On two-phase/mixture modelling of sediment transport and turbulence modulation due to fluid-particle interactions

Bi Qilong and Erik Toorman

Hydraulics Laboratory, Department of Civil Engineering, KU Leuven Kasteelpark Arenberg 40, PO Box 2448, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium E-mail: gilong.bi@bwk.kuleuven.be and erik.toorman@bwk.kuleuven.be

A fundamental issue in modelling sediment transport is to understand the complex mechanism that involves fluid-particle interactions. When the suspension concentration becomes significantly high near the bottom, interactions between particles also play an important role in turbulence modulation as well as affecting the suspension capacity in the above water column. These insights have been provided by using two-phase flow theory to analyse the four-way coupling effects in the high concentrated benthic layer, which cannot be done with traditional sediment transport models. In the work of Toorman and Bi (2013), the fundamental equations have been reconstructed from two-phase flow/mixture theory by expressing mixture theory equations in terms of fluid variables, combined with a drift closure. Following the previous work, the relative particle movement is solved separately with a force balance equation for completing the equations. In addition, a modified low-Reynolds k- ε turbulence model has been developed by Toorman (2011). For application to the two-phase flow/mixture theory, an extended version is proposed as turbulence closure.

Fundamental equations of two-phase/mixture theory

Unlike the two-fluid model, the mixture theory usually chooses volume- and/or weight- averaged variables for the mixture, which is the sum of carrier and dispersed phase. The additional complexity regarding momentum exchanges between phases temporarily can be circumvented in this way (but returns at a later stage). By employing ensemble-averaged fluid velocity U_i , and solids velocity V_i , related to Reynolds-averaged properties proposed by Toorman (2008):

$$U_{i} = \overline{u_{i}} + \frac{-\overline{u_{i}'\phi'}}{1 - \overline{\phi}} = \overline{u_{i}} + U_{Di} \quad \text{and} \quad V_{i} = \overline{v_{i}} + \frac{\overline{v_{i}'\phi'}}{\overline{\phi}} = \overline{v_{i}} + V_{Di}$$
 (1)

where: $\overline{u_i}$ and $\overline{v_i}$ = the respective Reynolds-averaged fluid and solids velocities, U_{Di} and V_{Di} = the respective fluid and solids drift velocities, $\overline{\phi}$ = the Reynolds-averaged solids volume fraction, $-u_i'\phi'$ and $\overline{v_i'\phi'}$ = the respective Reynolds averaged fluid and solids turbulent flux. Substitution of (1) into the Reynolds-averaged equations leads to the following basic equations (where the overbar is dropped). The suspension continuity equation reads:

$$\frac{\partial \left(U_j + W_j \phi\right)}{\partial x_i} = 0 \tag{2}$$

where: x_j = the location coordinate and $W_i = V_i$ - U_i = the (ensemble-averaged) slip velocity. The exact suspension momentum equation becomes:

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial t} + U_{j} \frac{\partial U_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \right) = -\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{i}} + \rho g \delta_{iz} + \frac{\partial \left(\sigma_{\mu} + \sigma_{Tij} + \sigma_{Dij} \right)}{\partial x_{j}}$$

$$- \rho_{s} \phi \left(\frac{\partial W_{i}}{\partial t} + U_{j} \frac{\partial W_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} + W_{j} \frac{\partial \left(U_{i} + W_{i} \right)}{\partial x_{j}} \right)$$
(3)

with: $\rho=\rho_s\phi+\rho_w(1-\phi)=$ the suspension bulk density, ρ_s and $\rho_w=$ the particle and fluid density, $\rho=$ pressure, g= gravity constant, $\sigma_\mu=$ the viscous stress, $\sigma_T=$ the turbulent Reynolds stress:

$$\sigma_{Tij} = \rho_s \phi \left(-\overline{v'_i v'_j} \right) + \rho_w (1 - \phi) \left(-\overline{u'_i u'_j} \right) \approx -\rho \overline{u'_i u'_j} \approx \rho v_t \left(\frac{\partial U_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial U_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$
(4)

and σ_D = the drift diffusion stress:

$$\sigma_{Dij} = \rho_s \phi \left(V_{Di} V_{Dj} \right) + \rho_w (1 - \phi) \left(U_{Di} U_{Dj} \right)$$

$$\approx \left(\frac{V_t}{Sc} \right)^2 \left(\rho_s \phi \frac{\partial \ln \phi}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \ln \phi}{\partial x_j} + \rho_w (1 - \phi) \frac{\partial \ln(1 - \phi)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \ln(1 - \phi)}{\partial x_j} \right)$$
(5)

using the Boussinesq approximation. The slip velocity W can be obtained either from a simplified particle force balance (e.g. Kim *et al.*, 1998) or the suspension momentum balance equation.

Low-Reynolds turbulence modelling

It is observed that in benthic suspension layers high suspended sediment concentrations above the saturation limit are found (resulting in "fluid mud" or sheet flow conditions; Toorman, 2002) and turbulence is no longer fully developed (because the four-way particle-particle-fluid interactions consume much energy). Therefore, it is necessary to determine the eddy viscosity in the above equations from low-Reynolds turbulence models (Patel *et al.*, 1985). In order to reduce the necessary high grid resolution for this type of turbulence closure a two-layer approach (Rodi, 1991) is applied where a low-Reynolds k- ϵ model for the outer layer is combined with a low-Reynolds mixing-length model for the bottom layer (Toorman, 2011). Besides semi-empirical damping functions, the k- ϵ model also contains extra terms originating from the extra terms in the momentum equation (eq.3). Since the exact k- ϵ model for suspensions (Elgobashi and Abou-Arab, 1983) cannot be solved, simplified models (e.g. Chauchat and Guillou, 2008) are investigated and new closures are proposed based on the analysis of experimental data in combination with dimensional analysis and theoretical considerations. The model is first evaluated with the simulation of flume experiments for sand suspensions. Next, the model is combined with a non-Newtonian rheological closure for the viscosity of fluid mud (based on Toorman, 1997) to simulate (steady and unsteady) shear flow over a muddy bottom.

References

Chauchat J. and S. Guillou. 2008. On turbulence closures for two-phase sediment-laden flow models. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans (1978-2012): 113(C11).

Elghobashi S.E. and T.W. Abou-Arab. 1983. A two-equation turbulence model for two-phase flows. Phys. Fluids 26:931-938.

Kim I., S. Elghobashi and W.A. Sirignano. 1998. On the equation for spherical-particle motion: effect of Reynolds and acceleration numbers. Journal of Fluid Mechanics 367:221-253.

Patel V.C., W. Rodi and G. Scheuerer. 1985. Turbulence models for near-wall and low-Reynolds number flows: a review. AIAA J. 23(9):1308-1319.

Rodi W. 1991. Experience with two-layer models combining the k-ε model with a one-equation model near the wall. AIAA-91-0216, 29th Aerospace Sciences Meeting, (7-10 January 1991, Reno, Nevada, USA).

Toorman E.A. 1997. Modelling the thixotropic behaviour of dense cohesive sediment suspensions. Rheologica Acta 36(1):56-65.

Toorman E.A. 2002. Modelling of turbulent flow with cohesive sediment. p.155-169. In: Proceedings in Marine Science, Vol.5: Fine Sediment Dynamics in the Marine Environment. Winterwerp J.C. and C. Kranenburg (Eds). Elsevier Science, Amsterdam.

Toorman E.A. 2008. Vertical mixing in the fully-developed turbulent layer of sediment-laden open-channel flow. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering 134(9):1225-1235.

Toorman E.A. 2011. Low-Reynolds modelling of high-concentrated near-bottom suspended sediment transport. IAHR Symposium on Two-phase Modelling for Sediment Dynamics in Geophysical Flows (THESIS-2011, Paris, April 26-28, 2011), Abstract, 4p.

Toorman E.A. and Q. Bi. 2013. Hybrid two-phase/mixture modelling of sediment transport as a tool for large-scale morphological model development. Internal Research Paper, presented at THESIS2013 (Chatou, FR), Hydraulics Laboratory, KU Leuven.