestoda: Trypanorhyncha) (MacKenzie, 1981) was counted then.
* The citric acid - pepsin digestion method (Roskam, 1966). Anisakis larvae were recovered by citric acid - pepsin digestion of the whole visceral mass of each mackerel separately during 24 hours at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; after which the digested mass was sieved over a black $1 \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ metal-wire sieve. The nematodes remaining on the sieve were identified and counted. This method was used in 1970, 1971 and 1984.

All fish in Sub-area IV north of latitude $55^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ were assumed to belong to the North Sea Mackerel population, except those caught from July to October. All fish in Sub-area IV south off latitude $55^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ were assumed to belong to the mackerel from the southern North Sca. All fish in Sub-area VI, VII and VIII were assumed to belong to the Western Mackerel population, except those caught in VIa NE in November, December, January, February or March.
Fish of a certain age and length have been combined in groups in order to have enough fish per age or length group. The following age groups were used: 1-3, 4-6, 7-10, 11+(age 11 and older). The following length groups were used: $20.0-24.9 \mathrm{~cm}, 25.0-29.9 \mathrm{~cm}, 30.0-34.9 \mathrm{~cm}, 35.0-39.9 \mathrm{~cm}, 40.0-44.9 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $45.0-49.9 \mathrm{~cm}$.
The following ecological terms in parasitology, recommended by an ad hoc committee of the American Society of Parasitologists (Margolis et al., 1982) are used in this paper:

PREVALENCE (\%) =

## ABUNDANCE $=$

MEAN INTENSITY =

Number of individuals of a host species infected with a particular parasite species * 100 / Number of hosts examined
Total number of individuals of a particular parasite species in a sample of hosts / Total number of individuals of the host species (infected + uninfected) in the sample. ( $=$ Mean number of individuals of a particular parasite species per host examined)
Total number of individuals of a particular parasite species in a sample of a host species / number of infected individuals of the host species in the sample. ( $=$ Mcan number of individuals of a particular parasite species per infected host in a sample)

The infestated mackerel were divided in three categories for indicating the DEGREE OF INFESTATION:

| 1-15 | Anisakis larvae per fish $=$ LOW degree of infestation |
| :---: | :--- |
| 16-45 | Anisakis larvae per fish $=$ MEDIUM degrec of infestation |
| $>45$ | Anisakis larvae per fish $=$ HIGH degree of infestation |

The percentage of fish with a HIGH, MEDIUM and LOW infestation in a certain area or for a certain population or for a certain age or length group add up to $100 \%$. The calculation of these three degrees of infestation (\%) is not presented in the Tables 3-8, when there were less than 5 infestated fish.

## RESULTS

Individual worm burden ranged from 0 to 378 . The frequency distributions of Anisakis larvae are skewed as is shown as an example for age group 5 of North Sea Mackerel in 1983-1984 in the text table below :


Table 2 shows the prevalence (\%), mean intensity and abundance of Anisakis. in mackerel and the number of fish, which were examined, by year, by ICES Division or by Subdivision, by month and by rectangle. Information on the assumed (sub)population identity is added in this Table 2. The information of this table is presented respectively in Figure 1 and 2 for the two time periods 1970-1971 and 1982-1984. These figures show the ICES statistical rectangles, which have been sampled during both periods. The abundance of Anisakis in mackerel increased in the northern North Sea from 1970-1971 to 1982-1984. The coverage of sampling in the western area was very limited during $1970-1971$. The only sampling was done in Subdivision VIa NE, which is very near to the northern North Sea area, and in Division VIIg, which is more or less a nursery ground. Therefore, no information is available on the changes in the Anisakis infestation in the western areas.
Table 3 shows the total number of mackerel examined for Anisakis, the number uninfestated and infestated fish; the prevalence, the mean intensity, abundance and the degree of infestation in the infected fish (\%) by Division or by Subdivision for two time periods 1970-1971 and 1982-1984. Figure 3 and 4 show the prevalence, the abundance of Anisakis in mackerel and number of fish examined by Division or Subdivision for respectively the two time periods $1970-1971$ and 1982-1984. The highest abundance of Anisakis in mackerel occurred in 1970-1971, in Subdivisions IVa SE and NE and in 1982-1984, not only in IVa NE+SW+SE and IVb E+W, but also in Division VIIc.
Table 3 is combining all age and length groups, but Table 4 and 5 show the same information by length groups respectively by age groups in order to detect any relation between infestation and the length or age of the fish. The prevalence (\%) increases with the length and age of the mackerel. The abundance and mean intensity increases with length and age of the mackerel, but seem to decrease often for the largest and oldest fish.
Table 6 and 7 show the total number of mackerel examined for Anisakis; the number uninfestated and infestated fish, the prevalence, the mean intensity, abundance and the degree of infestation in the infected fish (\%) for the Western Mackerel, the North Sea Mackerel and the mackerel from the southern North Sea for the periods 1970-1971 and 1982-1984 by length group (Table 6)and by age group (Table 7). Figure 5 shows in most cases an increasing abundance of Anisakis in mackerel for each age group of the

North Sea Mackerel population and the mackerel from the southern North Sea from 1970-1971 to 1983-1984. The relative high abundance of Anisakis in 1-3 year old southern North Sca mackercl in 1970-1971 seems unrealistically high.
Figure 6 and 7 show the abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in the North Sea and Western Mackerel population and in the mackerel from the southern North Sca and the Bay of Biscay, by length group in 1982-1984 and by age group in 1983-1984. The smallest and youngest fish had the lowest infestation with Anisakis. The 4 year and older fish do not show a clear increasing abundance of Anisakis, but the abundance fluctuates more or less around a certain level. The abundance or mean intensity by age group do not show an increase as one would expect with an increasing food uptake of the mackerel, but a rapid increase for young fish, nearly no further increase for older fish and even a decrease for the oldest fish (Table 4 and 5 and Figures 5, 6 and 7). The prevalence rates increased for the Western and North Sea mackerel populations between 1970-1971 and 1982-1984 (Table 6 and 7). An unexpected high prevalence and abundance were estimated for the smallest and youngest mackerel from the southern North Sea in 19701971.

Table 8 shows the total number of mackerel examined for Anisakis, the number uninfestated and infestated fish, the prevalence, the mean intensity, abundance and the degree of infestation in the infected fish (\%) for the Western Mackerel, the North Sea Mackerel and the mackerel from the southern North Sea by sex and sexes combined in 1983-1984. There is no clear difference between male and female mackerel in relation to Anisakis infestation, although the prevalence and abundance of Anisakis in Western Mackerel and mackerel from the southern North Sea is just a little higher for males than for females.
Figures 8, 9 and 10 demonstrate the differences in abundance of Anisakis in mackerel depending on the time and the area of sampling. Figure 8 shows the abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in the North Sea area from June to July in 1983 and 1984. The highest infestation of 1-3 year old mackerel occurs in the northern North Sea. Four year and older fish in the northern and central North Sea have a much higher infestation than those from the southern North Sea. The abundance of Anisakis decreases also often for the oldest and largest mackerel in these figures.
Figure 9 shows the abundance of Anisakis in the mackerel in the western area from May to July in 1983 and 1984 in three different areas. From north to south the abundance of Anisakis in each age group of mackerel decreases, especially the abundance of Anisakis in the juveniles (age 1-3). The abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in Division VIIj decreases within each age group during (pre)spawning from March to May as is shown in Figure 10. The most remarkable change is the decrease in infestation of 1-3 year olds.
Figure 11, 12 and 13 show the cumulative percentage of mackerel having a low ; medium and high Anisakis infestation by age group in 1983-1984 for respectively the North Sea and Western Mackerel and the mackerel from the southern North Sea. These figures demonstrate that the percentage distributions of the degrees of Anisakis infestation do not change any more after age 4 of the mackerel. These percentages do not include uninfected fish.
The mean condition factor ( $\mathrm{K}=100 *$ weight / length ${ }^{3}$ ) of the Western Mackerel, North Sea Mackerel and the mackerel from the southern North Sea in 1983-1984 in relation to the number of Anisakis larvae present is shown in Table 9. There is no correlation between increasing degree of infestation and loss of condition of the fish. However, a positive correlation seems to exist between degree of infestation and the condition of the fish.

## DISCUSSION

The skew frequency distributions of Anisakis in mackerel are also common in herring (van Banning and Becker, 1978) and blue whiting (Smith and Wootten, 1978). The overdispersion of Anisakis in mackerel is typical of almost all parasite frequency distributions studied in natural populations (Anderson, 1978).
Once the Anisakis larvae have entered the visceral cavity or musculature they quickly become encapsulated and remain immobile while fish is alive. Larvae do not penetrate the viscera but are encapsulated superficially. Thus, although the surface of the liver may be covered with larval nematodes its internal structure and functioning are probably unimpaired (Smith and Wootten, 1978).
For counting Anisakis larvae the pepsin digestion method is preferable to the naked-cye counting method, because inaccuracy may arise especially when the fish is not fresh-caught or when thawing after freezing causes 'deliquescence' in the body cavity (van Banning and Becker, 1978). As a consequence of counting only in the body cavity contents, nematodes in the musculature are missed. The tendency of each Anisakis to bore into the musculature of herring has shown to be constant in that approximately one in 130 larvae was found in this circumstance regardless of the age or the length of the host (Davey, 1972). Therefore, the number of Anisakis larvae, in the musculature of the mackerel missed by this method, is probably irrelevant.
Smith (1983b) stated that cuphausiids in the North-East Atlantic and northern North Sea, and perhaps universaly, are major hosts of Anisakis simplex. The Anisakis larvae are found in the following cuphausiids species in the northern North Sea: Thysanoessa inermis, T. longicaudata, T. raschii and Nyctiphanes couchii (Smith; 1983a) and probably also Meganyctiphanus norvegica (Smith, 1971), which is the most important species of the cuphausiids in the mackerel diet (Mehl and Westgaard, 1983). Smith (1983a) had the impression that the infected euphausiids were most common in the offshore region at depth between 100 and 200 m in the northern North Sea as shown in Figure 14. No individual euphausiid harboured more than one parasite. In the northern North Sea the distribution was extremely patchy; the prevalence rate for individual euphausiid species in individual samples was in T. inermis from 0 to $4.0 \%$, in T. longicaudata from 0 to $1.0 \%$ and in $T$. raschii from 0 to $1.3 \%$, but an exceptionally high rate of $78 \%$ was recorded. Larval Anisakis occurred in $0.42 \%$ of the examined euphausiids ( 109 individuals out of 26058 euphausiids of five species).
The abundance of Anisakis in mackerel doubled approximately in the North Sea Mackerel population from 1970-1971 to 1982-1984 (Figure 5 and Table 6 and 7). This increase was less in the mackerel from the southern North Sea. The infestation of Anisakis in 1-3 year old southern North Sea mackerel was relatively high in 1970-1971, because the last strong year class 1969 of the North Sea Mackerel population had an expanded distribution to the south. The sampling of mackerel during 1970-1971 was not adequate enough to describe any change in the abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in the western area or in the Western Mackerel population between both periods. It appears that in June and July the southern part of the northern North Sea area the highest abundance of "Anisakis in mackerel occurs, although the number of fish examined is rather low (Figure 8). The mackerel from the southern North Sea has a much lower abundance of Anisakis. The southern North Sea mackerel should be regarded as an overflow of mackerel of the Western Mackerel population to the North Sea Mackerel population (Eltink ct al., 1986). This group of mackerel, which spawns in the North Sea,
is born and has partly spent the juvenile phase in the western areas, does not feed in the northern North Sea and has therefore a characteristic low infestation of Anisakis.
The juveniles of the Western Mackerel population, growing up in the western area, have a relatiyely high infestation compared with juveniles from the English Channel, the Bay of Biscay and southern North Sea. Along the edge of the continental shelf there is an increase in the infestation of juvenile fish from south to north from May to July (Figure 9). Probably the infestation of juvenile mackerel increases from south to north. In the eighties there has been a partial shift of western juvenile mackerel from the Western English Channel to the west of Scotland (Anon., 1988). The infestation of these juvenile Western Mackerel will probably increase, when more juveniles are growing up to west of Scotland, because the infestation in the western English Channel would be much lower.
During the (pre)spawning season (March-May) the abundance of Anisakis in Western Mackerel decreases (Figure 10) as a result of the age-size succession in spawning of the mackerel. The older and larger fish spawn earlier and within an age group the relatively large fish spawn earlier. After spawning they migrate to the northern North Sea for feeding. The largest fish within an age group mature carlier and therefore spawn earlier and migrate carlier to the feeding area in the northern North Sea, where they get a higher infestation compared with the relatively smaller fish of the same age group, remaining in the western areas or migrating northward later (Eltink, 1987). The relatively sharp decrease in infestation of the youngest fish from March to May is caused by an immigration of low infestated first time spawners in the spawning area.
In the Western Mackerel population seems to exist a positive correlation between the degree of Anisakis infestation and the condition factor of the fish as a result of the age-size succession in spawning and migration of mackerel (Eltink, 1987); the largest fish within an age group are probably those fish having the highest condition factor.
Males of the 2 year old mackerel mature at a smaller length than females, which causes a relatively higher abundance of Anisakis in these 2 year old males in the spawning area (Eltink, 1987). Therefore, one would expect a higher infestation in male Western Mackerel and male mackerel from the southern North Sea than for females, because these males start their feeding migration to the North Sea earlier compared to females. But there was only a slight higher infestation in these males.
Figure 5, 6 and 7 show that only the juvenile mackerel (age 1-3 and length class 20-24 and 25-29) of the North Sea Mackerel population have a very high abundance, which is nearly as high as the older and larger fish, because these juveniles grow up in the North Sea, which is the main infestation area. The juvenile North Sea Mackerel, growing up in an area with a risk of many infestations in a relatively short period, probably gets a high number of infestations before they even have been able to develop a presumptive resistance to newly invading larvac. The juvenile Western Mackerel, growing up in an area with a risk of much less infestations in the same period, probably gets a low number of infestations before they develop a presumptive resistance to newly invading larvae. It takes a longer period for the Western Mackerel to develop this presumptive resistance compared to the North Sea Mackerel. This implies that the abundance of Anisakis in adult mackerel is dependent on the Anisakis infestation pressure during the juvenile period. It is even possible then that within the population the abundance of Anisakis in mackerel decreases with the age of the fish. It implies that the older fish in the population have been spending their first years of live in an area, where they got a low infestation. According to Smith and Wootten (1978) there was an indication in some samples of blue whiting that the level of infestation decreased in older fish.

Also Gieds (1981) found that the infestation in the very large and old blue whiting was decreasing with the length of the fish. This decrease in the level of infestation for older fish can also be observed in mackerel (Tables 4 - 8 and Figures 5-10). The level of Anisakis infestation in mackerel in the carly seventies was indeed about half of the recent level, which means that the hypothesis above is in agreement with the observations in blue whiting and mackerel. Therefore, the abundance of Anisakis in Western Mackerel increased from the early seventies till the early eighties, because the oldest (age 11+) fish have a lower infestation than the younger fish (age 4-10).
In the text table below is shown the growth in length and weight by year of the mackerel in the middle of the year. Mackerel eat about 2.5 times their own body weight each year (Anon., 1984) and about $1 / 3$ of their diet in the northern North Sea consists of cuphausiids (Mehl and Westgaard, 1983). Assuming only $70 \%$ of their food consumption is eaten in the northern North, the annual food intake of cuphausiids in the northern North Sca can be estimated and is about $60 \%$ of their own body weight. The mean weight of an individual M . norvegica caten by mackerel estimated during the stomach sampling programme (Anon., 1984) was 0.08 g and the mean weight of both T. inermis and T. raschii 0.02 g (pers. comm. Sigbjørn Mehl). The mean weight of an individual euphausiid was assumed to be 0.06 g , because the most important cuphausiid in the diet of the mackerel was M. norvegica. This mean weight can be used for estimating roughly the number of euphausiids eaten each year by one individual mackerel in a certain age group from the weight of cuphausiids eaten by each mackerel in a certain age group. The percentage of infected euphausiids eaten is assumed to be at least $0.5 \%$ of the total number of euphausiids eaten in the northern North Sea, because the prevalence rate was already $0.42 \%$ in all samples taken in the total area as shown in Figure 14 and because the biomass of Thysanoessa species is much lower to the west of Scotland than in the northern North Sea (Lindley, 1977). The theoretical cumulative infestation of Anisakis in mackerel can be estimated, assuming each infected euphausiid eaten accounts for one encapsulated Anisakis in the body cavity of the mackerel and assuming that Anisakis larvae live as long as their paratenic host (see text table below).


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 15.5 | 39 | 24 | 390 | 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 22.8 | 125 | 75 | 1250 | 6 | 8 |
| 2 | 27.7 | 225 | 135 | 2250 | 11 | 19 |
| 3 | 31.1 | 318 | 191 | 3180 | 16 | 35 |
| 4 | 33.4 | 394 | 237 | 3940 | 20 | 55 |
| 5 | 35.0 | 453 | 272 | 4530 | 23 | 78 |
| 6 | 36.1 | 497 | 298 | 4970 | 25 | 103 |
| 7 | 36.8 | 528 | 317 | 5280 | 26 | 129 |
| 8 | 37.3 | 550 | 330 | 5500 | 28 | 157 |
| 9 | 37.7 | 566 | 339 | 5660 | 28 | 185 |
| 10 | 37.9 | 576 | 346 | 5760 | 29 | 214 |

The number of theoretical cumulative infestations are compared to the observed values of the North Sea and Western Mackerel populations as is shown in Figure 15. The number of infestations each year is linear related to the food consumption of the mackerel, but the observed abundance of

Anisakis in both populations remain far below the expected values of the theoretical cumulative infestations and show evidence of nearly no more infestations after the age of 3 or 5 . This much lower observed abundance can be explained by a possible increased host resistance to newly invading larvae as suggested carlier as the most likely possibility. This host resistance to newly invading larvac can be developed either by the fish itself or by the encapsulated Anisakis larvae themselves. Any change in the feeding habits of about 4 year and older mackerel should be excluded as possibility on account of the results of the stomach sampling project (Anon., 1984). Furthermore the mortality of the medium and high infected paratenic hosts should be considered as a possible cause of this much lower observed than expected abundance, but the number of Anisakis infestations did not have an apparent effect on the condition of the mackerel not even at very heavy infestation levels. Also Smith and Wootten (1978) did not find any correlation between the degree of infestation and the loss of condition of the blue whiting. Even, when a high degree of infestation would not affect the condition of the fish, one would expect that fish with a higher degree of infestation would suffer a higher mortality than those fish having a lower degree of infestation. This would imply that the cumulative percentage distribution (Figures 11, 12 and 13) would change to relatively more medium and less low infestated fish. However, these percentage distributions do practically not change for the 4 year and older fish, which supports the hypothesis of the host resistance. Furthermore the loss of previously established worms could also cause this much lower observed than expected abundance of Anisakis in mackerel. This would imply that the established larvae should live only 3 to 5 year in order to get a very slowly increasing abundance by age or length and that in older fish about 30 nematodes should degenerate each year (see text table above). Fish, examined with the naked-eye counting method, did not show evidence of degenerating Anisakis larvae in high numbers. Therefore, the host resistance is still the most plausible explanation for the observed characteristics of the Anisakis infestations in mackerel.

I gratefully acknowledge the help of Miss Martine Warmerdam and Arjan Heinen for counting the nematodes. The author wishes to thank Eskild Kirkegaard (Danish Fishery Institute, Copenhagen) and Martin Walsh (Marine Laboratory, Aberdeen) for collecting mackerel samples during the Mackerel Egg Survey in the North Sea in 1984. The author wishes to thank also Paul van Banning, who made the historic Anisakis data of 1970-1971 available and who was a great help during these Anisakis investigations.

## REFERENCES

Anderson, R.M., 1978. The regulation of host population growth by

Anon., 1984.

Anon., 1988.
van Banning, P. and H.B. Becker, 1978.

Davey, J.T., 1972.

Eltink, A.,
M. Warmerdam and
A. Heinen, 1986.

Eltink, A.T.G.W., 1987.

Giedz M., 1981.

Lindley J.A., 1977.

MacKenzie, K., 1981.

Margolis, L., G.W. Esch, J.C. Holmes, A.M. Kurie G.A. Schad, 1982.

Mehl, S. and
T. Westgaard, 1983.
parasitic species. Parasitology 76, 119-157.
Report of the meeting of the coordinators of Stomach sampling project 1981.
ICES C.M. 1984/G:37 53pp. (mimeo.).
Report of the Mackerel Working Group.
ICES C.M.1988/Assess: 12, 82pp. (mimeo.).
Long-term survey data (1965-1972) on the occurrence of Anisakis larvae (Nematoda: Ascaridida) in herring, Clupea harengus L., from the North Sea. J. Fish Biol. 12, 25-33.

The incidence of Anisakis Iarvae (Nematoda: Ascaridata) in the commercially exploited stocks of...herring (Clupea harengus L., 1758) (Pisces: Clupeidae) in British and adjacent waters. J. Fish Biol. 4, 535-554.

Origin, migration and spawning of southern North Sea Mackerel with respect to the overspill of Western Mackerel to the North Sea stock. ICES C.M. 1986/H:49 15pp. (mimeo.).

Changes in age-size distribution and sex ratio during spawning and migration of Western Mackerel (Scomber scombrus L.).
J. Cons. int Explor. Mer, 44: 10-22.

The parasitic infestation of the muscle tissue of Blue Whiting by Anisakis larvae, in spawning and feeding grounds.
ICES C.M. 1981/H:70 12pp. (mimeo.).
Continuous plankton records: the distribution of the Euphausiacea (Crustacea: Malacostraca) in the North Atlantic and the North Sea, 1966-1967. Journal of Biogeography, 4, 121-133.

The plerocercus of Grillotia angeli (Cestoda: Trypanorhyncha) as a biological tag for Mackerel. ICES C.M. 1981/H:57 9 pp. (mimeo.).

The use of ecological terms in parasitology (report of an ad hoc committee of the American
Society of, Parasitologists).
J. Parasitol. 68(1) pp 131-133.

The diet and consumption of mackerel in the Sea.
ICES C.M. 1983/H:34 30 pp . (mimeo).

Pippy, J.H.C. and P. van Banning, 1975.

Roskam, R. Th., 1966.

Smith, J.W. 1971.

Smith, J.W. and
R. Wootten, 1978

Smith, J.W., 1983a

Smith, J.W., 1983 b.

Identification of Anisakis larva (I) as Anisakis simplex (Rudolphi, 1809, det. Krabbe 1878) (Nematoda: Ascaridata). J. Fish. Res. Bd. Can. 32, 29-32.

Anisakis larvae in North Sea herring. ICES C.M. 1966/H:13 3pp. (mimeo).

Thysanoessa inermis and T. longicaudata (Euphausiidae) as First Intermediate Hosts of Anisakis sp. (Nematoda: Ascaridata) in the Northern North Sea, to the North of Scotland and at Faroe. Nature 1971 Vol. 234 page 478.

Further studies on the occurence of larval Anisakis in Blue Whiting.
ICES C.M. 1978/H:53 9pp. (mimeo).
Larval Anisakis simplex ( Rudolphi, 1809, det. Krabbe, 1878) and larval Hysterothylacium sp. (Nematoda: Ascaridoidea) in euphausiids (Crustacea: Malacostraca) in the North-East Atlantic and northern North Sea. Journal of Helminthology 57, 167-177.

Anisakis simplex (Rudolphi, 1809, det. Krabbe, 1878) (Nematoda: Ascaridoidea): Morphology and morphometry of larvae from euphausiids and fish, and a review of the lifehistory and ecology. Journal of Helminthology 57, 205-224.


Figure 1. The prevalence (\%) and abundance of Anisakis in mackerel and the number of mackerel, which were examined, by rectangle in 1970-1971.


Figure 2. The prevalence (\%) and abundance of Anisakis in mackerel and the number of mackerel, which were examined, by rectangle in 1982-1984.


Figure 3. The prevalence (\%) and abundance of Anisakis in mackerel and the number of mackerel, which were examined, by ICES Division or by Subdivision in 1970-1971.


Figure 4. The prevalence (\%) and abundance of Anisakis in mackerel and the number of mackerel, which were examined, by ICES Division or by Subdivision in 1982-1984.


Figure 5. Abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in the North Sea Mackerel population and in the mackerel from the southern North Sea by age group in 1970-1971 and 1983-1984.


Figure 6: Abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in the North Sea and Western Mackerel population and in the mackerel from the southern North Sea and the Bay of Biscay by length group in 1982-1984.


Figure 7. Abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in the North Sea and Western Mackerel population and in the mackerel from the southern North Sea and the Bay of Biscay by age group in 1983-1984.


Figure 8. Abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in the North Sea by area and by age group in June and July in 1983-1984. The numbers indicate the number of mackerel examined.


Figure 9. Abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in the western areas by area and by age group from May to July in 1983-1984. The numbers indicate the number of mackerel examined.


Figure 10. Abundance of Anisakis in mackerel in the mackerel spawning area Division VIIj by month and by age group from March to May in 1983-1984. The numbers indicate the number of mackerel examined.


Figure 11. Cumulative percentage of mackerel having a low, medium and high Anisakis infestation in the North Sea Mackerel population by age group in 1983-1984:



Figure 12: Cumulative percentage of mackerel having a low, medium and high Anisakis infestation in the Western Mackerel population by age group in 1983-1984:


- Figure 13. Cumulative percentage of mackerel having a low, medium and high Anisakis infestation in the mackerel from the southern North Sea by age group in 1983-1984.


Figure 14. The distribution of euphausiid samples infected and uninfected with larval Anisakis simplex (After Smith, 1983a).


Figure 15. The theoretical cumulative Anisakis infection by age in mackerel in comparison to the abundance of Anisakis observed in the North Sea and Western Mackerel by age.

TABLE 1. The number of mackerel, which were examined for Anisakis, by year and by ICES Division or by Subdivision. Between brackets the number of mackerel of which the age was estimated.

| Year | (Sub)Division | Number of mackerel examined aged |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1970 | IVa NE | 100 | (100) |
| 1970 | IVa SE | 125 | (124) |
| 1970 | VIa NE | 150 | (150) |
| 1970 | VIIg | 250 | (250) |
| 1970 | Total | 625 | (624) |
| 1971 | IVa NE | 193 | (193) |
| 1971 | IVa SE | 50 | (50) |
| 1971 | IV c | 196 | (196) |
| 1971 | Total | 439 | (439) |
| 1982 | IVb E | 39 | (0) |
| 1982 | IVc | 137 | (0) |
| 1982 | Total | 176 | (0) |
| 1983 | IVb W | 16 | (16) |
| 1983 | IVc | 60 | (32) |
| 1983 | VIa NE | 50 | (50) |
| 1983 | VIa SW | 39 | (16) |
| 1983 | VIIc | 60 | (14) |
| 1983 | VIIe | 136 | (81) |
| 1983 | VIIj | 152 | (114) |
| 1983 | VIII | 76 | (70) |
| 1983 | Total | 589 | (393) |
| 1984 | IVa NE | 50 | (50) |
| 1984 | IVa NW | 25 | (25) |
| 1984 | IVa SE | 119 | (119) |
| 1984 | IVa SW | 124 | (50) |
| 1984 | IVb E | 408 | (392) |
| 1984 | IVb W | 21 | (21) |
| 1984 | IVC | 352 | (300) |
| 1984 | VIa NW | 172 | (25) |
| 1984 | VIa SW | 241 | (100) |
| 1984 | VIIb | 84 | (25) |
| 1984 | VIIh | 25 | (25) |
| 1984 | VIIj, | 622 | (450) |
| 1984 | Total | 2243 | (1582) |
| 1970-1984 | TOTAL | 4072 | (3038) |

TABLE 2. The prevalence (\%), mean intensity and abundance of Anisakis in mackerel and the number of mackerel, which were examined, by year, by ICES Division or by Subdivision, by month and by rectangle. Between brackets the number of mackerel of which the age was estimated.

| Year | (Sub)Divizion | Honth | Rectangle | Mumber of mackerel |  | (2) <br> Prevalence | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Hean } \\ \text { intensity } \end{array}$ | Abundance | Mackerel (Sub)population |  | Year ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | (Sub)division | Month | Rectangle | Nunber of mackerel |  | (2) <br> Prevalence | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Hean } \\ \text { intensity } \end{array}$ | Abundance | Mackerel (Sub)population |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | exaained | aged |  |  |  |  |  | examined |  |  |  | aged |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | IVa ${ }^{\text {NS }}$ | 4 | 5171 | 100 | (100) | 81 | 11.7 | 9.4 |  | North Ses |  | 1984 | IVa NE | 7 | 5070 | 25 | (25) | 84 | 37.8 | 31.7 |  |  |
| 1970 | IVa SE | 5 | 4575 | 25 | (25) | 100 | 16.6 | 16.6 |  | North Sea | 1984 | IVa NR | 7 | 5170 | 25 | (25) | 88 | 23.0 | 20.3 |  | - |
| 1970 | IVE SE | 5 | 4673 | 50 | (49) | 98 | 16.3 | 16.0 |  | North Sea | 1984 | IVa NH | 7 | 4987 | 25 | (25) | 72 | 13.2 | 9.5 |  |  |
| 1970 | IVA S8 | 8 | 4888 | 50 | (50) | 96 | 8.8 | 8.5 |  |  | 1984 | IVa SE | 7 | 44 P 1 | 7 | (7) | 85 | 50.2 | 43.0 |  |  |
| 1970 | via ns | 8 | 4683 | 50 | (50) | 50 | 4.8 | 2.4 |  | Westera | 1984 | IVa SE | 7 | 4484 | 3 | (5) | 100 | 6.8 | 6.8 |  |  |
| 1970 | Via NB | 8 | 4684 | 50 | (50) | 58 | 3.2 | 1.8 |  | Hestera | 1984 | IVa SE | 7 | 4681 | 27 | (27) | 71 | 24.5 | 19.1 |  |  |
| 1970 | via ns | 8 | 4885 | 50 | (50) | 42 | 4.4 | 1.9 |  | Western | 1984 | IVa SE | 7 | 4672 | 32 | (32) | 84 | 17.9 | 15.1 |  |  |
| 1970 | viig | 10 | 3184 | 100 | (100) | 51 | 4.9 | 2.5 |  | Western | 1984 | IVa SE | 7 | 4850 | 1 | (1) | 100 | 80.0 | 80.0 |  |  |
| 1970 | ViIg | 11 | 31 E 4 | 50 | (50) | 38 | 3.2 | 1.2 |  | Hestera | 1984 | IVa SE | 7 | 4871 | 12 | (12) | 100 | 78.5 | 78.5 |  |  |
| 1970 | VIIg | 11 | 3222 | 50 | (50) | 54 | 6.1 | 3.3 |  | Vestera | 1984 | IVa SB | 7 | 48882 | 35 74 | (35) | 80 97 | 23.1 25.9 | 18.5 25.2 |  |  |
| 1970 | viIg | 11 | 32 E 3 | 50 | (50) | 62 | 2.4 | 1.5 |  | Hestera | 1984 |  | 5 | 4788 4788 | 74 50 | (50) | 97 96 | 25.9 24.9 | 25.2 23.9 |  | North Sea North Sea |
| 1971 | IVE NE | 4 | 49 P 3 | 100 | (100) | 9 | 12.6 | 12.4 |  | Western | 1984 | IVbe | 5 | 4173 | 148 | (139) | 98 | 30.5 | 30.0 |  | North Ses |
| 1971 | IVa NB | 4 | SOP3 | 50 | (50) | 96 | 10.9 | 10.5 |  | North Sea | 1984 | TVB E | 6 | 3674 3685 | 30 50 | (25) | 60 38 | 18.9 | 11.4 500 | southern | North Sea |
| 1971. | IVa NE | 5 | 50F2 | 43 | (43) | 97 | 8.8 | 8.6 |  | North Sea | 1984 | IVbe | 6 | 3685 | 50 | (50) | 38 | 13.2 | 5.0 | southera | North Sea |
| 1971 | IVa SE | 5 | 4784 | 50 | (50) | 96 | 11.7 | 11.3 |  | North Sea | 1984 | Irb $\frac{8}{}$ | 6 | 4193 | 4 | (4) | 100 | 79.8 | 79.8 |  |  |
| 1971 | IVe | 4 | 5372 | 50 | (50) | 82 | 4.7 | 3.8 | southera | North Sea | 1984 1984 | IVE IVb E | 6 | 4273 | 54 96 | (54) (94) | 98 100 | 29.1 20.9 | 28.5 20.9 |  |  |
| 1971 | IVe | 5 | 34 F 2 <br> 358 | ${ }_{7} 8$ | (48) | ${ }_{71} 78$ | 4.9 3.8 | 3.4 .2 .7 | southera southern | North Sea | 1984 | IVb $E$ | 7 | 4373 | 26 | (26) | 96 | 17.8 | 17.1 |  |  |
| 1971 | IVe | 3 | 3544 | 41 | (41) | 73 | 5.4 | 4.0 | southera | North Sea | 1984 | IVb N | 7 | 4371 | 21 | (21) | 100 | 56.3 | 56.5 |  |  |
| 1971 | Ive | 10 | 3473 | 50 | (50) | 92 | 5.9 | 5.5 | southern | North Sea | 1984 | IVc | 4 | 3474 | 25 | (25) | 36 | 2.3 | 0.8 | southera | North Se |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1984 | IVc | 5 | 3314 | 25 | (0) | 36 | 1.6 | 0.6 | southera | North Se |
| 1982 | IVb 8 | 10 | 39 F 4 | 39 | (0) | 61 | 11.3 | 6.9 |  | - | 1984 | ${ }^{\text {IV }}$ V | 5 | 3482 | 25 | (25) | 84 | 12.6 | 10.6 | southera | th |
| 1982 | IVe | 10 | 3384 | 90 | (0) | 43. | 4.4 | 2.0 | southera | North Sea | 1984 | IVc | 5 | 3484 | 100 | (100) | 48 | 5.9 | 2.8 | southera | North Sea |
| 1982 | Ive | 10 | 3474 | 47 | (0) | 38 | 6.2 | 2.4 | southera | North Sea | 1984 | IVe IVe | 6 | 3452 3454 | 50 50 | (50) (50) | 76 60 | 7.3 | 5.5 | southern southera | North Sea North Sea |
| 1983 | IVb w | 6 | 3971 | 16 | (16) | 93 | 17.9 | 16.8 |  |  | 1984 | IVc | 6 | 3584 | 25 | (25) | 44 | 4.9 | 2.2 | southera | North Sea |
| 1983 | Ive | 4 | 3474 | 29 | (9) | 55 | 7.1 | 3.9 | southera | North Sea | 1984 | IVe | 7 | 3474 | 27 | (25) | 37 | 2.4 | 0.9 | southera | North Sea |
| 1983 | ive | 5 | 34 P 4 | 21 | (13) | 61 | 14.6 | 9.0 | southern | North Sea | 1984 | IVe | 8 | 3482 | 25 | (0) | 40 | 12.1 | 4.8 | southera | North Sea |
| 1983 | IVe | 6 | 3474 | 10 | (10) | 70 | 8.9 | 6.2 | southern | North Sea | 1984 | via m | 5 | 43 EO | 62 | (0) | 79 | 5.8 | 4.6 |  | Westera |
| 1983 | VIa NB | 2 | 4783 | 50 | (50) | 96 | 17.3 | 16.6 |  |  | 1984 | VIa ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 5 | 4321 | 25 | ${ }^{(0)}$ | 80 | 6.6 | 5.3 |  | Western |
| 1983 | via SW | 3 | 38E0 | 20 | ${ }^{(8)}$ | 95 | 26.5 | 25.2 |  | Hestern | 1984 | Via $m$ | 7 | 43 El | 35 | (25) | 71 | 11.0 | 7.9 |  | Western |
| 1983 | via SH | 3 | 3980 | 19 | (8) | 100 | 30.6 | 30.6 |  | Western | 1984 | via m | 8 | 4381 | 50 | (0) | 76 | 6.5 | 4.9 |  | Western |
| 1983 | vilc | 3 | 3487 | 37 | (0) | 94 | 31.5 | 29.8 |  | Western | 1984 | VIa ${ }^{\text {SH}}$ | 5 | 3809 | 133 | (0) | 67 | 16.0 | 10.8 |  | Western |
| 1983 | vite | 3 | 3501 | 23 | (14) | 91 | 19.3 | 17.7 |  | Western | 1984 | Via SV | 6 | 3809 | 28 | (25) | 82 | 17.4 | 14.3 |  | Western |
| 1983 | vise | 1 | 28E6 | 24 | (6) | 54 | 21.9 | 11.9 |  | Western | 1984 | Via ${ }^{\text {SW }}$ | 6 | 40 E 1 | 25 | (25) | 96 | 13.1 | 12.6 |  | Western |
| 1983 | vile | 1 | 2986 | 16 | (16) | 50 | 4.0 | 2.0 |  | Western | 1984 | $\mathrm{VI}_{1} \mathrm{SW}$ | 6 | 42 El | 30 | (25) | 76 | 9.4 | 7.2 |  | Western |
| 1983 | vile | 2 | 28E6 | 83 | (46) | 56 | 5.9 | 3.3 |  | Western | 1984 | $\mathrm{VIa}_{\text {S }} \mathrm{SH}$ | 8 | 42 P 1 | 25 | (25) | 64 | 8.0 | 3.1 |  | Weatern |
| 1983 | vile | 4 | 28 ES | 13 | (13) | 53 | 3.4 | 1.8 |  | Hestern | 1984 | vilb | 5 | 3609 | 47 | (0) | 76 | 23.8 | 18.3 |  | Western |
| 1983 | virj | 3 | 32 D 8 | 10 | (10) | 100 | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | Hestern | 1984 | virb | 8 | 3709 | 37 | (25) | 72 | 11.9 | 8.7 6.0 |  | Weatern |
| 1983 | vilj | 4 | 26E0 | 1 | (1) | 100 | 21.0 | 21.0 |  | Western | 1984 | Virn | 4 | 2681 | 25 | (25) | 84 | 7.1 | ${ }^{6.0}$ |  | Mestern |
| 1983 | virj | 4 | 2709 | 12 | (12) | 91 | 6.9 | 6.3 |  | Western | 1984 | ViIj | 4 | 2680 | 75 | (15) | 94 | 12.2 | 11.6 |  |  |
| 1983 | viij | 4 | 2909 | 16 | (16) | 93 | 28.4 | 26.6 |  | Western | 1984 | vily | 4 | 2759 | 33 | (25) | 72 | 16.0 | 11.6 |  | Weatern |
| 1983 | visj | 4 | 3308 | 67 | (29) | 98 | 21.5 | 21.2 |  | Hestern | 1984 | vilj | 4 | 2780 | 25 | (25) | 100 | 13.4 | 13.4 |  | Weatern |
| 1983 | $v i 1 j$ | 5 | 2708 | ${ }^{8}$ | (17) | 75 82 |  | 6.6 8.8 |  |  | 1984 1984 | $V 113$ VIIj | 4 | 2809 2909 | 50 25 | $(50)$ $(25)$ | 86 88 | 10.3 8.5 | 8.9 |  | Heatern Weatern cefer |
| 1983 1983 | virj | 5 | 2709 2780 | 8 | (17) | 82 100 | 10.7 8.0 | 8.8 8.0 |  | Western | 1984 1984 | VIIj | 4 | 2909 | 25 25 | $(25)$ <br> $(25)$ | 88 96 | 8.5 13.2 | 7.5 12.7 |  | Wentern |
| 1983 | vity | 5 | 2809 | , | (6) | 100 | 10.8 | 10.8 |  | Hestern | 1984 | viry | 4 | 3009 | 25 | (25) | 84 | 10.3 | 8.6 |  | Heatern |
| 1983 | vili | 6 | 1788 | 12 | (12) | 58 | 6.9 | 4.0 |  | Western | 1984 | vitj | 4 | 3080 | 25 | (25) | 100 | 14.9 | 14.9 |  | Wentern |
| 1983 | vili | 6 | 1828 | 14 | (14) | 71 | 6.5 | 4.6 |  | Hestern | 1984 | virj | 5 | 2659 | 25 | (25) | ${ }_{79} 8$ | 8.2 | 6.9 |  | Western |
| 1983 | $v i 11$ | 6 | 19 E | 16 | (10) | 43 | 11.7 | 5.1 |  | Hestarn | 1984 | vils | 5 | 2708 | 189 | (25) | 79 | 11.2 | 9.0 |  | Western |
| 1983 | vili | 6 | 20 E 6 | 16 | (16) | 81 | 6.2 | 5.1 |  | Hestern | 1984 | Vilj | 3 | 2759 | 75 | (15) | 81 | 12.1 | 9.9 |  | Western |
| 1983 | viII | 6 | 2285 | 18 | (18) | 12 | 8.9 | 6.4 |  | Hestern | 1984 | VIIj | 5 | 2909 | 25 | (25) | 80 | 11.7 | 9.3 |  | Western |

TABLE 3. The total number of mackerel examined for Anisakis, the number uninfested and infested fish, the prevalence, the mean intensity, abundance and the degree of infestation in the infected fish (\%) by area for two time periods 1970-1971 and 1982-1984.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Degree of infestation (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period | Area | number examined | Number uninfested | Number <br> infested | Prevalence | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean } \\ \text { intensity } \end{array}$ | Abundance | $\begin{array}{r} 1-15 \mathrm{~A} \\ \text { LOW } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16-45 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \text { MEDIUM } \end{aligned}$ | $>46$ Anisakis HIGH |
| 1970-1971 | IVa NE | 293 | 23 | 270 | 92 | 11.4 | 10.5 | 74 | 24 | 2 |
| 1970-1971 | IVa SE | 175 | 5 | 170 | 97 | 13.0 | 12.6 | 71 | 28 | 2 |
| 1970-1971 | IVc | 196 | 41 | 155 | 79 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 96 | 4 | 1 |
| 1970-1971 | VIa NE | 150 | 75 | 75 | 50 | 4.1 | 2.0 | 96 | :4 | 0 |
| 1970-1971 | VIIg | 250 | 122 | 128 | 51 | 4.3 | 2.2 | 95 | 5 | 0 |
| 1982-1984 | IVa NE | 50 | 7 | 43 | 86 | 30.2 | 26.0 | 61 | 26 | 14 |
| 1982-1984 | IVa NW | 25 | 7 | 18 | 72 | 13.2 | 9.5 | 72 | 22 | 6 |
| 1982-1984 | IVa SE | 119 | 19 | 100 | 84 | 30.0 | 25.2 | 48 | 26 | 26 |
| 1982-1984 | IVa SW | 124 | 4 | 120 | 97 | 25.5 | 24.7 | 43 | 44 | 13 |
| 1982-1984 | IVb E | 447 | 62 | 385 | 86 | 25.0 | 21.5 | 49 | 37 | 14 |
| 1982-1984 | IVb W | 37 | 1 | 36 | 97 | 40.4 | 39.3 | 22 | 56 | $22^{\text { }}$ |
| 1982-1984 | IVc | 549 | 268 | 281 | 51 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 87 | 10 | 2 |
| 1982-1984 | VIa NE | 50 | 2 | 48 | 96 | 17.3 | 16.6 | 73 | 21 | 6 |
| 1982-1984 | VIa NW | 172 | 40 | 132 | 77 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 89 | 11 | 1 |
| 1982-1984 | VIa SW | 280 | 66 | 214 | 76 | 16.8 | 12.8 | 72 | 18 | 10 |
| 1982-1984 | VIIb | 84 | 21 | 63 | 75 | 18.7 | 14.0 | 70 | 21 | 10 |
| 1982-1984 | VIIc | 60 | 4 | 56 | 93 | 27.0 | 25.2 | 50 | 32 | 18 |
| 1982-1984 | VIIe | 136 | 61 | 75 | 55 | 8.2 | 4.5 | 88 | 9 | 3 |
| 1982-1984 | VIIh | 25 | 4 | 21 | 84 | 7.1 | 6.0 | 91 | 10 | 0 |
| 1982-1984 | VIIj | 774 | 104 | 670 | 87 | 13.1 | 11.4 | 75 | 21 | 5 |
| 1982-1984 | VIII | 76 | 26 | 50 | 66 | 7.8 | 5.2 | 82 | 18 | 0 |

TABLE 4. The total number of mackerel examined for Anisakis, the number uninfested and infested fish, the prevalence, the wean intensity, abundance and the degree of infestation in the infected fish ( $x$ ) by area and by length class for two time periods 1970-1971 and 1982-1984. The month in which the mackerel samples were collected are ilsted below the name of the Division or Subdiviaion.

| Period | Area 8 month | length clasaea | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { examined } \end{array}$ | Number uninfested | Number infested | Prevalence | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Intensity } \end{array}$ | Abundance | Degree of infestation ( $\%$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1-15 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \text { LOW } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16-45 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \text { MEDIUM } \end{aligned}$ | >46 Anlsakis HIGH |
| 1970-1971 | IVa NE | 25-29 | 50 | 2 | 48 | 96 | 11.1 | 10.6 | 75 | 23 | 2 |
|  | $4+5$ | 30-34 | 93 | 14 | 79 | 85 | 9.7 | 8.2 | 80 | 19 | 1 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 125 | 7 | 118 | 94 | 12.6 | 11.9 | 70 | 27 | 3 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 25 | 0 | 25 | 100 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 76 | 24 | 0 |
| 1970-1971 | IVa se | 25-29 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 100 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 82 | 18 | 0 |
|  | $5+8$ | 30-34 | 52 | 3 | 49 | 94 | 9.3 | 8.8 | 78 | 22 | 0 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 82 | 2 | 80 | 98 | 15.4 | 15.0 | 65 | 33 | 3 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 30 | 0 | 30 | 100 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 70 | 27 | 3 |
| 1970-1971 | IVc | 20-24 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 4+5+10 | 25-29 | 106 | 13 | 93 | 88 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 97 | 2 | 1 |
|  |  | 30-34 | 25 | 9 | 16 | 64 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 47 | 14 | 33 | 70 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 91 | 9 | 0 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 69 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 91 | 9 | 0 |
| 1970-1971 | via NE | 25-29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 8 | 30-34 | 138 | 75 | 63 | 46 | 3.2 | 1.5 | 97 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  |  |  |
| 1970-1971 | VIIg | 25-29 | 30 | 19 | 11 | 37 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 91 | 9 | 0 |
|  | 10+11 | 30-34 | 150 | 87 | 63 | 42 | 3.2 | 1.3 | 98 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 62 | 15 | 47 | 76 | 5.6 | 4.3 | 94 | 6 | 0 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 88 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 86 | 14 | 0 |
| 1982-1984 | iva ne | 30-34 | 37 | 7 | 30 | 81 | 15.1 | 12.3 | 73 | 23 | 3 |
|  | 7 | 35-39 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 100 | 70.4 | 70.4 | 27 | 36 | 36 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 36.0 | 36.0 |  |  |  |
| 1982-1984 | IVa Nw | 25-29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 30-34 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 56 | 7.6 | 4.3 | 89 | 11 | 0 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 50 | 38 | 12 |
| 1982-1984 | IVa SE | 30-34 | 64 | 18 | 46 | 72 | 13.3 | 9.6 | 76 | 13 | 11 |
|  | 7 | 35-39 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 100 | 51.2 | 51.2 | 15 | 35 | 50 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 95 | 32.9 | 31.2 | 39 | 39 | 22 |
|  |  | 45-49 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  |
| 1982-1984 | IVa SW | 20-24 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 6.5 | 6.5 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 25-29 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 30-34 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 100 | 24.4 | 24.4 | 41 | 44 | 15 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 39 | 2 | 37 | 95 | 28.6 | 27.1 | 38 | 51 | 11 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 39 | 1 | 38 | 97 | 26.2 | 25.6 | 42 | 40 | 18 |
|  |  | 45-49 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 88 | 17.0 | 14.9 | 57 | 43 | 0 |
| 1982-1984 | IVb E | 20-24 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 100 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 8 | 69 | 23 |
|  | 5+6+7 | 25-29 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 78 | 20.9 | 16.3 | 43 | 50 | 7 |
|  |  | 30-34 | 111 | 29 | 82 | 74 | 13.4 | 9.9 | 71 | 26 | 4 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 130 | 23 | 107 | 82 | 26.0 | 21.4 | 51 | 34 | 15 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 153 | 5 | 148 | 97 | 29.5 | 28.5 | 43 | 39 | 19 |
|  |  | 45-49 | 22 | 1 | 21 | 96 | 31.0 | 29.6 | 29 | 62 | 10 |
| 1982-1984 | IVb ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 30-34 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 6+7 | 35-39 | 27 | 1 | 26 | 96 | 49.9 | 48.0 | 12 | 58 | 31 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
|  |  | 45-49 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |
| 1982-1984 | IVc | 25-29 | 24 | 17 | 7 | 29 | 2.4 | . 7 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $4+5+6+7$ | 30-34 | 213 | 124 | 89 | 42 | 5.4 | 2.3 | 93 | 5 | 2 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 187 | 91 | 96 | 51 | 6.0 | 3.1 | 88 | 10 | 2 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 103 | 26 | 77 | 75 | 8.7 | 6.5 | 83 | 16 | 1 |
|  |  | 45-49 | 22 | 10 | 12 | 55 | 15.4 | 8.4 | 75 | 17 | 8 |
| 1982-1984 | via ne | 25-29 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 100 | 7.7 | 7.7 | 90 | 10 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 30-34 | 25 | 1 | 24 | 96 | 12.4 | 11.9 | 79 | 17 | 4 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 90 | 19.4 | 17.5 | 44 | 56 | 0 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 100 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 60 | 0 | 40 |
| 1982-1984 | via md | 25-29 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 30-34 | 131 | 38 | 93 | 71 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 95 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 29 | 1 | 28 | 97 | 14.4 | 13.9 | 64 | 32 | 4 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 91 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| 1982-1984 | via SW | 25-29 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 75 | 3.0 | 2.3 |  |  |  |
|  | $3+6+8$ | 30-34 | 203 | 62 | 141 | 70 | 9.7 | 6.7 | 84 | 14 | 3 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 59 | 1 | 58 | 98 | 31.2 | 30.7 | 48 | 26 | 26 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 86 | 33.3 | 28.5 | 50 | 33 | 17 |
| 1982-1984 |  |  | 46 | 19 | 27 | 59 |  | 6.5 |  | 26 |  |
|  | $6$ | 35-39 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 95 | 33.9 | 32.2 | 58 | 21 | 21 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 100 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 77 | 12 | 112 |
|  |  | 45-49 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982-1984 | virc | 25-29 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 67 | 7.5 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 30-34 | 25 | 1 | 24 | 96 | 15.4 | 14.8 | 54 | 46 | 0 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 21 | 1 | 20 | 95 | 44.1 | 42.0 | 35 | 25 | 40 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 90 | 27.1 | 24.4 | 56 | 22 | 22 |
|  |  | 45-49 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| 1982-1984 | vire | 25-29 | 75 | 38 | 37 | 49 | 10.0 | 4.9 | 89 | 8 | 3 |
|  | 1+2+4 | 30-34 | 55 | 21 | 34 | 62 | 6.9 | 4.3 | 85 | 12 | 3 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 6 | 2 | - 4 | 67 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  |  |
| 1982-1984 | vith | 25-29 | 1 | 0 | - 1 | 100 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 30-34 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 88 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 86 | 12.5 | 10.7 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1982-1984 | VIIJ | 25-29 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 65 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3+4+5 | 30-34 | 393 | 79 | 314 | 80 | 11.8 | 9.4 | 71 | 19 | 3 |
|  | $3+4+5$ | 35-39 | 244 | 8 | 236 | 97 | 16.8 | 16.2 | 66 | 26 | 8 |
|  | - | 40-44 | 118 | 11 | 107 | 91 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 84 | 14 | 2 |
|  |  | 45-49 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 9.0 | 9.0 |  |  |  |
| 1982-1984 | VIII | 20-24 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 40 | 7.8 | 3.1 |  |  |  |
|  | 6 | 25-29 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 1.0 | . 5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 30-34 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 42 | 5.6 | 2.4 | 88 | 13 | 0 |
|  |  | 35-39 | 25 | 4 | 21 | 84 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 76 | 24 | 0 |
|  |  | 40-44 | 19 | 3 | 16 | 84 | 5.8 | 4.9 | 88 | 13 | 0 |
|  |  | 45-49 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 5. The total number of mackerel examined for Anisakis, the number uninfested and infested fish, the prevalence, the mean intensity, abundance and the degree of infestation in the infected fish (\%) by area and by age groups for two time periods 1970-1971 and 1983-1984. The months in which the mackerel amples were collected are listed below the name of the Division or Subdivision.

| Period | Area 8 month | Age | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { examined } \end{array}$ | Number uninfested | Number infested | Prevalence | Mean incensity | Abundance | Degree of infestation ( X ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1-15 \mathrm{~A} \\ \text { LOW } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16-45 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \text { MEDIUM } \end{aligned}$ | >46 Anisakis HIGH |
| 1970-1971 | IVa NE | 1-3 | 147 | 12 | 135 | 92 | 10.9 | 10.0 | 76 | 23 | 2 |
|  | $4+5$ | 4-6 | 115 | 9 | 106 | 92 | 11.5 | 10.6 | 75 | 24 | 2 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 93 | 12.6 | 11.7 | 69 | 31 | 0 |
|  |  | 11+ | 17 | 1 | 16 | 94 | 13.9 | 13.1 | 63 | 31 | 6 |
| 1970-1971 | IVa SE | 1-3 | 48 | 3 | 45 | 94 | 10.2 | 9.6 | 78 | 22 | 2 |
|  | 5+8 | 4-6 | 70 | 1 | 69 | 99 | 14.3 | 14.1 | 68 | 30 | 1 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 34 | 1 | 33 | 97 | 12.6 | 12.2 | 67 | 30 | 3 |
|  |  | $11+$ | 22 | 0 | 22 | 100 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 73 | 27 | 0 |
| 1970-1971 | IVe | 1-3 | 116 | 15 | 101 | 87 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 97 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 4+5+10 | 4-6 | 35 | 13 | 22 | 63 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 96 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 23 | 8 | 15 | 65 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 87 | 13 | 0 |
|  |  | $11+$ | 22 | 5 | 17 | 77 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 94 | 6 | 0 |
| 1970-1971 | via NE | 1-3 | 99 | 54 | 45 | 46 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 98 | 2 | 0 |
|  | 8 | 4-6 | 43 | 21 | 22 | 51 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 96 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 88 | 13 | 0 |
| 1970-1971 | VIIg | 1-3 | 118 | 74 | 44 | 37 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 96 | 5 | 0 |
|  | 10+12 | 4-6 | 89 | 37 | 52 | 58 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 98 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 41 | 11 | 30 | 73 | 5.0 | 3.6 | 90 | 10 | 0 |
|  |  | 11+ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 | IVa Ne | 1-3 | 24 | 6 | 18 | 75 | 13.8 | 10.3 | 83 | 11 | 6 |
|  | 7 | 4-6 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 95 | 25.9 | 24.6 | 47 | 42 | 11 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 171.3 | 171.3 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11+ | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 15.3 | 15.3 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 | IVa NW | 1-3 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 55 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7 | 4-6 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 82 | 10.7 | 8.7 | 67 | 33 | 0 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 53.0 | 53.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $11+$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 12.0 | 12.0 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 | IVa SE | 1-3 | 40 | 15 | 25 | 63 | 9.7 | 6.1 | 80 | 12 | 8 |
|  | 7 | 4-6 | 43 | 3 | 40 | 93 | 27.8 | 25.9 | 50 | 28 | 23 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 16 | 0 | 16 | 100 | 57.3 | 57.3 | 0 | 38 | 63 |
|  |  | $11+$ | 20 | 1 | 19 | 95 | 38.4 | 36.5 | 42 | 32 | 26 |
| 1983-1984 | IVa SW | 1-3 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 92 | 15.5 | 14.2 | 46 | 55 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 4-6 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 100 | 33.8 | 33.8 | 23 | 54 | 23 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 90 | 21.2 | 19.1 | 22 | 78 | 0 |
|  |  | $11+$ | 15 | 0 | 15 | 100 | 26.1 | 26.1 | 53 | 27 | 20 |
| 1983-1984 | IVb E | 1-3 | 101 | 25 | 76 | 75 | 14.1 | 10.6 | 67 | 26 | 7 |
|  | 5+6+7 | 4-6 | 101 | 9 | 92 | 91 | 24.7 | 22.5 | 45 | 44 | 12 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 72 | 8 | 64 | 89 | 30.0 | 26.7 | 44 | 36 | 20 |
|  |  | $11+$ | 118 | - 4 | 114 | 97 | 30.8 | 29.8 | 38 | 46 | 17 |
| 1983-1984 | IVb W | 1-3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 29.0 | 29.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 6+7 | 4-6 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 35.1 | 35.1 | 25 | 50 | 25 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 92 | 40.9 | 37.5 | 9 | 73 | 18 |
|  |  | $11+$ | 16 | 0 | 16 | 100 | 43.4 | 43.4 | 31 | 44 | 25 |
| 1983-1984 | IVc | 1-3 | 84 | 59 | 25 | 30 | 2.5 | . 7 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | $4+5+6+7$ | 4-6 | 93 | 41 | 52 | 56 | 8.4 | 4.7 | 85 | 10 | 6 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 79 | 24 | 55 | 70 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 87 | 11 | 2 |
|  |  | 11+ | 76 | 21 | 55 | 72 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 84 | 16 | 0 |
| 1983-1984 | VLa NE | 1-3 | 24 | 1 | 23 | 96 | 8.2 | 7.9 | 87 | 13 | 0 |
|  | 2 | 4-6 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 94 | 18.5 | 17.4 | 60 | 33 | 7 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 49.2 | 49.2 | 50 | 33 | 17 |
|  |  | 11+ | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 17.0 | 17.0 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 | VIa NW | 1-3 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 44 | 8.8 | 3.9 |  |  |  |
|  | 7 | 4-6 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 73 | 7.5 | 5.5 | 88 | 13 | 0 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 100 | 12.5 | 12.5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $11+$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 8.0 | 8.0 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 |  | 1-3 | 54 | 14 | 40 | 74 | 9.5 | 7.1 | 88 | 8 |  |
|  | $3+6+8$ | 4-6 | 52 | 7 | 45 | 87 | 13.8 | 11.9 | 78 | 18 | 4 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 100 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 63 | 25 | 13 |
|  |  | $11+$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 61.5 | 61.5 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 | VIIb | 1-3 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 53 | 7.0 | 3.7 | 89 | 11 | $0{ }^{1}$ |
|  | 6 | 4-6 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 60 | 22.3 | 13.4 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 7-10 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 13.5 | 13.5 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $11+$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 | VIIC | 1-3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 75 | 6.7 | 5.0 |  |  |  |
|  | 3 | 4-6 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 100 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 50 | 50 | 0 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 36.3 | 36.3 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11+ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 100 | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 | VIIe | 1-3 | 63 | 34 | 29 | 46 | 3.3 | 1.5 | 97 | 3 | 0 |
|  | $1+2+4$ | 4-6 | 15 | 8 | $7{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 47 | 1.6 | . 7 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 100 | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 | VIIh | 1-3 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 91 | 5.8 | 5.3 | 90 | 10 | 0 |
|  | 4 | 4-6 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 83 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 90 | 10 | 0 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 50 | 1.0 | . 5 |  |  |  |
| 1983-1984 | VIIj | 1-3 | 170 | 39 | 131 | 77 | 7.7 | 6.0 | 81 | 19 |  |
|  | $3+4+5$ | 4-6. | 257 | 22 | - 235 | 91 | 14.4 | 13.2 | 72 | 24 | 4 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 73 | 2 | 71 | 97 | 18.6 | 18.1 | 66 | 25 | 9 |
|  |  | 11+ | 64 | 5 | 59 | 92 | 12.6 | 11.6 | 75 | 22 | 3 |
| 1983-1984 | VIII | 1-3 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 40 | 5.3 | 2.1 | 88 | 13 | 0 |
|  | 6 | 4-6 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 78 | 9.1 | 7.1 | 71 | 29 | 0 |
|  |  | 7-10 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 72 | 7.9 | 5.7 | 92 | 8 | 0 |
|  |  | $11+$ | 14 | 1 | 13 | 93 | 7.8 | 7.2 | 85 | 15 | 0 |

TABLE 6. The total number of mackerel examined for Anisakis, the number uninfested and infested fish, the prevalence, the mean intensity, abundance and the degree of infestation in the infected fish ( $\%$ ) for the Western Mackerel, the North Sea Mackerel and the mackerel from the southern North Sea and by length class for two time periods 1970-1971 and 1982-1984.

| Population and Period$\qquad$ | Length class -1ETE二为 | Total number examined | Number uninfested | Number infested | Prevalence$\qquad$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean } \\ \text { intensity } \\ \text { memmmom } \end{array}$ | Abundance$\qquad$ | Degree of infestation ( $Z$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1-15 \mathrm{~A} \\ \text { LOW } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16-45 \mathrm{~A} \\ & \text { MEDIUM } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >46 \text { Anisakis } \\ & \text { HIGH } \end{aligned}$ |
| North Sea | 25-29 | 61 | 2 | 59 | 97 | 10.8 | 10.4 | 76 | 22 | 2 |
| Mackerel | 30-34 | 111 | 15 | 96 | 87 | 10.0 | 8.6 | 78 | 21 | 1 |
| 1970-1971 | 35-39 | 195 | 9 | 186 | 95 | 13.9 | 13.3 | 67 | 30 | 3 |
|  | 40-44 | 51 | 0 | 51 | 100 | 13.4 | 13.4 | 73 | 26 | 2 |
|  | 25-44 | 418 | 26 | 392 | 94 | 12.4 | 11.6 | 72 | 26 | 2 |
| North Sea | 20-24 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 100 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 20 | 60 | 20 |
| Mackerel | 25-29 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 93 | 23.1 | 21.5 | 36 | 57 | 7 |
| 1982-1984 | 30-34 | 63 | 0 | 63 | 100 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 48 | 44 | 8 |
|  | 35-39 | 61 | 2 | 59 | 97 | 32.0 | 31.0 | 31 | 53 | 17 |
|  | 40-44 | 102 | 1 | 101 | 99 | 31.4 | 31.1 | 33 | 45 | 23 |
|  | 45-49 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 88 | 29.0 | 25.4 | 43 | 50 | 7 |
|  | 20-49 | 272 | 6 | 266 | 98 | 28.2 | 27.6 | 36 | 48 | 16 |
| Westera | 25-29 | 31 | 19 | 12 | 39 | 6.3 | 2.4 | 83 | 17 | 0 |
| Mackerel | 30-34 | 288 | 162 | 126 | 44 | 3.2 | 1.4 | 98 | 2 | 0 |
| 1970-1971 | 35-39 | 72 | 15 | 57 | 79 | 5.8 | 4.6 | 95 | 5 | 0 |
|  | 40-44 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 89 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 88 | 13 | 0 |
|  | 25-44 | 400 | 197 | 203 | 51 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 96 | 4 | 0 |
| Western | 20-24 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 40 | 7.8 | 3.1 |  |  |  |
| Mackerel | 25-29 | 103 | 47 | 56 | 54 | 7.8 | 4.3 | 93 | 5 | 2 |
| 1982-1984 | 30-34 | 888 | 233 | 655 | 74 | 10.0 | 7.4 | 81 | 17 | 2 |
|  | 35-39 | 411 | 19 | 392 | 95 | 20.4 | 19.5 | 62 | 26 | 12 |
|  | 40-44 | 190 | 19 | 171 | 90 | 12.2 | 11.0 | 81 | 15 | 5 |
|  | 45-49 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 60 | 6.3 | 3.8 |  |  |  |
|  | 20-49 | 1607 | 326 | 1281 | 80 | 13.4 | 10.7 | 76 | 19 | 6 |
| Southern North | 20-24 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |  |  |
| Sea Mackerel | 25-29 | 106 | 13 | 93 | 88 | 5.5 | 4.8 | 97 | 2 | 1 |
| 1970-1971 | 30-34 | 25 | 9 | 16 | 64 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 35-39 | 47 | 14 | 33 | 70 | 6.1 | 4.3 | 91 | 9 | 0 |
|  | 40-44 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 69 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 91 | 9 | 0 |
|  | 20-44 | 196 | 41 | 155 | 79 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 96 | 4 | 1 |
| Southern North | 25-29 | 28 | 20 | 8 | 29 | 2.3 | . 6 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Sea Mackerel | 30-34 | 250 | 148 | 102 | 41 | 6.2 | 2.5 | 91 | 6 | 3 |
| 1982-1984 | 35-39 | 213 | 103 | 110 | 52 | 8.5 | 4.4 | 85 | 11 | 5 |
|  | 40-44 | 116 | 30 | 86 | 74 | 8.8 | 6.5 | 83 | 16 | 1 |
|  | 45-49 | 22 | 10 | 12 | 55 | 15.4 | 8.4 | 75 | 17 | 8 |
|  | 25-49 | 629 | 311 | 318 | 51 | 7.9 | 4.0 | 86 | 11 | 3 |

TABLE 7. The total number of mackerel examined for Anisakis, the number uninfested and infested fish, the prevalence, the mean intensity, abundance and the degree of infestation in the infected fish ( $\%$ ) for the Western Mackerel, the North Sea Mackerel and the mackerel from the southern North Sea and by age groups for two time periods 1970-1971 and 1983-1984.

| Population and Period | Age | Total number examined | Number uninfested | Number infested | Prevalence | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Mean } \\ \text { intensity } \end{array}$ | Abundance | Degree of infestation (X) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1-15 \mathrm{~A} \\ \text { LOW } \end{array}$ | $16-45 \mathrm{~A}$ MEDIUM | >46 Anisakis HIGH |
| North Sea | 1-3 | 176 | 13 | 163 | 93 | 10.9 | 10.1 | 76 | 23 | 1 |
| Mackerel | 4-6 | 173 | 10 | 163 | 94 | 13.0 | 12.2 | 71 | 28 | 2 |
| 1970-1971 | 7-10 | 31 | 2 | 29 | 94 | 14.2 | 13.3 | 66 | 31 | 3 |
|  | $11+$ | 37 | 1 | 36 | 97 | 14.0 | 13.6 | 67 | 31 | 3 |
|  | $1-11+$ | 417 | 26 | 391 | 94 | 12.3 | 11.5 | 72 | 26 | 2 |
| North Sea | 1-3 | 45 | 1 | 44 | 98 | 21.3 | 20.8 | 41 | 50 | 9 |
| Mackerel | 4-6 | 45 | 1 | 44 | 98 | 26.5 | 25.9 | 27 | 59 | 14 |
| 1983-1984 | 7-10 | 31 | 1 | 30 | 97 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 33 | 53 | 13 |
|  | $11+$ | 68 | 1 | 67 | 99 | 35.7 | 35.2 | 31 | 45 | 24 |
|  | 1-11+ | 189 | 4 | 185 | 98. | 28.3 | 27.7 | 33 | 51 | 16 |
| Western | 1-3 | 217 | 128 | 89 | 41 | 3.4 | 1.4 | 97 | 3 | 0 |
| Mackerel | 4-6 | 132 | 58 | 74 | 56 | 4.6 | 2.6 | 97 | 3 | 0 |
| 1970-1971 | 7-10 | 49 | 11 | 38 | 78 | 5.5 | 4.3 | 90 | 11 | 0 |
|  | $11+$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 100 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  |
|  | 1-11+ | 400 | 197 | 203 | 51 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 96 | 4 | 0 |
| Westera | 1-3 | 348 | 114 | 234 | 67 | 7.3 | 4.9 | 86 | 14 | 1 |
| Mackerel | 4-6 | 376 | 48 | 328 | 87 | 13.6 | 11.9 | 73 | 23 | 4 |
| 1983-1984 | 7-10 | 113 | 8 | 105 | 93 | 16.7 | 15.5 | 68 | 24 | 9 |
|  | $11+$ | 83 | 6 | 77 | 93 | 13.1 | 12.1 | 74 | 22 | 4 |
|  | 1-11+ | 920 | 176 | 744 | 81 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 76 | 20 | 4 |
| Southern North | 1-3 | 116 | 15 | 101 | 87 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 97 | 2 | 1 |
| Sea Mackerel | 4-6 | 35 | 13 | 22 | 63 | 4.2 | 2.7 | 96 | 5 | 0 |
| 1970-1971 | 7-10 | 23 | 8 | 15 | 65 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 87 | 13 | 0 |
|  | $11+$ | 22 | 5 | 17 | 77 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 94 | 6 | 0 |
|  | 1-11+ | 196 | 41 | 155 | 79 | 5.2 | 4.1 | 96 | 4 | 1 |
| Southern North | 1-3 | 116 | 83 | 33 | 28 | 4.1 | 1.2 | 97 | 0 | 3 |
| Sea Mackerel | 4-6 | 109 | 48 | 61 | 56 | 9.5 | 5.3 | 82 | 12 | 7 |
| 1983-1984 | 7-10 | 95 | 32 | 63 | 66 | 9.3 | 6.2 | 84 | 13 | 3 |
|  | 11+ | 87 | 24 | 63 | 72 | 7.5 | 5.4 | 83 | 18 | 0 |
|  | 1-11+ | 407 | 187 | 220 | 54 | 8.0 | 4.3 | 85 | 12 | 3 |

TABLE 8. The total number of mackerel examined for Anisakis, the number uninfested and infested fish, the prevalence, the mean intensity, abundance and the degree of infestation in the infected fish (\%) for the Western Mackerel, the North Sea Mackerel and the mackerel from the southern North Sea by sex and sexes combined in 1982-1984.

| Populationand Period | Total number |  |  |  |  |  | Degree of infestation (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Number | \% | Mean |  | 1-15 A | 16-45 A | >46 Anisakis |
|  | Sex | examined | uninfested | infested | Prevalence | intensity | Abundance | LOW | MEDIUM | HIGH |
|  | m=ximaxin | =m=3x=m= |  |  |  |  | ==3=3==3=3 | ==3=3== | = $======$ | =m=a= |
| North Sea | Females | 151 | 4 | 147 | 97 | 28.7 | 28.0 | 37 | 46 | 17 |
| Mackerel | Males | 118 | 2 | 116 | 98 | 27.9 | 27.5 | 34 | 51 | 16 |
| 1983-1984 | Both | 269 | 6 | 263 | 98 | 28.4 | 27.7 | 35 | 48 | 16 |
|  | m=x=3mm= | = $=$ =x=x |  | m=m=ax= |  | z=======3 | ==3== == $=$ = | ==3== $=$ = | === $=$ = $=$ = | = $== \pm=$ = |
| Western | Females | 743 | 156 | 587 | 79 | 11.8 | 9.3 | 79 | 16 | 5 |
| Mackerel | Males | 654 | 132 | 522 | 80 | 12.4 | 9.9 | 77 | 19 | 4 |
| 1983-1984 | Both | 1397 | 288 | 1109 | 79 | 12.1 | 9.6 | 78 | 18 | 4 |
|  | mam=m=3m | =\#\#x=anman |  | = $=$ = $=$ = $=$ = | =x=3n=3=an= |  |  |  | = $=====$ | =ax== $=$ = |
| Southern North | Females | 228 | 109 | 119 | 52 | 7.5 | 3.9 | 87 | 10 | 3 |
| Sea Mackerel | Males | 204 | 93 | 111 | 54 | 8.9 | 4.9 | 83 | 14 | 4 |
| 1983-1984 | Both | 432 | 202 | 230 | 53 | 8.2 | 4.4 | 85 | - 12 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | =an=3=3 |  | =3ニ==3= $=$ |  | ===3== | =====3= | ===3=0 |

TABLE 9. The average condition factor of the Western Mackerel, North Sea Mackerel and the southern North Sea Mackerel in relation to the degree of Anisakis infestation in 1983-1984.

| Degree of Anisakis infestation per mackerel |  | Western Mackerel |  | North Sea Mackerel |  | Southern NS Mackerel |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Condition factor | Number of fish | Condition factor | Number of fish | Condition factor | Number of fish |
| - | 0 Antsakis | 695 | 176 | 828 | 4 | 713 | 187 |
| LOW | 1-15 Anisakis | 715 | 569 | 627 | 62 | 755 | 187 |
| MEDIUM | 16-45 Anisakis | 721 | 149 | 617 | 99 | 800 | 26 |
| HIGH | > 45 Anisakis | 726 | 27 | 752 | 33 | 739 | 7 |

