# REPORT OF THE STUDY GROUP ON FISHERIES UNITS IN SUB-AREAS VII AND VIII 

Nantes, France, 3-10 June 1992

This document is a report of a Study Group of the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea and does not necessarily represent the views of the Council. Therefore, it should not be quoted without consultation with the General Secretary.

[^0]
## CONTENTS

1 INTRODUCTION ..... 1
1.1 Terms of Reference ..... 1
1.2 Participation ..... 1
1.3 Overview ..... 1
2 OBJECTIVES AND METHODS ..... 3
2.1 Background ..... 3
2.2 Procedures for data processing ..... 5
2.3 Further needs ..... 7
3 DATA PROCESSING ..... 8
3.1 Hake (Northern stock) ..... 8
3.2 Celtic Sea sole ..... 10
3.3 Bay of Biscay sole ..... 11
3.4 Lophius piscatorius ..... 13
3.5 Lophius budegassa ..... 15
3.6 Megrim ..... 15
3.7 Celtic Sea cod ..... 18
3.8 Celtic Sea whiting ..... 19
3.9 Porcupine Bank Nephrops ..... 20
3.10 Celtic Sea Nephrops ..... 21
3.11 Bay of Biscay Nephrops ..... 23
4 FISHING EFFORT ..... 23
5 GENERAL EVALUATION ..... 24
6 RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 26
7 REFERENCES ..... 27
TABLES 3-5.1 ..... 28
FIGURES 5.1 and 5.2 ..... 53
APPENDIX A. Specification of Data Files ..... 55

### 1.1. Terms of reference

At the 1991 ICES Meeting in La Rochelle, the Council decided (C. Res. 1991/2 : 7 : 22) that a Study Group on Fisheries Units in sub-areas VII and VIII (Chairman Dr. J. Casey, UK) will meet in Nantes, France, from 3-10 June 1992 to :
a) continue the development of fleet-based methods of assessment, with particular emphasis on short and medium-term predictions in transitory phases ; and
b) specify a database format for quarterly fleet-disaggregated data, which will provide the basis for assessments to be undertaken by the Working Group on the Assessment of Southern Shelf Demersal Stocks.

### 1.2. Participants

The following participants attended the meeting :
A. BISEAU
J. CASEY (Chairman)
A. FOREST
R. GUICHET
I. MARTIN
M. MEIXIDE
B. MESNIL
I. PERONNET
V. TRUJILLO
S. WARNES
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### 1.3 Chairman's Overview

The recommendations made in last years' Report of the Working Group on Fisheries Units in sub-areas VII and VIII (Anon., 1991a), have formed the basis for the approach this Study Group has taken. The general rationale for this approach is outlined in Section 2 of this report.

Specifically, the Group has evaluated the database structure defined at last years' meeting, by working through all the procedures necessary to construct the database files. This has involved investigating technical, practical and logistic problems relating to the availability, processing and storage of data. Members were asked to provide seasonal data, by species and fishery unit for their national fleets, for the years 1986-1991 inclusive. These were to be presented to the Group in the file formats given in Appendix B of last years' report. In practice, it proved impossible to produce these data for the whole of the time period specified, which in itself is an important finding, thereby highlighting the immense difficulties in obtaining historic data at the level of disaggregation required when detailed data have not been preserved. Nevertheless, for each species considered, a representative amount of seasonal data was available for at least one year. A decision was made therefore, to process one years' worth of data for each species, in order to evaluate the following:
i. The data available in terms of landings and discards by Country, fishery unit and species. In addition, the Group has tried to highlight missing data, and indicate whether the absence of such information is likely to be a serious omission from the database.
ii. The practical details associated with creation, manipulation and storage of the various files required. The main aim of this excercise was to document the storage space required for the various file types, but it also served to illustrate the practical difficulties in handling large numbers of data files and the time that such an operation demands.

These evaluations are documented on a species by species basis in section 3 of the report. An overall summary is presented in Section 5. In general terms, the creation of all the datafiles in the required format was extremely time-consuming and confirmed the notion previously expressed by this Group, that all information of this type should be prepared well in advance of any future Assessment Working Group meeting. Bearing in mind that even though not all the potentially important data were available, at least four complete days' work were required to produce the final files for only one years' data. This is in addition to the considerable time already spent by Group members before the meeting. Despite the fact that the group had incomplete data sets with which to work, for nearly all species, the excercise carried out has proven to be extremely useful, and has indicated that even with complete data sets, the potential storage problems associated with such a large number of files are unlikely to be prohibitive.

In addition, in Section 5, a fully revised list of fishery units and species is given, in accordance with the recommendations made in last year's Report of the Working

Group on Fisheries Units in Sub-areas VII and VIII (Anon., 1991a). A revised data file specification using the new species and unit definitions is given in Appendix A.

Since no assessment was to be carried out this year, no additional information on fleet activities have been documented in the report. However, since fishing effort will ultimately be considered in any future technical interactions assessments, the Group felt that some comment on quality and availability of fishing effort data was necessary. This is presented in section 4 .

## 2. OBJECTIVES AND METHODS.

### 2.1. Background.

Initially, the Working Group on Fisheries Units in Sub-Areas VII and VIII was created with the objective of carrying out fisheries-oriented evaluations of the regulatory measures applicable to the mixed fisheries in the Celtic Sea and Bay of Biscay. One of its first tasks was to partition the fishing activities on the basis of gear type, area and depth, and to assemble data accordingly.

Since catch at age data were available for a small number of years and species, and are not routinely obtainable for some important species such as hake and Nephrops, the assessments were carried out using a multiple-fleet and multiple-species extension of the length-based Jones' model (Jones, 1981). This was particularly well suited to assessing the effects of changes in legal mesh or landing sizes. However, due to the steady-state assumptions of this model, only the immediate and the long-term effects could be indicated, but not the interim trajectories, although these were deemed to be of great importance for managers.

The next stage was to design and use the hybrid age/length model (Mesnil and Shepherd, 1991). In this model, the population numbers for each stock, and the fishing mortalities for each stock by fishery unit are primarily defined by age, so that they can be estimated by VPA. Furthermore, for each stock, the fishing mortalities at age are partitioned into fishing mortalities at length, using the current catch at length compositions within each age group and fishery unit as the key. In this way, discarding and gear selectivity ogives can be applied to fishing mortalities at length to assess the effects of changes in landing sizes and mesh sizes. The predicted catches for various options of mesh size, landing size and effort in each fishery unit are computed by summing up the contribution of each length class for each age, species and fishery unit.

In order to make use of the database assembled for lengthbased assessments, which consisted of averaged catches at length, these were converted to average age compositions and pseudo-cohort analyses were carried out to estimate the average population numbers and fishing mortalities at age. The simulated management regimes were thus evaluated for average conditions of the stocks and fisheries. If similar evaluations using current estimates of population numbers and fishing mortalities are required, a time series of catches at age and length by unit must be assembled so that conventional VPA can be used to estimate the starting parameters.

One of the main assumptions in the "métier" oriented models currently in use for the assessment of technical interactions, including the length-based and hybrid models, is that whenever effort multipliers are applied to a fishery unit, the reference fishing mortalities at age for all species caught by that unit, are effectively multiplied by the same rate. In many instances, however, the age and species compositions in the catch of a unit significantly differ from one season to another, and fleets in a fishery unit do not distribute their effort evenly throughout the year. Thus, species interactions indicated in annual catch data may in fact take place in some seasons only, and the application of annual effort factors may misrepresent the mixed-fisheries effects and by-catch problems. The assumptions regarding fishing mortality changes across species are more likely to be valid if a seasonal disaggregation of the model's parameters is considered. Since length and age compositions are compiled on a quarterly basis in most countries, the quarter is the most practical unit of time to consider. As a consequence, it is required that databases of quarterly catch data be assembled and maintained.

A seasonal disaggregation would also be advantageous in cases where numerical methods are required to convert length compositions to age compositions. In one way or another, these methods depend on the recognition of modes in the length distributions and these tend to be obscured when annual data are used, due to the growth within the year. This also increases the overlap in the length distributions of adjacent age groups, causing most methods to fail.

Even though software for tuning quarterly VPA's is not yet available, the provision of quarterly catch and effort data may improve the tuning with the current methods. Presently, the tuning data for each fleet include a single effort figure for each year, which is supposed to apply to all ages. In fact, as mentioned previously, fleets may target different fractions of the stocks (eg, spawners vs. juveniles) in different seasons, so that the various age groups are not exposed to the same amount of effort throughout the year. The cpue's obtained by dividing catches at age by a single annual effort figure may thus give biased estimates of population numbers and catchabilities at age. To some extent, tuning might be improved by sub-dividing each fleet's set of cpue data separately by quarter (i.e., treating each fleet/quarter
combination as an independent "fleet"), and taking into account only the most relevant age groups in each quarter.

Altogether, these considerations led to the database specifications given in Appendix B of last year's report of the working Group (Anon., 1991a), and repeated with minor amendments in Appendix $A$ of this report. In summary, the data for each species, country, fishery unit, year and quarter are assembled in four files. File type 1 contains the length compositions of the landings and of the discards and, when relevant (eg, megrim and sole), the sex ratios at length. The landings and discards weights and the corresponding effort in appropriate units are also given in that file, together with the parameters of the length-weight relationship (for SOP checks), the total numbers caught and the mesh size in use in the unit. File type 2 contains the age-length key but may be missing, in which case the ALK for the most similar country, unit or quarter has to be used. File type 3 is derived when the ALK is applied to the length composition data. For the specific requirements of the hybrid model, it contains the catches in number at each length for each age, but the catches and landing ratios at age are also given for use in conventional age assessments. Since assessments of mixedfisheries make more sense when values are considered, landing prices at length are provided in file type 4. To facilitate the manipulation of these files by either specific computer programs or commercial software (editors, spreadsheets or database packages), the length and age ranges in which data must be provided is fixed for each stock.

It remained to be verified, however, whether these specifications were feasible in practice, in terms of number and volume of files, data flow, software, etc. and this has been the primary task of the Study Group during this meeting. It was expected that data for past years back to 1986 would be provided, but this was impossible for many stocks. This is further proof that detailed data should not be aggregated too early, otherwise the cost of recovering them is excessive, and that some anticipation of future data needs is required in order to have operational databases available in good time. However, it should be pointed out that these difficulties of extracting earlier data are a different problem than those we are concerned with in the current evaluation; it is expected that the preservation of disaggregated data in future should not be as problematic if people are now aware that this will be required routinely. Eventually, the feasibility tests were carried out on an experimental basis using 1991 data, with the exception of hake for which age compositions were only obtainable for 1990.

### 2.2 Procedures for data processing.

In principle, the four basic data files should be provided for each stock, fishery unit, country, year and quarter. A first procedure (S78CHK) is used to check the validity and consistency of each data set. It checks in particular, that the codes for species, year and quarter given in the files'
headings are correct, and that the length and age limits are mutually consistent and comply with the standards decided for each stock. It also verifies that the catch numbers are given in thousands and the catch weights in tonnes as recommended. Some exceptions are accepted to allow for missing data: the ALK in file type 2 can be copied from another country, fishery unit or quarter (but not species or year), and the prices in file type 4 can be taken from another unit or quarter, or from another country in which case it is recommended that they are first converted to ECU.

The length composition data and catch weights can be further checked for sum-of-products consistency using the procedure S78SOP. This may also be used to raise the catch-at-length compositions to the catch weights of countries or units for which no sample is available. When the catch data include discards, these are raised independently by the appropriate factor.

Usually, when an ALK is missing in the basic data set, the age and length distribution in file type 3 would also be missing. The procedure S78ALK can be used to generate these data, applying an appropriate ALK. In addition to the calculated ALD, the program computes the catch numbers and landings ratios at age, and also the mean weights at age in the landings and in the discards in case these might be needed.

When the data sets are complete, the next stage is to consolidate the data for all relevant countries in a unit for each stock, year and quarter. This is accomplished by the procedure S78UNIT, the main task of which is to sum the ALD files among countries. However, it also needs to access the individual length composition files in order to calculate weighted average international landing ratios at length. In addition, international length-weight parameters are computed by regression from the series of weighted average mean weights at length; the reason is that different countries may use their own set of parameters. Similarly, the individual price files must be accessed in order to calculate weighted average landing prices at length; the national prices are converted to ECU when this was not done beforehand. If any of these files is missing or still has invalid data or parameters, the country in question is excluded from the sum, and this is recorded in the output file.

Results are written to a type 5 file, starting with a heading section similar in structure to that of the ALD file type 3. The records for each length in the standard range for the stock read as follows: size, catches in number at length from first to last standard age, mean landing ratio, international price, mean weight.

These are followed by:
Catch numbers from first to last age, sum of catches in number;

Landing ratios from first to last age;
Landings weight, discards weight, landings value, total effort (to use if consistent units only);
International factor and exponent of the length-weight
relationship;

A list of all countries included in those sums, with a reminder of the mesh size in use and of the effort figure provided in the first effort record at the bottom of file 1;

A list of countries excluded because of invalid or incompatible data in any of the files.

It can be argued that those results should rather be written to three individual files having the structure and data contents of file types 1, 3 and 4 respectively, with "AA" (for all countries) as country code in their name. This is certainly feasible but difficulties are anticipated if SOP corrections to account for total international catches are applied to the length composition data, for example, without being applied to the ALD file and to the total landed value in file type 4 as well. It would be safer to make such corrections consistently throughout file type 5 with an appropriate program, which is not available at the moment. A minor drawback, however, is that the program S78UNIT has to be run on the basic data set even if a single country is involved in a unit and quarter.

### 2.3 Further needs.

The data flow described above was designed under the assumption that each country might want to preserve the ability to use its own age-length keys, possibly by fishery unit, and would produce specific ALDs which would then be summed up with those of other countries for any given unit. For several species, however, this does not seem to occur in practice. Rather, a single ALK is often used for several units, and some species are poorly sampled for age in some countries or not sampled at all. In addition, quarterly ALKs happen to be based on small sample sizes at some lengths, and ageing material may even be missing for some classes. In that case, a more reliable ALK can be obtained by pooling the national ALKs in the same quarter, preferably on a fishery unit basis if relevant data exist. An alternative procedure would then consist in first summing up the length compositions (without forgetting to also work up the price files), then applying the pooled ALK to generate the international ALD. A practical advantage would be the reduction in the number of files, since national type 3 files (ALD's) would not be required. It is not suggested, however, that this should replace the current procedures, but rather that the choice should be given depending on the circumstances.

In principle, file type 5 data are the basic element constituting the database of quarterly and fishery unit disaggregated catch data by stock. Given the international fishing mortalities at age in $a$ quarter estimated by a quarterly VPA, these can be split by fishery unit for input to age-based technical interaction models and, further, by length and age in a unit, as needed by the hybrid model. The main requirement now would be a software to manage a time series of such files, but the choice of this is not clear yet. One option is to use purpose made programs, but these are inevitably rather tedious to use and more so to write down. Otherwise, there are certainly commercial packages capable of achieving the task efficiently, but it seems unlikely that any single product can be imposed on the various countries and laboratories involved in this project. As far as ICES is concerned, it should be verified whether the data format and volume are compatible with the SAS software adopted by the Secretariat.

Whichever choice is made, procedures will be needed to aggregate the units' data for each quarter, and possibly each year, and for deriving the reference fishing mortalities by unit and length at age for catch predictions on the basis of the data for an appropriate range of years.

With respect to the hybrid model, the software to handle quarterly disaggregated parameters is not yet ready, but this should not be too difficult since the need has been anticipated. Nothing has been done for this meeting with regard to this, because no time series database or proven methods of tuning quarterly VPA are available yet. Also, the memory requirements of a quarterly hybrid model are beyond the capacities of computers currently available to most members of the Group, especially if prices are given by length class rather than age group as of now.

DATA PROCESSING

### 3.1. Hake (Northern stock).

Data available.

Length composition data were available for all quarters of 1990 and 1991 from France in units 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 13, 16 and 17, from Spain in units 1, 4, 12 and 14, and from the UK (England and Wales) in units 3, 5 and 6 (Table 3.1.1). Prices at length by quarter were also available for the same countries and units, except for EW unit 6. In addition, landings weights by quarter were provided by the UK (England
and Wales only) for units 1, 2, 4 and 16 (including Division VIIa and d).

Weights and length compositions of discards are provided by France only, for fishery units 9, 10 and 17 in the Bay of Biscay. The data are estimated by comparing the length compositions sampled on the markets with those obtained during quarterly surveys on the grounds fished by those units, using the same gear type and mesh size as the commercial trawlers. It is assumed that no discarding takes place in Spanish fisheries.

The ALK's for hake must still be set up using numerical methods, preferably that of Kimura and Chikuni (1987), which provides specific ALK's for different years by reference to the length composition of the catch in each year. Since length composition data for a significant part of the catch in 1991 were not available before the meeting, no key could be computed for that year. An iterated annual age-length key derived from the total international catch composition data provided to last year's meeting of the Hake Working Group was computed for 1990. The modal lengths at age used to set up this key are based on revised growth parameters ( $L_{\text {inf }}=117.2$, $\mathrm{K}=0.084, \mathrm{t}_{0}=-0.91$ ) estimated from French quarterly data since 1983, with reference to conventional rather than absolute age. Being the only set which could be converted to age compositions, data for 1990 were used for the present evaluation.

Estimates of quarterly catch weights by country and unit used by the Group are given in Table 3.1.2. The rules for deriving missing data were basically the same as those given in last year's report, keeping in mind that unit 7 is now merged with unit 4 (Table 3.1.3).

For the EW, landings by unit 1 in 1990 were negligible and not taken into account, but it should be noted that they are significant in 1991 and would require the derivation of $a$ complete set of files. Length compositions for EW unit 2 and unit 4 in each quarter were derived from Spanish samples for longliners in unit 1 and for trawlers in unit 4 respectively, in the corresponding quarter. Spanish prices were also used for these units. Prices for EW unit 6 were taken from EW unit 5 in each quarter.

Length compositions of the landings by France in unit 8 are based on samples from French unit 5; no discards estimates are available for unit 8.

Annual official landings by Belgian vessels (assumed to be in unit 6) were available by division in last year's report, but these are relatively small and no attempt was made to partition them by quarter. Last year, Irish length compositions in units 3, 4, 5 and 16 were tentatively derived from EW samples and quarterly proportions of EW landings in the corresponding units, but this was not repeated this year.

The major difficulties were encountered with unit 16 for which the only quarterly data available are French length compositions and catch weights from England and wales (including VIIa and d). A large part of the catch by this unit is taken in Sub-areas IV and VI, and also in recent years in Division IIIa, but relevant data are not available especially with the quarterly resolution required here. Official landings for these areas and Division VIIa are not given in last years'
report and are incomplete in the report of the Hake Working Group. It was thus decided to raise the French unit 16 samples to the quarterly catch figures estimated last year for the whole unit, although these will certainly need to be revised and updated. The French prices at length were used for this unit.

## Practical aspects.

At the lowest level of disaggregation, i.e. by country, unit and quarter, the set of input data used for the present evaluation consisted of 76 length composition files (195.7 kbytes), a single annual ALK file ( 9.4 kbytes) and 76 prices files (178.3 kbytes). Application of the ALK resulted in 76 age/length composition files occupying hardly more than 1 mbyte. As mentioned earlier, these numbers may vary somewhat (eg, inclusion of EW unit 1 in 1991, or provision of quarterly ALKs in future) depending on the year of interest. Obviously, the age/length composition files (type 3) may pose problems for archiving on floppies if more countries provide original data.

Since there are 14 fishery units catching hake, the aggregation of countries' data into units results in 56 type 5 files, with a total size of 913.2 kbytes, which should remain rather stable from year to year.

### 3.2 Celtic Sea Sole

Data available

Data availability for 1991, by quarter and fishery unit, are shown in Table 3.2.1. The landings to which these data were applied are shown in Table 3.2.2. Four fishery units (units $3,4,5,6$ ) prosecuted this fishery in 1991, with sole taken as a by-catch in unit 8.

Quarterly age-length keys were available for England and Wales' landings from Unit 5 and 6 combined. Quarterly length distributions and prices were available for England and Wales' landings from Units 5 and 6. Quarterly prices, all units combined were available for French landings. No data were available for landings by Ireland.

The derivations of length distributions for landings where none were available are shown in Table 3.2.3. Unit 4 length distributions were derived from landings by England and wales Unit 6 vessels fishing in medium to deep water. No attempt was made to derive length distributions and numbers at age for landings from unit 8 ( 50 tonnes).

No discard data were available from any country, so the final fishery unit length and age compositions are based on landings
only. Although no discard data are available for Celtic Sea sole, the Group considers that some fishing mortality is unaccounted for, if landings information only is used. This species is subjected to a TAC involving rigid enforcement of national quota regulations, which may prevent the landing of sole at certain times. Catches taken when such regulations are in force may be discarded at sea or landed illegally. In either case, the absence of data means that some fishing mortality, which at times may be significant, can not be accounted for. In addition, the length composition data available for landings invariably only include fish above the legal minimum landing size, and at present the Group has no information on catches of smaller individuals.

The set of 1991 input data used for the present evaluation consisted of 27 length files (49 kbytes), 27 price files (16 kbytes) and 4 age-length keys (5 kbytes). Applying the agelength keys resulted in 27 age-length distribution files (142 kbytes). Most of the fishing mortality on sole can be accounted for by 4 fishery units which results in 16 unit files (file-type 5), with a total size of 103 kbytes. It is possible that a further significant source of fishing mortality on sole could be unit 8, although in the present evaluation, no account has been taken of this.

It seems that the archiving of basic datafiles and consolidated unit files for Celtic Sea sole is unlikely to be a problem even if in future, data which were missing from the current evaluation are provided.

### 3.3. Biscay sole

Data Available

Data for 1991 catches have been used for the present evaluation. Their availability is shown in Table 3.3.1.

Previously, landings of inshore trawlers, shrimp trawlers and gillnetters for sole were combined in fishery unit 15. In accordance with the decision taken at the last year meeting of the Group, disaggregated data for these métiers were provided for 1991 and they are now included in fishery units 17 (inshore trawlers), 18 (shrimp trawlers) and 19 (gillnetters for sole).

Quarterly catch estimates for 1991 by country and fishery unit as used by the Study Group are given in Table 3.3.2. Some French trawlers have landed their catches to Spain ; these landings have been taken into account in French landings of sole in Fishery unit 10 ; they amount for less than $6 \%$ of the total landings in this unit.

Quarterly length compositions of Biscay sole landings for 1991 were provided by France for fishey units 10, 17, 18 and 19. Landings from unit 11 (Beam trawlers) were not sampled and length compositions for this unit were derived using unit 10 data.

Information on discards based on survey data were available on a quarterly basis for sole caught by French trawlers in 1991 in fishery unit 10, and were also used to derive discard length compositions for units 11 and 17 . This method could lead to an underestimate of discards for unit 17.

Discards in the shrimp fishery (F.U. 18) are not available each year on a quarterly basis. For this fishery unit, all data available from previous years were combined in order to produce an estimate of the catch length compositions by quarter. It is important to note that since 1984, this fishery has decreased and its contribution to the total landings is now less than $1 \%$ in weight. No discarding of sole occured in the gillnet fishery (F.U. 19).

Combined sex length-weight relationships were available for each quarter from sampling for fishery unit 10 .

Quarterly age length key (ALK's) were provided by sex based on samples from fishery unit 10. For each sex, a fixed number of otoliths was sampled at length. Such a sampling scheme permits other studies which involve taking into account differences in life-historics between males and females. However, if these ALK's are directly combined, the resulting ALK will not reflect the actual sex ratio in the catches and therefore will be biaised. In order to construct unbiaised ALK's for combined sexes, the ALK's for each sex were weighted by the sex ratio at length in the quarterly catches from unit 10 .

The same ALK's were used to obtain the length at age distribution (ALD's) in landings and discards for unit 10, 11, 17 and 19. In fishery unit 10, the bulk of the catches consists of very small fish, and age length keys were obtained by modal analysis of the catches distributions using the programme NORMSEP.

Prices at length in ECU were obtained for unit 10, 17, 18 and 19. No Belgian estimate was available and EW prices in fishery unit 6 were applied to unit 11.

Using available software provided by the Group, a database has been created, using 1991 catch estimates, which includes on a quarterly basis by fishery unit and country, length compositions of landings and discards, prices at length, age length keys and length distributions at age. Standard files have been created, consisting of 19 length files (46 kbytes), 19 price files ( 38 kbytes), 8 ALK files ( 24 kbytes). Applying the ALK files to the length distributions gave 19 ALD files (115 kbytes). In total, 19 unit files were created occupying 140 kbytes (Table 5.1).

### 3.4. L. piscatorius

## Data avalable.

Data availability for $L$. piscatorius for 1991 is shown in Table 3.4.1. It is important to remember that, in most fisheries, landings of anglerfish are not separated by species, and landings' weights are derived from length measurements when available

For fishery units 1, 2, 3, 10 and 12, total landings for EW were available only for both species combined. These amounted to 469 tonnes ( 450 from fishery unit 3), and accounted for only $2 \%$ of the total international landings of both species, and have not been included in the data processing.

No landings data from Belgium were available to the Group, but landings of both species combined in 1990, were reported as only 320 tonnes.

No discard data were provided by any country. Although no quantitative estimates are available, discarding is believed to be small in most fisheries, since there is no EC minimum size regulation, and only the smallest individuals are likely to be discarded.

At the time of the meeting, quarterly length distributions of the landings were available as follows:

- France: Units 4, 5, 8, 9, 10 and 14, for 1990 and 1991.
- Spain: Units 4 and 14, from 1988 to 1991.
- EW: Units 5 and 6, from 1988 to 1991.
- Ireland: Unit 4 in 1991.

After considering the data available at the time of the meeting, the Group decided to carry out an evaluation using 1991 data.

Estimated total catches of $L$. piscatorius in 1991 by fishery unit, country and quarter are shown in Table 3.4.2.

Quarterly prices were available for the following fishery units:

- Fishery Unit 4: France and Spain.
- Fishery Unit 5: England + Wales and France
- Fishery Unit 6: England + Wales
- Fishery Units 8, 9 and 10: France
- Fishery Unit 14: Spain and France


#### Abstract

Quarterly age-length keys were only provided by France, principally based on unit 4 samples.

For fishery unit 4, only combined quarterly landings of both species for EW vessels were available. Since most of these landings are directly to Spain, length compositions were derived using Spanish sample data for the same unit. Landings of EW vessels from unit 5 during the first quarter were available as combined landings of both species. Separation of the landings into length distributions by species were derived from French data for the same unit and quarter. Spanish prices were assumed for EW landings from Fishery Unit 4. Irish prices were assumed to be Irish punt equivalents of the EW unit 5 sterling prices, and a conversion factor of $1.46 \mathrm{ECU}=\mathrm{IR} 1$, was used to obtain the equivalent prices in ECU.

Quarterly age-length keys based on French samples were applied to all fisheries units and countries.


## Practical aspects

The total number of files of each type and their size is shown in Table 5.1. In total there are 176 files were created for L. piscatorius, which occupy 1.161 kbytes.

### 3.5. L. budegassa

## Data available.

The availability of data for this species was the same as for L. piscatorius and are shown in Table 3.4.1. and commented on in section 3.4.

Estimated catches by fishery unit are shown in Table 3.5.1. and include only those units for which species separation was possible.

The derivation of length and age compositions was the same as that carried out for $L$. piscatorius and is described in section 3.4.

## Practical aspects.

The total number of files of each type and their size is shown in Table 5.1. In total there are 176 files for $L$. budegassa, which occupy 818 kbytes.

### 3.6. Megrim

## Data available

Data used for this evaluation relate to 1991 catches and are summarised in Tables 3.6.1 and 3.6.2.

Length compositions of landings and discards by quarter for sexes combined were available from :

- France : units 4, 5, 8, 9, 14
- Spain : units 4,14 ((no discarding assumed for units 9 and 14))
- England and wales: unit 6 (landings only)
- Ireland units 4,5 (landings only in unit 4)

Age length keys for sexes combined were available by quarter and country as follows :

- unit 4 for France and Spain
- unit 6 for England and Wales
- unit 14 for Spain

An annual ALK was provided by Ireland, based on unit 5 catches, but was not used.

Landings in weight by quarter were available from England and Wales for units 4 and 5 .

Quarterly prices by fishery unit were available from England, France and Spain.

For French fleets an estimate of fish discarted in the Bay of Biscay and Celtic sea fisheries was carried out in 1991. The aim of this study is to obtain length and age compositions of discards by quarter and fishery unit. Independent data were therefore available for each fishery unit.

For Spanish catches length compositions of discards were available for unit 4, derived from a study carried out in 1988-89. The vessels in unit 14 are assumed to discard no megrim. For Irish catches length compositions of discards were available for unit 5 but no information on the year or the method of sampling. were provided. No information was available on discards for Irish catches in unit 4. For England and Wales no discards information was available for any fishery unit.

The absence of discards information is likely to be a signifiant problem for assessments for this species, especially those involving technical interactions.

Estimates for missing catch length compositions were derived using length compositions of other countries' samples (Table 3.6.3):

- For England and Wales the Spanish length compositions of landings and discards were used to estimate the catch compositions in fishery unit 4 and the French length compositions were used for unit 5.
- The length compositions of Irish discards were not available, for unit 4, and were derived using French data for unit 4. Irish landings prices for all units
were assumed to be the same as those for England and Wales in the corresponding units and quarter.

Data Problems

When it recommended last year, that age-based assessments of megrim might be carried out on sexes combined, the Group worked on the principle that the age-length keys should reflect the sexual dimorphism in growth and the sex-ratios in the population. One problem was overlooked, however, which is related to the sampling methods used in some countries.

In Spain and in England and wales, length compositions are measured by sex but a fixed number of otoliths is taken at each length without preliminary separation by sex (i.e., samples for age are random with respect to sex). In France, however, the whole sampling for length and age compositions is carried out for males and females separately, and each sex's ALK is based on a fixed number of otoliths for each length group. For conventional assessments, such as carried out by the Hake working Group, this procedure is correct since age compositions are first obtained for each sex then summed and used for input into a combined sex VPA. A problem occurs however when we wish to merge the separate ALKs into a single key which can be applied to the summed length compositions of both sexes, since such a key will be biased.

A possible solution to construct an unbiased ALK is to weight the numbers of otoliths for each sex at each length and age by the sex-ratio at that length. A further difficulty with megrim is that the sex-ratios at length differ significantly by area and depth, and therefore by fishery unit. This means that ALKs must be recombined for each unit in accordance with the specific sex-ratios at length in its catches. This is possible in principle but could not be done during this meeting. Instead simce the majority of samples come from unit 4, combined ALKs by quarter were reconstructed on the basis of sex-ratios in that unit and were applied to French data in other units as well.

Whether this procedure should be abandoned or continued remains a difficult question and should be investigated and quantified using actual sample data. A disadvantage of the random sampling procedure is that it should be done on a fishery unit basis, which is more costly than the current procedure. In addition, working on separate sexes appears safer if natural mortality for males is shown to be different to that for females, as assumed in previous assessments made by this Group. This would have some consequences with regard to the current database problem since the size of the megrim data set would be nearly doubled.

There are advantages, however, in having a standard procedure in all countries. It was realised during this meeting that ALKs for some countries may be based on very few otoliths at some lengths. In such cases, it may be preferable to use data from other countries to fill the gaps or, even, to sum up the

ALKs from different countries if they are based on compatible sampling schemes. The latter approach was used to derive international quarterly ALKs based on the Spanish, English and reconstructed French ALKs, which were applied to the length compositions of units 4 and 14 from Spain, and units 4 and 5 from Ireland and the UK.

## Practical aspects

The basic data set resulted in 183 files ( 710 kbytes) for 1991 catches and consisted of 49 length compositions files ( 71.2 kbytes), 12 ALK files ( 20.3 kbytes) and 49 prices files ( 41.2 kbytes). After conversions to age compositions 49 age length compositions files ( 361.4 kbytes) were obtained. The aggregation of additional data into fishery unit results in 24 files occupying 216 kbytes (Table 5.1).

### 3.7 Celtic Sea Cod

Data available

Data availability for 1991, by quarter and fishery unit, are shown in Table 3.7.1. The landings to which these data were applied are shown in Table 3.7.2. Of the seven fishery units (numbers $1,2,3,4,5,6,8$.) that prosecuted this fishery in 1991, four units take cod only as a by-catch.

Quarterly age-length keys, length distributions, and prices were available for French landings from Unit 5 and were applied to landings by French vessels from all units. The same ALK was used to derive age compositions for other countries' landings. Quarterly length distributions and prices were available for England and Wales' landings from units 3, 5 and 6 ; a length distribution was also available for quarter four for unit 4. It should be noted that the majority of landings by England and Wales vessels prosecuting unit 4 are landed outside the UK, resulting in diffficulties in obtaining length measurements.

Spanish landings for cod in unit 4 were provided as gutted weight so a conversion factor of 1.25 was used to give these landings in whole weight. No data were available for landings by Belgium or Ireland.

The derivations of length distributions for landings where none were available are shown in Table 3.7.3.

No discard data were available from any country, so the final length and age compositions by fishery unit are based only on landed catches. The Group considers that the absence of discard information for celtic sea cod is potentially serious. Table 3.7.1 indicates that discarding is likely to be significant in all fishery units which exploit this stock. Even if the level of discarding in individual fishery units is not significant, the combined effect over all units could be a significant source of fishing mortality.

## Practical aspects

The set of input data used for the present evaluation consisted of 40 length files ( 120 kbytes), 40 price files ( 40 kbytes) and 4 age-length keys ( 8 kbytes). Applying the agelength keys resulted in 28 age-length distribution files (380 kbytes). Most of the fishing mortality on cod can be accounted for by 7 fishery units which results in 28 unit files (filetype 5), with a total size of 329 kbytes.

It seems that the archiving of basic datafiles and consolidated unit files for cod is unlikely to be a problem in the future, even if data which were missing from the current evaluation are provided.

### 3.8. Celtic sea whiting

Data available.

The availability of data for 1991 whiting catches by quarter, is outlined in Table 3.8.1 and the quarterly landings as estimated by the Study Group are given in Table 3.8.2. This stock is prosecuted by four main fishery units; units $4,5,6$ and 8, although it is essentially a by-catch species in all units. For some units, for example, for Belgium (unit 6) and Ireland (units 4 and 5), the group has no catch information although significant fishing mortality is believed to be exerted on this species by these fleets. With the exception of French catches in units 5 and 8, no estimates of discards are available. Discarding at sea is likely to significantly contribute to the fishing mortality on this species, particularly for the youngest age groups. In addition, whiting is often taken as an unwanted by-catch in some units, resulting in varying amounts of discards of fish of all sizes.

Although EW quarterly landings data for units 1 and 2 were provided, these were not taken into account in assembling age/length distributions, since no sample data were available and, in these units, landings account for only a small proportion of the overall landings from this stock. In addition, catches by these gears are likely to be restricted
to larger, older fish. Hence relatively few in number will be caught.

Spanish landings for whiting in unit 4 were provided as gutted weight. A conversion factor of 1.13 was used to give these landings whole weight.

Quarterly length compositions were available for French catches (landings and discards) from unit 5, discards only from unit 8, and for EW landings only from unit 5. The derivation of length compositions for other landings by fishery unit and country is given in Table 3.7.3. Discard estimates have only been taken into account for those units for which samples were provided.

Quarterly age length keys were provided based on French landings from unit 5, and discards from units 5 and 8. These were used as indicated in Table 3.8.3.

Prices at length were provided by fishery unit for French and England and Wales landings. Irish and Spanish landing prices were assumed to be the same as those for England and Wales.

## Practical aspects

The set of input data used for the present evaluation consisted of 31 length files ( 62 kbytes), 31 price files ( 22 kbytes) and 12 age-length keys (16 kbytes). Applying the agelength keys resulted in 31 age-length distribution files (195 kbytes). Most of the fishing mortality on whiting can be accounted for by 5 fishery units which results in 20 unit files (file-type 5), with a total size of 160 kbytes.

It seems that the archiving of basic datafiles and consolidated unit files for whiting is unlikely to be a problem even if in future, data which were missing from the current evaluation are provided.

### 3.9. Porcupine bank Nephrops

Data available

Data available for 1991 Nephrops from Porcupine bank are given in Table 3.9 .1 and international landings by quarter as estimated by the Study Group are given in Table 3.9.2. This stock is presented by unit 4 vessels only. This fishery relates to a different functional unit to that used by the Nephrops Working Group. Last year this group decided to abolish fishery unit 7, and include its landings with those of unit 4. With this new definition, this fishery unit is not equivalent to the functional unit used by the Nephrops Working

Group. Landings for the United Kingdom (England and Wales) and Ireland (for the 2nd and 3rd quarter) were provided for sexes combined and were split by sex using the sex ratio of Spanish landings (Table 3.9.2). No discards information were available for this fishery unit. Effort data in days fishing by quarter were submitted by Spain and the United Kingdom.

Quarterly length compositions for 1991 have been estimated for fishery unit 4. Derivation of length compositions for the United Kingdom (England and Wales) and Ireland (2nd and 3rd quarter) were obtained using the Spanish length compositions (Table 3.9.3.).

Since there is no direct method to age Nephrops, age compositions of landings for 1991 from unit 4 were estimated using the cohort slicing using the same Linf. and $k$ used by the Nephrops Working Group. The value of $t_{-0}$ did not appear in last years' report of that working Group, so three different values were tried in order to obtain ranges of lengths at age by quarter for each sex. The growth parameters used were as follows :

```
Females: Linf=60 mm K=0.16 t-- = - 0.7,- 0.9,-1.0
Males: Linf=75 mm K=0.14 t-o = - 0.6,- 0.8,- 0.9
```

Finally $t_{-o}$ values of -0.7 for females and -0.6 for males were adopted because the quarterly age compositions obtained using these parameters, gave an annual age composition similar to that obtained by the Nephrops Working Group (Anon., 1991b). The upper limits of the length intervals (carapace length rounded to the mm below) for each age group, calculated for the end of each quarter are given in Table 3.9.4. The same age-length keys were applied to all landings.

## Practical details

The number and size of files used for 1991 are given in the table 5.1. For each sex, 38 files were created which occupied 95 kbytes of disk space.

### 3.10 Celtic Sea Nephrops

## Data available

The availability of data for 1991 Nephrops catches for the Celtic Sea is outlined in Table 3.10.1. Estimated landings are
given in Table 3.10.2. Weights and length distributions of both landings and discards were available by quarter for 1991 for French catches.

A French discard sampling programme was undertaken in 1991 on commercial vessels. The previous sampling exercise for discards took place in 1985.

The derivation of length and age compositions is given in Table 3.9.3.

Ireland provided only an annual weight for landings (423 tonnes in 1991). In order to take account of these significant landings, it was decided to derive quarterly length distributions for landings and discards by sex, using French sample data, and to apply French prices to them.

EW data were not taken into account since landings were considered insignificant (about 10 tonnes) and were not sampled.

Age distributions for each sex were compiled using von Bertalanffy growth parameters as a basis for carrying out cohort slicing of the length distributions.

The growth parameters used were similar to those for previous years, ie :

```
males Linf = 68 mm, K = 0.12 and t- = = - 0.8
females
    Linf = 49 mm, K = 0.17 and t-0}=-0.
```

The upper limits of the length intervals (carapace length rounded to the mm below) for each age group, calculated for the end of each quarter are given in table 3.10.3.

## Practical aspects

The set of input data used for the present evaluation consisted of 16 length files ( 22 kbytes), 16 price files ( 20 kbytes) and 8 age-length keys ( 8 kbytes). Applying the agelength keys resulted in 16 age-length distribution files ( 76 kbytes). Since this stock is presented by only one fishery unit, the number of unit files is 4 (quarter) $X 2$ (stocks) giving 8 files occupying 44 kbytes (Table 5.1).

### 3.11. Bay of Biscay Nephrops

## Data available

The only country involved in this fishery is France. Weights and length distributions of landings and discards are available by quarter for 1991 (Tables 3.11.1 and 3.11.2).

Discard information was obtained in 1991 using samples from commercial vessels. The previous sampling programme for discards was in 1986.

As for the Celtic Sea Nephrops, age distributions were obtained by cohort slicing of length compositions using the same growth parameters as last year :

Males

Females

$$
\operatorname{Linf}=56 \mathrm{~mm}, \mathrm{k}=0.14, \mathrm{t}_{-\mathrm{o}}=-1.3
$$

It is important to note that the first lengths caught (13 and 14 mm ) correspond to age 0 in quarters 3 and 4, but were allocated to age 1 to conform with the standard age range, specified for this stock. Although catches in these lengths are relatively few, in future the Group recommends that the first age should be set at age 0 , and the plus group at age 9 . This has been included in the new file specifications given in Appendix A.

The upper limits of the length intervals (carapace length rounded to the mm below) for each age group, calculated for the end of each quarter are given in Table 3.11.3.

## Practical aspects

The set of input data used for the present evaluation consisted of 8 length files ( 6 kbytes), 8 price files (12 kbytes) and 8 age length keys ( 8 kbytes). Applying the age length keys resulted in 8 age length distribution files (40 kbytes). A total of 8 unit files were created occupying 48 kbytes of disk space (Table 5.1).

## 4. FISHING EFFORT.

Provision has been made in file type 1 to record estimates of quarterly fishing effort by country and unit. It was recommended that as a priority, effort should be provided as unadjusted days fishing. However, it is possible to express
effort in whichever units of measurement are deemed appropriate, and to provide these in additional records.

The available estimates of unadjusted effort for 1990 and 1991 are summarised in Tables 4.1 and 4.2 respectively. Obviously, these data must be interpreted with caution, and should by no means be used for analyses equating effort with fishing mortalities or catch-per-unit-effort with abundance without a detailed knowledge of the fleets concerned. They do, however, provide useful information on the behaviour of the national fleets, in terms of seasonal variations of activity and of the relative practices of the different "métiers". If such data were also provided by other countries and units having significant activities in the area, they may to some extent, indicate the relative importance andor attraction of the various fishery units for constituent fleets, both nationally and internationally. In other words, for management purposes, the relative importance of the units can be established on the basis of fleet utilization, rather than on their achievements (catch or $F$ ), or on more traditionally-used measures such as number of vessels, number of people concerned etc. Similarly, it will be possible to monitor inter-annual variations of this effort as a time series is built up.

It must be pointed out that the effort of any fishery unit is assumed to apply to all species caught by that unit. This is consistent with the underlying assumptions of the technical interaction models in use, but may be at variance with the approach often used to estimate effort by people working on a species basis (eg, effort recorded when relative catch of the species in a trip or period is above some threshold).

## 5. GENERAL EVALUATION.

The primary aim of the Study Group has been to evaluate the practical aspects of creating, handling and storing the data files required for a seasonally and fleet disaggregated database. Section 2 of this report outlines the approach adopted and the comments relating to each species are given in the relevant sub-sections of Section 3. In practice, the study Group had to deal with seasonal data from a maximum of 19 fishery units and 11 stocks, although not all are caught in all units. Since the species and unit definitions have changed from those dealt with previously, a schematic description of the fishery units currently used is given in Figure 5.1. Figure 5.2 indicates the depth ranges used by the Group in defining the fishery units.

To carry out its evaluations, the Group chose to take one years' worth of data for each species and carry out all the processes necessary to derive the files that will be required for an as yet undefined, database. For all species except hake, for reasons outlined in Section 3.1, the year chosen was 1991. However, data for some fishery units and countries were unavailable. Nevertheless, a large number of files had to be dealt with and the magnitude of this task should not be underestimated. A summary of the total numbers of files created and handled using the compilation software outlined in Section 2, is given in Table 5.1. In total 7486 data files occupying some 7.5 megabytes of disk space were dealt with.

Despite this large number, no serious problems were encountered with regard to managing these files. However, it is important to remember that a single sub-directory accommodates only up to 112 files, with the result that basic datafiles must be stored on separate disks which adds to the general file management problems.

In some cases relatively small unsampled catches of particular species and units are provided by Group members. Since these may amount to only a small proportion of the overall catches, the workload required to produce a full range of files for each set, may not be justifiable. A more practical way to deal with these data would be to raise the type 5 files by the appropriate raising factor, but at present a software to do this does not exist.

It was also noted that price files provided in national currency were of a different size than those which had been converted to ECU using the programme S78CHK. In some cases the size of the latter was some three times that of the former. This is not anticipated to cause any problems with regard to data storage since the size of this file type is relatively small. Similarly, the ALD files created using the programme S78ALK were also larger than the equivalent files created in spreadsheet software and output in CSV format. This difference arises because the S78ALK programe creates ALD files in fixed format, whereas datafields in original CSV files have no surplus blanks. However the Group considers that even for this case, any future storage problems are unlikely to arise.

The majority of these files are created as intermediate steps in compiling age length distribution files (file type 5) which will form the basis of an assessment database. In the current evaluation, 243 type 5 files were created, occupying some 2.7 megabytes of disk space. However, since each of these files contains information which is specific to a single fishery unit, the Group foresees no future problems with regard to their portability on floppy disk. This is despite the fact that the group had to work in this instance with incomplete data. The numbers of type 5 files by species range from 4 for each Nephrops stock, to 56 for hake which occupies some 913 kbytes. Since the number of species specific type 5 files is the product of the number of fishery units $x 4$ (quarters), the number of this file type should remain more or less the same for most species unless there are marked changes in fishing practices. However the overall size of the files is not considered to be critical since the database is likely to be distributed separately by stock, as is the current practice within ICES.

For Nephrops, artificial ALK's had to be created using cohort slicing, which relies on estimates of von Bertalanffy growth parameters to set up ranges of length at age. The group referred to the 1991 Report of the Nephrops Working Group (Anon, 1991b) to obtain growth parameter for each stock but could find no reference to values of $t-o$ for the Porcupine bank stock, and this had to be estimated by trial and error (see Section 3-9). As a general reminder, when using cohort slicing, the limits of the distribution of length at age should be estimated for the middle of the time period that the data relate to, in this case, the middle for each quarter. However, more critical is on which definition of age (age group or true age), the growth parameters are based.

Furthermore, for sole and megrim, for which the Group thought it desirable to maintain the possibility of carrying out
separate assessments by sex, unforeseen problems were encountered in creating combined sex age-length keys. These related to the way in which sampling for otoliths was carried out and are fully discussed in section 3.6 (Problems with data). In future, it is important to take into account, and may be prudent to reconsider, the age sampling strategy for species which have significantly different growth rates, particularly when the sexes are subject to different rates of exploitation by different fleets in different sea areas.

One further point of note is that in order to carry out assessments and predictions involving technical interactions between species and fleets, data on discards is essential. Since there is a dearth of discard data for many species and fleets, the validity of the database may be questionned with regard to these species and fleets for which discarding is considered to be significant and where data are presently unavailable.

In summary, the evaluation excersise has been extremely informative. Basically, there were no unforseen problems that could not be solved at the meeting except with regard to the difficulties of extracting historic data in a seasonally disaggregated format. In future, the creation of the seasonally disaggregated unit files should pose no major problems, provided that national laboratories maintain their fishery related catch data routinely at the level of disaggregation required ie. quarterly. However, a suitable database software for archiving such data must still be specified, but it is not immediately apparent which of the commercially available packages would be most suitable, or whether a specific programme should be written. If the latter course is chosen, it must be borne in mind that someone will have to write the necessary software; a task that is by no means trivial. It would seem more appropriate to use a commercially avalable package, but it is unlikely that any single product could be imposed on the participating laboratories. In this respect, the ICES Secretariat should indicate whether the datafiles are compatible with the SAS software, which is now available at ICES headquarters.

## 6. RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The Study Group has successfully evaluated the practical aspects relating to the database structure and associated software it has at its disposal, and this structure is now ready to be handed over to the Working Group on the Assessment of Southern Shelf Demersal Stocks. However, at present no time series of international data is available at the seasonally disaggregated level required. This is primarily due to the time and effort involved in extracting historic data in this form. Being realistic, the cost involved in extracting such data may be prohibitive for some laboratories. However, for some species and fishery units national data are already preserved in the required format. The Group therefore recommends that future data should be maintained at the quarterly level of disaggragation required, and be routinely provided to the Working Group on the Assessment of Southern

Shelf Demersal Stocks, together with all available historic data. If this is done, a useful database could be available in a few years from now. For this to happen however, national laboratories must make a committment to provide all available relavant data to the Working Group for at least 1991 onwards.
2. Although the database structure has been tested and evaluated, a software to manage a time series of files is still required. The Group therefore wishes to establish whether a commercially available package or a purpose build software would be preferable. This problem could be solved if the file types are compabible with the SAS software now available at ICES headquarter. The Group therefore seeks advice as to whether this is the case, and asks that the
current Chairman of the Southern Shelf Demersal Working Group be informed accordingly.
3. For every species dealt with by this Study Group, discard data are not routinely available or are entirely missing. This is considered to be a serious deficiency. The Group wishes to reiterate that if, as intended, the database is to be used for carrying out assessments of technical interactions between species and fleets in mixed fisheries, it is imperative that discard data are provided for all species and fishery units where discarding is thought to be significant.
4. The Study Group considers that it has satisfactorily addressed its Terms of Reference and foresees no reason to recommend a further meeting in the immediate future. The database structure and associated procedures have been defined, tested and evaluated and should now be handed over to the Working Group on the Assessment of Southern Shelf Demersal Stocks.
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## Table 3. Key to symbols for data availability tables

| ** | Landings' and discard's weights and associated length distributions |
| :---: | :---: |
| *- | Landings' weights and associated length distributions only. No discard information. |
| * | Landings' weights and associated length distributions only. No significant discarding is believed to occur. |
| O- | Landings' weights only |
| 0 | Landings' weights only. No significant discarding is believed to occur. |
| -- | No catch information but catches believed to significantly contribute to the fishing mortality on this species |
| no symbol | no significant fishing mortality believed to be generated by this unit and country. |


| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | * | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{o} \\ & \circ \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | * | -- -- -- |  | *- *- *- *- |  |
| 4 | 1 2 3 4 |  | * | -- -- -- | ** | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | * | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-- } \\ & \text {-- } \\ & \text {-- } \end{aligned}$ |  | *- $*-$ $*-$ $*-$ |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | . |  | * |  |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ** } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { ** } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ** } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { ** } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | * |  |  |
| 13 | 1 2 3 4 |  | * |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  | * |  |  |
| 15 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | * | -- -- -- |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ | - - - |
| 17 | 1 2 3 4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \star * \\ & * * \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { ** } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age leng key | $\begin{array}{rl} & 1 \\ h & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4\end{array}$ |  | (1) |  |  |  |  |

(1) Annual age length key derived by the numerical method of Chikuni and Kimura (1989) using the international catch length composition for 1990.

Key to symbols : see Table 3
Table 3.1.2 Estimated quarterly Catches (tonnes) of Hake by Country and Fishery Unit for 1990


| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | EW | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1160 |  |  | 1160 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  | 2651 |  |  | 2651 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  | 2382 |  |  | 2382 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  | 2062 |  |  | 2062 |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 17 |  | 17 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 294 |  | 294 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 127 |  | 127 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 89 |  | 89 |
| 3 | 1 |  | 15 |  |  | 137 |  | 152 |
|  | 2 |  | 3 |  |  | 282 |  | 285 |
|  | 3 |  | 7 |  |  | 434 |  | 447 |
|  | 4 |  | 15 |  |  | 160 |  | 175 |
| 4 | 1 |  | 68 |  | 2084 | 53 |  | 2205 |
|  | 2 |  | 220 |  | 1776 | 111 |  | 2107 |
|  | 3 |  | 217 |  | 1464 | 181 |  | 1862 |
|  | 4 |  | 107 |  | 1344 | 229 |  | 1680 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 168 |  |  | 23 |  | 191 |
|  | 2 |  | 352 |  |  | 50 |  | 402 |
|  | 3 |  | 386 |  |  | 51 |  | 437 |
|  | 4 |  | 249 |  |  | 60 |  | 309 |
| 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 12 |  | 12 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 22 |  | 22 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 27 |  | 27 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 23 |  | 23 |
| 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | 2 |  | 89 |  |  |  |  | 89 |
|  | 3 |  | 136 |  |  |  |  | 136 |
|  | 4 |  | 81 |  |  |  |  | 81 |
| 9 | 1 |  | 394 |  |  |  |  | 394 |
|  | 2 |  | 1453 |  |  |  |  | 1453 |
|  | 3 |  | 953 |  |  |  |  | 953 |
|  | 4 |  | 839 |  |  |  |  | 839 |
| 10 | 1 |  | 1608 |  |  |  |  | 1608 |
|  | 2 |  | 2409 |  |  |  |  | 2409 |
|  | 3 |  | 1825 |  |  |  |  | 1825 |
|  | 4 |  | 2374 |  |  |  |  | 2374 |

TABLE 3.1.3. Derivation of quarterly length compositions for hake by country and fishery unit for 1990.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SP1.Q1. } 90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SP1.Q1. } 90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR } 3.01 .90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UK } 3 . Q 1.90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 4 | 1 2 3 4 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR4. } 01.90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5 R 4.01 .90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SP4.Q1. } 90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 5 | 1 2 3 4 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR5.01. } 90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\because$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UK5.Q1. } 90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { UK6.Q1. } 90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FRS. } 01.90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR9.Q1. } 90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR } 10 . Q 1.90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SP12.Q1. } 90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 13 | 1 2 3 4 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR } 13 . Q 1.90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SP14.Q1.90 } \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR16.Q1.90 } \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R} 16 . \mathrm{Q1} .90 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| 17 | 1 2 3 4 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR17.Q1.90 } \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age length } \\ & \text { key } \end{aligned}$ | 1 2 3 4 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |

1 : Age length key derived by the iterated method of Chikuni and Kimura (1987) using the 1990 international catch length composition,

TABLE 3.2.1. Quarterly data available for Celtic Sea sole by country and fishery unit for 1991

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quart |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 4 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ | -- -- -- |  | *- *- *- *- |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  | -- -- -- |  | *- *- *- *- |  |
| 8 | 1 2 3 4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { length } \\ & \text { key } \end{aligned}$ | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  | * |  |

Key to symbols : see Table 3.

Table 3.2.2 Estimated quarterly landings of Celtic sea Sole (tonnes) by Country and Fishery Unit for 1991.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | EW | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  | 6 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | , |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
| 4 | 1 |  | 19 |  |  | 0 |  | 19 |
|  | 2 |  | 8 |  |  | + |  | 8 |
|  | 3 |  | 10 |  |  | 1 |  | 11 |
|  | 4 |  | 23 |  |  | 10 |  | 33 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 51 |  |  | 16 |  | 67 |
|  | 2 |  | 54. |  |  | 14 |  | 67 |
|  | 3 |  | 23 |  |  | 27 |  | 50 |
|  | 4 |  | 58 |  |  | 22 |  | 80 |
| 6 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  | 356 |  | 362 |
|  | 2 | 44 |  |  |  | 182 |  | 227 |
|  | 3 | 23 |  |  |  | 187 |  | 210 |
|  | 4 | 169 |  |  |  | 241 |  | 410 |
| 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 242 | 245 |  |  | 1071 |  | 1558 |

$+=$ Less than 1 tomne

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 2 3 3 4 |  | $* *$ $* *$ $* *$ $* *$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 1 2 3 3 4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { o- } \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1 2 3 3 4 |  | $*-$ $*-$ $*-$ $*-$ |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1 2 3 4 4 |  | $*-$ $*-$ $*-$ $*-$ |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1 2 3 3 4 |  | * |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age leng } \\ \text { key } \end{gathered}$ | ¢h $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 4\end{aligned}$ |  | $* *$ $* *$ $* *$ $* *$ |  |  |  |  |

Key to symbols : see Table 3.
TABLE 3.2.3. Derivation of quarterly length compositions for Celtic Sea sole
for 1999

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Landings Discards |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mid \text { UR. } 06.91 \\ & -(1) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 4 | Landings Discards |  |  |  | - | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { UK. } 06.91 \\ -(1) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 5 | Landings Discards |  | UK. 06.91 | - |  | UK. 05.91 - |  |
| 6 | Landings biscards | UK.06.91 |  |  |  | UK. 06.91 - |  |
| Age $\frac{7}{\text { Tength }}$ | Landings Discards | \|UK.06.91 | UK.06.91 | - | - | UK.6+6.91 | - |

[^1]Table 3.3.2 Estimated quarterly Catches of Biscay sole (tonnes) by Country and Fishery Unit for 1991.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | EW | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 |  | 847 |  |  |  |  | 847 |
|  | 2 |  | 375 |  |  |  |  | 375 |
|  | 3 |  | 448 |  |  |  |  | 448 |
|  | 4 |  | 703 |  |  |  |  | 703 |
| 11 | 1 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
|  | 2 | 144 |  |  |  |  |  | 144 |
|  | 3 | 303 |  |  |  |  |  | 303 |
|  | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1 |  | 174 |  |  |  |  | 174 |
|  | 2 |  | 157 |  |  |  |  | 157 |
|  | 3 |  | 234 |  |  |  |  | 234 |
|  | 4 |  | 195 |  |  |  |  | 195 |
| 18 | 1 |  | 27 |  |  |  |  | 27 |
|  | 2 |  | 62 |  |  |  |  | 62 |
|  | 3 |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | 14 |
|  | 4 |  | 19 |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| 19 | 1 |  | 1304 |  |  |  |  | 1304 |
|  | 2 |  | 458 |  |  |  |  | 458 |
|  | 3 |  | 313 |  |  |  |  | 313 |
|  | 4 |  | 164 |  |  |  |  | 164 |
| Total |  | 465 | 5494 |  |  |  |  | 5959 |

TABLE 3.4.1. Quarterly Data Available for Lophius piscatorius and Lophius

| Coun Unit | Quarte | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 2 3 4 | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  | (1) |  |
| 4 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#- } \\ & \text { *- } \\ & \text { *- } \end{aligned}$ | -- -- -- |  |
| 5 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  | -- |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -- \\ & \text { =- } \\ & -= \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 2 3 4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { *- }^{*} \\ & { }^{*}-- \\ & { }^{\prime}- \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  | *- |  |  |
| 15 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age length key | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1) Landings avallable only as total weight of both species combled. They account for only $2 \%$ of total landings of both species.

Key to symbols : see Table 3

| 9L9EL |  | 8861 | Sع0\％ | 2101 | 1699 |  |  | ［870L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | 61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 万 | 81 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | L． |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | 91 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | SL |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| $59 \varepsilon$ |  |  | L6 |  | 2LC |  | $\bar{\square}$ | b |
| O0¢ |  |  | 51 |  | 5 S22 |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| LLC |  |  | ち2L |  | くすし |  | 2 |  |
| 1とす |  |  | 902 |  | ऽこて |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | $\varepsilon L$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | てし |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | し |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 127xen8 | 7 Tun |
| ［270］ | Sエ2470 | ME | uteds | puerax | 20urig | umtórəa |  | 17unos |


| $\square \square$ |  |  |  |  | 150 |  | † | 01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 |  |  |  |  | 29 |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| 05 |  |  |  |  | 05 |  | ح |  |
| 66 |  |  |  |  | 66 |  | L |  |
| Es |  |  |  |  | E¢ |  | ¢ | 6 |
| $\varepsilon L$ |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon L$ |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| 2しL |  |  |  |  | 2！ |  | 2 |  |
| 511 |  |  |  |  | Sll |  | L |  |
| 791 |  |  |  |  | ゅ9 |  | V | 8 |
| $80 \varepsilon$ |  |  |  |  | $80 \varepsilon$ |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| 2हE |  |  |  |  | 乙દ乏 |  | 2 |  |
| 122 |  |  |  |  | เてZ |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ | $L$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\overline{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 582 |  | 582 |  |  |  |  | 万 | 9 |
| 052 |  | 092 |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| ¢62 |  | ¢62 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| 192 |  | 192 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| OLb |  | $\varepsilon 9$ |  |  | LOL |  | 万 | $\varsigma$ |
| 2¢し |  | ร8 |  |  | 67 |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| 26 |  | S7 |  |  | L7 |  | ？ |  |
| 98 |  | O2 |  |  | 99 |  | 1 |  |
| 2561 |  | 971 | 5¢9 | 1\％92 | 888 |  | $\square$ | ■ |
| 7672 |  | 961 | 126 | 8与ह | 6 LOL |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| LSLZ |  | 912 | Sع21 | $9 \varepsilon \%$ | OLOL |  | 乙 |  |
| 6581 |  | 621 | E．2L | †らL | E68 |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\varepsilon$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\square$ | $\downarrow$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Z |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1271808 | 7 Tun |
| Te704 | si2y 70 | MIT | utceds | puetax | asuexa | unt5tag |  | Kizunos |



Table 3.5.1. Estimated quarterly catches of Lophius budegassa (tonnes) by Country and Fishery Unit for 1991.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | EW | Others | Total | Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | EW | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 |  | 460 | 146 | 370 | 66 |  | 1042 | 14 | 1 |  | 270 |  | 249 |  |  | 519 |
|  | 2 |  | 552 | 201 | 729 | 127 |  | 1609 |  | 2 |  | 264 |  | 249 |  |  | 513 |
|  | 3 |  | 445 | 254 | 593 | 127 |  | 1419 |  | 3 |  | 208 |  | 127 |  |  | 335 |
|  | 4 |  | 376 | 257 | 396 | 88 |  | 1117 |  | 4 |  | 193 |  | 148 |  |  | 341 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 7 |  |  | 2 |  | 9 | 15 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 17 |  |  | 2 |  | 19 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 7 |  |  | 12 |  | 19 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | 6 |  |  | 7 |  | 13 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 68 |  | 68 | 16 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 88 |  | 88 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 129 |  | 129 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 96 |  | 96 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1 |  | 142 |  |  |  |  | 142 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 312 |  |  |  |  | 312 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 119 |  |  |  |  | 119 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | 117 |  |  |  |  | 117 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 |  | 157 |  |  |  |  | 157 | 19 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | 158 |  |  |  |  | 158 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 150 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | 110 |  |  |  |  | 110 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 |  | 6 |  |  |  |  | 6 | Total |  |  | 4266 | 858 | 2861 | 812 |  | 8797 |
|  | 2 |  | 85 |  |  |  |  | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | 49 |  |  |  |  | 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | 56 |  |  |  |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \star \star \\ & \star \star \\ & \star \star \\ & \star \star \end{aligned}$ | * - <br> * <br> *- <br> *- | ** | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ** } \\ & \text { * } \\ & \text { * } \\ & \text { * } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ** } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { ** } \\ & \text { * } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | -- -- -- |  | -- -- -- |  | *- *- *- *- |  |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \star * \\ & \star \star \\ & \star \star \\ & \star \star \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | * |  | * |  |  |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age leng } \\ & \text { key } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}  & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \star * \\ & * * \\ & \star * \\ & \star \star \end{aligned}$ | Annual <br> ALK <br> only | ** |  |  |

Key to symbols : see Table 3,

| 6L6L． |  | LELL | LLS¢ | E6L2 | 8L86 |  |  | ［P70L |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{+}$ | 61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | z |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ | 81 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ？ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\angle 1$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ？ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | i | $9 \downarrow$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ？ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ | Sb |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ？ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 9ヵ1 |  |  | ह9 |  | ह8 |  | ¢ | － |
| 万Cl |  |  | 801 |  | 99 |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| เहर |  |  | 9 Ll |  | $5 ¢$ |  |  |  |
| 8LE |  |  | Loz |  | ILL |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ¢ | $\varepsilon \downarrow$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{ }{\square}$ | $2 \downarrow$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， | い |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2өaxen8 | 7 Tun |
| T870¢ | sヌ2ч70 | M ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | uteds | риетал | әつuexa | untéteg |  | xizunos |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 万 | 01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 001 |  |  |  |  | OOL |  | ， | 6 |
| LOL |  |  |  |  | LOL |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| OL |  |  |  |  | 02 |  | $\overline{\text { \％}}$ |  |
| 09 |  |  |  |  | 09 |  | 1 |  |
| てヵし |  |  |  |  | てもし |  | $\square$ | 8 |
| 8 8¢ |  |  |  |  | 8عદ |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| 25\％ |  |  |  |  | 2¢弓 |  | 2 |  |
| 70L |  |  |  |  | 50L |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | F | $L$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| $\angle L Z$ |  | LLC |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |
| $\varepsilon \square \varepsilon$ |  | Eも |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| 0ャて |  | ○もて |  |  |  |  | ح |  |
| 961 |  | 961 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 2101 |  | tb |  | ¢29 | $\square \triangleright \varepsilon$ |  | \％ | $\varsigma$ |
| \＃E6 |  | L1． |  | 029 | 861 |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| 118 |  | 05 |  | 169 | 06 |  | 2 |  |
| $8 \angle 5$ |  | $L$ |  | 827 | Eちし |  | 1 |  |
| 1．946 |  | 65 | E921 | カロレ | 508 |  | 左 | \％ |
| ¢582 |  | LEL | 3512 | 82. | 8ても |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
| L198 |  | 68. | 6ع82 | 60. | 与हร |  | 2 |  |
| 60ャて |  | 56 | LSLl | 69 | 88\％ |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \％ | $\varepsilon$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 万 | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\varepsilon$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ， |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 727xen8 | 7 Tun |
| ［e70¢ | s12470 | M | utceds | purtail | 2suexa | untbreg |  | Kizunos |

TABLE 3.6.3. Derivation of quarterly length compositions for megrim for 1991

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | Landings Discards |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR. } 04.91 \\ & \text { FR. } 04.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR. } 04.91 \\ & \text { SP. } 04.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { SP. } 04.91 \\ \text { SP. } 04.91 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SP. } 04.91 \\ & \text { SP. } 04.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5 | Landings <br> Discards |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR. } 05.91 \\ & \text { FR. } 05.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IR. } 05.91 \\ & \text { IR. } 05.91 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR. } 05.91 \\ & \text { FR. } 05.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 6 | Landings Discards |  |  |  |  | UK.06.91 |  |
| 8 | Landings Piscards |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR. } 08.91 \\ & \text { FR. } 08.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | Landings Discards |  | FR.09.91 |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | Landings <br> piscards |  | FR. 14.91 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SP. } 14.91 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Age <br> length <br> key | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Landings } \\ & \text { piscards } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR. } 04.91 \\ & \text { FR. } 20.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AA. } 20.91 \\ & \text { AA. } 20.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AA. } 20.91 \\ & \text { AA. } 20.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AA. } 20.91 \\ & \text { AA. } 20.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |

TABLE 3.7.1. Quarterly data available for Celtic Sea cod by Country and fishery unit for 1991

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & -- \\ & -- \\ & -- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  | *- *- *- *- |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-- } \\ & \text {-- } \end{aligned}$ | (1) $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0-\end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & \text { *- } \end{aligned}$ | -- -- -- |
| 5 | 1 2 3 4 |  | *- $*-$ $*-$ $*-$ | -- -- -- |  | *- *- *- *- |  |
| 6 | 1 2 3 4 | -- - -- |  | -- <br> -- <br> - |  | *- *- *- |  |
| 8 | 1 2 3 3 4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age } \\ \text { length } \\ \text { key } \end{gathered}$ | 1 2 3 4 |  | *- |  |  |  |  |

(1)

Landings provided as gutted weight. A conversion factor of 1.25 x gutted weight was used to derive whole weight

Key to symbols : see Table 3.

Table 3.7.2 Estimated quarterly landings of Celtic sea cod (tonnes) by Country and Fishery Unit for 1991.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | EW | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | + |  | + |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | 4 |
| 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 2 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | + |  | + |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | + |  | + |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 3 |
| 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 137 |  | 137 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 73 |  | 73 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 58 |  | 58 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 38 |  | 38 |
| 4 | 1 |  | 79 |  | 19 | 9 |  | 107 |
|  | 2 |  | 285 |  | 39 | 40 |  | 364 |
|  | 3 |  | 133 |  | 21 | 32 |  | 186 |
|  | 4 |  | 128 |  | 4 | 32 |  | 164 |
| 5 | 1 |  | 1420 |  |  | 163 |  | 1583 |
|  | 2 |  | 585 |  |  | 63 |  | 648 |
|  | 3 |  | 578 |  |  | 88 |  | 666 |
|  | 4 |  | 738 |  |  | 118 |  | 856 |
| 6 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 115 |  | 115 |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 39 |  | 39 |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  | 42 |  | 42 |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 66 |  | 66 |
| 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1 |  | 151 |  |  |  |  | 151 |
|  | 2 |  | 507 |  |  |  |  | 507 |
|  | 3 |  | 359 |  |  |  |  | 359 |
|  | 4 |  | 99 |  |  |  |  | 99 |
| Total |  |  | 5062 |  | 83 | 1126 |  | 6271 |

+ = Less than 1 tonne

TABLE 3.7.3. Derivation of quarterly length compositions for Celtic Sea cod for 1991

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Landings Discards |  |  |  |  | UK. 3.91 - |  |
| 2 | Landings Discards |  |  |  |  | UK.3.91 |  |
| 3 | Landings Discards |  |  |  |  | UK. 3.91 - |  |
| 4 | Landings Discards |  | FR. 5.91 - | - | K. $\begin{gathered}\text { K.91 } \\ -\end{gathered}$ | Uk. $5.91{ }^{(1)}$ | - |
| 5 | mandings Discards |  | FR. 5.91 - | - |  | UK.5.91 |  |
| 6 | Landings Discards |  |  |  |  | UK.6.91 |  |
| 8 | Landings Discards |  | $\text { FR. } 5.91$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { Iength } \\ & \text { Key } \end{aligned}$ | Landings piscards |  | $\text { FR. } 5.91$ |  | $\text { FR. } 5.91$ | $\text { FR. } 5.91$ |  |

(1) Quarter 4 length distributions derived using sampled landings from EW unit 4.
TABLE 3.8.1. Quarterly data available for Celtic Sea whiting by country and
fishery unit for 1991

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 2 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ | -- -- -- | (1) $0-$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ | -- -- -- |
| 5 | 1 2 3 4 |  | ** $* *$ *** ** | -- -- -- |  | *- $*-$ $*-$ $*-$ |  |
| 6 | 1 2 3 4 | -- -- -- |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \\ & 0- \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 8 | 1 2 3 4 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}^{*} \\ & \mathrm{O}^{*} \\ & \mathrm{O}^{*} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Age leng } \\ \text { key } \end{gathered}$ | 1 2 3 4 |  | ** ** ** ** |  |  |  |  |

Landings provided as gutted weight. A conversion factor of $1.13 \times$ gutted
weight was used to derive whole weight.
Key to symbols : see Table 3.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 |  |  | O- | *- | O- | -- |
|  | 2 |  |  | -- | *- | O- | -- |
|  | 3 |  |  | -- | *- | -- | -- |
|  | 4 |  |  | -- | *- | O- | -- |
| Age length key | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3.8.3. Derivation of quarterly length compositions for Celtic Sea
whiting for 1991

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Landings Discards |  |  |  |  | UK.5.91 |  |
| 4 | Landings <br> Discards |  | FR. 5.91 - | - | UK.5.91 - | UK.5.91 - | $-$ |
| 5 | tandings Discards |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR.5.91 } \\ & \text { FR. } 5.91 \end{aligned}$ | - |  | Uk.5.91 |  |
| 6 | Landings Discards |  |  |  |  | UK. 5.91 - |  |
| 8 | Landings Discards |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR. } 5.91 \\ & \text { FR. } 8.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Age } \\ & \text { Agength } \\ & \text { key } \end{aligned}$ | Landings <br> Discards |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { FR. } 5.91 \\ \text { FR. } 5+8.91 \end{gathered}$ |  | FR. 5.91 - | FR. 5.91 - |  |

Key to symbols : see Table 3

Table 3.9.2 Estimated quarterly landings of Porcupine bank nephrops (tonnes) by Country and Fishery Unit for 1991.


TABLE 3.9.3. Derivation of quarterly length compositions for the the three Nephrops stocks in 1991

| Country |  |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit |  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock |  | Landings |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SP. } 04.91 \\ -(1) \end{gathered}$ | $\text { SP. } 04.91$ | $\begin{gathered} S P .04 .91- \\ - \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Porc. <br> Bank | 8 | Landings Discards |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR. } 08.91 \\ & \text { FR. } 08.91 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR. } 08.91 \\ & \text { FR. } 08.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Celt. Sea | 9 | Landings Discards |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR. } 09.91 \\ & \text { FR. } 09.91 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Bisc. | A L K | Landings Discards |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Obtained } \\ & \text { blicing } \end{aligned}$ | Obtained by slicing | $\begin{gathered} \text { Obtained } \\ \text { by } \\ \text { slicing } \end{gathered}$ | Obtained slicing |  |

(1) No landings for the 1 st and 4 th quarters

TABLE 3.9.4. Upper limits of the length intervals of each age group for Porcupine Bank Nephrops, calculated for the end of each quarter.

Males

| Age | Quarter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 17 | 19 | 21 | 22 |
| 2 | 24 | 26 | 28 | 29 |
| 3 | 31 | 32 | 34 | 35 |
| 4 | 36 | 38 | 39 | 40 |
| 5 | 41 | 43 | 44 | 45 |
| 6 | 46 | 47 | 48 | 49 |
| 7 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 52 |
| 8 | 53 | 54 | 54 | 55 |
| 9 | 56 | 56 | 57 | 57 |
| 10 | 58 | 59 | 59 | 60 |

Females

| Age | Quarter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 21 |
| 2 | 22 | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| 3 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| 4 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 |
| 5 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 |
| 6 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 42 |
| 7 | 43 | 43 | 44 | 45 |
| 8 | 45 | 46 | 46 | 47 |
| 9 | 47 | 48 | 48 | 49 |
| 10 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

TABLE 3.10.1. Quarterly data available for Nephrops in the Celtic Sea by country and fishery unit for 1991

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 1 |  | ** | -- (1) |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | ** | -- |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | ** | -- |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | ** | -- |  |  |  |
| Age | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| length | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| key | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

(1) Only annual landings weight available for the Irish fleet.

Key to symbols : see Table 3

Table 3.10.2 Estimated quarterly landings of Celtic sea nephrops (tonnes) by Country and Fishery Unit for 1991.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | EW | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males | 1 |  | 676 | 105 |  |  |  | 781 |
| 8 | 2 |  | 1380 | 215 |  |  |  | 1595 |
|  | 3 |  | 802 | 125 |  |  |  | 927 |
|  | 4 |  | 606 | 94 |  |  |  | 700 |
| 8 Females | 1 |  | 66 | 10 |  |  |  | 76 |
|  | 2 |  | 851 | 132 |  |  |  | 983 |
|  | 3 |  | 251 | 39 |  |  |  | 290 |
|  | 4 |  | 34 | 5 |  |  |  | 39 |
| 8 Sexes $\begin{gathered}\text { Combined }\end{gathered}$ | 1 |  | 742 | 115 |  |  |  | 857 |
|  | 2 |  | 2231 | 347 |  |  |  | 2578 |
|  | 3 |  | 1053 | 164 |  |  |  | 1217 |
|  | 4 |  | 640 | 99 |  |  |  | 739 |
| Total |  |  | 4666 | 725 |  |  |  | 5391 |

TABLE 3.10.3. Upper limits of the length intervals (carapace length, rounded to the mm below) for each age group of Celtic Sea Nephrops, calculated for the end of each quarter.

Males

| Age | Quarter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 19 |
| 2 | 20 | 22 | 23 | 24 |
| 3 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 4 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 34 |
| 5 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 37 |
| 6 | 42 | 39 | 40 | 41 |
| 7 | 45 | 45 | 43 | 44 |
| 9 | 47 | 48 | 46 | 47 |
| 10 | 49 | 50 | 50 | 49 |
|  |  |  |  | 51 |

Females

| Age | Quarter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 19 |
| 2 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
| 3 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 |
| 4 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
| 5 | 31 | 32 | 33 | 33 |
| 6 | 34 | 35 | 35 | 36 |
| 7 | 38 | 30 | 39 | 37 |
| 9 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 38 |
| 10 |  | 41 | 42 | 42 |
|  |  |  |  | 39 |

TABLE 3.11.1. Quarterly data available for Nephrops in the Bay of Biscay by country and fishery unit for 1991

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | UK | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 |  | ** |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  | ** |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  | ** |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  | ** |  |  |  |  |
| Age | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| length | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| key | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Key to symbols : see Table 3

Table 3.11.2 Estimated quarterly landings of Biscay nephrops (tonnes) by Country and Fishery Unit for 1991.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | EW | Others | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 1 |  | 557 |  |  |  |  | 557 |
|  | 2 |  | 1578 |  |  |  |  | 1578 |
|  | 3 |  | 1173 |  |  |  |  | 1173 |
|  | 4 |  | 495 |  |  |  |  | 495 |
| 9 Females | 1 |  | 267 |  |  |  |  | 267 |
|  | 2 |  | 956 |  |  |  |  | 956 |
|  | 3 |  | 623 |  |  |  |  | 623 |
|  | 4 |  | 251 |  |  |  |  | 251 |
| 9 Sexes $\begin{gathered}\text { Sombined }\end{gathered}$ | 1 |  | 824 |  |  |  |  | 824 |
|  | 2 |  | 2534 |  |  |  |  | 2534 |
|  | 3 |  | 1796 |  |  |  |  | 1796 |
|  | 4 |  | 746 |  |  |  |  | 746 |
| Total |  |  | 5900 |  |  |  |  | 5900 |

TABLE 3.11.3. Upper limits of the length intervals (carapace length rounded to the mm below) for each age group of Biscay Nephrops, calculated for the end of each quarter.

Males

| Age | Quarter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 21 |
| 2 | 23 | 24 | 26 | 27 |
| 3 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 32 |
| 4 | 33 | 34 | 36 | 37 |
| 5 | 48 | 39 | 40 | 41 |
| 6 | 45 | 43 | 43 | 44 |
| 7 | 48 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| 8 | 51 | 52 | 50 | 50 |
| 9 |  |  | 52 | 53 |

Females

| Age | Quarter |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| 2 | 21 | 23 | 24 | 25 |
| 3 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 |
| 4 | 30 | 31 | 31 | 32 |
| 5 | 33 | 34 | 35 | 35 |
| 6 | 39 | 37 | 37 | 38 |
| 7 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 40 |
| 9 | 43 | 43 | 42 | 42 |
|  |  |  | 44 |  |

TABLE 4.1. Fishing effort data by country, fishery unit and quarter for 1990 expressed as unadjusted days fishing.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | ux | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarte |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 801 \\ 2 & 293 \\ 2 & 604 \\ 2 & 694\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 38 \\ 231 \\ 225 \\ 241 \end{array}$ |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 198 \\ & 361 \\ & 229 \\ & 255 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 61 \\ 239 \\ 411 \\ 169 \end{array}$ |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 143 \\ 118 \\ 92 \\ 63 \end{array}$ | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 308 \\ 4 & 899 \\ 5 & 586 \\ 1 & 317 \end{array}$ |  |
| 4 | $\begin{array}{r} 3 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 3 & 956 \\ 4 & 554 \\ 4 & 559 \\ 7 & 308 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 6 & 851 \\ 7 & 192 \\ 6 & 691 \\ 6 & 377 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166 \\ & 492 \\ & 528 \\ & 846 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 3 & 738 \\ 4 & 435 \\ 4 & 758 \\ 4 & 273 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 7006 \\ 8924 \\ 10345 \\ 8618 \end{array}$ |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | n/a <br> $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> n/a <br> n/a |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 3 & 585 \\ 3 & 546 \\ 4 & 908 \\ 4 & 629 \end{array}$ |  |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 240 \\ 4 & 872 \\ 4 & 158 \\ 1 & 575 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{rr} 8124 \\ 11290 \\ 9124 \\ 9 & 617 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 1 2 3 1 |  | 6 395 <br> 7 028 <br> 8 057 <br> 6 933 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 114 \\ 1 & 469 \\ 1 & 296 \\ & 989 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 909 \\ 740 \\ 1 \quad 114 \\ 1731 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 492 \\ 2 & 445 \\ 2 & 420 \\ 1 & 547 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{cc} 4 & 253 \\ 3 & 425 \\ 3 & 183 \\ 4 & 266 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 872 \\ 2 & 134 \\ 1 & 962 \\ 2 & 673 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 3 & 429 \\ 8 & 552 \\ 7 & 679 \\ 4 & 586 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \\ & n / a \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ : not available

TABLE 4.2. Fishing effort by country, fishery unit and quarter, for 1991 , expressed as unadjusted days fishing.

| Country |  | Belgium | France | Ireland | Spain | uk | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit | Quarte |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 2 3 4 |  |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | $\begin{array}{ll} 1 & 470 \\ 2 & 346 \\ 2 & 347 \\ 2 & 119 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 175 \\ & 770 \\ & 574 \\ & 409 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 148 \\ & 180 \\ & 555 \\ & 163 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 116 \\ & 165 \\ & 319 \\ & 230 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 92 \\ 59 \\ 159 \\ 18 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> n/a <br> $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> $n / a$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 009 \\ 3 & 810 \\ 1 & 187 \\ 2 & 237\end{array}$ |  |
| 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{lll} 4 & 245 \\ 6 & 098 \\ 5 & 017 \\ 5 & 101 \end{array}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a | $\begin{array}{ll} 6 & 096 \\ 6 & 998 \\ 6 & 733 \\ 6 & 410 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 890 \\ 1346 \\ 1149 \\ 1229 \end{array}$ | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a |
| 5 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 3 & 431 \\ 1 & 805 \\ 2 & 789 \\ 4 & 474 \end{array}$ | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 5 & 759 \\ 5 & 388 \\ 6 & 265 \\ 5 & 725 \end{array}$ |  |
| 6 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 4 & 116 \\ 2 & 312 \\ 2 & 695 \\ 2 & 419 \end{array}$ |  |
| 8 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 044 \\ 5 & 021 \\ 3 & 892 \\ 1 & 598 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 6 & 496 \\ 7 & 655 \\ 7 & 960 \\ 5 & 408 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | . |  |  |
| 10 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 6 & 726 \\ 5 & 938 \\ 6 & 963 \\ 9 & 408 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 720 \\ 1267 \\ 1286 \\ 653 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 13 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $n / a$ <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 495 \\ 1 & 206 \\ 1 & 370 \\ 1 & 694 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 3 & 905 \\ 3 & 161 \\ 5 & 197 \\ 3 & 553 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 15 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 2 & 569 \\ 2 & 210 \\ 2 & 906 \\ 2 & 549 \end{array}$ |  |  | n/a <br> n/a <br> n/a <br> $n / a$ |  |
| 17 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{ll} 4 & 586 \\ 8 & 872 \\ 9 & 533 \\ 4 & 889 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> n/a <br> $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> n/a |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ <br> $n / a$ <br> n/a <br> n/a |  |  |  |  |

n/a : not available

Table 5.1 Sumary of the number and size (in kilobytes) of each file type created



Figure 5.1. Summary of fishery units

Each closed box represents an identifiable "operational unit of exploitation" which may also form an "operational unit of management". The first approach to "operational units of assessment" are the units labelled D, M. S and B/T. Double boxes identify units which are very closely related.

Abbreviations: $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{T}$; beam trawl: D ; predominantly deeper than 200 m : S ; predominantly less than 100 m : M; between D and $S$.
*Unit 7 now obsolete (catches included in Unit 4)


Figure 5.2. Statistical rectangles corresponding to the three depth zones used for the definition of fishery units.

## APPENDIX A

## A1. SPECIFICATIONS OF DATA FILES FOR FUTURE MEETINGS

## Data Contents :

Given the objective of carrying out age-based VPA, the basic fisheries data are as follows:

For each stock of interest, catch-at-age compositions by fishery unit, year, and quarter. An additional requirement of the hybrid model is the provision of catch compositions at age AND length by fishery unit and quarter, which are used to partition the reference fishing mortalities input to the model. These data are intermediate results when the agelength key is applied to length compositions of the catch, and necessary adjustments should be made to the ALK conversion software used by the various Institutes in order to preserve these data on file. Due to sampling or age reading problems however, it is possible that the ALK used by a particular country in a given quarter may be of insufficient reliability. If different countries provide useful ALK data for the same area and quarter, the Group has the possibility of correcting for deficiencies in age data. Provision has thus been made in the database to handle ALK data, which should be provided as absolute numbers of otoliths read, together with the associated length composition data.

In addition, the hybrid model requires that the input fishing mortalities relate to catches, and uses fitted logistic functions to convert predicted catches at-length into landings for each stock, fishery unit and season (ultimately). In order to estimate the parameters of such functions, length compositions of both landings and discards must be provided whenever relevant. For each age group estimates of the proportions of the catches which are landed must also be provided in the appropriate file. The model also allows landings to be expressed in terms of value, and a file has been designed to handle landing prices per kg at length.

## Data Formats :

As indicated above, the database comprises the following elements which should be provided by each country for each species, fishery unit and quarter. Note that the length and the age ranges should comply with the standards summarized in Table A5.

## 1) Filetype 1: Length Compositions

Files with 1 cm (1mm carapace length for Nephrops) length compositions of the landings and discards given in thousands of fish. Zeros should be used to indicate missing or irrelevant discards data. In case it is necessary to return to separate sex assessments, the sex-ratio (defined as number of females/total number caught) at each length should also be provided, in particular for megrim. Should these data be unavailable or inappropriate, a default value of -1 must be specified. This file should also contain, at the end, the landed and discarded weights (in tonnes), the total number landed, the total number discarded, and, for the sake of verification, the parameters of the length-weight relationship used to calculate the SOP (weights at length should be calculated at mid-
length class). In addition, estimates of the fishing effort by the fishery unit concerned should be provided in this file ; the effort unit is free but should be indicated in a comment, and different records may be used to provide estimates in different units. For future needs, it is desirable that at least one of these estimates is expressed as unadjusted days fishing. This should be the first measure of effort expressed in the file (See Table A1).

An example of the length composition file is given in Table A1.

## 2) Filetype 2: Age length Keys.

Files with ALK data giving, for each length group, the absolute number of otoliths for each age ; zeros should be included where appropriate, to fill each row to match the age range. The first and last lengths must match those in the length composition files. The data need not be provided for each fishery unit separately; additional records can be used to identify to which fishery units these ALK data apply. However, the fishery unit identifier in record 4 of the file should be 20 , if the ALK is applicable to more than one unit. It is important to provide the data in absolute numbers to permit summations with other countries' ALK's for the same species, quarter and area.

An example of the ALK file is given in Table A2.
3) File type 3: Age Length Distributions.

Files with ALD data, i.e., for each length, number (thousand) of fish caught at each age by fishery unit and quarter. This file should be set up by the ALK length-to-age conversion program. Again, the length and age ranges must comply with the standards, and zeros must be placed in each relevant column. The format is similar to that of the ALK files, except that the first record at the "bottom" of the ALD table should give the column totals, i.e., the catches in number at age, followed by the sum of the numbers caught at age. The second record should give, for each age group, the proportions of the catch of each age group which is landed.

An example of the ALD file is given in Table A3.

## 4) File type 4: Prices at Length.

Files with landing prices per kg at length. Note that the unit of value used (national currency or ECU) should be in record 6 of the heading section of the file to avoid problems when combining prices across countries.

An example of the Prices file is given in Table A4.

Each of the above file types starts with a block of records which are used to identify the file contents and to provide programme parameters. There is some redundancy in some of the parameters and file contents, but this is intentional, since this allows the files to be created using either text editors, spreadsheet software or specific programs in various languages. It is important to preserve this facility by filling each record with the appropriate data (or missing values ie. -1), and separating the data fields with either blanks or preferably commas. Text
items should be written between double quotes (ie."text"), since this is the format required for importation into some spreadsheets.

The standard format for each of the files is given in Tables A1 to A4. Members are urged to strictly comply with these formats which should be conspicuously displayed in each Institute and committed to memory.

## A.2. STANDARD FORMATS.

File naming convention.
File names should comply with the following convention, which assumes MS-DOS rules:

File name $=$ dsppuucc.yyq
where
$d:=$ data type; $=1$ for length compositions, $k$ for ALK, a for ALD, $p$ for prices;
spp:= a 3-letter species code; see section A.3;
uu:= a 2-digit code for fishery units (e.g., 01 for unit 1); see section A7;
$\mathbf{c c}:=\mathrm{a} 2$-letter country acronym; see section A4;
$y y:=$ last 2 digits of the year to which data refer (e.g., 91 for 1991 data);
$q:=1$-digit quarter rank, or a 0 to indicate annual data; people interested in preserving monthly data can use letters; see sections A5 and A6;

Filenames should be in lower case, to ensure compatability between different computer operating systems.

## Heading section

Record 1. Filename (text)
Record 2 Title: General (text), as informative as possible;
Record 3. Species identifier (numeric rank) , followed by species name (text); see section A3

Record 4. Year , Quarter (numeric); see section A6
Record 5. Fishery unit (numeric rank) , Country Code (numeric) , Country (text); see sections A4 and A7

Record 6. File type (single digit numeric):
$1=$ length distribution
$2=$ Age length key
$3=$ Age length distribution
$4=$ prices at length

Record 7. Unit of content in power of 10
For file type 1 (LEN): unit of catch numbers,
(should be 3 for thousands)
unit of catch weight,
(should be 1 for tonnes)
For file type 2 (ALK): unit of numbers aged (should be 1)

For file type 3 (ALD): unit of numbers caught at length and age (should be 3 for thousands)

For file type 4 (VAL) : unit of prices per kg at length (1 for country's currency, 2 for ECU's)

Record 8. First length group, last length group, number of length groups , length increment (must be 1)

For file types 2 and 3, continue with: 1st age group, last age group, number of age groups

## Data Section

## * File type 1 (Table A1)

Record 9. Mesh size in mm ( -1 if not relevant)
Record 10 (1st length) to Record $\mathbb{N}$ (last length) : length group, No. landed, No. discarded, proportion of females (-1 if not relevant or unavailable)

Record $N+1$ Landings weight, discards weight, total number landed, total number discarded

Record $N+2$ Length weight relationship used to derive catch weights (numeric):

Factor a (for cm or mm carapace length (Nephrops) to kg ), Exponent b

Record N+3 Effort (numeric) , comments eg. unit of effort (text)
Record $N+4$ etc.
Effort (numeric) in other unit, with comment (text)

```
* File type 2 (ALK) (Table A2)
    Record 9 (1st length) to Record N (last length) :
    1st Length group , Number (1st age),.......,Number (last age)
    2nd Length group , Number (1st age),.......,Number (last age)
    ...
    Last Length group , Number (1st age),......,Number (last age)
```

Record $\mathbb{N + 1 . : ~ c o m m e n t ~ a s ~ t o ~ w h i c h ~ u n i t s ~ i n c l u d e d / e x c l u d e d ~ ( t e x t ) . ~}$

* File type 3 (ALD) (Table A3)

Record 9 (1st length) to Record $N$ (last length) :
1st Length group , Number (1st age),........ Number (last age)
2nd Length group , Number (1st age),........Number (last age)
...
-•
Last Length group , Number (1st age),.......Number (last age)
Record $\mathbb{N}+1$. Sum of numbers caught at length for each age group : 1 st age, 2nd age,.........last age, sum of numbers caught at age (NB. Start in the 1st field of the record)

Record $\mathrm{N}+2$ : Landing/catch proportion (1st age), (2nd age),...., (last age)
ie. proportion of catch landed at age.
(NB.Start in the 1st field of the record)

* File type 4 (VAL) (Table A4)

Record 9 (1st length) to Record $N$ (last length) :
Length group , 1st sale value (per kg)
Record $\mathrm{N}+1$ Comment as to which units included/excluded (text)

## A.3. List of stock codes.

Alphanumeric
code

Species

都

Numeric Rank
hke Hake 1
Celtic sea sole 2
Biscay sole 3
Lophius piscatorius 4
Lophius budegassa 5
Megrim 6
Celtic sea cod 7
Celtic sea whiting 8
Porcupine Bank Nephrops Males 9
Porcupine Bank Nephrops Females 10
Celtic sea Nephrops Males 11
Celtic sea Nephrops Females 12
Biscay Nephrops Males 13
Biscay Nephrops Females 14

## A.4. List of Country codes.

| Alphanumeric | Country | Numeric <br> Rank |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| be | Belgium | 1 |
| dk | Denmark | 2 |
| fr | France | 3 |
| ge | German | 4 |
| ir | Ireland | 5 |
| nl | Netherlands | 6 |
| no | Norway | 7 |
| po | Portugal | 8 |
| sp | Spain | 9 |
| uk |  | United Kingdom |
|  | ew | United Kingdom (England and Wales) |
|  | ni | United Kingdom (Northern Ireland) |
|  | sc | United Kingdom (Scotland) |
| aa | All countries combined | 11 |
| zz |  | Others |

## A.5. List of Monthly codes.

| a | January |
| :--- | :--- |
| b | February |
| c | March |
| d | April |
| e | May |
| f | June |
| g | JuLy |
| h | August |
| i | September |
| $j$ | October |
| j | November |
| l | December |

## A.6. List of Quarterly codes (numeric).

```
January-March
April-June
July-September
October-December
Annual
```


## A.7. List of Fishery Unit codes (numeric)

Three new units, numbered 17,18 and 19, have been created to allow new information on what was previously unit 15 (miscellaneous catches) to be taken into account (see section 2.6.1). The unit 15 label will still exist however, to be used to record activities and catches of vessels not described by any other unit. Furthermore, the group has proposed that unit 7 be abolished because of the reduction in effort targetted at Nephrops (see section 2.4.1), and activities and catches of vessels
previously included in this unit will be allocated to unit 4. However, the unit 7 label will be retained for the time being.

Celtic sea (sub-area VII) fisheries.

1. Longlines in medium - deep waters.
2. Longlines in shallow waters.
3. Fixed nets.
4. Non Nephrops trawl in medium - deep waters.
5. Non Nephrops trawl in shallow waters.
6. Beam trawl.
7. Nephrops trawl in medium - deep waters (now obsolete).
8. Nephrops trawl in shallow waters.

Bay of Biscay (divisions VIIIa,b) fisheries.
9. Nephrops trawl in shallow - medium waters.
10. Non Nephrops trawl in shallow - medium waters.
11. Beam trawl.
12. Longlines in deep and medium waters.
13. Fixed nets in shallow - medium waters.

14 Non Nephrops trawl in medium - deep waters.
15. Miscellaneous:
16. Outsiders (other hake catches)
17. Inshore trawlers.
18. Shrimp trawlers.
19. Sole fixed netters
20. A combination of units (may be used in ALK and Prices files, filetypes 2 and 4)

Table A.1. File type 1: Length distributions:

```
"lwhg05fr.911"
"LD for Celtic sea whiting quarter1,1991,FRANCE,unit 5"
8,"Celtic sea whiting"
1991,1
5,3,"France"
1
3,1
14,70,57,1
80
14,0,0,-1
15,0,0,-1
16,0,0,-1
17,0,0,-1
18,0,0,-1
19,0,0,-1
20,0,0,-1
21,0,0,-1
22,0,0,-1
23,0,0,-1
24,0,0,-1
25,0,0,-1
26,10.585,0,-1
27,42.343,0,-1
28,158.783,0,-1
29,306.983,0,-1
30,698.65,0,-1
31,688.063,0,-1
32,805.514,0,-1
33,822.249,0,-1
34,648.043,0,-1
35,434.92,0,-1
36,323.424,0,-1
37,265.355,0,-1
38,187.525,0,-1
39,146.726,0,-1
40,134.695,0,-1
41,128.043,0,-1
42,48.416,0,-1
43,57.568,0,-1
44,38.796,0,-1
45,31.598,0,-1
46,35.94,0,-1
47,12.807,0,-1
48,12.901,0,-1
49,5.982,0,-1
50,7.665,0,-1
51,5.982,0,-1
52,8.974,0,-1
53,4.486,0,-1
54,2.244,0,-1
55,3.738,0,-1
56,3.738,0,-1
57,1.496,0,-1
58,1.496,0,-1
59,0.748,0,-1
60,0,0,-1
61,0.748,0,-1
62,0,0,-1
```

```
63,0,0,-1
64,0,0,-1
65,0,0,-1
66,0,0,-1
\(67,0,0,-1\)
68,0,0,-1
69,0,0,-1
70,0,0,-1
2545,0,6086.466,0
\(2.78 \mathrm{E}-06,3.358\)
3431,"days fishing, 1day=20hours"
68620,"hours fished"
```


## Table A.2. File type 2: Age-Length-Key.

"kwhg05fr.911"
"ALK for celtic sea whiting quarter1,1991,FRANCE, unit 5" 8,"Celtic sea whiting"
1991,1
5,3,"France"
2
1
14,70,57,1,0,7,8
14,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
$15,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$
16,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
$17,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$
$18,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$
19,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
20,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
$21,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$
$22,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$
$23,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$
$24,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$
$25,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$
$26,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0$
$27,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0$
$28,0,0,5,0,2,1,0,0$
$29,0,0,6,0,4,0,0,0$ 30,0,0,9,6,9,1,0,0 31,0,0,5,1,12,2,0,0 32,0,0,2,9,16,0,0,0 $33,0,0,5,6,14,4,0,0$ $34,0,0,3,3,13,5,0,0$ $35,0,0,2,3,19,3,0,0$ 36,0,0,0,7,19,1,0,0 37,0,0,2,0,20,4,0,0 $38,0,0,1,4,11,5,0,0$ $39,0,0,0,7,11,5,0,0$ 40,0,0,0,2,19,6,0,0 $41,0,0,0,5,18,10,2,0$ $42,0,0,0,2,17,7,0,0$ $43,0,0,0,1,14,13,1,0$ $44,0,0,0,2,12,7,0,0$ $45,0,0,0,0,12,10,3,0$ $46,0,0,0,2,18,9,0,0$ $47,0,0,0,0,6,5,1,0$ $48,0,0,0,0,5,8,1,0$ 49,0,0,0,0,5,2,0,0 $50,0,0,0,0,2,4,2,0$ $51,0,0,0,0,2,4,0,0$ $52,0,0,0,0,2,6,0,0$ 53,0,0,0,0,0,4,0,0 54,0,0,0,0,2,1,0,0 $55,0,0,0,0,1,3,0,0$ $56,0,0,0,0,0,2,2,0$ $57,0,0,0,0,0,2,0,0$ 58,0,0,0,0,0,1,1,0 59,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0 60,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 61,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0 $62,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$ $63,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0$

```
64,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
65,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
66,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
67,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
68,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
69,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
70,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
"ALK based on samples from Unit 5"
```


## Table A.3. File type 3: Age-Length-Distribution:

```
"awhg04fr.911"
"LD for Celtic sea whiting quarter1,1991,FRANCE, unit 4"
8,"Celtic Sea Whiting"
1991,1
4,3,"France"
3
3
14,70,57,1,0,7,8
14,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
15,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
16,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
17,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
18,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
19,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
20,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
21,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
22,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
23,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
24,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
25,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
26,0,0,0,0,0.478,0,0,0
27,0,0,1.913,0,0,0,0,0
28,0,0,4.484,0,1.794,0.8969,0,0
29,0,0,8.323,0,5.549,0,0,0
30,0,0,11.37,7.577,11.37,1.263,0,0
31,0,0,7.773,1.555,18.65,3.109,0,0
32,0,0,2.696,12.13,21.57,0,0,0
33,0,0,6.406,7.687,17.94,5.125,0,0
34,0,0,3.66,3.66,15.86,6.101,0,0
35,0,0,1.456,2.184,13.83,2.184,0,0
36,0,0,0,3.789,10.28,0.5413,0,0
37,0,0,0.9223,0,9.223,1.845,0,0
38,0,0,0.4035,1.614,4.439,2.018,0,0
39,0,0,0,2.018,3.171,1.441,0,0
40,0,0,0,0.4508,4.283,1.352,0,0
41,0,0,0,0.8266,2.976,1.653,0.3306,0
42,0,0,0,0.1683,1.431,0.5891,0,0
43,0,0,0,8.97E-02,1.256,1.166,8.97E-02,0
44,0,0,0,0.167,1.002,0.5843,0,0
45,0,0,0,0,0.6854,0.5712,0.1714,0
46,0,0,0,0.112,1.008,0.504,0,0
47,0,0,0,0,0.2895,0.2412,4.83E-02,0
48,0,0,0,0,0.2082,0.3331,4.16E-02,0
49,0,0,0,0,0.1929,7.71E-02,0,0
50,0,0,0,0,8.65E-02,0.173,8.65E-02,0
51,0,0,0,0,9.00E-02,0.18,0,0
52,0,0,0,0,0.1015,0.3045,0,0
53,0,0,0,0,0,0.203,0,0
54,0,0,0,0,6.73E-02,3.37E-02,0,0
55,0,0,0,0,4.23E-02,0.1267,0,0
56,0,0,0,0,0,8.45E-02,8.45E-02,0
57,0,0,0,0,0,6.80E-02,0,0
58,0,0,0,0,0,3.40E-02,3.40E-02,0
59,0,0,0,0,0,0,3.40E-02,0
60,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
61,0,0,0,0,0,3.40E-02,0,0
62,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
63,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
```

```
64,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
65,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
66,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
67,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
68,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
69,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
70,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0
0,0,49.4,44.03,147.9,32.84,0.9206,0,275.062
0,0,1,1,1,1,1,0
```

Table A.4. File type 4: Prices at length:
"pwhg05fr.911"
"FR Lorient landing prices at length, quarter 1,1991" 8,"Celtic sea whiting"
1991, 1
5,3,"France"
4
1
14,70,57,1
14,0
15,0
16,0
17,0
18,0
19,0
20,0
21,0
22,0
23,0
24,0
25,0
26,4.22
27,4.22
28,4.22
29,4.22
30,4.22
31,4.22
32,8.83
33,8.83
34,8.83
35,8.83
36,11.44
37,11.44
38,11.44
39,11.44
40,11.69
41.11.69

42,11.69
43,11.69
44,11.69
45,11.69
46,11.69
47,11.69
48,11.69
49,11.69
50,11.69
51,11.69
52,11.69
53,11.69
54,11.69
55,11.69
56,11.69
57,11.69
58,11.69
59,11.69
60,11.69
61,11.69
62,11.69
63,11.69

64,11. 69
65,11.69
66,11.69
67,11.69
68,11.69
69,11.69
70,11.69
"All fishery units included"

TABLE A5. Ranges of length and age group to be used for creation of datafiles for each stock unit.

|  | First length | Last length | First age group | Age of Plus group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HAKE | 5 | 100 | 0 | 10 |
| NEPHROPS, M <br> CELTIC SEA F | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { NEPHROPS, } & \text { M } \\ \text { PORCUPINCE } & \mathrm{F} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \\ & 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| NEPHROPS, M <br> BISCAY F | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \\ & 13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \\ & 50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \\ & 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| LOPHIUS PISCATORIUS | 6 | 100 | 0 | 9 |
| LOPHIUS BUDEGASSA | 6 | 70 | 0 | 9 |
| MEGRIM | 10 | 60 | 0 | 10 |
| COD | 15 | 100 | 0 | 7 |
| WHITING | 14 | 70 | 0 | 7 |
| SOLE, BISCAY | 3 | 50 | 0 | 8 |
| SOLE, CELTIC SEA | 10 | 50 | 8 | 8 |

- Length data in 1 cm interval, except Nephrops in (1mm)
- Age data : birthdate of January 1st assumed


[^0]:    *General Secretary
    ICES

[^1]:    (1). Length compositions derived using unit 6 length samples from landings

