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#### Abstract

The growth study of Anglerfish Lophius piscatorius and L. budegassa is presented for the southern stock (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa). It is the first time that growth of this species has been studied throughout a one year period. Samples were obtained between July 1996 and June 1997 from commercial landings and research surveys (IPIMAR and IEO). The sampling program was established by the EC Study Project 95/038 "Biological Studies of Demersal Fish". A total of 844 and 1049 individuals ranging from 14 cm to 140 cm and from 5 cm to 93 cm in length were aged for $L$. piscatorius and L. budegassa, respectively. Ageing was based on growth ring counts of transversal sections of the illicium (first dorsal fin ray). Age length keys are presented by quarter with the observed mean length at age and mean weights at age. Estimation of the von Bertalanffy growth parameters by sex and for combined sexes is performed. The obtained growth parameters are for L. piscatorius females: $\operatorname{Linf}=140.50 \mathrm{~cm}, k=0.08$ year $^{-1}$, $t o=0.09$ year; for males: $\operatorname{Linf}=110.50 \mathrm{~cm}, k=0.11$ year $^{-1}$, $t o=0.26$ year and for combined sexes: $\operatorname{Linf}=140.50 \mathrm{~cm}, k=0.08$ year $^{-1}$, to $=0.23$ year. For $L$. budegassa females: $\operatorname{Linf}=110.10 \mathrm{~cm}, k=0.08$ year ${ }^{-1}$, to $=0.39$ year; for males: $\operatorname{Linf}=72.90 \mathrm{~cm}, k=$ 0.13 year $^{-1}$, to $=0.36$ year and for combined sexes: $\operatorname{Linf}=132.40 \mathrm{~cm}, k=0.06$ year $^{-1}$, to $=0.04$ year. The discussion of these results and the comparison with previous studies is made.
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## INTRODUCTION

The two species of monkfish (Lophius piscatorius and L. budegassa) in the southern stock (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa) are an important component of the by-catches of the European fisheries of both bottom trawl and artisanal fisheries. The mean annual catch of $L$. piscatorius and L. budegassa in these divisions over the last five years have reached 2247 and 1876 tonnes, respectively (Anon, 1997). The exploitation assessment of the northern stock (ICES Divisions VIIIa,b and Subarea VII) and southern stock (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa) (Figure 1) of this species are made annually within the ICES Working Group on the

Assessment of Southern Shelf Demersal Stocks. While age-length keys are available from 1987 for the northern stock (Anon., 1997) and can be used to obtain age composition of catches, the basis of analytical techniques of assessment, they are not available for either species of southern stock monkfish (L.budegassa and $L$. piscatorius).

Growth of these species has been studied in other areas (Fulton, 1902; Guillou and Njock, 1978; Tsimenidis and Ondrias, 1980; Dupouy and Kergoat, 1985; Dupouy et al. 1986; Crozier, 1989; Peronnet et al. 1992) using otoliths and transversal sections of illicia as anatomical pieces for age determination. The only studies on growth of this species in the southern stock are those of Azevedo, 1992; Duarte et al., 1997 and Landa and Pereda, 1997. Azevedo (1992) estimated, for the first time, growth parameters for the southern stocks of L.budegassa and $L$. piscatorius for both sexes combined using Shepherd's Robust Length Composition Analysis, SRLCA (Shepherd, 1987). Growth parameters for L.budegassa and L. piscatorius were estimated for the 1993 Working Group based on the mean lengths at age observed in direct reading of illicia and identification of modes in the length frequency distribution found in surveys. From these parameters an attempt was made to estimate age compositions of the catches by slicing length compositions (Anon., 1994). Duarte et al. (1997) and Landa and Pereda (1997) studied growth based on mean lengths at age derived from direct reading of transversal sections of illicia and identification of modes in the length composition from research surveys. Nevertheless, the reading technique was not validated for the different age readers of the southern stock of these species. In 1997 a workshop was held on age determination of both species of monkfish, in which the two countries (Spain and Portugal) responsible for monkfish age reading for the southern stock participated together for the first time. The estimations of the readers from the two countries were compared and validated, and reading criteria were established. As a result, this paper presents a first annual study of the growth of both species in the southern stock. Growth in each quarter is shown separately and differences between sexes are presented. A attempt is made to improve the knowledge of the growth of this species and to apply analytical methods for the first time to assess the state of exploitation of the southern stock.

Data were collected by the sampling program established for the EC Study Project 95/038 "Biological Studies of Demersal Fish" (Azevedo and Duarte, 1998; Landa and Pereda, 1998).

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Sampling

Individual age was determined by growth ring counts on transversal sections of the first dorsal fin ray (illicium) according to the ageing workshop held in Lorient (Dupouy, 1997). Age was determined for a total of 844 and 1049 illicia of $L$. piscatorius and $L$. budegassa respectively, collected between 1 July 1996 and 30 June 1997 in Spanish and Portuguese waters (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa). Most of the illicia come from specimens landed by the Spanish and Portuguese commercial fleets which operate in waters of the area of the study and from specimens collected in research surveys carried out in the same period.

In each quarterly period it was ensured that a minimum of three illicia were collected for each 1 cm length class, attempting to cover the whole length range of the species. Lengths were
measured to the lower cm . Table 1 shows the number of illicia and length range covered in each quarter for each sex.

## Illicia

Mounting and slicing of illicia was carried out following the methodology described by Duarte et al. (1997). Age readings were made by two readers using a binocular microscope ( 100 X ) under reflected light. A video monitor was connected to the microscope to facilitate and validate observations between the two readers. The interpretation of growth rings followed the criteria adopted in the Workshop on anglerfish age determination (Dupouy, 1997).

## Growth parameters

Growth parameters were estimated from individual lengths at age, for which a fit was made of the real age of each specimen. This real age of each specimen was estimated as a function of the season of capture. To do so the proportional part of the year (month) in which it was caught was added to the age estimated by reading the illicia. With this adjustment, the differences in the sampling periods, which might introduce an element of error into the estimation of growth parameters, are minimized.
The theoretical growth model to which individual data are fitted is the Von Bertalanffy growth equation (1938).
$L t=L \inf \left(1-e^{-k\left(l-t_{0}\right)}\right)$
$L t=$ length at age class $t$.
Linf $=$ maximum length the species can reach.
$k=$ instantaneous growth coefficient.
$t=$ age.
$t_{a}=$ point at which the Von Bertalanffy curve intersects the abcisas axis.
Growth parameters were estimated by using algorithms for least-squares regression. The values of Linf for L. piscatorius estimated automatically by the statistical programme are quite different from what was observed experimentally. With the aim of choosing values of Linf which fit the lengths at age estimated better than those offered automatically by the statistical programme, the value for $\operatorname{Linf}$ as the greatest length observed during the period of samplings in the study area, was taken. Considering that practically all of the specimens over 110 cm are females, their growth parameters were also estimated by taking Linf as the maximum length observed in unsexed specimens in the sampling period ( 140.5 cm ).

## RESULTS

## Age-length keys

Tables 2 a -h present the combined length-age keys for both sexes for each quarter studied, showing the number of specimens studied by length class and the total by age class. The annual length-age keys of males, females and combined sexes are presented in Table 2i-n. These combined length-age keys include the ages of unsexed specimens mainly from specimens which had been totally gutted when landed and from very young indeterminate specimens. The range
of lengths and ages of each quarterly length-age key differs as a function of the number of specimens collected for each quarter. Differences also appear in the ranges of the keys depending on sex.

## Mean length at age

Table 3 shows the annual values of the observed mean lengths at age by quarter for combined sexes. A successive progression is observed in the values of mean lengths throughout the quarters, more patently in young ages than in old.

Of all the age classes used in the estimation of mean lengths at age, some include only a few specimens. Generally these were poorly represented age classes: older ages or ages corresponding to quarters with few sampled specimens. Other age classes, although they had a greater number of specimens, did not have specimens throughout the entire length range corresponding to that age, as did the young age classes, which are not totally accessible to the gear. Thus, ages 0 and 1 are not commonly present in commercial landings, which generally include specimens from age 2.

Table 4 shows annual mean lengths, standard deviations and number at age by sex and for sexes combined. Figure 2 presents the annual values for each sex. The observed mean length at age for males is similar to that of females to age 2 for $L$. piscatorius and to age 7 for $L$. budegassa. Thereafter, males are smaller than females at the same age. Very few males older than 13 years and very few females older than 16 years are observed in either species. Practically all unsexed specimens over 13 years are females.

In both species, the mean lengths of females are expected to increase with age, which is observed to age 10 . Nevertheless, in ages 11, 12 and over this continued increase is not so clearly and regularly observed. This is due to lower sampling levels, higher standard deviation and lower ageing (Figure 2).

## Mean weight at age

Tables 5 and 6 show the annual values of the observed mean total weight and gutted weight at age by quarter for combined sexes. As in the case of mean lengths, mean weights also show a progressive increase through the quarters, more easily seen in the first ages than in older ones.

Tables 7 and 8 show annual mean weights, standard deviations and number of specimens at age by sex and for sexes combined. Figures 3 and 4 present the annual values for each sex. From age 10 and 11 onwards, the mean weight increase is lower than expected. As to mean lengths at age, it is due to a lower sampling levels, a higher standard deviation and a lower ageing.

## Growth parameters

Annual growth parameters of the von Bertalanffy growth curve were estimated for each sex and for sexes combined using all observations (Table 9). The growth curves are shown in Figure 5.

## DISCUSSION

## Age-length keys

This is the first time that annual age-length keys by sex and both sexes combined have been presented, together with quarterly keys for a full year. The range of lengths and ages of both species are well represented. The dispersion of the length ranges of older ages in the keys by sex is due to the slowing down of growth at these ages. In the combined keys, the effect is duplicated on adding the dispersion of males to that of females. Very large specimens of $L$. piscatorius which appear in greater abundance in the combined key of the first quarter mostly correspond to large mature females (Duarte et al., 1998).

## Mean length at age

It must be considered that the extremes of the length range and, therefore, of ages are difficult to sample. Thus, for $L$. piscatorius no specimens of age class 0 are found, and so the value of its mean length could not be estimated. Age class 0 of $L$. budegassa and age class 1 of $L$. piscatorius are not fillly recruited to the gear and the values of their mean lengths are probably overestimated as they are mostly made up of specimens larger than those found in these classes. Furthermore, in specimens less than 15 cm it is difficult to distinguish sex, and so young ages of each sex have biased values for their mean lengths. Older ages of each sex are composed of a very small numbers of specimens, and as ages have a wide range of lengths, the values of their mean lengths are highly variable.

The progression in the values of mean lengths throughout the quarters, more patently observed in young ages than in old ones, is due to the length range and variability within each age being greater and the number of specimens lower. This is due in turn to the slowing down of growth and to the lower abundance of large specimens.

The results in this paper once more reinforce the different growth pattern by sex, females attaining greater body length. This differential growth by sex, with higher mean lengths at age and longevity in females, may be generated by the distinct maintenance metabolism of the two sexes (Pauly, 1994). This differential growth and longevity are outstanding features of other species, such as Pleuronectiform fish, which, like both species of the genus Lophius, are also found in a habitat in direct contact with the bottom, which may be related in some way. Both species have a different age of first maturity as a function of sex, with males reaching maturity between approximately 2 and 3 years before females in both species (Afonso-Dias and Hislop, 1996; Duarte et al., 1998). This earlier start of spawning in males, with its consequently greater metabolic consumption may be the cause of the higher natural mortality in males which limits their longevidad (Pauly, 1994). Nevertheless, these natural causes of the higher mortality of males may not be the only ones. Beverton (1964) suggested that males are more accessible than females in the English North Sea plaice fishery, especially at spawning time. Rijnsdrop (1993) showed that fishing mortality is determined also by the increase in males' vulnerability during the spawning period. The differential sex ratio found throughout the year in L. piscatorius, with higher abundance of males in the first months of the year (Duarte et al., 1998) may make them subject to greater fishing mortality in this season owing to their greater vulnerability. Additionally, the scarcity of females in catches in the same season, due to their lower presence in the area, makes them less vulnerables, thus increasing the differences in fishing mortality between the two sexes. This leads to the consideration that the differences in longevity may be due
not only to natural mortality (M), as in Scott and Scott (1988) and Cárdenas (1996), but also to differential fishery mortality (F).

## Mean weight at age

The reasons for the mean weight increase lower than expected from age 10 and 11 onwards are the same as those referred to for mean lengths.

From weight data it is seen that standard deviation is greater at age for total weight than for gutted weight, probably as a consequence of stomach content and gonad development in the former.

## Growth parameters

Regarding sexes combined, including extra individuals for which sex information was not available, the Linf estimate is rather higher than expected. The growth curve for sexes combined was expected to be between the female and male growth curves, which is not observed in this estimation (Figure 5) due to the weight of some males in the curve adjustment and the curve of sexes combined greatly influencing large sized indeterminate specimens, almost all females.

On the other hand, Linf of this study is higher than the previous estimate, owing to the greater coverage of the length range, and consequently age range, in this case particularly large, than in previous studies. The expected mean length at ages 1 and 2 given by the estimated growth parameters are lower than observed mean lengths (Table 10), possibly due to observed lengths being somewhat overestimated due to the inclusion of specimens larger than the length range at age. The length range and, consequently, age range decisively influence the estimation of the parameters of the growth curve.

If we compare the growth parameters of L. piscatorius, we see that Azevedo (1992) presents faster growth than the remaining authors in the two hypotheses suggested. Her samples come from landings of the commercial fleet and the length range of the species is not fully represented in the first ages. This absence of samples in young ages may have influenced the differences found in parameters in comparison with the rest of the authors. With respect to the other papers, it must be considered that the age ranges studied to the present are lower than those presented in the present study. Thus, the ages studied by Duarte et al. (1997) are up to 8 years, and up to 12 years in that presented by Landa and Pereda (1997), against the 24 years estimated in the present work. On the other hand, the inverse relationship between Linf and $k$ also influences the comparison of both parameters. Thus, when similar values of Linf are estimated, although there are some differences in mean lengths, the variations in the values of $k$ are minimal. For this reason the growth parameters estimated by the different authors who have studied this species in the southern stock are, in general, quite similar. It is observed that for both sexes combined and for Linf to have values between 120 and 140 cm , the value of $k$ varies between 0.08 and 0.11 . It may, therefore, be more useful to compare mean lengths than the growth parameters themselves. Table 10 shows that the values for mean lengths of $L$. piscatorius obtained in the present paper are similar to those estimated by Duarte et al. (1997) in the same area of study. In the paper by Landa and Pereda (1997), while mean lengths of the first ages are similar, some differences exist in older ages. This is probably due to the use of a binocular microscope in that work ( 40 X ) for illicia reading, which possibly prevented the
differentiation of certain annual rings in the older ages. Following the Ageing Workshop in 1997 the use of 100 X magnification was established for illicia reading, thereby enabling the distinction of all the rings. Thus, using the same reading technique, the values of mean lengths in the present work are practically the same as those presented in the Ageing Workshop of 1997, with the exception of the first ages, in which differences were observed, probably due to the narrow length range taken in the Workshop and to the variability in interpretation.

The growth parameters of $L$. budegassa estimated in the present work show certain differences with respect to those estimated by Duarte et al. (1997). Linf is greater and the value of $k$ lower than in the previous study. These differences are mainly due to the greater age range with which the parameters have been estimated in the present paper. This means that the value of Linf is greater and that $k$ diminishes. If we look at the mean lengths at age we see, nevertheless, that there are no great differences between the values observed in both papers, only the value of one year of age approximately.
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Table 1. Number and range of illicia readings for each sex and for combined sexes.
L. piscatorius

| Period |  | Males | Females | Combined |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 (Q. 3) | Total number | 41 | 42 | 164 |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 16 | - 23 | 1. 16 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 97 | 95 | 112 |
| 1996 (Q. 4) | Total number | 89 | 89 | 239 |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 18 | 15 | 14 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 86 | 112 | 119 |
| 1997 (Q. 1) | Total number | 85 | 85 | 235 |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 19 | 22 | 19 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 110 | 122 | 140 |
| 1997 (Q. 2) | Total number | 77 | 93 | 206 |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 23 | 20 | 20 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 87 | 126 | 126 |
| Total | Total number | 292 | 309 | 844 |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 16 | 15 | 14 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 110 | 126 | 140 |

L. budegassa

| Period | Males | Females | Combined |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 (Q. 3) | Total number | 70 | 80 | 178 |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 15 | 15 | 7 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 58 | 78 | 78 |
| 1996 (Q. 4) | Total number |  |  |  |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 17 | 74 | 221 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 67 | 16 | 5 |
|  |  | 85 | 85 |  |
| 1997 (Q. 1) | Total number | 96 | 139 | 329 |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 15 | 20 | 11 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 60 | 89 | 93 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1997 (Q. 2) | Total number | 118 | 104 | 321 |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 18 | 17 | 11 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 57 | 82 | 88 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Totai number | 348 | 397 | 1049 |
|  | Min. Length (cm) | 15 | 15 | 5 |
|  | Max. Length (cm) | 67 | 89 | 93 |








| L. bude | masa. 1 | (0.1 | combi | sexeg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| प 4 (cm) | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | - |  | 8 |  | 9 |  | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |  |  |  | 18 | 19 |  | 1 | Total |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{11.5}{125}$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $\frac{12.5}{13.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14.5 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15.5 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| i8.5 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -17.5 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| $\frac{78.5}{19.5}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{19.5}{20.5}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 21.5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{22.5}{23.5}$ |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{23.5}{24.5}$ |  |  |  | 3 | $\frac{2}{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{25.5}{}$ |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 28.5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{27.5}{28.5}$ |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{29.5}{30.5}$ |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{5}$ |
| 30.5 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{31.5}{325}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 3. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| - 3 32.5 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\frac{2}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34.5 |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{5}$ |
| $\frac{35.5}{36.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $-1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| -38.5. |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 339.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -39.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{5}$ |
| -4.5. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\frac{2}{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{5}{5}$ |
| 42.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1. | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 43.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{44.5}{455}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 45.5. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{47.5}{4.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{5}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{49.5}{49.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{2}{1}$ |  | ${ }_{3}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{51.5}{-52.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{52.5}{53.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | $\stackrel{5}{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{54.5}{55.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{55.5}{56.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{3}{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{58.5}{59.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 80.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $\stackrel{3}{2}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{81.5}{82.5}$ |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  | $t$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{82.5}{83.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | $\frac{3}{1}$ | $\frac{2}{3}$ |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | t |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{85.5}{88.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\underline{2}$ | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| $\frac{87.5}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -68.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 70.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | $\frac{2}{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 72.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 74.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{2}{1}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{75.5}{78.5}$ |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{1}{2}$ | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{5}$ |
| ${ }^{79.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{79.5}{80.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 91.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{92.5}{83.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | $\frac{5}{5}$ |
| $\frac{83.5}{84.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{85.5}{88.5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| -88.5. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |
| 88,5. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $t$ | 1 |  | 1 |
| -99.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |  |  | 1 |
| -91.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 9.92 .5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | I |  |
| 94.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | I | 15 | 25 | 2B: | 22 | 23 | 12 | 28 |  | 29. | 1.29 | 33 | 12 | 19 | 11 | 10. | 12 | 10 | ${ }^{3}$ | 4 |  |  | 329 |









Table 3. Observed mean length (ML), standard deviation (SD) and number (n) at age for combined sexes by quarter.
L. piscatorius

| piscrin | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter |  |  | $4^{\text {cos }}$ Quarter |  |  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Quarter |  |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Quarter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | ML (cm) | SD (cm) | n | ML ( cm ) | SD (cm) | n | $\mathrm{ML}(\mathrm{cm})$ | SD (cmi) | n | ML (cm) | SD (cm) | n |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 18.50 | 2.16 | 3 | 19.00 | 2.96 | 26 |  |  |  | 20.50 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 28.00 | 2.57 | 6 | 28.94 | 3.52 | 18 | 24.17 | 2.71 | 9 | 25.39 | 1.59 | 9 |
| 3 | 34.00 | 1.98 | 12 | 35.74 | 3.25 | 17 | 31.86 | 1.77 | 11 | 31.63 | 3.14 | 8 |
| 4 | 40.65 | 3.01 | 13 | 41.86 | 3.80 | 22 | 38.13 | 3.89 | 24 | 38.56 | 3.17 | 16 |
| 5 | 46.61 | 1.66 | 9 | 48.35 | 2.90 | 13 | 45.31 | 4.00 | 16 | 46.10 | 2.15 | . 15 |
| 6 | 53.43 | 3.94 | 15 | 53.69 | 3.47 | 16 | 52.94 | 3.28 | 27 | 52.36 | 3.68 | 21 |
| 7 | 60.37 | 4.00 | 23 | 60.36 | 4.43 | 22 | 60.50 | 3.71 | 9 | 57.90 | 3.88 | 15 |
| 8 | 66.41 | 3.57 | 22 | 67.50 | 4.92 | 18 | 66.85 | 6.12 | 20 | 66.31 | 5.10 | 31 |
| 9 | 71.50 | 2.85 | 17 | 73.20 | 3.98 | 20 | 70.03 | 4.50 | 19 | 73.87 | 4.59 | 19 |
| 10 | 79.32 | 4.34 | 17 | 82.00 | 5.24 | 24 | 78.55 | 5.89 | 19 | 81.13 | 5.82 | 16 |
| 11 | 86.64 | 6.52 | 14 | 89.90 | 4.40 | 20 | 85.19 | 6.82 | 16 | 88.50 | 5.56 | 13 |
| 12 | 91.67 | 4.71 | 6 | 93.39 | 4.58 | 9 | 89.07 | 6.13 | 14 | 90.10 | 5.75 | 15 |
| 13 | 92.17 | 2.87 | 3 | 95.25 | 1.48 | 4 | 92.75 | 5.20 | 16 | 95.68 | 4.15 | 11 |
| 14 | 105.50 |  | 1 | 105.50 | 5.10 | 3 | 99.50 | 7.07 | 3 | 98.00 | 2.18 | 4 |
| 15 | 86.50 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 99.30 | 5.23 | 5 | 101.83 | 3.30 | 3 |
| 16 | 112.50 | $=$ | 1 |  |  |  | 105.38 | 4.11 | 8 | 103.50 |  | 1 |
| 17 | 97.50 |  | 1 | 112.50 | 4.60 | $s$ | 115.50 | 3.00 | 2 | 108.83 | 3.68 | 3 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 110.50 |  | 1 | 110.00 | 3.50 | 2 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114.25 | 7.66 | 4 | 107.50 |  | 1 |
| 20 |  |  |  | 114.00 | 1.50 | 2 | 118.50 | 2.16 | 3 | 121.50 |  | 1 |
| 21 |  | : |  |  |  |  | 120.25 | 6.87 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 124.50 | 4.00 | 2 | 126.50 |  | 1 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138.00 | 2.50 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 134.50 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 164 |  |  | 239 |  |  | 235 |  |  | 206 |

L. budegassa

| , | $3^{\text {rd }}$ Quarter |  |  | $4^{\text {® }}$ Quarter |  |  | $1^{\text {a }}$ Quarter |  |  | $2^{\text {nd }} \text { Quarter }$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | ML (cm) | SD (cm) | a | ML (cm) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{cm})$ | n | ML ( cm ) | SD (cm) | n | ML (cm) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{cm})$ | a |
| 0 | 7.7 | 0.6 | 3 | 6.5 | 2.1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 11.2 | 1.3 | 9 | 11 | 1.3 | 7 | 11 |  | 1 | 11 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 16.1 | 2.1 | 16 | 17.5 | 2.2 | 17 | 16.2 | 1.3 | 15 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 21.9 | 1.6 | 16 | 25.6 | 1.5 | 9 | 21.1 | 1.8 | 25 | 22.3 | 2.5 | 27 |
| 4 | 28.4 | 3.3 | 21 | 28.9 | 2.4 | 12 | 26.3 | 1.8 | 28 | 28.3 | 2.5 | 43 |
| 5 | 36.5 | 4.6 | 24 | 32 | 2.2 | 15 | 31.8 | 2.3 | 22 | 32.2 | 2 | 22 |
| 6 | 44.2 | 4.4 | 21 | 37.3 | 1.7 | 11 | 36 | 2 | 23 | 37.3 | 2.8 | 20 |
| 7 | 44.8 | 2.6 | 13 | 41 | 2.4 | 10 | 40.2 | 1 | 12 | 42.1 | 4.7 | 33 |
| 8 | 46.7 | 2.1 | 3 | 44.7 | 3.3 | 11 | 44.5 | 2.6 | 26 | 51.5 | 6.8 | 48 |
| 9 | 54.2 | 3.8 | 13 | 50.7 | 4.8 | 12 | 49.3 | 3.6 | 29 | 58.1 | 5.6 | 52 |
| 10 | 58.5 | 5.4 | 16 | 54.8 | 7.2 | 22 | 57.5 | 5.5 | 29 | 64.3 | 5.6 | 27 |
| 11 | 58.8 | 3.4 | 15 | 60.6 | 4.5 | 20 | 60.3 | 4.3 | 33 | 70.8 | 3.4 | 15 |
| 12 | 58 | 0 | 2 | 60.6 | 6.2 | 35 | 66.2 | 5.3 | 12 | 74.5 | 1.1 | 8 |
| 13 | 63.5 | 9.2 | 2 | 69.1 | 7.2 | 14 | 69.6 | 4.5 | 19 | 79 | 2.6 | 15 |
| 14 | 70 |  | 1 | 74.8 | 7.3 | 14 | 73.9 | 4.5 | 11 | 77 |  | 1 |
| 15 | 73 |  | 1 | 64 | 8.5 | 3 | 74.8 | 4.3 | 10 | 84.3 | 2.9 | 6 |
| 16 | 74 |  | 1 | 80 | 5 | 3 | 78.4 | 3.7 | 12 | 85 | 1.7 | 3 |
| 17 | 78 |  | 1 | 80.3 | 1.5 | 3 | 79.7 | 3.3 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 82 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  | 80 |  | 1 | 84.5 | 4.8 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 178 |  |  | 221 |  |  | 329 |  |  | 321 |

Table 4. Observed annual mean length (ML), standard deviation (SD) and number ( $n$ ) at age for each sex and for combined sexes.
L. piscatorius

|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Combined sexes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | ML (cm) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{cm})$ | 0 | ML (cm) | SD (cm) | n | ML (cm) | SD (cm) | n |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 20.50 | 2.56 | 11 | 19.58 | 2.25 | 12 | 19.00 | 2.86 | 30 |
| 2 | 27.60 | 3.52 | 20 | 26.30 | 3.54 | 20 | 27.02 | 3.52 | 42 |
| 3 | 32.24 | 2.81 | 19 | 34.92 | 2.99 | 26 | 33.73 | 3.17 | 48 |
| 4 | 37.85 | 3.07 | 34 | 40.60 | 3.69 | 29 | 39.75 | 3.92 | 75 |
| 5 | 44.87 | 2.68 | 19 | 47.15 | 3.15 | 26 | 46.50 | 3.16 | 53 |
| 6 | 51.56 | 3.44 | 34 | -53.90 | 2.99 | 35 | 53.03 | 3.59 | 79 |
| 7 | 57.81 | 3.43 | 32 | $60.71{ }^{\text { }}$ | 3.87 | 19 | 59.85 | 4.21 | 69. |
| 8 | 65.50 | 5.23 | 43 | 68.22 | 5.23 | 29 | 66.69 | 5.01 | 91 |
| 9 | 71.37 | 4.09 | 39 | 75.06 | 4.54 | 18 | 72.18 | 4.34 | 75 |
| 10 | 76.44 | 4.27 | 18 | 79.95 | 4.36 | 29 | 80.36 | 5.54 | 76 |
| 11 | 79.40 | 4.13 | 10 | 89.02 | 4.23 | 23 | 87.69 | 6.11 | 63 |
| 12 | 83.93 | 3.06 | 7 | 90.17 | 5.45 | 15 | 90.66 | 5.75 | 44. |
| 13 | 85.50 | 3.00 | 2 | 92.10 | 3.41 | 10 | 93.94 | 4.63 | 34 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 97.00 | 4.97 | 4 | 101.14 | 5.80 | 11 |
| 15 | 90.50 |  | 1 | 98.17 | 0.94 | 3 | 98.72 | 6.23 | 9 |
| 16 |  | - |  | 102.25 | 4.09 | 4 | 105.90 | 4.32 | 10 |
| 17 | 97.50 |  | 1 | 104.50 | 0.00 | 1 | 110.68 | 6.12 | 11 |
| 18 | $\cdots$ |  |  | 113.50 | 0.00 | 1 | 110.17 | 2.87 | 3 |
| 19 | 101.50 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 112.90 | 7.36 | 5 |
| 20 |  |  |  | 117.00 | 4.50 | 2 | 117.50 | 3.21 | 6 |
| 21 | 110.50 |  | 1 | 120.50 | 2.00 | 2 | 120.25 | 6.87 | 4 |
| 22 |  |  |  | 126.50 | 0.00 | 1 | 125.17 | 3.40 | 3 |
| 23 |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  | 138.00 | 2.50 | 2 |
| 24 |  |  |  | , |  |  | 134.50 |  | 1 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 292 |  |  | 309 |  |  | 844 |

L. budegassa

| Age (years) | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Combined sexes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ML (cm) | SD (cm) | n | ML ( cm ) | SD (cm) | n | ML ( cm ) | SD (m) | n |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.20 | 1.30 | 5 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11.09 | 1.21 | 18 |
| 2 | 16.81 | 1.17 | 16 | 18.64 | 2.10 | 11 | 16.63 | 2.01 | 48 |
| 3 | 22.57 | 2.22 | 47 | 22.40 | 2.44 | 22 | 22.19 | 2.36 | 77. |
| 4 | 28.34 | 2.40 | 48 | 27.46 | 2.69 | 49 | 27.86 | 2.67 | 104 |
| 5 | 33.34 | 3.86 | 37 | 33.31 | 3.62 | 42 | 33.32 | 3.64 | 83 |
| 6 | 39.29 | 4.14 | 30 | 38.91 | 4.96 | 39 | 38.83 | 4.51 | 75 |
| 7 | 42.75 | 2.74 | 46 | 40.36 | 3.49 | 18 | 42.14 | 3.88 | 68 . |
| 8 | 45.27 | 2.62 | 56 | 50.32 | 7.36 | 18 | 48.42 | 6.33 | 88 |
| 9 | 49.49 | 3.06 | 37 | 56.12 | 6.08 | 45 | 54.39 | 6.21 | 106 |
| 10 | 51.13 | 2.78 | 20 | 59.13 | 5.57 | 39 | 58.98 | 6.90 | 94 |
| 11 | 55.90 | 1.88 | 5 | 60.38 | 4.58 | 44 | 61.98 | 5.77 | 83 |
| 12 | 59.00 | 7.38 | 5 | 60.55 | 6.52 | 21 | 63.64 | 7.33 | 57 |
| 13 | 57.00 |  | 1 | 69.82 | 7.45 | 22 | 72.04 | 6.89 | 50 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 72.33 | 8.47 | 9 | 74.33 | 5.96 | 27 |
| 15 |  |  |  | 74.40 | 4.72 | 5 | 75.95 | 8.05 | 20 |
| 16 |  |  |  | 77.88 | 3.68 | 8 | 79.47 | 4.34 | 19 |
| 17 |  |  |  | 77.67 | 1.53 | 3 | 79.71 | 2.89 | 14 |
| 18 |  |  |  | 78.00 |  | 1 | 82.00 | 4.00 | 6 |
| 19 |  |  |  | 89.00 |  | 1 | 83.60 | 4.62 | 5 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 87.00 |  | 1 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 93.00 |  | 1 |
| Total |  |  | 348 |  |  | 397 |  |  | 1049 |

Table 5. Observed mean total weight (MWt); standard deviation (SD) and number (n) at age for combined sexes by quarter.
L. piscatorius

|  | $3^{\text {rid }}$ Quarter |  |  | $4^{\text {b }}$ Quarter |  |  | $1^{\text {a }}$ Quarter |  |  | $2^{\text {sd }}$ Quarter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | MWt(g) | SD (g) | n | MWt (g) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{g})$ | n | MWt(g) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{g})$ | n | MWt (g) | SD (g) | n |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 116 | 40 | 3 | 120 | 53 | 26 |  |  |  | 152 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 371 | 92 | 6 | 388 | 125 | 18 | 234 | 75 | 9 | 278 | 49 | 9 |
| 3 | 636 | 103 | 12 | 697 | 176 | 17 | 501 | 81 | 11 | 519 | 150 | 8 |
| 4 | 1063 | 228 | 13 | 1095 | 281 | 22 | 851 | 253 | 24 | 894 | 203 | 16 |
| 5 | 1550 | 163 | 9 | 1632 | 275 | 13 | 1383 | 352 | 16 | 1453 | 187 | 15 |
| 6 | 2311 | 487 | 15 | 2204 | 395 | 16 | 2132 | 373 | 27 | 2084 | 407 | 21 |
| 7 | 3260 | 631 | 23 | 3090 | 638 | 22 | 3117 | 544 | 9 | 2755 | 531 | 15 |
| 8 | 4258 | 645 | 22 | 4251 | 870 | 18 | 4192 | 1124 | 20 | 4031 | 878 | 31 |
| 9 | 5235 | 579 | 17 | 5325 | 812 | 20 | 4732 | 904 | 19 | 5416 | 946 | 19 |
| 10 | 7058 | 1125 | 17 | 7386 | 1304 | 24 | 6590 | 1508 | 19 | 7051 | 1414 | 16 |
| 11 | 9133 | 1943 | 14 | 9564 | 1322 | 20 | 8315 | 1830 | 16 | 8955 | 1509 | 13 |
| 12 | 10637 | 1638 | 6 | 10663 | 1498 | 9 | 9402 | 1837 | 14 | 9416 | 1653 | 15 |
| 13 | 10754 | 944 | 3 | 11216 | 500 | 4 | 10507 | 1699 | 16 | 11070 | 1312 | 11 |
| 14 | 15749 |  | 1 | 15103 | 2123 | 3 | 12895 | 2462 | 3 | 11792 | 738 | 4 |
| 15 | 8957 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 12747 | 1855 | 5 | 13139 | 1172 | 3 |
| 16 | 18903 | - | 1 |  |  |  | 15046 | 1575 | 8 | 13710 |  | 1. |
| 17 | 12587 |  | 1 | 18112 | 2111 | 5 | 19497 | 1440 | 2 | 15812 | 1491 | 3 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17158 |  | 1 | 16283 | 1438 | 2 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19088 | 3415 | 4 | 15236 |  | 1 |
| 20 |  |  |  | 18735 | 704 | 2 | 20956 | 1098 | 3 | 21418 |  | 1 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22017 | 3543 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24165 | 2206 | 2 | 23960 |  | 1 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32339 | 1667 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30031 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 164 |  |  | 239 |  |  | 235 |  |  | 206 |

## L. budegassa

|  | $3^{\text {rid }}$ Quarter |  |  | $4^{\text {Lh }}$ Quarter |  |  | $1^{*}$ Quarter |  |  | $2^{\text {ma }}$ Quarter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | MWt(g) | SD (g) | $\square$ | MWTt(g) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{g})$ | n | MWt(g) | SD (g) | n | MWt (g) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{g})$ | n |
| 0 | 9 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 38 | 28 | 9 | 23 | 9 | 7 |  |  |  | 24 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 76 | 24 | 16 | 92 | 38 | 17 | 67 | 28 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 173 | 46 | 16 | 259 | 41 | 9 | 153 | 42 | 17 | 161 | 65 | 26 |
| 4 | 363 | 161 | 18 | 403 | 111 | 12 | 277 | 67 | 25 | 349 | 85 | 41 |
| 5 | 838 | 296 | 24 | 498 | 105 | 14 | 517 | 134 | 20 | 548 | 182 | 21 |
| 6 | 1246 | 297 | 19 | 806 | 147 | 10 | 722 | 144 | 21 | 832 | 168 | 19 |
| 7 | 1365 | 188 | 13 | 1096 | 303 | 10 | 961 | 114 | 11 | 1059 | 243 | 30 |
| 8 | 1342 | 115 | 3 | 1341 | 386 | 10 | 1344 | 243 | 20 | 1722 | 724 | 33 |
| 9 | 2315 | 666 | 7 | 1852 | 461 | 9 | 1780 | 318 | 18 | 3079 | 1347 | 33 |
| 10 | 2800 | 716 | 9 | 1745 | 183 | 11 | 2530 | 911 | 11 | 4286 | 1103 | 9 |
| 11 | 2946 | 437 | 7 | 3252 | 966 | 10 | 3521 | 793 | 13 | 6027 | 1591 |  |
| 12 |  |  |  | 2967 | 1013 | 19 | 3966 | 1086 | 4 | 6020 | 877 | 2 |
| 13 | 4830 | 2588 | 2 | 4614 | 1636 | 8 | 5261 | 1222 | 7 | 7046 | 682 | 5 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 6213 | 2277 | 5 | 5560 | 1038 | 3 | 7480 |  | , |
| 15 | 5120 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 4380 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | 9083 | 2240 | 3 | 6400 |  | 1 | 9500 |  | 1 |
| 17 | 6652 |  | 1 | 10000 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7450 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7025 | 4278 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 148 |  |  | 157 |  |  | 179 |  |  | 225 |

Table 6. Observed mean gutted weight (MWg), standard deviation (SD) and number ( $n$ ) at age for combined sexes by quarter.

## L. piscatorius

|  | $3^{\text {rid }}$ Quarter |  |  | $4^{\text {dit }}$ Quarter |  |  | $1^{\text {P }}$ Quarter |  |  | $2^{\text {ad }}$ Quarter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | $\mathrm{MW}(\mathrm{g})$ | SD (g) | n | MWg(g) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\square$ | MWg (g) | SD (g) | n | $\mathrm{MWg}(\mathrm{g})$ | SD (g) | $\square$ |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 96 | 32 | 3 | 99 | 44 | 26 | , |  |  | 125 |  | 1 |
| 2 | 302 | 73 | 6 | 323 | 105 | ¢ 18 | $\therefore 194$ | 63 | 9 | 229 | 41 | 9 |
| 3 | 512 | 82 | 12 | 582 | 147 | 17 | 419 | 68 | 11 | 429 | 124 | 8 |
| 4 | 848 | 179 | 13 | 916 | 236 | 22 | 718 | 216 | 24 | 739 | 168 | 16 |
| 5 | 1229 | 127 | 9 | 1368 | 231 | 13 | 1173 | 303 | 16 | 1201 | 155 | 15 |
| 6 | 1818 | 376 | 15 | 1850 | 333 | 16 | 1819 | 322 | 27 | 1723 | 337 | 21 |
| 7 | 2549 | 484 | 23 | 2597 | 538 | 22 | 2674 | 473 | 9 | 2278 | 439 | 15 |
| 8 | 3314 | 493 | 22 | 3578 | 735 | 18 | 3612 | 982 | 20 | 3334 | 726 | 31 |
| 9 | 4059 | 441 | 17 | 4486 | 687 | 20 | 4083 | 791 | 19 | 4480 | 782 | 19 |
| 10 | 5443 | 851 | 17 | 6231 | 1105 | 24 | 5713 | 1327 | 19 | 5833 | 1170 | 16 |
| 11 | 7010 | 1465 | 14 | 8076 | 1121 | 20 | 7231 | 1612 | 16 | 7408 | 1249 | 13 |
| 12 | 8143 | 1230 | 6 | 9008 | 1271 | 9 | 8189 | 1622 | 14 | 7790 | 1368 | 15 |
| 13 | 8233 | 710 | 3 | 9478 | 425 | 4 | 9165 | 1503 | : 16 | 9158 | 1086 | 11 |
| 14 | 11975 |  | 1 | 12778 | 1804 | 3 | 11280 | 2180 | 3 | 9756 | 611 | 4 |
| 15 | 6880 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 11148 | 1643 | 5 | 10870 | 970 | 3 |
| 16 | 14326 | - | 1 |  |  |  | 13187 | 1397 | 8 | 11343 |  | 1 |
| 17 | 9609 |  | 1 | 15335 | 1795 | 5 | 17148 | 1284 | 2 | 13083 | 1234 | 3 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15064 |  | , | 13472 | 1190 | 2 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16787 | 3040 | 4 | 12606 |  | 1 |
| 20 |  |  |  | 15865 | 598 | 2 | 18449 | 980 | 3 | 17723 |  | 1 |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19400 | 3163 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21317 | 1972 | 2 | 19828 |  | 1 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28640 | 1497 | 2 |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26569 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 164 |  |  | 239 |  |  | 235 |  |  | 206 |

L. budegassa

|  | $3^{\text {rid }}$ Quarter |  |  | $4^{\text {di }}$ Quarter |  |  | $L^{\text {a }}$ Quarter |  |  | $2^{\text {did }}$ Quarter |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | MWg (g) | SD (g) | , | MWg (g) | SD (g) | n | MWg (g) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{g})$ | n | $\mathrm{MWg}(\mathrm{g})$ | SD (g) | n |
| 0 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 | ! |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 24 | 10 | 7 | 20 | 7 | 7 | ; |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 62 | 22 | 16 | 71 | 26 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 145 | 28 | 16 | 220 | 33 | 9 | 122 | 32 | 9 | 145 | 59 | 23 |
| 4 | 316 | 133 | 18 | 323 | 73 | 12 | 212 | 45 | 11 | 303 | 64 | 32 |
| 5 | 702 | 236 | 24 | 408 | 81 | 14 | 351 | 40 | 2 | 449 | 117 | 20 |
| 6 | 1119 | 295 | 19 | 679 | 117 | 9 |  |  |  | 719 | 137 | 19 |
| 7 | 1178 | 207 | 13 | 758 | 106 | 7 |  |  |  | 936 | 224 | 31 |
| 8 | 1195 | 70 | 3. | 1101 | 359 | 5 | 1348 | 123 | 9 | 1417 | 505 | 31 |
| 9 | 2081 | 633 | 7 | 2205 | 431 | 2 | 1498 | 282 | 13 | 2089 | 606 | 15 |
| 10 | 2398 | 540 | 8 | 1479 | 135 | 4 | 1659 | 235 | 4 | 3410 | 1035 | 6 |
| 11 | 2572 | 328 | 6 | 2860 | 948 | 5 | 3083 | 141 | 2 | 4314 | 970 | 2 |
| 12 |  |  |  | 2242 | 492 | 13 |  |  |  | 5663 |  | 1 |
| 13 | 3940 | 1895 | 2 | 3127 | 1040 | 5 | 4249 | 605 | 2 | 5809 | 682 | 4 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 4679 | - 2265 | 3 | 4671 | 590 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 3875 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5325 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 4609 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6340 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8885 |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 144 |  |  | 110 |  |  | 58 |  |  | 184 |

Table 7. Observed annual mean total weight (MWt), standard deviation (SD) and number (n) at age for each sex and for combined sexes.

## L. piscatorius

|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Combined sexes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | MWt (g) | SD (g) | $n$ | MWt (g) | $\mathrm{SD}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\pi$ | MWt (g) | SD (g) | n |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 153 | 53 | 11 | 129 | 41 | 12 | 122 | 52 | 30 |
| 2 | 353 | 118 | 20 | 302 | 124 | 20 | 327 | 120 | 42 |
| 3 | 534 | 133 | 19 | 658 | 163 | 26 | 602 | 163 | 48 |
| 4 | 835 | 189 | 34 | 1013 | 257 | 29 | 961 | 270 | 75 |
| 5 | 1336 | 223 | 19 | 1535 | 286 | 26 | 1481 | 284 | 53 |
| 6 | 1976 | 367 | 34 | 2239 | 352 | 35 | 2150 | 411 | 79 |
| 7 | 2717 | 455 | 32 | 3150 | 573 | 19 | 3034 | 611 | 69 |
| 8 | 3884 | 878 | 43 | 4413 | 990 | 29 | 4133 | 896 | 91 |
| 9 | 4902 | 790 | 39 | 5757 | 983 | 18 | 5146 | 886 | 75 |
| 10 | 5941 | 955 | 18 | 6879 | 1048 | 29 | 7000 | 1389 | 76 |
| 11 | 6600 | 951 | 10 | 9326 | 1230 | 23 | 8971 | 1708 | 63 |
| 12 | 7683 | 800 | 7 | 9707 | 1664 | 15 | 9840 | 1759 | 44 |
| 13 | 8091 | 794 | 2 | 10251 | 1101 | 10 | 10840 | 1507 | 34 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 11920 | 1709 | 4 | 13397 | 2164 | 11 |
| 15 | 9459 |  | 1 | 12252 | 337 | 3 | 12530 | 2136 | 9 |
| 16 |  | * |  | 13813 | 1519 | 4 | 15202 | 1693 | 10 |
| 17. | 11656 |  | 1 | 14636 |  | I | 17295 | 2626 | 11 |
| 18 |  |  |  | 18518 |  | 1 | 16963 | 1247 | 3 |
| 19 | 13046 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 18354 | 3289 | 5 |
| 20 |  |  |  | 20270 | 2213 | 2 | 20373 | 1579 | 6 |
| 21 | 16554 |  | 1 | 21976 | 1038 | 2 | 21898 | 3512 | 4 |
| 22 |  |  |  | 25219 | : . | 1 | 24376 | 1852 | 3 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32126 | 1651 | 2 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29841 |  | 1 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 292 |  |  | 309 |  |  | 844 |

## L. budegassa

|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Combined sexes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | MWt (g) | SD (g) | $n$ | MWt(g) | SD (g) | $\mathfrak{n}$ | MWt (g) | SD (g) | n |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 3 | 5 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 | 22 | 17 |
| 2 | 83 | 14 | 16 | 113 | 34 | 11 | 82 | 33 | 37 |
| 3 | 175 | 60 | 46 | 175 | 68 | 22 | 175 | 62 | 68 |
| 4 | 351 | 113 | 46 | 327 | 107 | 47 | 340 | 109 | 96 |
| 5 | 618 | 261 | 37 | 627 | 241 | 41 | 620 | 249 | 79 |
| 6 | 894 | 288 | 29 | 920 | 307 | 38 | 909 | 292 | 69 |
| 7 | 1159 | 251 | 44 | 1010 | 267 | 18 | 1110 | 260 | 64 |
| 8 | 1350 | 261 | 49 | 2059 | 867 | 17 | 1532 | 577 | 66 |
| 9 | 1813 | 265 | 28 | 2967 | 1307 | 39 | 2485 | 1158 | 67 |
| 10 | 1825 | 234 | 18 | 3544 | 1095 | 22 | 2770 | 1191 | 40 |
| 11 | 2670 | 184 | 2 | 3585 | 1215 | 29 | 3545 | 1173 | 33 |
| 12 | 2813 | 955 | 5 | 3278 | 1226 | 18 | 3371 | 1313 | 25 |
| 13 | 2470 |  | 1 | 5481 | 1601 | 18 | 5392 | 1626 | 22 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 5703 | 18.18 | 7 | 6136 | 1793 | 9 |
| 15 |  |  |  | 4750 | 523 | 2 | 4750 | 523 | 2 |
| 16 |  |  |  | 9017 | 2351 | 3 | 8630 | 2023 | 5 |
| 17 |  |  |  | 6652 |  | 1 | 8326 | 2367 | 2 |
| 18 |  |  |  | 3450 |  | 1 | 7450 |  | 1 |
| 19 |  |  |  | 10050 |  | 1 | 7025 | 4278 | 2 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . . |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 321 |  |  | 335 |  |  | 709 |

Table 8. Observed annual mean gutted weight (MWg), standard deviation (SD) and number (n) at age for each sex and for combined sexes.
L. piscatorius

|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Combined sexes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | MWg (g) | SD (g) | п | MWg (g) | SD(g) | $n$ | $\mathrm{MWg}^{(g)}$ | SD (g) | $\pi$ |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 123 | 43 | 11 | 110 | 35 | 12 | 98 | 42 | 30 |
| 2 | 287 | 98 | 20 | 258 | 106 | 20 | 265 | 98 | 42 |
| 3 | 438 | 111 | 19 | 563 | 140 | 26 | 488 | 133 | 48 |
| 4 | 692 | 160 | 34 | 866 | 220 | 29 | 17. 782 | 221 | 75 |
| 5 | 1116 | 190 | 19 | 1314 | 245 | 26 | 1206 | 232 | 53 |
| 6 | 1664 | 315 | 34 | 1917 | 302 | 35 | 1754 | 337 | 79 |
| 7 | 2302 | 393 | 32 | 2699 | 492 | 19 | 2479 | 502 | 69 |
| 8 | 3314 | 764 | 43 | 3782 | 850 | 29 | 3381 | 736 | 91 |
| 9 | 4201 | 691 | 39 | 4935 | 843 | 18 | 4214 | 729 | 75 |
| 10 | 5110 | 838 | 18 | 5898 | 899 | 29 | $\therefore 5739$ | 1144 | 76 |
| 11 | 5689 | 835 | 10 | 7998 | 1056 | 23 | 7363 | 1408 | 63 |
| 12 | 6642 | 705 | 7 | 8326 | 1428 | 15 | 8080 | 1450 | 44 |
| 13 | 7002 | 700 | 2 | 8792 | 946 | 10 | 8904 | 1243 | 34 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 10226 | 1468 | 4 | 11014 | 1787 | 11 |
| 15 | 8210 |  | 1 | 10510 | 290 | 3 | 10299 | 1763 | 9 |
| 16 |  | 2 |  | 11852 | - 1305 | 4 | -12505 | 1398 | 10 |
| 17 | 10158 |  | 1 | 12558 |  | 1 | 14234 | 2170 | 11 |
| 18 |  |  |  | 15893 |  | 1 | 13960 | 1030 | 3 |
| 19 | 11395 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 15110 | 2719 | 5 |
| 20 |  |  |  | 17399 | 1901 | 2 | 16779 | 1306 | 6 |
| 21 | 14526 |  | 1 | 18864 | 892 | 2 | 18041 | 2906 | 4 |
| 22 |  |  |  | 21651 |  | 1 | 20091 | 1533 | 3 |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26509 | 1368 | 2 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24615 |  | 1 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 292 |  |  | 309 |  |  | 844 |

## L. budegassa

|  | Males |  |  | Females |  |  | Combined sexes |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age (years) | $\mathrm{MWg}_{(\mathrm{g})}$ | SD (g) | n | $\mathrm{MWg}(\mathrm{g})$ | SD (g) | - | $\mathrm{MWg}(\mathrm{g})$ | SD (g) | n |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 135 | 49 | 19 | 145 | 60 | - 13 | 139 | 53 | 32 |
| 4 | 298 | 73 | 23 | 260 | 66 | \% 20 | 280 | 72 | 43 |
| 5 | 414 | 79 | 11 | 466 | 142 | :- 11 | 440 | 115 | 22 |
| 6 | 763 | 143 | 10 | 669 | 119 | $\because 9$ | 719 | 137 | 19 |
| 7 | 1012 | 216 | 26 | 762 | 224 | 7 | 959 | 238 | 33 |
| 8 | 1225 | 181 | 33 | 1848 | 628 | 11 | 1381 | 436 | 44 |
| 9 | 1561 | 209 | 1.7 | 2056 | 693 | 14 | ${ }^{1} 1785$ | 542 | 31 |
| 10 | 1550 | 202 |  | 2991 | 1173 | 8 | 2373 | 1142 | 14 |
| 11 |  |  |  | 3793 | 815 | 5 | 3793 | 815 | 5 |
| 12 |  |  |  | 3501 | 1972 | 3 | $\therefore 3501$ | 1972 | 3 |
| 13 |  |  |  | 5289 | 1001 | 6 | 5289 | - 1001 | 6 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 4671 | 590 | 3 | 4671 | 590 | 3 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  | 7208 | 1756 | 3 | -7208 | 1756 | 3 |
| 17 |  |  |  | \% |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  | 6340 |  | 1 | : 6340 |  | 1 |
| 19 |  |  |  | 8885 |  | $\therefore 1$ | 8885 |  | 1 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  | 145 |  |  | 115 |  |  | 260 |

Table 9. Annual von Bertalanffy growth parameters for each sex and combined sexes.
L. piscatorius

| Sex | Linf $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $k\left(\right.$ year $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | to (year) | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ | n | Lt min $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Lt max $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Age min (y) | Age max (y) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| males | 110.500 | 0.108 | 0.255 | 0.945 | 292 | 16 | 110 | 1 | 21 |
| females | 140.500 | 0.081 | 0.089 | 0.970 | 309 | 15 | 126 | 1 | 22 |
| combined | 140.500 | 0.080 | 0.232 | 0.958 | 844 | 14 | 140 | 1 | 24 |

L. budegassa

| Sex | Linf $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $k\left(\right.$ year $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | $t o($ year $)$ | $\mathrm{r}^{2}$ | n | Lt min $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Lt max $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Age min $(\mathrm{y})$ | Age max $(\mathrm{y})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| males | $\ddots$ | 72.900 | 0.125 | 0.360 | 0.963 | 348 | 15 | 67 | 2 |
| females | 110.100 | 0.075 | 0.397 | 0.950 | 397 | 15 | 89 | 2 | 13 |
| combined | 132.400 | 0.056 | 0.039 | 0.959 | 1049 | 5 | 93 | 0 | 21 |

Table 10a. Annual mean lengths at age and von Bertalanffy growth parameters for combined sexes.
L. piscatorius

|  | Present work | Present work | Workshop <br> $(1997)$ | Duarte et al. <br> $(1997)$ | Landa \& Pereda <br> $(1997)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Linf | expected | observed | observed | observed | observed |
| $k$ | 140.500 |  |  | 121.54 | 132.05 |
| to | 0.080 |  |  | 0.102 | 0.11 |
| Age (years) | ML (cm) | ML (cm) | ML (cm) | ML (cm) | ML (cm) |
| 1.5 | 13.55 | 19.00 | 9.10 | 17.50 | 18.85 |
| 2.5 | 23.31 | 27.02 | 18.10 | 30.10 | 30.94 |
| 3.5 | 32.32 | 33.73 | 26.80 | 36.50 | 37.75 |
| 4.5 | 40.63 | 39.75 | 35.10 | 42.90 | 43.97 |
| 5.5 | 48.31 | 46.50 | 43.10 | 50.50 | 53.61 |
| 6.5 | 55.40 | 53.03 | 50.70 | 58.00 | 63.21 |
| 7.5 | 61.94 | 59.85 | 58.00 | 64.60 | 69.72 |
| 8.5 | 67.98 | 66.69 | 65.00 | 71.50 | 79.17 |
| 9.5 | 73.56 | 72.18 | 71.70 |  | 86.03 |
| 10.5 | 78.70 | 80.36 | 78.20 |  | 102.50 |
| 11.5 | 83.45 | 87.69 | 84.30 |  | 97.70 |
| 12.5 | 87.84 | 90.66 |  |  | 102.50 |
| 13.5 | 91.89 | 93.94 |  |  |  |
| 14.5 | 95.62 | 101.14 |  |  |  |
| 15.5 | 99.07 | 98.72 |  |  |  |
| 16.5 | 102.26 | 105.90 |  |  |  |
| 17.5 | 105.20 | 110.68 |  |  |  |
| 18.5 | 107.91 | 110.17 |  |  |  |
| 19.5 | 110.42 | 112.90 |  |  |  |
| 20.5 | 112.73 | 117.50 |  |  |  |
| 21.5 | 114.87 | 120.25 |  |  |  |
| 22.5 | 116.84 | 125.17 |  |  |  |
| 23.5 | 118.66 | 138.00 |  |  |  |
| 24.5 | 120.34 | 134.50 |  |  |  |
| 25.5 | 121.89 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10b. Annual mean lengths at age and von Bertalanffy growth parameters for combined sexes.
L. budegassa

|  | Present work | Present work | Workshop <br> (1997) | Duarte et al. <br> $(1997)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Linf | expected | observed | observed | observed <br> $k$ |
| 132.400 |  |  | 101.700 |  |
| to | 0.056 |  |  | 0.080 |
| Age (years) | 0.039 |  | ML (cm) | ML (cm) |
| 1.5 | 6.90 | 11.09 | ML (cm) | ML (cm) |
| 2.5 | 13.80 | 16.63 | 11.00 | 9.30 |
| 3.5 | 20.20 | 22.19 | 17.50 | 16.40 |
| 4.5 | 26.30 | 27.86 | 23.60 | 23.00 |
| 5.5 | 32.10 | 33.32 | 29.40 | 34.60 |
| 6.5 | 37.60 | 38.83 | 35.00 | 39.80 |
| 7.5 | 42.70 | 42.14 | 40.20 | 44.50 |
| 8.5 | 47.60 | 48.42 | 45.20 | 48.90 |
| 9.5 | 52.20 | 54.39 | 50.00 | 53.00 |
| 10.5 | 56.60 | 58.98 | 54.50 | 56.70 |
| 11.5 | 60.70 | 61.98 | 58.90 | 60.20 |
| 12.5 | 64.60 | 63.64 | 63.00 | 63.40 |
| 13.5 | 68.30 | 72.04 | 66.90 | 66.30 |
| 14.5 | 71.80 | 74.33 | 70.60 | 69.00 |
| 15.5 | 75.10 | 75.95 |  | 71.60 |
| 16.5 | 78.20 | 79.47 |  | 73.90 |
| 17.5 | 81.20 | 79.71 |  |  |
| 18.5 | 84.00 | 82.00 |  |  |
| 19.5 | 86.60 | 83.60 |  |  |
| 20.5 | 89.10 | 87.00 |  |  |
| 21.5 | 91.50 | 93.00 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 1. Anglertish stocks geographical areas: $\sqsupset$ northem stocks (ICES Divisions VIIb-k and VIIIa.b); southern stocks (ICES Divisions VIIIc and IXa).

Figure 2a: Mean total length at age.
L. piscatorius



Figure 2b: Mean total length at age.
L. budegassa



Figure 3a: Mean total weight at age.
L. piscatorius



Figure 3b: Mean total weight at age.
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Figure 4a: Mean gutted weight at age.
L. piscatorius



Figure 4b: Mean gutted weight at age.
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Figure 5: Growth curves by sex and combined sexes.
L. piscatorius
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