



This Biology Honors Thesis

**Construction of Dichotomous Taxonomic Keys
for San Francisco Bay Planktonic Diatoms**

by

Ria Angelica T. Laxa

is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the

Biology Honors Program

at the

University of San Francisco

Submission date:

Approved by Honors Thesis Committee members:

Deneb Karentz

Research mentor

Signature and date

Mary Jane Niles

Committee member

Signature and date

Sangman Kim

Committee member

Signature and date

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	3
INTRODUCTION.....	4
METHODS.....	9
RESULTS.....	18
DISCUSSION.....	24
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CONTINUING WORK.....	34
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	35
REFERENCES.....	35
IMAGE CREDITS.....	39

APPENDICES

Appendix A:

List of diatom species in San Francisco Bay and associated taxonomic resources

Appendix B:

A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay

Appendix C:

A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay

Appendix D:

Open-source phytoplankton taxonomy websites

Construction of Dichotomous Taxonomic Keys for San Francisco Bay Planktonic Diatoms

ABSTRACT

Planktonic diatoms exhibit high biodiversity in marine systems and make a significant contribution to water column primary productivity. This makes research on planktonic diatoms particularly important in measuring the health of coastal marine ecosystems. At the University of San Francisco (USF), undergraduate research has been conducted since September 2015 to study planktonic diatoms in San Francisco Bay. A previous study by Keith (2018), Planktonic Diatom Species Succession in San Francisco Bay, documented changes in species diversity over time, observing seasonal patterns in species richness as well as the effect of environmental factors such as salinity, temperature, and rainfall on species succession. In her work, an abundance of centric diatoms was present, indicating their essential role in local phytoplankton communities; however, the majority of observed centric taxa could not be identified with light microscopy. The current project was intended to use scanning electron microscopy to examine phenotypic characteristics of cells from field collections of Keith (2018) and clonal cultures to identify the species that make up the assemblage of dominant centric diatoms. Five centric diatom species were identified prior to the COVID-19 pandemic: *Coscinodiscus curvatulus*, *Actinopterychus senarius*, *Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis*, *Coscinodiscus lentiginosa*, and *Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii*. However, due to temporary sampling site closures and limited access to laboratories because of stay-at-home orders from the pandemic, the project was modified to be done remotely. The project was modified to analyze and compile present literature on diatom taxonomy based on morphology and develop taxonomic keys specific to diatoms in San Francisco Bay for use by both specialists and non-specialists, including school-aged children. In the construction of the keys, genera and species were considered significant if they were observed in $\geq 50\%$ of the samples in the study by Keith (2018) from September 2015 - December 2017, including *Chaetoceros* spp., *Ditylum brightwelli*, *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp., *Rhizosolenia setigera*, *Skeletonema costatum*, *Thalassiosira* spp., and *Trieres mobiliensis*. Here, two keys are constructed – “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” and “A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay” – and the challenges of constructing the keys are discussed. These keys will aid in the assessment of diatom biodiversity in San Francisco Bay. Additionally, open-source diatom taxonomy websites have been collected to further support specialists and non-specialists in their scientific education and study of phytoplankton.

INTRODUCTION

At the University of San Francisco (USF), undergraduate research has been conducted since September 2015 to study planktonic diatoms in San Francisco Bay. Diatoms are single-celled photosynthetic aquatic organisms in the division of Chrysophyta and the class of algae known as Bacillariophyceae (Cupp, 1943, Perry 2003). They have rigid cell walls with intricate designs made of silica (SiO_2) glass (Cupp 1943, Perry 2003). While some diatoms are found solitary, or not attached to other diatoms, some can be linked to one another in a chain via filaments and some are pseudofilamentous, meaning that the cells are held together in a line by a gelatinous layer (Scott and Marchant 2005). Sometimes this gelatinous layer can result in clusters or colonial aggregations (Scott and Marchant 2005). Diatoms are likely to be cosmopolitan and can live in a variety of environments including freshwater, brackish water, and saltwater as well as in ice and damp places such as soil (Cupp 1943, Malviya et al. 2016). Marine species, in particular, can be pelagic (water column) or benthic (associated with substrates) (Boyer 1927, Cupp 1943). Depending on where they live and reproduce, pelagic species can also be further classified as either *oceanic* if in the open ocean or *neritic* if close to the coast (Cupp 1943). This division between oceanic and neritic pelagic species is not clear as some oceanic species may be found and collected near the coast and some neritic species may be found and collected in the open ocean. In general, most diatoms tend to be found in nutrient-rich waters, upwelling zones, and coastal waters (Bussen et al. 2020, Leblanc et al. 2012, Malviya et al. 2016).

Diatoms are also a major group of phytoplankton due to their significant contribution to global primary production (**Figure 1**) (Cloern and Dufford 2005, Falkowski et al. 1998). They produce up to 50% of the oxygen we breathe through photosynthesis and regulate atmospheric levels of carbon dioxide; it has also been found that chlorophyll concentration and phytoplankton species composition are correlated with ocean circulation and essential nutrient fluxes (Falkowski et al. 1998). Additionally, diatoms serve as important energy sources for the aquatic food chain system (Cloern and Dufford 2005, Perry 2003, Schabhöttl et al. 2011). In marine ecosystems, phytoplankton form the base of the food web (Scott and Marchant 2005). In particular, diatoms are rich in eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), an essential fatty acid that increases in concentration with phytoplankton size (Cloern and Dufford 2005, Jónasdóttir 2019, Kainz et al. 2004). EPA has been found to be important for aquatic food web trophic transfer efficiency and may be correlated with the somatic growth of some planktonic organisms and fish larvae, making them a highly nutritional source of energy for fauna in the ocean (Jónasdóttir 2019, Kainz et al. 2004). Since San Francisco Bay is a nutrient-rich estuary, blooms of diatoms occur where cells divide at a faster rate than those that die off, resulting in a diatom-dominated phytoplankton community (Cloern and Dufford 2005). The significant diatom presence in the community could be why there is a higher efficiency of fish production in marine-estuary systems like San Francisco Bay compared to freshwater systems (Cloern and Dufford 2005, Keith 2018).




Figure 1. Ocean chlorophyll concentration as an indicator of marine primary production in March 2021. Green indicates high phytoplankton concentration. Blue indicates low phytoplankton concentration (NASA Earth Observatory 2021).

Additionally, some species tend to be more abundant depending on the time of year or the season (Cupp 1943, Keith 2018, Scott and Marchant 2005). A previous study by Keith (2018), Planktonic Diatom Species Succession in San Francisco Bay, documented changes in species diversity from 2015 - 2017, observing seasonal patterns in phytoplankton species richness as well as the effect of environmental factors such as salinity, temperature, and rainfall on species succession. Furthermore, a study by Cloern and Dufford (2005) found that diatoms accounted for 81% of the cumulative biomass of their phytoplankton samples. These taxa are, therefore, an important component of the San Francisco Bay ecosystem.

Diatom structure and classification

The classification of diatom species has largely been based on morphology, or the physical structure and characteristics of the cell walls (Pappas 2006). Although some molecular techniques such as ribosomal RNA and genomic DNA sequencing have shown promise in providing more precise species identification, these techniques are currently limited by available sequence information, so morphology is often the primary method of species identification (Hoppenrath et al. 2007, Leliart 2021, Scott and Marchant 2008, Williams et al. 2011). Particular ornamentation or appendages such as tube-like processes; patterns of areolae or pores on the cell (which form striae or lines of pores); presentation in chains, clusters, or solitary; the shape of the frustule; and many more characteristics unique to a specific diatom species are indicators which aid in the identification of species (Boyer 1927, Cupp 1943, Simonsen 1975). All diatoms, however, share a basic cell structure.

The cells walls of diatoms are made up of pectin and silica, a glass-like material (Cupp 1943, Perry 2003). Each cell is made up of two parts called valves (or frustules) which fit into each other like a box and lid or, in the case of centric diatoms, like a petri dish (**Figure 2**). Centric diatoms have radially symmetric valves where the striae are arranged around a central point (Tomas et al. 1997). By contrast, pennate diatoms have bilaterally symmetric valves where the striae are arranged in relation to a line (Tomas et al. 1997). The epivalve is the larger valve or “lid” and the hypovalve is the smaller valve or “box” (Perry 2003, Ross et al. 1979). The valve face or surface refers to the flat side of the “lid” or “box”, and the valve mantle refers to where the valve face bends at a 90-degree angle to begin forming the curved walls of the “lid” or “box” (Ross et al. 1979, Simonsen 1975). The other part of the curved walls which gives the cell more height is called the girdle and is made up of connecting/girdle bands which are collectively called the cingulum (Cupp 1943, Tomas et al. 1997). The epicingula refers to the cingulum associated with the epivalve, and the hypocingula refers to the cingulum associated with the hypovalve. Theca refers to the valve and the cingulum together; the epitheca includes the epivalve and the epicingula, and similarly, the hypotheca includes the hypovalve and the hypocingula (Tomas et al. 1997).




Figure 2. Diagram of the basic structure of a centric diatom (left) and a pennate diatom (right). Upper cells are in girdle view with black indicating the epitheca and red indicating the hypotheca. Lower cells are in valve view. Abbreviations: vs, valve surface; vm, valve mantle; c, cingulum or connecting/girdle bands; g, girdle (Adapted from Cupp 1943).

Cell reproduction and division

During asexual or vegetative cell division, the diatom cell first increases in volume. Once the cell reaches a maximum volume, mitosis and cytokinesis split the cell such that the epitheca and hypotheca separate (Cupp 1943). Once separated, each original or parent epitheca becomes the epitheca of one of the new cells, gaining a new hypotheca. The original hypotheca becomes the epitheca of the other daughter cell. This means that as the cells continue to divide, some daughter cells will get smaller in size while other daughter cells will remain the original size; this phenomenon is referred to as the MacDonald-Pfitzer hypothesis (Kale and Karthick 2015). At some point, the cells that are getting smaller will reach a minimum size range where sexual reproduction is necessary and the formation of auxospores grows the cell back to a maximum volume (Figure 3).




Figure 3. Diagram of diatom asexual and sexual reproduction (from Kale and Karthick 2015).

Variance in diatom classification

Present literature regarding the characterization of certain diatom species shows a variance in classification. The constantly changing nature of taxonomy contributes to this variance. There are several reasons why taxonomy is always changing. One reason is the advancements in technology, such as improved electron microscopy which allows for a more detailed analysis of morphological characteristics of diatom species as well as DNA sequence analyses that further inform taxonomy through molecular markers (Hoppenrath et al. 2007, Leliart 2021, Scott and Marchant 2008, Williams et al. 2011). These technological advancements have led to the discovery of hundreds of new species (Leliart 2021). However, if DNA sequence information

and morphological descriptions of species are not used in tandem, then inconsistencies may arise in identifying species, and in some cases, some lineages may be unnamed (Leliart 2021). Another reason taxonomy changes is due to the increased availability of data and information. For example, morphological data collected from samples on one side of the world may look slightly different from data collected from samples on the other side of the world due to reproductive isolation (Pappas 2006). Additionally, speciation events are an ongoing process, making defining clear species boundaries more difficult (Leliart 2021, Pappas 2006). Ultimately, challenges in taxonomy have resulted in variable information for many diatom species classifications (Pappas 2006).

Properly identifying species and understanding diatom taxonomy are important because, compared to larger organisms, diatoms exhibit much higher biodiversity within an ecosystem; it is estimated that there are between 1,800 to 200,000 diatom species, although recent global estimates recognize a range of 12,000 to 30,000 diatom species as well as approximately 285 genera (Cupp 1943, Malviya et al. 2016, Scott and Marchant 2005, Williams et al. 2011). It is estimated that around 100,000 diatom species have not been discovered yet (Fischer and Bunke 2001). Differences in species composition in response to geography, season, climate, and ocean conditions suggest that individual species may serve as indicators of environmental changes (Keith 2018, Pappas 2006, Scott and Marchant 2005).

Guides on diatom taxonomy

Species identifications and classification serve as the basis for phylogenetic studies through the discovery of monophyletic groups by determining synapomorphies, or defining characteristics - whether morphological or molecular - of a particular lineage (Williams et al. 2011). Therefore, taxonomy and the proper identification of species are essential in assessing biodiversity and the distribution and evolution of species (Hoppenrath et al. 2007, Leliart 2021). Williams et al. (2011) assert that several principles should be considered to further the progress of diatom classification: explicit determination of characteristics, recognition and analysis of synapomorphies, recognition of only “demonstrable monophyletic groups,” and “analyses of *all* data sources made explicit and repeatable” (Williams et al. 2011). Many existing guides on taxonomy have attempted to compile the widely variable diatom taxonomic literature in accordance with the last principle.

For example, Tomas et al. (1997) developed a manual for identifying marine diatoms and dinoflagellates. This manual organized species alphabetically within genera and families and used an outline with page numbers to guide users through the manual in a text version of a decision tree (Tomas et al. 1997). Scott and Marchant (2005) analyzed taxonomic literature on Antarctic pelagic protists and created a guide, focused towards non-specialists, to clarify confusion over taxonomy. However, similar to Tomas et al. (1997), this guide was not in the form of visual taxonomic decision trees but rather as a collection or catalog of illustrated descriptions of Antarctic species with taxa listed alphabetically within genera and families (Scott and Marchant 2005). Cupp (1943) created a manual for the identification of marine plankton diatoms on the West Coast of North America, but similar to the other guides, the manual was not created as a taxonomic key but rather as a catalog of illustrated descriptions of species.

Currently, there are no formal guides for phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay. However, there are several groups of people in San Francisco Bay Area that are studying and looking at San Francisco Bay phytoplankton. A list of phytoplankton species has been compiled from 1992 - 2014 by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) (Nejad et al. 2017), but this list does not explain how to identify these species found in San Francisco Bay. The Gulf of Farallones Visitor Center has marine education programs for children ranging from kindergarten through high school that sample and examine San Francisco Bay phytoplankton (NOAA 2017). Additionally, the Kudela Lab at the University of California Santa Cruz has developed an online catalog of phytoplankton in Monterey Bay which has a similar species composition to what is seen in San Francisco Bay (Kudela Lab at the University of California Santa Cruz 2021).

Since the San Francisco Bay phytoplankton community is diatom-dominated, and there is an observed pattern of species composition with climate, season, time of year, and ocean conditions, diatoms clearly play a significant role in the Bay ecosystem. Understanding species dynamics and why these ecological and biogeochemical patterns occur necessitates an evaluation of biodiversity (Cloern and Dufford 2005, Schabhöttl et al. 2011). However, in order to analyze biodiversity, taxonomy needs to be clarified. Here, the present literature on diatom taxonomy based on morphology is analyzed and compiled to develop two taxonomic keys specific to diatoms in San Francisco Bay for use by both specialists and non-specialists, including school-aged children. These keys are intended to assist in future phytoplankton studies and scientific education for students and the general public.

METHODS

Original project prior to the COVID-19 pandemic

As previously mentioned, Keith (2018) studied changes in phytoplankton species diversity from 2015 - 2017, observing seasonal patterns in species richness and the effect of environmental factors on species succession. Keith (2018) found an abundance of centric diatoms, accounting for >50% of the cells counted on seven sampling dates and present in all samples; however, the majority of observed centric taxa could not be identified with light microscopy (LM). Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, this project was intended to identify individual centric diatom species in San Francisco Bay primarily from the field collections of Keith (2018) and clonal cultures maintained at USF. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was used to examine phenotypic characteristics of cells at higher magnifications and with a more detailed view of morphological characteristics of the diatom cells compared to LM. The SEM project was started, but due to the COVID-19 pandemic which caused temporary sampling site closures and limited access to laboratories, the project was revised to be done remotely in accordance with stay-at-home orders.

Sample collection, processing, and examination

Phytoplankton samples from the University of San Francisco used for this project were collected by Keith (2018) with a 64 μm mesh plankton net in San Francisco Bay at Torpedo Wharf and the Gulf of the Farallones Visitor Center in San Francisco, California (**Figure 4**) (NOAA 2017).




Figure 4. Sampling locations. **(A)** San Francisco Bay. **(B)** Torpedo Wharf (yellow) and Gulf of the Farallones Visitor Center (NOAA) (red) in San Francisco, California, USA (Google Maps 2021).

Keith (2018) preserved the field samples in 50% ethanol and then quantified taxa under LM. She was unable to distinguish many centric diatom species under LM and grouped them as “centrics.” The intended purpose of the current study (R.Laxa) was to use SEM for a more detailed view of the cells at higher magnification to identify to the species level. For this purpose, the samples were rinsed in deionized water and then treated with hot nitric/sulfuric acid to remove the organic material in preparation for examination under SEM (Battarbee 1986). Since the frustules (walls) of diatoms are made of silica, these remained intact and cleared of cell debris. The acid treatment also results in the separation of the valves, allowing the inner side of the valve to be viewed (Battarbee 1986). To prepare samples for analysis under SEM, black circular carbon conductive tabs were adhered to metal SEM stubs. A micropipette was used to add several drops of the preserved and cleaned field samples, enough to cover the carbon conductive tab. The stub was then left to air dry before being viewed under a dissecting scope to check for an adequate concentration of cells on the stub. If few cells were visible, additional drops of the preserved and treated field samples were added. The stubs were viewed under the Hitachi TM3030 SEM at USF and images of centric diatoms were taken for further analysis and identification of species.

Modifications due to the COVID-19 pandemic

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, this project had to be modified to be done remotely in accordance with stay-at-home orders and due to limited access to laboratories and sampling sites. While the original project was intended to use SEM to identify centric diatom species that could not be discriminated under LM, the modified project aimed to develop two dichotomous taxonomic keys for planktonic diatoms in San Francisco Bay as a service to future students and the general public to assist in phytoplankton research and scientific education. One key, considered the technical key, is intended for use by an audience familiar with phytoplankton research. The other key, considered the basic key, is intended for an audience with little to no knowledge of phytoplankton terminology and research, and it is ideal as a supplemental educational tool for school-aged children.

Criteria for species inclusion in the dichotomous taxonomic keys

Before constructing the dichotomous taxonomic keys, common genera and species were considered for inclusion. Genera and species that were identified in San Francisco Bay from samples taken by the University of San Francisco since 2015 were selected (Keith 2018). Genera and species were determined to be the most well-represented and significant if they were observed in $\geq 50\%$ of the samples in the study by Keith (2018) in San Francisco Bay from September 2015 - December 2017. Additional species were included if they frequently appeared in San Francisco Bay based on the USGS list of Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay from 1992 - 2014 (Nejad et al. 2017). For the technical key, 82 diatom taxa were selected (Table 1).

Table 1. List of San Francisco Bay diatom species with synonyms included in “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (Appendix B).

Species	Synonym
<i>Achnanthes</i> sp. Bory	
<i>Actinopterychus senarius</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Actinopterychus undulatus</i> (Bailey) Ralfs
<i>Actinopterychus</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Amphiprora</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Arachnoidiscus ornatus</i> Ehrenberg	
<i>Asterionella formosa</i> Hassall	
<i>Asterionella japonica</i> Cleve	<i>Asterionellopsis glacialis</i> (Castracane) Round
<i>Asterolampra</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Asteromphalus</i> Ehrenberg	
<i>Asteromphalus hookeri</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Asteromphalus humboldtii</i> Ehrenberg
<i>Bacillaria paxillifer</i> (Müller) Marsson	<i>Bacillaria paradoxa</i> Gmelin <i>Nitzschia paradoxa</i> (Gmelin) Grunow <i>Vibrio paxillifer</i> Müller
<i>Biddulphia</i> sp. Gray	
<i>Chaetoceros affinis</i> Lauder	<i>Chaetoceros affine</i> Lauder <i>Chaetoceros schuttii</i> Cleve
<i>Chaetoceros constrictus</i> Gran	
<i>Chaetoceros curvisetus</i> Cleve	
<i>Chaetoceros debilis</i> Cleve	<i>Chaetoceros debile</i> Cleve

Table 1. List of San Francisco Bay diatom species with synonyms included in “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix B**).

Species	Synonym
<i>Chaetoceros decipiens</i> Cleve	
<i>Chaetoceros didymus</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Chaetoceros didymum</i> Ehrenberg
<i>Chaetoceros radicans</i> Schütt	
<i>Chaetoceros socialis</i> Lauder	
<i>Chaetoceros</i> spp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Chaetoceros tortissimus</i> Gran	
<i>Corethron hystrix</i> Hensen	<i>Corethron criophilum</i> var. <i>hystrix</i> (Hensen) Hendey
<i>Corethron pennatum</i> (Grunow) Ostenfeld	
<i>Corethron</i> sp. Castracane	
<i>Coscinodiscus angustelineatus</i> Schmidt	<i>Thalassiosira anguste-lineata</i> (Schmidt) Fryxell and Hasle
<i>Coscinodiscus curvatulus</i> var. <i>curvatulus</i> Grunow	<i>Actinocyclus curvatulus</i> (Grunow) Cleve
<i>Coscinodiscus lentiginosus</i> var. <i>lentiginosus</i> Janisch	<i>Thalassiosira lentiginosa</i> (Janisch) Fryxell
<i>Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis</i> Ehrenberg	
<i>Coscinodiscus</i> spp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Detonula</i> sp. Schütt ex De Toni	
<i>Ditylum brightwellii</i> (West) Grunow	<i>Triceratium brightwellii</i> West
<i>Ditylum</i> sp. Bailey ex Bailey	
<i>Eucampia</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Eucampia striata</i> Stolterfoth	<i>Guinardia striata</i> (Stolterfoth) Hasle <i>Rhizosolenia stolterfothii</i> Peragallo
<i>Eucampia zodiacus</i> Ehrenberg	
<i>Gyrosigma balticum</i> (Ehrenberg) Rabenhorst	<i>Pleurosigma balticum</i> (Ehrenberg) Smith
<i>Heliotheca</i> sp. Ricard	<i>Streptotheca</i> sp. Shrubsole
<i>Heliotheca tamesis</i> (Shrubsole) Ricard	<i>Streptotheca thamensis</i> Shrubsole
<i>Hobaniella longicuris</i> (Greville) Sims and Williams	<i>Odontella longicuris</i> (Greville) Hoban <i>Biddulphia longicuris</i> var. <i>longicuris</i> Greville
<i>Isthmia nervosa</i> Kützing	
<i>Lauderia confervacea</i> Cleve	<i>Detonula confervacea</i> (Cleve) Gran
<i>Lauderia</i> sp. Cleve	
<i>Leptocylindrus danicus</i> Cleve	
<i>Lithodesmium undulatum</i> Ehrenberg	
<i>Melosira arctica</i> var. <i>arctica</i> Dickie	<i>Melosira arctica</i> (Ehrenberg) Ralfs <i>Gaillonella arctica</i> (Dickie) Ehrenberg
<i>Melosira moniliformis</i> (Müller) Agardh	
<i>Melosira</i> sp. Agardh	
<i>Melosira varians</i> Agardh	
<i>Navicula challengerii</i> Grunow	<i>Tropidoneis antarctica</i> (Grunow) Cleve <i>Membraneis challengerii</i> (Grunow) Paddock <i>Amphiprora challengerii</i> (Grunow) De Toni
<i>Navicula</i> sp. Bory de Saint-Vincent	
<i>Nitzschia closterium</i> (Ehrenberg) Smith	<i>Cylindrotheca closterium</i> (Ehrenberg) Lewin and Reimann <i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i> Bohlin
<i>Nitzschia longissima</i> (Brébisson) Ralfs	<i>Nitzschiella longissima</i> (Brébisson) Rabenhorst

Table 1. List of San Francisco Bay diatom species with synonyms included in “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix B**).

Species	Synonym
<i>Nitzschia sigma</i> (Kützing) Smith	<i>Sigmatella sigma</i> (Kützing) Frenguelli
<i>Nitzschia</i> sp. Hassall	
<i>Odontella aurita</i> (Lyngbye) Agardh	<i>Biddulphia aurita</i> (Lyngbye) Brébisson
<i>Odontella obtusa</i> Kützing	<i>Biddulphia aurita</i> var. <i>obtusa</i> (Kützing) Hustedt
<i>Paralia sulcata</i> (Ehrenberg) Cleve	<i>Melosira sulcata</i> (Ehrenberg) Kützing <i>Gaillionella sulcata</i> Ehrenberg <i>Orthoseira marina</i> Smith
<i>Pleurosgima</i> spp. Smith	
<i>Porosira</i> sp. Jorgensen	
<i>Pseudo-nitzschia</i> sp. Peragallo	
<i>Rhizosolenia calcar-avis</i> Schultze	<i>Pseudosolenia calcar-avis</i> (Schultze) Sundström
<i>Rhizosolenia robusta</i> Norman ex Ralfs	
<i>Rhizosolenia semispina</i> Hensen	<i>Rhizosolenia hebetata</i> (Hensen) Margalef <i>Rhizosolenia hebetata</i> f. <i>semispina</i> (Hensen) Gran
<i>Rhizosolenia setigera</i> Brightwell	
<i>Rhizosolenia</i> sp. Brightwell	<i>Proboscia</i> sp. Sundstrom
<i>Rhizosolenia styliiformis</i> Brightwell	
<i>Skeletonema costatum</i> (Greville) Cleve	
<i>Skeletonema</i> sp. Greville	
<i>Stephanopyxis</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Stephanopyxis turris</i> (Greville) Ralfs	
<i>Synedra nitzschoides</i> f. <i>nitzschoides</i> Grunow	<i>Thalassionema nitzschoides</i> (Grunow) Mereschkowsky <i>Thalassiothrix nitzschoides</i> Grunow
<i>Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii</i> Cleve	
<i>Thalassiosira rotula</i> Meunier	<i>Coscinodiscus rotulus</i> (Meunier) Cleve-Euler
<i>Thalassiosira</i> spp. Cleve	
<i>Thalassiosira subtilis</i> (Ostenfeld) Gran	
<i>Thalassiothrix mediterranea</i> var. <i>pacifica</i> Cupp	<i>Lioloma pacificum</i> (Cupp) Hasle
<i>Thalassiothrix</i> sp. Cleve and Grunow	
<i>Triceratium alternans</i> Bailey	<i>Trigonium alternans</i> (Bailey) Mann <i>Biddulphia alternans</i> (Bailey) Van Heurck
<i>Triceratium</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Trieres mobiliensis</i> (Bailey) Ashworth and Theriot	<i>Biddulphia mobiliensis</i> (Bailey) Grunow <i>Odontella weissflogii</i> Grunow
<i>Tropidoneis</i> sp. Cleve	

Out of the 82 diatom taxa in the technical key, 41 taxa were determined to be the most significant and were selected for inclusion in the basic key. Additionally, 14 dinoflagellates were included as they are commonly seen and identifiable in San Francisco Bay (**Table 2**).

Table 2. List of phytoplankton species with synonyms in San Francisco Bay included in "A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay" (**Appendix C**).

Phytoplankton Type	Species	Synonym
Diatom (Centric)	<i>Asteromphalus</i> Ehrenberg	
	<i>Chaetoceros curvisetus</i> Cleve	
	<i>Chaetoceros debilis</i> Cleve	<i>Chaetoceros debile</i> Cleve
	<i>Chaetoceros decipiens</i> Cleve	
	<i>Chaetoceros didymus</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Chaetoceros didymum</i> Ehrenberg
	<i>Chaetoceros socialis</i> Lauder	
	<i>Corethron pennatum</i> (Grunow) Ostenfeld	
	<i>Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis</i> Ehrenberg	
	<i>Ditylum brightwellii</i> (West) Grunow	<i>Triceratium brightwellii</i> West
	<i>Eucampia striata</i> Stolterfoth	<i>Guinardia striata</i> (Stolterfoth) Hasle <i>Rhizosolenia stolterfothii</i> Peragallo
	<i>Eucampia zodiacus</i> Ehrenberg	
	<i>Hobaniella longicruris</i> (Greville) Sims and Williams	<i>Odontella longicruris</i> (Greville) Hoban <i>Biddulphia longicruris</i> var. <i>longicruris</i> Greville
	<i>Isthmia nervosa</i> Kützing	
	<i>Lauderia confervacea</i> Cleve	<i>Detonula confervacea</i> (Cleve) Gran
	<i>Lauderia</i> sp. Cleve	
	<i>Leptocylindrus danicus</i> Cleve	
	<i>Lithodesmium undulatum</i> Ehrenberg	
	<i>Melosira moniliformis</i> (Müller) Agard	
	<i>Odontella aurita</i> (Lyngbye) Agardh	<i>Biddulphia aurita</i> (Lyngbye) Brébisson
	<i>Paralia sulcata</i> (Ehrenberg) Cleve	<i>Melosira sulcata</i> (Ehrenberg) Kützing <i>Gaillionella sulcata</i> Ehrenberg <i>Orthoseira marina</i> Smith
	<i>Porosira</i> sp. Jorgensen	
	<i>Rhizosolenia semispina</i> Hensen	<i>Rhizosolenia hebetata</i> (Hensen) Margalef <i>Rhizosolenia hebetata</i> f. <i>semispina</i> (Hensen) Gran
	<i>Skeletonema costatum</i> (Greville) Cleve	
	<i>Stephanopyxis turris</i> (Greville) Ralfs	
	<i>Heliotheca tamesis</i> (Shrubsole) Ricard	<i>Streptotheca thamensis</i> Shrubsole
	<i>Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii</i> Cleve	
	<i>Thalassiosira subtilis</i> (Ostenfeld) Gran	
	<i>Triceratium</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
	<i>Trieres mobiliensis</i> (Bailey) Ashworth and Theriot	<i>Biddulphia mobiliensis</i> (Bailey) Grunow <i>Odontella weissflogii</i> Grunow
Diatom (Pennate)	<i>Amphiprora</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
	<i>Asterionella japonica</i> Cleve	<i>Asterionellopsis glacialis</i> (Castracane) Round

Table 2. List of phytoplankton species with synonyms in San Francisco Bay included in "A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay" (**Appendix C**).

Phytoplankton Type	Species	Synonym
Diatom (Pennate)	<i>Bacillaria paxillifer</i> (Müller) Marsson	<i>Bacillaria paradoxa</i> Gmelin <i>Nitzschia paradoxa</i> (Gmelin) Grunow <i>Vibrio paxillifer</i> Müller
	<i>Navicula challengerii</i> Grunow	<i>Tropidoneis antarctica</i> (Grunow) Cleve <i>Membraneis challengerii</i> (Grunow) Paddock <i>Amphiprora challengerii</i> (Grunow) De Toni
	<i>Navicula</i> sp. Bory de Saint-Vincent	
	<i>Nitzschia closterium</i> (Ehrenberg) W. Smith	<i>Cylindrotheca closterium</i> (Ehrenberg) Lewin and Reimann <i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i> Bohlin
	<i>Nitzschia longissima</i> (Brébisson) Ralfs	<i>Nitzschiella longissima</i> (Brébisson) Rabenhorst
	<i>Nitzschia</i> sp. Hassall	
	<i>Pleurosigma</i> spp. W. Smith	
	<i>Pseudo-nitzschia</i> sp. Peragallo	
	<i>Synedra nitzschioides</i> f. <i>nitzschioides</i> Grunow	<i>Thalassionema nitzschioides</i> (Grunow) Mereschkowsky <i>Thalassiothrix nitzschioides</i> Grunow
	<i>Thalassiothrix</i> sp. Cleve and Grunow	
Dinoflagellate	<i>Dinophysis</i> sp.	
	<i>Gonyaulax</i> sp.	
	<i>Gymnodinium</i> sp.	
	<i>Noctiluca scintillans</i> (Macartney) Kofoid & Swezy	
	<i>Peridinium</i> spp.	
	<i>Polykrikos kofoidii</i> Chatton	
	<i>Prorocentrum</i> sp.	
	<i>Protoperidinium</i> sp.	
	<i>Pyrocystis lunula</i> (Schütt) Schütt	
	<i>Tripos furca</i> (Ehrenberg) Gómez	<i>Ceratium furca</i> (Ehrenberg) Claparède & Lachmann
	<i>Tripos fusus</i> (Ehrenberg) Gómez	<i>Ceratium fusus</i> (Ehrenberg) Dujardin
	<i>Tripos gibberus</i> (Gourret) Gómez	<i>Ceratium gibberum</i> Gourret
	<i>Tripos lineatus</i> (Ehrenberg) Gómez	<i>Ceratium lineatum</i> (Ehrenberg) Cleve <i>Peridinium lineatum</i> Ehrenberg
	<i>Tripos muelleri</i> Bory	<i>Ceratium tripos</i> (Müller) Nitzsch

Construction of dichotomous taxonomic keys

After the list of species was generated, a series of resources were consulted to construct the dichotomous taxonomic keys, including Boyer (1927), Cupp (1943), Keith (2018), Smith and West (1853), and Tomas et al. (1997) (see also **Appendix A**). A dichotomous decision tree framework was used to visually clarify how species were related taxonomically. The principle of parsimony was implemented to simplify the number of steps needed to differentiate genera and species from one another. Although previous research showed that a random decision forest framework could produce better recognition rates than single decision trees, this current project (R.Laxa) used the dichotomous decision tree framework in an effort to simplify the species

identification process (Fischer and Bunke 2001). Two keys were constructed in this project: "A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay" (**Appendix B**) and "A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay" (**Appendix C**). Software including Visual Paradigm Online Free Edition (<https://online.visual-paradigm.com/>), Adobe Acrobat DC, and Microsoft PowerPoint were used to construct the keys.

"A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay" (**Appendix B**) included the most common species of diatoms found in San Francisco Bay (**Table 1**) and organized them in dichotomous decision trees based on taxonomy. The technical key was constructed using Visual Paradigm Online Free Edition (<https://online.visual-paradigm.com/>) with the "Dichotomous Key" format to build the taxonomic decision trees as the software could accommodate for how spread out the trees could become. Additionally, the key was edited using Adobe Acrobat DC to make it digitally interactive, allowing for easier navigation.

Literature by Tomas et al. (1997) was primarily referenced to set up the keys through the taxonomic classification system of order, suborder, family, genus, species. The key starts by looking at the symmetry of the diatom (whether radially symmetric around a point or bilaterally symmetric) then splits off to order Biddulphiales (centric diatoms) and order Bacillariales (pennate diatoms), directing to different pages specific to the order of interest. These pages include detailed morphological descriptions of suborders and families and further directs to different pages specific to the family of interest (**Figure 5**). From there, the key asks a series of questions regarding morphology observable with LM and SEM through the dichotomous decision tree framework.




Figure 5. Page 7 from “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix B**), showing order Biddulphiales; suborders Coscinodiscineae, Rhizosoleniineae, and Biddulphiineae; and families corresponding to each suborder with detailed morphological descriptions and directions to pages in the key for further discrimination to the genus and species level.

Phytoplankton terminology used throughout the key is defined towards the end of the key to assist the reader through distinguishing the diatom down to the genus or species level. Size ranges for the species were also included in the key since diatom cell division results in variable sizes, as discussed previously (Figure 3). Taxonomic levels were color-coded: Blue boxes in the technical key indicate order and suborder, green boxes indicate family, red boxes indicate genus, and orange boxes indicate species. Purple boxes indicate genera based on Cupp (1943) and Boyer (1927) which classified Bacillariophyceae into two sections that differ from Tomas et al. (1997) - Centricae (Centric Diatoms) and Pennatae (Pennate Diatoms) - then subsections, subfamilies, tribes, genera, and then species.

"A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay" (**Appendix C**) included the most well-represented and significant genera and species of diatoms, as well as some dinoflagellates that are common taxa seen in San Francisco Bay. Unlike the technical key, the basic key organized the phytoplankton in dichotomous decision trees based on morphology observable under the LM rather than phylogenetic relationships to identify the phytoplankton. Therefore, the

key did not go through order, suborder, family, genus, and species but rather starts by looking at whether the phytoplankton of interest is found solitary, associated in a cluster, or united in a chain. From there, the key asks a series of questions to guide the reader through distinguishing the cell down to the genus or species level. Since the basic key is organized based on physical characteristics regardless of taxonomy, a color code is used to indicate whether a genus or species is a centric diatom (green), pennate diatom (purple), or dinoflagellate (orange) and a taxonomic species list is included at the end of the key as it is important to recognize where the phytoplankton fit taxonomically beyond being able to identify them on a genus or species level. The basic key was constructed using Microsoft PowerPoint rather than Visual Paradigm Online Free Edition since Microsoft PowerPoint allowed for imagery of phytoplankton to be easily implemented since the dichotomous decision trees in the basic key is more simplified compared to those in the technical key and could include imagery within the trees.

For both keys, DiatomBase (2021) was primarily used to determine the current accepted taxon name and synonyms of diatom species. Additionally, AlgaeBase (2021) was used in the basic key to determine the current accepted taxon name and synonyms of dinoflagellate species. Both keys also include images of the species. The technical key includes both LM and SEM images. However, most of the general public do not have access to SEM, so the basic key includes only LM images. Unless otherwise indicated, all LM images were taken by Dr. Deneb Karentz from the University of San Francisco.

RESULTS AND PRODUCTS

The original project, prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, was to use SEM to examine phenotypic characteristics of diatom cells and clarify the taxonomy of the assemblage of diatoms referred to as “centrics” from the study by Keith (2018). From the analysis of SEM images, five centric diatom species were identified: *Coscinodiscus curvatulus* (**Figure 6**), *Actinopterychus senarius* (**Figure 7**), *Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis* (**Figure 8**), *Coscinodiscus lentiginosa* (**Figure 9**), and *Thalassiosira nordenskioeldii* (**Figure 10**).




Figure 6. SEM images of *Coscinodiscus curvatulus*. **(A)** external valve face is concave (margins more raised than the center) and shows radial areolae of equal-sized pores which are divided into triangular sections extending from the center (x1.5k, 50 μ m scale). **(B)** higher magnification of **A** shows pseudonodulus (circled) slightly away from the marginal band (x4.0k, 20 μ m scale). **(C)** internal valve face with sand grains (arrow) shows evenly spaced labiate processes on the wall of the valve ($\sim$ 10 μ m apart) (x1.2k, 50 μ m scale). **(D)** higher magnification of **C** shows labiate processes (circled) and that areolae persist on valve walls (x5.0k, 20 μ m scale).




Figure 7. SEM images of *Actinoptychus senarius*. **(A)** external valve face shows six alternately raised and depressed sectors, smooth central area, and a beveled edge (x1.8k, 50 μm scale). **(B)** higher magnification of **A** shows strongly areolated outer membrane, less areolated inner membrane, one marginal pore-like process (circled), and numerous marginal spinulae (x4.0k, 20 μm scale).




Figure 8. SEM images of *Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis*. **(A)** external valve face (x1.0k, 100 μm scale). **(B)** higher magnification of **A** shows “flower configuration” of pores only visible in external valve view (x3.0k, 30 μm scale). **(C)** internal valve face shows radial areolae and small circular pores (x1.0k, 100 μm scale). **(D)** higher magnification view of **C** shows central rosette (circled) (x2.5k, 30 μm scale). **(E)** marginal tube-like processes (range from ~7-10 μm apart) only visible in internal valve view (x4.0k, 20 μm scale).




Figure 9. SEM images of *Coscinodiscus lentiginosa*, labiate process (circled) **(A)** external valve face shows a slightly beveled edge (x6.0k, 10 μ m scale). **(B)** internal valve face shows hexagonal areolae (x1.8k, 50 μ m scale). **(C)** full cell with girdle bands (x2.0k, 30 μ m scale). **(D)** full cell shows spines at the upper edge of the bevel (x2.0k, 30 μ m scale).




Figure 10. SEM images of *Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii*. **(A)** external valve face shows labiate process (arrow) and beveled edge (x6.0k, 10 µm scale). **(B)** external valve face shows strutted processes (circled) (x6.0k, 10 µm scale). **(C)** external valve face shows central process with filamentous structure attached (arrow) (x5.0k, 20 µm scale). **(D)** internal valve face shows linear, uniform areolae and labiate process (circled) (x4.0k, 20 µm scale).

The revised project constructed two dichotomous taxonomic keys for San Francisco Bay planktonic diatoms (**Appendix B and C**). “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix B**) is intended for an audience that is more familiar with phytoplankton terminology and research since the key goes into detailed taxonomic classification and morphological descriptions. The technical key includes the most common species of diatoms found in San Francisco Bay (**Table 1, Appendix A**). “A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix C**) is a more simplified version that is intended for an audience that is new to or does not have a basic knowledge of phytoplankton terminology and research. It is suitable for school-aged children and is organized based on morphology observable under LM rather than by classical taxonomy, although a taxonomic species list is included at the end of the key to inform where the species fit in phytoplankton taxonomy. Dinoflagellates were added to the Basic Key since there are some common taxa seen in San Francisco Bay. Additionally, a collection of open-source phytoplankton taxonomy

websites was compiled throughout the project (**Appendix D**). These keys, in addition to the open-source websites, will aid in the taxonomic identification of phytoplankton species found in San Francisco Bay.

DISCUSSION

Significant diatom species in San Francisco Bay

The most well-represented genera and significant diatom species found in San Francisco Bay, based on if they were observed in $\geq 50\%$ of the samples in the study by Keith (2018) from September 2015 - December 2017, included *Chaetoceros* spp., *Ditylum brightwelli*, *Pseudonitzschia* spp., *Rhizosolenia setigera*, *Skeletonema costatum*, *Thalassiosira* spp. and *Trieres mobiliensis*.

Chaetoceros Species

Bacillariophyceae (Class)

Biddulphiales (Order)

Biddulphiineae (Suborder)

Chaetocerotaceae (Family)

Chaetoceros (Genus)

(Tomas et al. 1997)

The genus *Chaetoceros* has ~400 species (Tomas et al. 1997). Although this number has varied over time as the validity of some species have been questioned, *Chaetoceros* is still one of the largest marine phytoplankton genera and one of the largest groups of centric diatoms (Cupp 1943, Malviya et al. 2016, Tomas et al. 1997). It is divided into two subgenera - *Phaeoceros* and *Hyalochaete* - and is characterized by cells that are mostly elliptical and rarely circular in valve view, rectangular in girdle view, and have setae or hollow extensions that appear as elongated spines which can connect the setae of neighboring cells (Cupp 1943, Tomas et al. 1997). These setae allow *Chaetoceros* species to float and stay in the euphotic zone (Perry 2003). Species within this genus vary by characteristics of the chloroplasts (such as their presence in setae, number, shape, and size), setae morphology, girdle height, chain direction (whether straight, curved/helical, or twisted), and resting spores (**Figure 11A**) (Tomas et al. 1997). While some species are oceanic, the majority of *Chaetoceros* species are neritic. *Chaetoceros curvisetus* and *Chaetoceros decipiens* were the two species that appeared in $\geq 50\%$ of the samples by Keith (2018).

C. curvisetus is usually found as a spirally curved chain of cells with setae that are directed outwards from the spiral (**Figure 11B**) (Cupp 1943, Tomas et al. 1997). The cells are 20-38 μm tall (pervalvar axis) and the concave valves are 7-30 μm in diameter and connect to one another via elevations at the cell margin (Cupp 1943, Scott and Marchant 2005, Tomas et al. 1997). Under LM, apertures, or openings between the valves, can be seen. SEM may be required to see the short central labiate process that is flattened and hidden in the inner valve view (Scott and Marchant 2005, Simonsen 1975, Tomas et al. 1997). *C. curvisetus* is a neritic,

cosmopolitan, and mostly south temperate and warm water species (Cupp 1943, Scott and Marchant 2005). It is often found off California, particularly in the spring and fall (**Figure 11D**) (Cupp 1943).

C. decipiens cells are 12-78 μm in diameter and have four sharp elevated corners in girdle view that touch the corners of adjacent cells to form a straight chain and do not have resting spores (**Figure 11C**). The setae begin fused in pairs at the base for a length that is two to three times larger than the diameter of the setae before separating (Cupp 1943, Tomas et al. 1997). Terminal setae, or setae present on the end cells of the chain, are shorter and thicker (Simonsen 1975, Tomas et al. 1997). Similar to *C. curvisetus*, *C. decipiens* has apertures that vary in shape, however, the type of shape changes according to the season; in particular, apertures tend to be smaller and more linear to lanceolate during the winter whereas they tend to be larger and more elliptical to circular during the summer and fall (Cupp 1943). Under SEM, a central labiate process is visible in the inner valve view (Tomas et al. 1997). *C. decipiens* is oceanic, arctic, and boreal (**Figure 11D**) (Boyer 1927, Cupp 1943, Ocean Biodiversity Observation System 2021).




Figure 11. *Chaetoceros* species. **(A)** LM image of *Chaetoceros* species. **(B)** LM image of *Chaetoceros curvisetus* (LM image by Stephanie Anderson). **(C)** LM image of *Chaetoceros decipiens*. **(D)** global distribution of *Chaetoceros* spp. based on Ocean Biodiversity Observation System (2021). Color scale indicates the number of records at the sampling site out of 434,817 global records.

Ditylum brightwellii

Bacillariophyceae (Class)

Biddulphiales (Order)

Biddulphiineae (Suborder)

Lithodesmiaceae (Family)

Ditylum (Genus)

Ditylum brightwellii (Species)

(Tomas et al. 1997)

Ditylum brightwellii is found as solitary cells that are usually triangular in valve view and rectangular or cylindrical in girdle view with a diameter of 14-100 μm and a height (pervalvar axis) of 80-130 μm (**Figure 12A**) (Cupp 1943, Tomas et al. 1997). Additionally, there is a hollow spine projecting from the center of the valve (Cupp 1943). Areolae on the valve face are larger than the areolae on the mantle and are elongated on the central region of the valve face (Tomas et al. 1997). One structure that is particularly characteristic of the genus *Ditylum* is the presence of a ridge on the margin of the cells. In *D. brightwellii*, this marginal ridge is either slotted, meaning that the basal membrane is perforated, or fimbriate with ansulae, meaning that it is fringed with ribbon-like structures which are split down the middle longitudinally (Tomas et al. 1997). *D. brightwellii* is a neritic, cosmopolitan and south temperate species (**Figure 12B**) (Cupp 1943, Ocean Biodiversity Observation System 2021).




Figure 12. *Ditylum brightwellii*. **(A)** LM image of *D. brightwellii*. **(B)** global distribution of *D. brightwellii* based on Ocean Biodiversity Observation System (2021). Color scale indicates the number of records at the sampling site out of 29,905 global records.

***Pseudo-nitzschia* Species**

Bacillariophyceae (Class)

Bacillariales (Order)

Bacillariineae (Suborder)

Bacillariaceae (Family)

Pseudo-nitzschia (Genus)

(Tomas et al. 1997)

The genus *Pseudo-nitzschia* has over 50 known species and the cells are often found in chains in which the ends of the rectangular to canoe-shaped valves overlap with adjacent cells to form the chains (**Figure 13A**) (Bates et al. 2018, Tomas et al. 1997). One characteristic that makes them unique from *Nitzschia* species includes the unelevated raphe system, or slit on the valve wall, that is visible under SEM (Ross et al. 1975, Tomas et al. 1997). Another unique characteristic of *Pseudo-nitzschia* is the narrow, pointed and open intercalary bands of the valve girdle which usually have striae of poroids or areolae that are not constricted by a foramen (Ross et al. 1979, Simonsen 1975, Tomas et al. 1997). *Pseudo-nitzschia* are marine species and are distributed around the globe, particularly near temperate coasts (**Figure 13B**) (Ocean Biodiversity Observation System 2021, Tomas et al. 1997).




Figure 13. *Pseudo-nitzschia* species. **(A)** LM image of *Pseudo-nitzschia* species. **(B)** global distribution of *Pseudo-nitzschia* spp. based on Ocean Biodiversity Observation System (2021). Color scale indicates the number of records at the sampling site out of 144,658 global records.

What makes *Pseudo-nitzschia* particularly significant is that approximately 50% of species are toxigenic and can produce domoic acid, a neurotoxin that can accumulate in the tissues of shellfish and fish (Bates et al. 2018, Buteyko 2010, Ekstrom et al. 2020, Moore et al. 2020, Perry 2003). When birds and marine mammals, like sea lions, consume the shellfish and fish, the levels of accumulated neurotoxin cause seizures and even death (Buteyko 2010). In humans, consuming toxic shellfish and fish can result in seizures, abnormal heart rate and/or rhythm (cardiac arrhythmias), comas, and even death if the intoxication is severe enough (Ekstrom et al. 2020, Perry 2003). However, less severe intoxication levels can still result in a multitude of symptoms associated with amnesic shellfish poisoning (ASP) including “gastrointestinal illness, headache, dizziness, confusion, disorientation, permanent short-term memory deficits, and motor weakness” (Ekstrom et al. 2020). There have been several harmful algal blooms (HABs) of *Pseudo-nitzschia* that have occurred off the United States West Coast since the 1990s, contaminating marine life, killing marine birds, and impacting the economy and culture of coastal communities (Ekstrom et al. 2020). One of the most recent HABs of *Pseudo-nitzschia* occurred in 2015 off the United States West Coast, spreading from southeastern Alaska to Santa Barbara, California (Ekstrom et al. 2020, Moore et al. 2020). It has been found that ocean acidification and warmer climate could have influenced the impact of the HAB; this highlights the important role that scientists and organizations such as the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) have in monitoring ocean conditions and phytoplankton biodiversity along the West Coast in order to observe levels of *Pseudo-nitzschia*, predict HAB occurrences, and mitigate their impact (Ekstrom et al. 2020, Moore et al. 2020). Currently, many policy-makers are working closely with scientists to improve upon HAB monitoring and develop risk management strategies (Buteyko 2010, Ekstrom et al. 2020, Moore et al. 2020).

Rhizosolenia setigera

Bacillariophyceae (Class)

Biddulphiales (Order)

Rhizosoleniineae (Suborder)

Rhizosoleniaceae (Family)

Rhizosolenia (Genus)

Rhizosolenia setigera (Species)

(Tomas et al. 1997)

Rhizosolenia setigera is characterized by elongated cylindrical, rod-like cells that taper at the ends into long, generally straight spines (**Figure 14A**) (Cupp 1943). The valves are conical and 4-20 µm in diameter (Cupp 1943, Tomas et al. 1997). Under SEM, areolae appear poroid and a labiate process can be seen (Tomas et al. 1997). *R. setigera* is a neritic and north temperate species (**Figure 14B**) (Boyer 1927, Cupp 1943, Ocean Biodiversity Observation System 2021).




Figure 14. *Rhizosolenia setigera*. **(A)** LM image of *R. setigera* (LM image by Sarka Martinez). **(B)** global distribution of *R. setigera* based on Ocean Biodiversity Observation System (2021). Color scale indicates the number of records at the sampling site out of 25,303 global records.

Skeletonema costatum

Bacillariophyceae (Class)

Biddulphiales (Order)

Coscinodiscineae (Suborder)

Thalassiosiraceae (Family)

Skeletonema (Genus)

Skeletonema costatum (Species)

(Tomas et al. 1997)

Skeletonema costatum is a straight chain of slightly convex cells with a diameter of 2-21 μm and a height (perivalvar axis) of 2-61 μm ; the cells form a chain by connecting to one another via tube-like processes at the valve margin (**Figure 15A**) (Boyer 1927, Tomas et al. 1997). The processes are approximately 8 μm and form a distinct line where they intersect with the processes of the adjacent cell (Boyer 1927). Additionally, these processes are permanently connected to one another, meaning that even with acid treatment to remove organic material, the cells remain attached to one another (Tomas et al. 1997). *S. costatum* is a neritic and cosmopolitan species that is spread out in all seas and is particularly abundant during the spring (**Figure 15B**) (Boyer 1927, Ocean Biodiversity Observation System 2021).




Figure 15. *Skeletonema costatum*. **(A)** LM image of *S. costatum*. **(B)** global distribution of *S. costatum* based on Ocean Biodiversity Observation System (2021). Color scale indicates the number of records at the sampling site out of 56,828 global records.

***Thalassiosira* Species**

Bacillariophyceae (Class)

Biddulphiales (Order)

Coscinodiscineae (Suborder)

Thalassiosiraceae (Family)

Thalassiosira (Genus)

Skeletonema costatum (Species)

(Tomas et al. 1997)

The genus *Thalassiosira* has over 100 species, and it has become one of the most well-studied marine phytoplankton due to the modern application of electron microscopy which provides greater detailed views of morphological characteristics for the identification of species (Garcia and Odebrecht 2009, Hassle 1973, Hoppenrath et al. 2007, Tomas et al. 1997). Several *Thalassiosira* species keys have been made, each of them starting with different morphological characteristics (Fryxell 1977, Tomas et al. 1997). *Thalassiosira* species are generally characterized by disk-shaped or drum-shaped cells with rounded or flat edges and are usually found united in flexible chains via gelatinous threads or associated in clusters via a gelatinous sheath (**Figure 16A**) (Cupp 1943, Tomas et al. 1997). Under LM, differences between species

can be seen in regards to valve shape and the length and thickness of connecting threads (Tomas et al. 1997). Under SEM, ornamentation such as the number and type of processes (whether strutted or labiate) and the pattern of areolae can help distinguish species from one another (Hoppenrath et al. 2007, Li et al. 2013). *Thalassiosira* species are neritic, arctic, and temperate (**Figure 16B**) (Cupp 1943, Fryxell 1977, Ocean Biodiversity Observation System 2021).




Figure 16. *Thalassiosira* species. **(A)** LM image of *Thalassiosira* species. **(B)** global distribution of *Thalassiosira* species based on Ocean Biodiversity Observation System (2021). Color scale indicates the number of records at the sampling site out of 201,302 records.

Trieres mobiliensis

Bacillariophyceae (Class)

Triceratales (Order)

Triceratiaceae (Family)

Trieres (Genus)

Trieres mobiliensis (Species)

(Tomas et al. 1997)

Trieres mobiliensis is the currently accepted species name for the commonly referred to species *Biddulphia mobiliensis* (DiatomBase 2021). The cells are either solitary or found in short chains of elliptical to lanceolate, convex valves in valve view or rectangular valves in girdle view (**Figure 17A**) (Boyer 1927, Lavigne et al. 2015, Scott and Marchant 2005, Sims et al. 2018).

The shape of *T. mobiliensis* in valve view has also been described as dodecagonal (Lavigne et al. 2015). Cells can be 30-130 μm in height (pervalvar axis), 27-200 μm along the apical axis, and 22-43 μm along the transapical axis (Boyer 1927, Lavigne et al. 2015, Scott and Marchant 2005, Sims et al. 2018). Small conical elevations towards the center of the valves taper and extend into long spines (Boyer 1927, Sims et al. 2018). Two to four long and curved labiate processes can also be seen under LM extending diagonally from the valve margin (Cupp 1943, Scott and Marchant 2005). Under SEM, areolae appear hexagonal and loculate or chamber-like, there are small spines (spinules) on the valve face, and a central annulus, or ring without areolae, surrounds poroids (Lavigne et al. 2015, Ross et al. 1979, Simonsen 1975, Sims et al. 2018, Tomas et al. 1997). *T. mobiliensis* is a neritic, temperate, and south temperate species (Figure 17B) (Boyer 1927, Cupp 1943).



Figure 17. *Trieres mobiliensis*. **(A)** LM image of *T. mobiliensis* (LM image by GTMResearchReserve). **(B)** global distribution of *T. mobiliensis* based on Ocean Biodiversity Observation System (2021). Color scale indicates the number of records at the sampling site out of 582 records.

Challenges in the construction of dichotomous taxonomic keys

Taxonomy is always changing. This is evident through species synonymy (**Table 1, Appendix A**). Synonymy refers to the scientific names that have been given to a taxon, such as variations in spelling and emendations (Gardner and Hayssen 2004). Two types of synonyms include heterotypic (taxonomic) synonyms and homotypic (nomenclatural) synonyms (McNeill et al. 2012). Heterotypic synonyms are names based on different type specimens and are therefore determined by taxonomist opinions (McNeill et al. 2012). Homotypic synonyms are names based on the same type specimen and are determined by nomenclatural rules set by the International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (ICN) (McNeill et al. 2012). Over time, species names change as advancements in technology, such as molecular techniques and electron microscopy, allow for the identification of unique molecular markers and specific morphology not previously distinguishable (Leliart 2021). While some changes in naming conventions are more straightforward, such as the renaming of the genus *Streptotheca* into *Heliotheca*, some other changes may lead to some confusion over where species fit in taxonomically. For example, *Bacillaria paxillifer* has three recognized synonyms: *Bacillaria paradoxa*, *Nitzschia paradoxa*, and *Vibrio paxillifer*. Of these three synonyms, two of them are from entirely different genera. Additionally, some genera are similar to one another in morphology, with only a slight difference separating the two; as a result, there have been disputes over which genus certain species belong to. This is why we tend to see an interchange of naming between some *Thalassiosira* and *Coscinodiscus* species (such as *Thalassiosira anguste-lineata* which is currently accepted as *Coscinodiscus angustelineatus*), between some *Guinardia* and *Eucampia* species (such as *Guinardia striata* which is currently accepted as *Eucampia striata*), and between some *Odontella*, *Biddulphia*, and *Trieres* species (such as *Odontella weissflogii*, *Biddulphia mobiliensis*, and the currently accepted *Trieres mobiliensis*).

Morphological variation also contributes to the difficulty in taxonomic identification (Battarbee 1986). Some species may not have enough distinguishable differences in characteristics, resulting in the misidentification of species (Fischer and Bunke 2001, Pappas and Stoermer 2001). Additionally, ranges in size amongst individuals of a particular diatom species due to cell reproduction and division further contributes to this confusion (Battarbee 1986). Changes in size may also result in shape distortions which could impact species identification based on morphology (Pappas 2006). Furthermore, cells at different points in the diatom life cycle may look different from one another, resulting in misidentifications of a species as several species rather than one (Pappas 2006).

Additionally, since the 1800s there have been many different classification systems for the taxonomy of diatoms, contributing to the confusion that many non-taxonomists may encounter when identifying diatom species (Spamer and Theriot 1997, Williams et al. 2011). The most current classification system - which was primarily referenced in the construction of the technical and basic keys in this project - organizes diatoms by order Biddulphiales (centric diatoms) or order Bacillariales (pennate diatoms), then suborders, families, genera, and species (Tomas et al. 1997, Williams et al. 2011). However, previous classification systems have referred to centric diatoms as Centricae and pennate diatoms as Pennatae (Boyer 1927, Cupp 1943). These older classification systems differ from the current classification system because they included

subsections, subfamilies, tribes, and sub-tribes (Cupp 1943, Smith and West 1853). Furthermore, the current classification system uses the suffix *-ineae* for suborders whereas older classification systems used the suffix *-atae* (Boyer 1927, Tomas et al. 1997). These and many more different classification systems arose as scientists discovered characteristics and considered them for the basis of determining species from one another (Williams et al. 2011). It is important that current naming structures and taxonomic classification systems are referenced when doing scientific research to prevent misuse and errors in species identification which contributes to conflicting nomenclature data (Spamer and Theriot 1997). For this reason, DiatomBase (2021) was used as a standard in determining the current accepted taxon name and synonyms of diatom species. Referencing current taxonomic literature is also critical because taxonomy is the only way biodiversity and evolution of diatoms can be properly assessed and quantified.

Contribution to public outreach

Ultimately, these keys will assist in the study of phytoplankton and the furthering of scientific education in the San Francisco Bay Area. As mentioned previously, there are several groups of that are studying and looking at San Francisco Bay phytoplankton. In particular, this project is in collaboration with the Gulf of Farallones Visitor Center (NOAA 2017), and “A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay” will be implemented into the marine education programs for children in grades kindergarten through high school to guide their exploration of phytoplankton. Within USF, these keys will support courses in the Department of Biology such as General Biology, and the upper division Oceanography course in which students sample and examine phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay (University of San Francisco 2021). Additionally, these keys will serve as a resource for continuing phytoplankton research at the University of San Francisco.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CONTINUING WORK

Although the two keys developed in the project were intended to serve as a guide of common diatoms found in San Francisco Bay, taxonomy is always changing. Additionally, the classification system used to guide the construction of the technical key is an artificial identification system based on hypotheses, and while this system is the most modern one, adjustments to this system may be made as more characteristics are considered, species are discovered, and technological advancements are developed (Williams et al. 2011). Therefore, these keys should be updated accordingly in the future. Additionally, some species, such as those in the genus *Coscinodiscus*, were grouped together in the technical key due to practical issues of differentiating the species from one another. Future research should analyze the morphological differences between the species and expand upon the current key. It is also worth noting that the technical key does not specify whether a morphological characteristic can be observed under the LM or under SEM only. Future work should clarify this or specify up to what node on the taxonomic trees LM is limited to as many individuals may not have access to SEM.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to acknowledge and thank everyone who made this study possible, including: Dr. Deneb Karentz for her guidance throughout the study, Dr. Mary Jane Niles and Dr. Sangman Kim for their support in developing the keys, Janai Southworth and Justin Holl for their guidance in developing the basic key and collaboration to implement the key at the Visitor Center at Gulf of the Farallones National Marine Sanctuary (NOAA), Jeff Oda for assistance and maintenance of the scanning electron microscope, Theresa Keith for her previous research and collection samples that inspired this research, and the donors to the University of San Francisco Biology Gift Fund whose generosity contributed to the research grant that funded part of this study.

REFERENCES

- AlgaeBase (2021) <https://www.algaebase.org/>.
- Armand LK and Zielinski U (2001) Diatom species of the genus *Rhizosolenia* from Southern Ocean sediments: Distribution and taxonomic notes. *Diatom Research* 16: 259-294
- Ashworth MP, Nakov T, Theriot EC (2013) Revisiting Ross and Sims (1971): Toward a molecular phylogeny of the Biddulphiaceae and Eupodiscaceae (Bacillariophyceae). *Journal of Phycology* 49: 1207-1222
- Bates SS, Hubbard KA, Lundholm N, Montresor M, Leaw CP (2018) *Pseudo-nitzschia*, *Nitzschia*, and domoic acid: New research since 2011. *Harmful Algae* 79: 3-43
- Battarbee RW (1986) Diatom analysis. *Handbook of Holocene palaeoecology and palaeohydrology*, Berglund BE, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester
- Boyer CS (1927) Synopsis of North American Diatomaceae. *Academy of Natural Sciences* 78: 1-228
- Busseni G, Caputi L, Piredda R, Hay Mele B, Campese L, Scalco E, de Vargas C, Bowler C, d'Ovidio F, Zingone A, d'Alcalà MR, Iudicone D (2020) Large scale patterns of marine diatom richness: Drivers and trends in a changing ocean. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 29: 1915-1928
- Buteyko V (2010) Harmful algal blooms : Impact and response. Nova Science Publishers
- Cloern JE and Dufford R (2019) Phytoplankton community ecology: Principles applied in San Francisco Bay. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 285: 11-28
- Cupp EE (1943) Marine plankton diatoms of the West Coast of North America. *Bulletin of the Scripps Institution of Oceanography* 5: 1-238
- DiatomBase (2021) <https://www.diatombase.org/aphia.php?p=search>.

- Ekstrom JA, Moore SK, Klinger T (2020) Examining harmful algal blooms through a disaster risk management lens: A case study of the 2015 U.S. West Coast domoic acid event. *Harmful Algae* 94: 101740
- Falkowski PG (1998) Biogeochemical controls and feedbacks on ocean primary production. *Science* 281: 200-206
- Fischer S and Bunke H (2001) Automatic identification of diatoms using decision forests. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science* 2123: 173-183
- Fryxell GA (1977) *Thalassiosira australis* Peragallo and *T. lentiginosa* (Janisch) G. Fryxell, comb. nov.: Two antarctic Antarctic diatoms (Bacillariophyceae). *Phycologia* (Oxford) 16: 95-104
- Garcia M and Odebrecht C (2009) Morphology and ecology of *Thalassiosira* Cleve (Bacillariophyta) species rarely recorded in Brazilian coastal waters. *Brazilian Journal of Biology* 69: 1059-1071
- Gardner AL and Hayssen V (2004) A Guide to Constructing and Understanding Synonymies for Mammalian Species. *Mammalian SPecies* 739: 1-17
- Google Maps (2021) San Francisco, CA.
<https://www.google.com/maps/place/San+Francisco,+CA/@37.7576171,-122.5776844,46722m/data=!3m2!1e3!4b1!4m5!3m4!1s0x80859a6d00690021:0x4a501367f076adff!8m2!3d37.7749295!4d-122.4194155>. Accessed on 4/21/2021.
- Hasle GR (1973) *Thalassiosiraceae*, a new diatom family. *Norwegian Journal of Botany* 20: 67-69
- Hernández-Becerril DU, Nelson NR, Barón-Campis SA, Moreno-Guérrez SP (2013) Morphological study of two closely related marine planktonic diatoms: *Bellerophcea malleus* and *Helicotheca tamesis*. *Cryptogamie Algologie* 34: 245-254
- Hoppenrath M, Beszteri B, Drebes G, Halliger H, Van Beusekom, Justus E. E, Janisch S, Wiltshire KH (2007) *Thalassiosira* species (Bacillariophyceae, Thalassiosirales) in the North Sea at Helgoland (German Bight) and Sylt (North Frisian Wadden Sea) – a first approach to assessing diversity. *European Journal of Phycology* 42(3): 271-288
- Jónasdóttir SH (2019) Fatty acid profiles and production in marine phytoplankton. *Marine Drugs* 17: 151
- Kaczmarek I and Jahn R (2006) Taxonomic appraisal of *Melosira arctica* Dickie and description of a new variety (Bacillariophyta). *Botanica Marina* 49: 151-164
- Kainz M, Arts MT, Mazumder A (2004) Essential fatty acids in the planktonic food web and their ecological role for higher trophic levels. *Limnology and Oceanography* 49: 1784-1793

- Kale A and Karthick B (2015) The diatoms: Big significance of tiny glass house. *Resonance* 20: 919-930
- Keith T (2018) Planktonic diatom species succession in San Francisco Bay (September 2015 - December 2017). Biology Honors Thesis, University of San Francisco
- Kudela Lab at the University of California Santa Cruz (2021) Phytoplankton Identification: Getting familiar with tiny drifters along the West Coast. <http://oceandatacenter.ucsc.edu/PhytoGallery/index.html>.
- Lavigne AS, Sunesen I, Sar EA (2015) Morphological, taxonomic and nomenclatural analysis of species of *Odontella*, *Trieres* and *Zygoceros* (Triceratiaceae, Bacillariophyta) from Anegada Bay (Province of Buenos Aires, Argentina). *Diatom Research* 30: 1-25
- Leblanc K, Aristegui J, Armand L, Assmy P, Beker B, Bode A, Breton E, Cornet V, Gibson J, Gosselin M-P, Kopczynska E, Marshall H, Pelloquin J, Piontkovski S, Poulton AJ, Quéguiner B, Schiebel R, Shipe R, Stefels J, van Leeuwe MA, Varela M, Widdicombe C, Yallop M (2012) A global diatom database - abundance, biovolume and biomass in the world ocean. *Earth System Science Data* 4: 149-165
- Leliaert F (2021) Advancing the science of algal taxonomy. *Journal of Phycology* 57: 412-415
- Li Y, Zhao Q, Lü S (2013) The genus *Thalassiosira* off the Guangdong coast, South China Sea. *Botanica Marina* 56: 83-110
- Lipsey LLJ (1987) Freshwater diatoms (Bacillariophyceae) from the Northeastern Glacial Lake District of Wisconsin. I. *Attheya*, *Cyclotella*, *Melosira*, *Rhizosolenia*, and *Stephanodiscus* (order Centrales). *Rhodora* 89: 261-278
- Malviya S, Scalco E, Audic S, Vincent F, Veluchamy A, Poulain J, Wincker P, Iudicone D, de Vargas C, Bittner L, Zingone A, Bowler C (2016) Insights into global diatom distribution and diversity in the world's ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113: E1516-E1525
- McNeill J, Barrie FR, Buck WR, Demoulin V, Greuter W, Hawksworth DL, Herendeen PS, Knapp S, Marhold K, Prado J, Prud'homme Van Reine F, Smith GF, Wiersema JH, Turland NJ (2012) International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants (Melbourne Code). *Regnum Vegetabile* 154. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag KG.
- Moore KM, Allison EH, Dreyer SJ, Ekstrom JA, Jardine SL, Klinger T, Moore SK, Norman KC (2020) Harmful algal blooms: Identifying effective adaptive actions used in fishery-dependent communities in response to a protracted event. *Frontiers in Marine Science* 6: 1-12
- NASA Earth Observatory (2021) Chlorophyll. https://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/global-maps/MY1DMM_CHLORA. Accessed on 5/4/2021.

- Nejad ES, Schraga TS, Cloern JE (2017) Phytoplankton species composition, abundance and cell size in San Francisco Bay: Microscopic analyses of USGS samples collected 1992-2014: U.S. Geological Survey data release, <https://doi.org/10.5066/F74F1P6P>.
- NOAA (2017) Greater Farallones National Marine Sanctuary Visitor Center. <https://farallones.noaa.gov/education/visitorcenter.html>. Accessed on 5/9/2021.
- Ocean Biodiversity Observation System (2021) Mapper. <https://mapper.obis.org/>. Accessed on 5/5/2021.
- Pappas JL (2006) Biological taxonomic problem solving using fuzzy decision-making analytical tools. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 157: 1687-1703
- Pappas JL and Stoermer EF (2001) *Asterionella* hassall (Heterokontophyta, Bacillariophyceae) taxonomic history and quantitative methods as an aid to valve shape differentiation. *Diatom* 17: 47-58
- Perry R (2003) A guide to the marine plankton of southern California 3rd edition. UCLA Marine Science Center OceanGLOBE. https://www.academia.edu/23471699/A_Guide_to_the_M_A_R_I_N_E_P_L_A_N_K_T_O_N_of_southern_California_3rd_Edition.
- Ross R, Cox E, Karayeva NI, Mann D, Paddock T, Simonsen R, Sims P (1979) An amended terminology for the siliceous components of the diatom cell. *Nova Hedwigia* 64: 513-533
- Schabhöttl S, Hingsamer P, Weigelhofer G, Hein T, Weigert A, Striebel M (2012) Temperature and species richness effects in phytoplankton communities. *Oecologia* 171: 527-536
- Scott FJ and Marchant HJ. (2005) Antarctic marine protists. Canberra: Australian Biological Resources Study/Australian Antarctic Division
- Simonsen R (1975) Third symposium on recent and fossil marine diatoms. J Cramer Publisher
- Sims PA, Williams DM, Ashworth M (2018) Examination of type specimens for the genera *Odontella* and *Zygoceros* (Bacillariophyceae) with evidence for the new family *Odontellaceae* and a description of three new genera. *Phytotaxa* 381: 1-56
- Smith W and West T (1853) A synopsis of the British Diatomaceae : with remarks on their structure, functions and distribution; and instructions for collecting and preserving specimens. John Van Voorst

- Spamer EE and Theriot EC (1997) *Stephanodiscus minutulus*, *S. minutus*, and similar epithets in taxonomic, ecological, and evolutionary studies of modern and fossil diatoms (Bacillariophyceae: Thalassiosiraceae): A century and a half of uncertain taxonomy and nomenclatural hearsay. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 148: 231-272
- Tanimura Y, Kato M, Nagumo T, Kobayashi A, Yokoyama K (2005) An exceptionally well preserved middle pleistocene epiphytic diatom, *Arachnoidiscus ornatus*, from Japan: A possible taphonomic process. *Bulletin of the National Science Museum* 31: 7-12
- Tomas CR, Hasle GR, Syvertsen EE, Steidinger KA, Tangen K, Thronsen J, Heimdal BR (1997) *Identifying marine phytoplankton*. Academic Press
- University of San Francisco (2021) BIOL 392 - Oceanography.
https://catalog.usfca.edu/preview_course_nopop.php?catoid=22&coid=185978.
- Williams DM and Kociolek JP (2011) An overview of diatom classification with some prospects for the future. *Diatom World* 19: 47-91
- Yun SM, Lee SD, Park JS, Lee JH (2016) A new approach for identification of the genus *Paralia* (Bacillariophyta) in Korea based on morphology and morphometric analyses. *Algae* 31: 1-16

IMAGE CREDITS

Unless otherwise indicated, all LM images were taken by Dr. Deneb Karentz from the University of San Francisco.

Figure 10B: LM image of *Chaetoceros curvisetus* by Stephanie Anderson retrieved from <https://web.uri.edu/qso/research/plankton/data/>

Figure 13A: LM image of *Rhizosolenia setigera* by Sarka Martinez retrieved from https://www.inaturalist.org/guide_taxa/356511

Figure 16A: LM image of *Trieres mobiliensis* by GTMResearchReserve retrieved from https://www.inaturalist.org/guide_taxa/353280

APPENDICES

Appendix A:

List of diatom species in San Francisco Bay included in “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix B**) and taxonomic resources associated with each species.

Species	Synonym	Resources
<i>Achnanthes</i> sp. Bory		Cupp 1943, pp. 191-192 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Actinopterychus senarius</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Actinopterychus undulatus</i> (Bailey) Ralfs	Boyer 1927, pp. 64-65 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 51 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 141 (Plate 22)
<i>Actinopterychus</i> sp. Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, pp. 66-67 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Amphiprora</i> sp. Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, p. 197 Smith and West 1853, p. 43 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Arachnoidiscus ornatus</i> Ehrenberg		Boyer 1927, p. 69 Tanimura et al. 2005
<i>Asterionella formosa</i> Hassall		Boyer 1927, p. 213 Pappas and Stoermer 2001
<i>Asterionella japonica</i> Cleve	<i>Asterionellopsis glacialis</i> (Castracane) Round	Cupp 1943, pp. 188-189 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 241 (Plate 50)
<i>Asterolampra</i> sp. Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, p. 68 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Asteromphalus</i> Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, p. 68 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Asteromphalus hookeri</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Asteromphalus humboldtii</i> Ehrenberg	Boyer 1927, pp. 74-75 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 14 Tomas et al. 1997 (Plate 25, Table 31)
<i>Bacillaria paxillifer</i> (Müller) Marsson	<i>Bacillaria paradoxa</i> Gmelin <i>Nitzschia paradoxa</i> (Gmelin) Grunow <i>Vibrio paxillifer</i> Müller	Cupp 1943, pp. 206-207 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 293 (Plate 66)
<i>Biddulphia</i> sp. Gray		Cupp 1943, pp. 151-152 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Chaetoceros affinis</i> Lauder	<i>Chaetoceros affine</i> Lauder <i>Chaetoceros schuttii</i> Cleve	Cupp 1943, pp. 124-126 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 216 (Plate 46, Table 54)
<i>Chaetoceros constrictus</i> Gran		Cupp 1943, pp. 122-123 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 209 (Plate 43, Table 50)
<i>Chaetoceros curvisetus</i> Cleve		Cupp 1943, pp. 137-138 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 31 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 211 (Plate 44, Table 51)
<i>Chaetoceros debilis</i> Cleve	<i>Chaetoceros debile</i> Cleve	Cupp 1943, pp. 138-140 Scott and Marchant 2005, pp. 31-32 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 211 (Plate 44, Table 51)
<i>Chaetoceros decipiens</i> Cleve		Boyer 1927, pp. 108-109 Cupp 1943, pp. 115-116 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 204 (Plate 42, Table 49)
<i>Chaetoceros didymus</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Chaetoceros didymum</i> Ehrenberg	Boyer 1927, pp. 107-108 Cupp 1943, pp. 120-122 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 192 (Plate 43, Table 50)
<i>Chaetoceros radicans</i> Schütt		Cupp 1943, pp. 141-142 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 213 (Plate 45, Table 52)

List of diatom species in San Francisco Bay included in “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix B**) and taxonomic resources associated with each species.

Species	Synonym	Resources
<i>Chaetoceros socialis</i> Lauder		Cupp 1943, p. 143 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 37 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 221 (Plate 47)
<i>Chaetoceros</i> spp. Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, pp. 100-103 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 189-193 (Plates 38-47, Tables 51-56)
<i>Chaetoceros tortissimus</i> Gran		Cupp 1943, p. 142 Scott and Marchant 2005, pp. 37-38 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 215 (Plate 45, Table 52)
<i>Corethron hystrix</i> Hensen	<i>Corethron criophilum</i> var. <i>hystrix</i> (Hensen) Hendey	Boyer 1927, pp. 114-115 Cupp 1943, p. 70-74
<i>Corethron pennatum</i> (Grunow) Ostenfeld		Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 65
<i>Corethron</i> sp. Castracane		Cupp 1943, p. 70 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Coscinodiscus angustelineatus</i> Schmidt	<i>Thalassiosira anguste-lineata</i> (Schmidt) Fryxell and Hasle	Hoppenrath et al. 2007 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 71 (Plate 9, Table 11)
<i>Coscinodiscus curvatulus</i> var. <i>curvatulus</i> Grunow	<i>Actinocyclus curvatulus</i> (Grunow) Cleve	Boyer 1927, p. 48 Cupp 1943, pp. 54-55 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 52-54 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 121 (Plate 19, Table 25)
<i>Coscinodiscus lentiginosus</i> var. <i>lentiginosus</i> Janisch	<i>Thalassiosira lentiginosa</i> (Janisch) Fryxell	Boyer 1927, p. 49 Fryxell 1977 Scott and Marchant 2005, pp. 100, 103 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 79 (Plate 10, Table 13)
<i>Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis</i> Ehrenberg		Boyer 1927, p. 57 Cupp 1943, pp. 62-63 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 44
<i>Coscinodiscus</i> spp. Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, pp. 50-52 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Detonula</i> sp. Schütt ex De Toni		Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Ditylum brightwellii</i> (West) Grunow	<i>Triceratium brightwellii</i> West	Cupp 1943, 148-150 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 230-231 (Plate 48, Table 58)
<i>Ditylum</i> sp. Bailey ex Bailey		Cupp 1943, p. 148 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Eucampia</i> sp. Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, p. 145 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Eucampia striata</i> Stolterfoth	<i>Guinardia striata</i> (Stolterfoth) Hasle <i>Rhizosolenia stolterfothii</i> Peragallo	Cupp 1943, pp. 83-84 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 163 (Plate 31, Table 36)
<i>Eucampia zodiacus</i> Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, p. 145-146 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 175 (Plate 34, Table 40) Boyer 1927, p. 116
<i>Gyrosigma balticum</i> (Ehrenberg) Rabenhorst	<i>Pleurosigma balticum</i> (Ehrenberg) Smith	Smith and West 1853, p. 66
<i>Heliotheca</i> sp. Ricard	<i>Streptothecha</i> sp. Shrubsole	Cupp 1943, p. 147
<i>Heliotheca tamesis</i> (Shrubsole) Ricard	<i>Streptothecha thamensis</i> Shrubsole	Cupp 1943, p. 147-148 Hernández-Becerril et al. 2013 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 234-235 (Plate 48)
<i>Hobaniella longicruris</i> (Greville) Sims and Williams	<i>Odontella longicruris</i> (Greville) Hoban <i>Biddulphia longicruris</i> var. <i>longicruris</i> Greville	Ashworth et al. 2013 Cupp 1943, pp. 154-156 Lavigne et al. 2015 Sims et al. 2018 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 238-239 (Plate 49, Table 62)

List of diatom species in San Francisco Bay included in “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix B**) and taxonomic resources associated with each species.

Species	Synonym	Resources
<i>Isthmia nervosa</i> Kützing		Boyer 1927, p. 140 Cupp 1943, pp. 166-167
<i>Lauderia confervacea</i> Cleve	<i>Detonula confervacea</i> (Cleve) Gran	Boyer 1927, p. 102 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 35-36 (Plate 1, Table 2)
<i>Lauderia</i> sp. Cleve		Cupp 1943, p. 74 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Leptocylindrus danicus</i> Cleve		Cupp 1943, p. 77-78 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 65 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 93 (Plate 14, Table 18)
<i>Lithodesmium undulatum</i> Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, p. 150-151 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 232-234 (Plate 48, Table 60)
<i>Melosira arctica</i> var. <i>arctica</i> Dickie	<i>Melosira arctica</i> (Ehrenberg) Ralfs <i>Gaillonella arctica</i> (Dickie) Ehrenberg	Kaczmarek and Jahn 2006 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 89 (Plate 14, Table 16)
<i>Melosira moniliformis</i> (Müller) Agardh		Cupp 1943, pp. 39-40
<i>Melosira</i> sp. Agardh		Cupp 1943, p. 39 Lipsey 1987 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Melosira varians</i> Agardh		Lipsey 1987, p. 266
<i>Navicula challengerii</i> Grunow	<i>Tropidoneis antarctica</i> (Grunow) Cleve <i>Membraneis challengerii</i> (Grunow) Paddock <i>Amphiprora challengerii</i> (Grunow) De Toni	Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 154 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 287 (Plate 64, Table 72)
<i>Navicula</i> sp. Bory de Saint-Vincent		Cupp 1943, pp. 192-193 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Nitzschia closterium</i> (Ehrenberg) Smith	<i>Cylindrotheca closterium</i> (Ehrenberg) Lewin and Reimann <i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i> Bohlin	Cupp 1943, p. 200 Smith and West 1853, pp. 42-43 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 269 (Plate 60), p. 294 (Plate 66)
<i>Nitzschia longissima</i> (Brébisson) Ralfs	<i>Nitzschiella longissima</i> (Brébisson) Rabenhorst	Cupp 1943, pp. 200-201 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 191 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 329 (Plate 74)
<i>Nitzschia sigma</i> (Kützing) Smith	<i>Sigmatella sigma</i> (Kützing) Frenguelli	Smith and West 1853, p. 39
<i>Nitzschia</i> sp. Hassall		Cupp 1943, p. 199 Smith and West 1853, pp. 38-43 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Odontella aurita</i> (Lyngbye) Agardh	<i>Biddulphia aurita</i> (Lyngbye) Brébisson	Ashworth et al. 2013 Cupp 1943, pp. 160-162 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 48 Sims et al. 2018 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 236-239 (Plate 49, Table 62)
<i>Odontella obtusa</i> Kützing	<i>Biddulphia aurita</i> var. <i>obtusa</i> (Kützing) Hustedt	Boyer 1927, p. 123 Cupp 1943, pp. 162-163 Lavigne et al. 2015
<i>Paralia sulcata</i> (Ehrenberg) Cleve	<i>Melosira sulcata</i> (Ehrenberg) Kützing <i>Gaillonella sulcata</i> Ehrenberg <i>Orthoseira marina</i> Smith	Cupp 1943, pp. 39-40 Yun et al. 2016 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 91 (Plate 14)
<i>Pleurosigma</i> spp. Smith		Cupp 1943, p. 194 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Porosira</i> sp. Jorgensen		Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Pseudo-nitzschia</i> sp. Peragallo		Tomas et al. 1997, p. 307

List of diatom species in San Francisco Bay included in “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix B**) and taxonomic resources associated with each species.

Species	Synonym	Resources
<i>Rhizosolenia calcar-avis</i> Schultze	<i>Pseudosolenia calcar-avis</i> (Schultze) Sundström	Cupp 1943, pp. 89-90 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 160 (Plate 30)
<i>Rhizosolenia robusta</i> Norman ex Ralfs		Cupp 1943, pp. 83-85 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 159 (Plate 30)
<i>Rhizosolenia semispina</i> Hensen	<i>Rhizosolenia hebetata</i> (Hensen) Margalef <i>Rhizosolenia hebetata f. semispina</i> (Hensen) Gran	Armand and Zielinski 2001 Boyer 1927, pp. 100-101 Cupp 1943, pp. 88-89 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 81 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 149-150 (Plate 27, Table 33)
<i>Rhizosolenia setigera</i> Brightwell		Boyer 1927, p. 100 Cupp 1943, p. 88 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 157 (Plate 30)
<i>Rhizosolenia</i> sp. Brightwell	<i>Proboscia</i> sp. Sundstrom	Armand and Zielinski 2001 Cupp 1943, pp. 79-80 Lipsey 1987 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Rhizosolenia styliformis</i> Brightwell		Armand and Zielinski 2001 Cupp 1943, p. 87 Scott and Marchant 2005, p. 83-84 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 144-146 (Plate 26, Table 32)
<i>Skeletonema costatum</i> (Greville) Cleve		Boyer 1927, p. 63 Cupp 1943, pp. 43-44 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 44-45 (Plate 3, Table 6)
<i>Skeletonema</i> sp. Greville		Cupp 1943, p. 43 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Stephanopyxis</i> sp. Ehrenberg		Cupp 1943, p. 40 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Stephanopyxis turris</i> (Greville) Ralfs		Boyer 1927, p. 35 Cupp 1943, pp. 40-41
<i>Synedra nitzschioides f. nitzschioides</i> Grunow	<i>Thalassionema nitzschioides</i> (Grunow) Mereschkowsky <i>Thalassiothrix nitzschioides</i> Grunow	Boyer 1927, pp. 207-208 Cupp 1943, pp. 182-183 Scott and Marchant 2005, pp. 144-145 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 257-262 (Plate 57, Table 66)
<i>Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii</i> Cleve		Boyer 1927, p. 62 Cupp 1943, pp. 46-67 Hoppenrath et al. 2007 Li et al. 2013 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 56 (Plate 5, Table 7)
<i>Thalassiosira rotula</i> Meunier	<i>Coscinodiscus rotulus</i> (Meunier) Cleve-Euler	Cupp 1943, pp. 49-50 Hoppenrath et al. 2007 Tomas et al. 1997, p. 70 (Plate 8, Table 10)
<i>Thalassiosira</i> spp. Cleve		Cupp pp. 45-46 Fryxell 1977 Garcia and Odebrecht 2009 Hoppenrath et al. 2007 Li et al. 2013 Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Thalassiosira subtilis</i> (Ostenfeld) Gran		Cupp 1943, pp. 49, 51 Hoppenrath et al. 2007
<i>Thalassiothrix mediterranea var. pacifica</i> Cupp	<i>Lioloma pacificum</i> (Cupp) Hasle	Cupp 1943, pp. 185-186 Tomas et al. 1997, pp. 254-257 (Plate 53, Plate 54, Table 65)
<i>Thalassiothrix</i> sp. Cleve and Grunow		Cupp 1943, p. 183 Tomas et al. 1997

List of diatom species in San Francisco Bay included in “A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” (**Appendix B**) and taxonomic resources associated with each species.

Species	Synonym	Resources
<i>Triceratium alternans</i> Bailey	<i>Trigonium alternans</i> (Bailey) Mann <i>Biddulphia alternans</i> (Bailey) Van Heurck	Ashworth et al. 2013 Boyer 1927, pp. 134-135 Cupp 1943, pp. 165-166
<i>Triceratium</i> sp. Ehrenberg		Tomas et al. 1997
<i>Trieres mobiliensis</i> (Bailey) Ashworth and Theriot	<i>Biddulphia mobiliensis</i> (Bailey) Grunow <i>Odontella weissflogii</i> Grunow	Ashworth et al. 2013 Boyer 1927, p. 122 Cupp 1943, p. 153 Lavigne et al. 2015 Sims et al. 2018 Scott and Marchant 2005, pp. 48-51
<i>Tropidoneis</i> sp. Cleve		Cupp 1943, p. 197 Tomas et al. 1997

Appendix B:

“A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay” is a digitally interactive document that contains (1) a list of common diatoms found in San Francisco Bay, (2) detailed dichotomous taxonomic decision trees with morphological descriptions, (3) a phytoplankton terminology list, and (4) a diatom photo gallery. This technical key is intended for use by an audience familiar with phytoplankton research. Highlighted words indicate that they are terminology which can be looked up in the back of the guide.

A Technical Key to Common Planktonic Diatoms in San Francisco Bay



SF PHYTOPLANKTON KEYS

UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY

Table of Contents

List of Species	2
Taxonomic Trees - START HERE	6
Terminology	34
Common Terminology	34
Axes and Orientation Terminology	35
Family Specific Terminology	36
Diatom Photo Gallery	38
Image Credits	68

NOTE:

Click on the species name to be taken to its place on the taxonomic trees.

Species	Synonym
<i>Achnanthes</i> sp. Bory	
<i>Actinoptychus senarius</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Actinoptychus undulatus</i> (Bailey) Ralfs
<i>Actinoptychus</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Amphiprora</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Arachnoidiscus ornatus</i> Ehrenberg	
<i>Asterionella formosa</i> Hassall	
<i>Asterionella japonica</i> Cleve	<i>Asterionellopsis glacialis</i> (Castracane) Round
<i>Asterolampra</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Asteromphalus</i> Ehrenberg	
<i>Asteromphalus hookeri</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Asteromphalus humboldtii</i> Ehrenberg
	<i>Bacillaria paradoxa</i> Gmelin
	<i>Nitzschia paradoxa</i> (Gmelin) Grunow
<i>Bacillaria paxillifer</i> (Müller) Marsson	<i>Vibrio paxillifer</i> Müller
<i>Biddulphia</i> sp. Gray	
	<i>Chaetoceros affine</i> Lauder
<i>Chaetoceros affinis</i> Lauder	<i>Chaetoceros schuttii</i> Cleve
<i>Chaetoceros constrictus</i> Gran	
<i>Chaetoceros curvisetus</i> Cleve	
<i>Chaetoceros debilis</i> Cleve	<i>Chaetoceros debile</i> Cleve
<i>Chaetoceros decipiens</i> Cleve	
<i>Chaetoceros didymus</i> Ehrenberg	<i>Chaetoceros didymum</i> Ehrenberg
<i>Chaetoceros radicans</i> Schütt	
<i>Chaetoceros socialis</i> Lauder	
<i>Chaetoceros</i> spp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Chaetoceros tortissimus</i> Gran	
	<i>Corethron criophilum</i> var. <i>histris</i> (Hensen) Hendey
<i>Corethron hystrix</i> Hensen	
<i>Corethron pennatum</i> (Grunow) Ostenfeld	
<i>Corethron</i> sp. Castracane	

Species	Synonym
<i>Coscinodiscus angustelineatus</i> Schmidt	<i>Thalassiosira anguste-lineata</i> (Schmidt) Fryxell & Hasle
<i>Coscinodiscus curvatulus</i> var. <i>curvatulus</i> Grunow	<i>Actinocyclus curvatulus</i> (Grunow) Cleve
<i>Coscinodiscus lentiginosus</i> var. <i>lentiginosus</i> Janisch	<i>Thalassiosira lentiginosa</i> (Janisch) Fryxell
<i>Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis</i> Ehrenberg	
<i>Coscinodiscus</i> spp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Detonula</i> sp. Schütt ex De Toni	
<i>Ditylum brightwellii</i> (West) Grunow	<i>Triceratium brightwellii</i> West
<i>Ditylum</i> sp. Bailey ex Bailey	
<i>Eucampia</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
	<i>Guinardia striata</i> (Stolterfoth) Hasle
<i>Eucampia striata</i> Stolterfoth	<i>Rhizosolenia stolterfothii</i> Peragallo
<i>Eucampia zodiacus</i> Ehrenberg	
<i>Gyrosigma balticum</i> (Ehrenberg) Rabenhorst	<i>Pleurosigma balticum</i> (Ehrenberg) Smith
<i>Heliotheca</i> Ricard	<i>Streptotheca</i> sp. Shrubsole
<i>Heliotheca tamesis</i> (Shrubsole) Ricard	<i>Streptotheca thamensis</i> Shrubsole
<i>Hobaniella longicruris</i> (Greville) Sims & Williams	<i>Odontella longicruris</i> (Greville) Hoban <i>Biddulphia longicruris</i> var. <i>longicruris</i> Greville
<i>Isthmia nervosa</i> Kützing	
<i>Lauderia confervacea</i> Cleve	<i>Detonula confervacea</i> (Cleve) Gran
<i>Lauderia</i> sp. Cleve	
<i>Leptocylindrus danicus</i> Cleve	
<i>Lithodesmium undulatum</i> Ehrenberg	
	<i>Melosira arctica</i> (Ehrenberg) Ralfs
<i>Melosira arctica</i> var. <i>arctica</i> Dickie	<i>Gaillonella arctica</i> (Dickie) Ehrenberg
<i>Melosira moniliformis</i> (Müller) Agardh	
<i>Melosira</i> sp. Agardh	
<i>Melosira varians</i> Agardh	

Species	Synonym
	<i>Tropidoneis antarctica</i> (Grunow) Cleve
	<i>Membraneis challenger</i> (Grunow) Paddock
<i>Navicula challenger</i> Grunow	<i>Amphiprora challenger</i> (Grunow) De Toni
<i>Navicula</i> sp. Bory de Saint-Vincent	
	<i>Cylindrotheca closterium</i> (Ehrenberg) Lewin & Reimann
<i>Nitzschia closterium</i> (Ehrenberg) Smith	<i>Phaeodactylum tricornutum</i> Bohlin
<i>Nitzschia longissima</i> (Brébisson) Ralfs	<i>Nitzschiella longissima</i> (Brébisson) Rabenhorst
<i>Nitzschia sigma</i> (Kützing) Smith	<i>Sigmatella sigma</i> (Kützing) Frenguelli
<i>Nitzschia</i> sp. Hassall	
<i>Odontella aurita</i> (Lyngbye) Agardh	<i>Biddulphia aurita</i> (Lyngbye) Brébisson
<i>Odontella obtusa</i> Kützing	<i>Biddulphia aurita</i> var. <i>obtusa</i> (Kützing) Hustedt
	<i>Melosira sulcata</i> (Ehrenberg) Kützing
	<i>Gaillionella sulcata</i> Ehrenberg
<i>Paralia sulcata</i> (Ehrenberg) Cleve	<i>Orthoseira marina</i> Smith
<i>Pleurosigma</i> spp. Smith	
<i>Porosira</i> sp. Jorgensen	
<i>Pseudo-nitzschia</i> sp. Peragallo	
<i>Rhizosolenia calcar-avis</i> Schultze	<i>Pseudosolenia calcar-avis</i> (Schultze) Sundström
<i>Rhizosolenia robusta</i> Norman ex Ralfs	
	<i>Rhizosolenia hebetata</i> (Hensen) Margalef
<i>Rhizosolenia semispina</i> Hensen	<i>Rhizosolenia hebetata</i> f. <i>semispina</i> (Hensen) Gran
<i>Rhizosolenia setigera</i> Brightwell	
<i>Rhizosolenia</i> sp. Brightwell	<i>Proboscia</i> sp. Sundstrom
<i>Rhizosolenia styliformis</i> Brightwell	
<i>Skeletonema costatum</i> (Greville) Cleve	
<i>Skeletonema</i> sp. Greville	

Species	Synonym
<i>Stephanopyxis</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
<i>Stephanopyxis turris</i> (Greville) Ralfs	
	<i>Thalassionema nitzschioides</i> (Grunow) Mereschkowsky
<i>Synedra nitzschioides</i> f. <i>nitzschioides</i> Grunow	<i>Thalassiothrix nitzschioides</i> Grunow
<i>Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii</i> Cleve	
<i>Thalassiosira rotula</i> Meunier	<i>Coscinodiscus rotulus</i> (Meunier) Cleve-Euler
<i>Thalassiosira</i> spp. Cleve	
<i>Thalassiosira subtilis</i> (Ostenfeld) Gran	
<i>Thalassiothrix mediterranea</i> var. <i>pacifica</i> Cupp	<i>Lioloma pacificum</i> (Cupp) Hasle
<i>Thalassiothrix</i> sp. Cleve & Grunow	
	<i>Trigonium alternans</i> (Bailey) Mann
<i>Triceratium alternans</i> Bailey	<i>Biddulphia alternans</i> (Bailey) Van Heurck
<i>Triceratium</i> sp. Ehrenberg	
	<i>Biddulphia mobiliensis</i> (Bailey) Grunow
<i>Trieres mobiliensis</i> (Bailey) Ashworth & Theriot	<i>Odontella weissflogii</i> Grunow
<i>Tropidoneis</i> sp. Cleve	

> START HERE <

NOTE:
Click on "go to p. ___"
to be directly taken
that page.

Cells are radially symmetrical; valve
striae arranged around a point, an
annulus, or a central areola?


Yes

No


Order Biddulphiales (Centric Diatoms)

→ go to p.7


Coscinodiscineae




ring of processes



Rhizosoleniineae



unipolar




apical axis

Biddulphiineae



bipolarity



elevations


Cells are bilaterally symmetrical;
valve striae arranged basically in
relation to a line?

Yes

Order Bacillariales (Pennate Diatoms)



→ go to p.8

apical
pore field




no raphe

Fragilariineae




raphe


Bacillariineae



(Figure from Tomas et al. 1997)

(Figure from Tomas et al. 1997)





Order Triceratiales

Family Triceratiaceae

Triangular frustules
visible in valve view?

Yes



Genus *Triceratium*

Sides of cells are straight or somewhat
unevenly concave; mostly triangular cells
but occasionally quadrangular; small
areolae on corners, larger areolae in
valve center and mantle; girdle band with
perivalvar rows of areolae?


Yes


***T. alternans* (*Trigonium alternans* or
Biddulphia alternans)** (Length of side of
valve 27–34µm; perivalvar axis 32-39µm)





NOTE:
Click on the camera
icon to see
picture(s) of the
genus or species.


NOTE:
Size ranges for
terminal species are
in reference to
diameter unless
otherwise specified.























Family Rhizosoleniaceae

Valves conical to subconical, girdle bands with loculate areolae?

Yes

No

Valves with external process?

Yes

No

Valves regular conical, process straight, generally with otaria?

Yes

No

Genus *Rhizosolenia*

go to p.20

Valves irregular subconical, process claw-like with no otaria?

Yes

Genus *Pseudosolenia*

Bands in two or a multiple of two columns, areolae poroid?

Yes



P. calcar-avis (*Rhizosolenia calcar-avis*) (4.5-190 µm)

Valves with a proboscis and no process?

Yes

Genus *Proboscia*

Valves flat or rounded, girdle bands with poroid areolae?

Yes

Girdle composed of split bands with ligulae and antiligulae?

Yes

No

Genus *Guinardia*

Marginal process with external part of process tubular?

Yes

External part of process coarse?

Yes





G. striata (*Eucampia striata* or *Rhizosolenia stolterfothii*) (6-30 µm)


Girdle composed of half bands?


Yes


Genus *Dactyliosolen*



























Family Achnanthaceae


Heterovalvar cells; one valve has raphe with two longitudinal slits, and the other valve either does not have raphe or has short slits?


Yes



Genus *Achnanthes*







Common Terminology for Diatom Morphology

Annulus	central ring without areolae in centric diatoms (Tomas et al. 1997)
Araphid	refers to a cell that lacks a raphe system
Alveolus / Alveoli (plural)	elongated chambers that have an external wall with fine pores and forms striae (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
Areola / Areolae (plural)	regularly repeated pores (Ross et al. 1979, Simonsen 1975) Loculate : refers to chamber-like areolae with a velum (perforated layer of silica) as one wall and a foramen or an opening on the wall opposite the velum Poroid : refers to areolae that is not constricted by a foramen
Basal	referring to the bottom layer, typically of a membrane or cell wall
Bipolar	refers to symmetry in which two structures are present on each end of the cell
Frustule	silica parts of a diatom cell wall composed of two thecae/valves and the girdle (Ross et al. 1979)
Girdle	part of a frustule between the valves, made up of two cingulum (the epicingula is the part of the girdle associated with the epitheca and the hypocingula is the part of the valve associated with the hypotheca) Girdle bands: single elements of the girdle that make up the cingulum (Tomas et al. 1997)
Hyaline	part of the valve that lacks areolae or other ornamentation (Ross et al. 1979)
Hypovalvar	refers to the smaller valve of a frustule
Intercalary	between cells
Intercellular space	space between cells
Isopolar	refers to (pennate) valves that are symmetric to the transapical axis and have similar sized and shaped poles, as opposed to heteropolar where the valve is asymmetric and the poles have different shapes (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
Lanceolate	refers to a valve shape that is elongated with tapered ends, similar to the shape of a rice grain
Marginal ridge	a ridge located between the valve face and mantle (Simonsen 1975)
Ornamentation	Pores or other structures on the valve
Processes	silica projections (Ross et al. 1979, Simonsen 1975) Labiate / rimoportula : a process that goes through the valve and appears as a tube on the external valve face and as a pair of lips on the internal valve face Strutted / fultoportula : a tube-like process that appears as either a tube or a pore on the external valve face and is surrounded by 2-5 pores (called satellite pores) which are visible in the internal valve face Occluded : a process that appears as a tube on the external valve face but closed off on the internal valve face
Puncta	Small areolae; a cell with many puncta is said to be punctate (Ross et al. 1979)
Raphe / Raphe fins	one or two slits through the valve wall (Ross et al. 1975)
Raphid	refers to a cell that has a raphe system
Spine	short, pointed silica extension resembling the shape of a slightly curved spike; may be called a spinule if very small, a granule if more rounded, or a linking spine if they connect frustules together in a chain (Simonsen 1975)
Sternum	longitudinal silica element in pennate diatoms that usually has few or lacks areolae (Tomas et al. 1997)
Striae	rows of areolae or alveoli (Ross et al. 1979, Simonsen 1975)
Theca	includes the valve and its cingulum (see Girdle); the epitheca is composed of the epivalve and the epicingulum, the hypotheca is composed of the hypovalve and the hypocingulum (Ross et al. 1979)
Undulate	refers to a wave-like shape of a valve
Unipolar	refers to symmetry in which one structure is present on one end of the cell
Valve	one of two diatom cell wall plates made of silica; the larger valve (epivalve) fits over top of the smaller valve (hypovalve), resembling the appearance of a petri dish (Ross et al. 1979)
Valve apex	refers to the poles of a pennate valve
Valve face	part of valve surrounded by mantle and is most visible when a frustule is in valve view (Ross et al. 1979, Simonsen 1975); resembles the flat side of a petri dish
Valve mantle	side of the valve that surrounds the valve face and is visible when a frustule is in girdle view (Ross et al. 1979, Simonsen 1975); resembles the walls of a petri dish
Valve margins	outer edge of the valve face just before the mantle

Axes and Orientation Terminology for Diatom Morphology

Axes	Pervalvar axis	perpendicular axis through the center of the valve faces (Tomas et al. 1997)
	Apical axis	longer axis along the midline of the valve face (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
	Transapical axis	shorter axis on the valve face that is perpendicular to the apical axis
	Dorsiventral	refers to the axis which joins the dorsal or more arched side and ventral side of an asymmetrical pennate valve (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
View Orientations	Valve view	frustule is oriented such that the face is most visible; centric diatoms appear as circles in valve view
	Internal valve view	considering a valve resembles one side of a petri dish, this view orients the "dish" to the viewer like looking into a bowl
	External valve view	considering a valve resembles one side of a petri dish, this view orients the "dish" to the viewer like looking at the top of a dome
	Girdle view	frustule is oriented such that the mantle and girdle bands are most visible; under light microscopy, centric diatoms may appear as rectangles in girdle view

Diatom Family Specific Terminology


	Ribs	solid structures made of silica (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
Thalassiosiraceae	Mucilage	gelatinous substance produced by the cell Mucilage pads: area of mucilage that accumulates on the cell
	Collar	membraneous extension on the outer edge of a valve (Simonsen 1975), resembling the brim of a floppy/bucket hat
Melosiraceae	Corona	large irregular spines arranged in a ring at the valve apex (Tomas et al. 1997)
	Heterovalvate	refers to frustules in which one valve differs morphologically from the other valve (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
Leptocylindraceae	Ligulae and Antiligulae	silica projections that extend from split girdle bands, often filling the split; cells with ligulae/antiligulae are referred to as ligulate (Tomas et al. 1997, https://diatoms.org/glossary)
Coscinodiscaceae	Discoid	refers to the shape of a cell that is disk-like with a indentation in the center, resembling the shape of a red blood cell
	Fasiculation / Fasciculate	bundles or groupings of striae, where each bundle is referred to as a fascicle (Tomas et al. 1997, Ross et al. 1979)
Hemidiscaceae	Pseudonodulus	a single structure located near the margin of the valve and may appear as a larger open pore under light microscopy (Tomas et al. 1997)
Heliopeltaceae	Knobs	rounded silica ornaments on the valve surface
	Claspers	membranous structures often connecting to the marginal ridges of the adjacent valve in linked cells (Tomas et al. 1997)
	Conical	cone-like shape
	Otarium / Otaria (plural)	costae located at or near the base of an external process (Tomas et al. 1997)
	Proboscis	elongated part of the valve with a tip that looks cut short and can fit into a groove in an adjacent valve in linked cells (Tomas et al. 1997)
Rhizosoleniaceae	Subconical	somewhat cone-like shape
Hemiaulaceae	Aperture	opening between valves (Simonsen 1975)
	Fascia	hyaline band that extends on the transapical axis of a pennate diatom (Tomas et al. 1997)
Cymatosiraceae	Pilus / Pili (plural)	long hairs (Tomas et al. 1997)
	Costa / Costae (plural)	thickened and elongated part of the valve that lacks ornamentation, often seen in pennate diatoms (Ross et al. 1979, Simonsen 1975))
Chaetocerotaceae	Seta / Setae (plural)	hollow extension coming from the valve margin that appear as very elongated spines (https://diatoms.org/glossary) Terminal setae: setae on the end cells of a chain (Simonsen 1975)
	Ansula / Ansulae (plural)	fringes on the marginal ridge of <i>Ditylum</i> that are shaped like ribbons which have been split down the middle longitudinally (Tomas et al. 1997)
	Fimbriate	refers to a marginal ridge that has ansulae
	Perforation	small holes typically in a row, in reference to areola (Ross et al. 1979)
Lithodesmiaceae	Slotted	refers to a marginal ridge that has a perforated basal membrane (Tomas et al. 1997)
	Foot pole (basal pole)	broader end of a pennate diatom (Tomas et al. 1997, https://diatoms.org/glossary)
Fragiliriaceae	Head pole (apical poee)	narrower end of a pennate diatom (Tomas et al. 1997, https://diatoms.org/glossary)
Thalassionemataceae	Stellate	star-like arrangement of cells in a colony where cells radiate from a central point (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
Achnantheaceae	Knot stauroid	refers to a central stauros with a slightly more pronounced central nodule

Naviculaceae	Central nodule	thicker hyaline silica separating raphe slits (Tomas et al. 1997)
	Helictoglossa / Helictoglossae (plural)	internal silica thickening at the end of a raphe in the shape of lips or a rolled tongue (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
	Pyrenoid	functional cell structure used for carbon dioxide fixation, usually difficult to distinguish with light microscopy (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
	Rostrate	refers to a valve apex on a pennate diatom with a beak-like shape, as opposed to capitate with a rounded knob-like shape (https://diatoms.org/glossary)
	Stauros	thicker hyaline silica extending from the central nodule to the valve margins and separating the raphe slits (Tomas et al. 1997, https://diatoms.org/glossary)
Bacillariaceae	Subacute ends	refers to a valve apices on a pennate diatom that are tapered and slightly acute in shape
	Canal raphe	"space on the inner side of the raphe" (Ross et al. 1979)
	Fibula / Fibulae (plural)	internal silica structures that extend from the valve face to support either side of the raphe in pennate diatoms (Tomas et al. 1997, https://diatoms.org/glossary)
	Interstriae	space between striae that does not have pores (Tomas et al. 1997)
	Keeled	thickened and elevated silica on the valve that contains raphe (Simonsen 1975)
	Produced end	refers to a valve apex on a pennate diatom with with a slightly rounded knob-like shape but not quite capitate (see Rostrate)
	Sigmoid	curved, S-like shape; usually in reference to pennate diatoms


Diatom Photo Gallery



Triceratium sp.
(LM, valve view)



Triceratium alternans
Synonyms: *Trigonium alternans*,
Biddulphia alternans
(LM, valve view,
image by S.R. Stidolph)



Triceratium alternans
Synonyms: *Trigonium alternans*, *Biddulphia alternans*
(LM, girdle view, image by C. Assadi)


NOTE:
Click on the arrow
icon to be taken
back to the
taxonomic tree.




Detonula sp.
(LM, girdle view)



Detonula confervacea
Synonyms: *Lauderia confervacea*
(LM, girdle view, image by A-T. Skjevik)




Lauderia sp.
(LM, girdle view)




Porosira sp.
(LM, girdle view)





Skeletonema sp.
(SEM, girdle view, x4.0k, 20 µm scale)



Skeletonema sp.
(SEM, girdle view, x2.0k, 30 µm scale)




Skeletonema costatum
(LM, girdle view)




Skeletonema costatum
(LM, girdle view)




Skeletonema costatum
(LM, girdle view)




Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii
(LM, girdle view)




Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii
(SEM, outer valve view, x6.0k, 10 µm scale,
image by author)




Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii
(SEM, outer valve view, x5.0k, 20 µm scale,
image by author)




Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii
(SEM, outer valve view, x6.0k,
10 µm scale, image by author)




Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii
(SEM, inner valve view, x4.0k, 20 µm scale,
image by author)




Thalassiosira subtilis
(LM, valve view)




Thalassiosira subtilis
(LM, girdle view)




Thalassiosira rotula
Synonym: *Coscinodiscus rotulus*
(SEM, outer valve view, x5.0k, 20 µm scale)




Thalassiosira rotula
Synonym: *Coscinodiscus rotulus*
(SEM, outer valve view, X4.0k, 20 µm scale)




Thalassiosira rotula
Synonym: *Coscinodiscus rotulus*
(SEM, outer valve view, x8.0k, 10 µm scale)



Thalassiosira rotula
Synonym: *Coscinodiscus rotulus*
(SEM, inner valve view, x4.0k, 20 µm scale)




Thalassiosira anguste-lineate
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus angustelineatus*
 (SEM, outer valve view,
 x2.0k, 30 µm scale)




Thalassiosira anguste-lineate
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus angustelineatus*
 (SEM, outer valve view,
 x4.0k, 20 µm scale)


p.11
 p.15




Thalassiosira anguste-lineate
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus angustelineatus*
 (SEM, outer valve view,
 x1.5k, 50 µm scale)




Thalassiosira anguste-lineate
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus angustelineatus*
 (SEM, outer valve view,
 x9.0k, 10 µm scale)




Thalassiosira anguste-lineate
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus angustelineatus* (SEM, inner valve view,
 x1.8k, 50 µm scale)




Thalassiosira lentiginosa
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus lentiginosus* p.11
 (SEM, outer valve view,
 x2.0k, 30 µm scale, image by author) p.15




Thalassiosira lentiginosa
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus lentiginosus*
 (SEM, inner valve view,
 x1.8k, 50 µm scale, image by author)




Thalassiosira lentiginosa
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus lentiginosus*
 (SEM, x2.0k, 30 µm scale, image by author)



Thalassiosira lentiginosa
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus lentiginosus*
 (SEM, x1.8k, 50 µm scale, image by author)



Thalassiosira lentiginosa
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus lentiginosus*
 (SEM, girdle view,
 x1.8k, 50 µm scale, image by author)



Thalassiosira lentiginosa
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus lentiginosus*
 (SEM, girdle view,
 x2.0k, 30 µm scale, image by author)



Paralia sulcata
(LM, girdle view,
image by G. Hannach)



Stephanopyxis turris
(LM, girdle view)



Melosira varians
(LM, girdle view)



Melosira moniliformis
(LM, girdle view,
image by M. Himemiya)



Melosira arctica
Synonym: *Gaillonella arctica*
(LM, girdle view)




Melosira arctica
Synonym: *Gaillonella arctica*
(LM, girdle view)




Leptocylindrus danicus
(LM, girdle view)



Corethron sp. dividing
(LM, girdle view)



Corethron sp.
(SEM, inner valve view,
x1.0k, 100 µm scale)




Corethron sp.
(SEM, inner valve view,
x400, 200 µm scale)




Corethron pennatum
(LM, girdle view)






Coscinodiscus sp.
(LM, valve view)




Coscinodiscus sp.
(SEM, outer valve view,
x1.0k, 100 µm scale)




Coscinodiscus curvatulus
Synonym: *Actinocyclus curvatulus*
(SEM, outer valve view, x1.2k, 50 µm scale,
image by author)

p.15


p.16



Coscinodiscus curvatulus
Synonym: *Actinocyclus curvatulus*
(SEM, outer valve view, x5.0k, 20 µm scale,
image by author)



Coscinodiscus curvatulus
Synonym: *Actinocyclus curvatulus*
(SEM, inner valve view, x1.2k, 50 µm scale,
image by author)




Coscinodiscus curvatulus
Synonym: *Actinocyclus curvatulus*
(SEM, inner valve view, x5.0k, 20 µm scale,
image by author)


47




Thalassiosira oculus-iridis
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis*
 (LM, valve view)




Thalassiosira oculus-iridis
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis*
 (LM, valve view)




Thalassiosira oculus-iridis
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis*
 (SEM, outer valve view, x1.0k, 100 µm scale,
 image by author)




Thalassiosira oculus-iridis
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis*
 (SEM, outer valve view, x2.5k, 30 µm scale,
 image by author)



Thalassiosira oculus-iridis
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis*
 (SEM, inner valve view, x1.0k, 100 µm scale,
 image by author)



Thalassiosira oculus-iridis
 Synonym: *Coscinodiscus oculus-iridis*
 (SEM, inner valve view,
 x4.0k, 20 µm scale, image by author)



Asteromphalus sp.
(LM, valve view)



Asteromphalus sp.
(LM, valve view)




Asteromphalus hookeri
Synonym: *Asteromphalus humboldtii*
(LM, valve view)






Arachnoidiscus ornatus
(LM, valve view)







Actinoptychus senarius
 Synonym: *Actinoptychus undulatus*
 (SEM, x2.0k, 30 μm scale)




Actinoptychus senarius
 Synonym: *Actinoptychus undulatus*
 (SEM, x2.5k, 30 μm scale)



Actinoptychus senarius
 Synonym: *Actinoptychus undulatus*
 (SEM, outer valve view, x1.8k, 50 μm scale)




Actinoptychus senarius
 Synonym: *Actinoptychus undulatus*
 (SEM, outer valve view, x1.8k, 50 μm scale,
 image by author)



Actinoptychus senarius
 Synonym: *Actinoptychus undulatus*
 (SEM, outer valve view, x4.0k, 20 μm scale,
 image by author)



Pseudosolenia calcar-avis
 Synonym: *Rhizosolenia calcar-avis*
 (LM, girdle view,
 image by A-T. Skjevik)



Rhizosolenia sp.
 Synonym: *Proboscia* sp.
 (LM, girdle view)



Rhizosolenia sp.
 Synonym: *Proboscia* sp.
 (LM, girdle view)



Rhizosolenia sp.
 Synonym: *Proboscia* sp.
 (SEM, girdle view, x1.8k, 50 µm scale)




Rhizosolenia setigera
 (LM, girdle view,
 image by S. Martinez)



Rhizosolenia styliformis
 (LM, girdle view,
 image by N. Penrose)






Rhizosolenia hebetata f.
semispina
(LM, girdle view,
image by A-T. Skjevik)



Rhizosolenia robusta
(LM, girdle view, image by P. Priester)



Rhizosolenia robusta
(LM, girdle view,
image by P. Priester)



Eucampia sp.
(LM, girdle view)



Eucampia zodiacus
(LM, girdle view)



Eucampia striata
Synonym: *Guinardia striata*,
Rhizosolenia stolterfothii
(LM, girdle view)

p.21

p.19





Chaetoceros spp.
(LM)



Chaetoceros spp.
(LM, girdle view)




Chaetoceros sp.
(LM, girdle view)



Chaetoceros sp.
(LM, girdle view)




Chaetoceros sp.
(LM, girdle view)




Chaetoceros sp.
(SEM, girdle view, x1.5k, 50 μ m scale)



Chaetoceros decipiens
(LM, girdle view)



Chaetoceros decipiens
(SEM, valve view, x5.0k, 20 µm scale)



Chaetoceros decipiens
(SEM, inner valve view, x5.0k, 20 µm scale)




Chaetoceros didymus
(LM, girdle view)




Chaetoceros didymus
(LM, girdle view)






Chaetoceros constrictus
(LM, girdle view, image by
R. Hansen and S. Busch)




Chaetoceros curvisetus
(LM, image by S. Anderson)




Chaetoceros debilis
(LM, girdle view)



Chaetoceros tortissimus
(LM, girdle view, image by
alexandra)



Chaetoceros radicans
(LM, girdle view)



Chaetoceros affinis
(LM, girdle view,
image by A-T Skjevik)







Chaetoceros socialis
(LM)



Chaetoceros socialis
(LM)




Lithodesmium undulatum
(LM, girdle view)



Ditylum sp.
(SEM, girdle view,
x500, 200 μ m scale)



Ditylum sp.
(SEM, girdle view,
x1.5, 50 μ m scale)



Ditylum sp.
(SEM, valve view,
x2.5, 30 μ m scale)



Ditylum brightwellii
Synonym: *Triceratium brightwellii*
(LM, girdle view)



Ditylum brightwellii
Synonym: *Triceratium*
brightwellii (LM, girdle view)



Heliotheca sp.
(LM)




Heliotheca sp.
(LM)




Heliotheca tamesis
Synonym: *Streptotheca thamensis*
(LM)





Odontella aurita
 Synonym: *Biddulphia aurita*
 (LM, girdle view,
 image by A-T. Skjevik)




Odontella obtusa
 Synonym: *Biddulphia aurita* var. *obtusa*
 (LM, girdle view, image by G. Drebes)




Odontella longicuris
 Synonym: *Hobaniella longicuris*,
Biddulphia longicuris
 (LM, girdle view)



Trieres mobiliensis
 Synonym: *Odontella weissflogii*,
Biddulphia mobiliensis
 (LM, girdle view, image by
 GTMResearchReserve)



Isthmia nervosa
 (LM, girdle view)




Isthmia nervosa
 (LM, girdle view)




Asterionella japonica
 Synonym: *Asterionellopsis*
glacialis
 (LM)



Asterionella japonica
 Synonym: *Asterionellopsis*
glacialis
 (LM)



Asterionella japonica
 Synonym: *Asterionellopsis*
glacialis
 (LM)



Asterionella formosa
 (LM, image by J. Parmentier)





Thalassiothrix sp.
(LM)




Thalassionema nitzschioides
Synonym: *Synedra nitzschioides*,
Thalassiothrix nitzschioides
(LM)



Thalassionema nitzschioides
Synonym: *Synedra nitzschioides*,
Thalassiothrix nitzschioides
(LM)



Thalassionema nitzschioides
Synonym: *Synedra nitzschioides*,
Thalassiothrix nitzschioides
(LM)



Lioloma pacificum
Synonym: *Thalassiothrix mediterranea*
var. *pacifica*
(LM)




Bacillaria paxillifer
Synonym: *Bacillaria paradoxa*, *Vibrio*
paxillifer, *Nitzschia paradoxa*
(LM)






Achnanthes sp.
(LM, girdle view)





Navicula sp.
(SEM, valve view,
x4.0k, 20 µm scale)



Navicula sp.
(LM, valve view,
image by Y. Tuskii)



Amphiprora sp.
(LM, valve view,
image by Y. Tsukii)



Tropidoneis sp.
(LM, valve view)



Tropidoneis antarctica
Synonym: *Navicula challengerii*,
Membraneis challengerii
(LM, valve view)






Pleurosigma sp.
(LM, valve view)



Gyrosigma balticum
Synonym: *Pleurosigma balticum*
(LM, valve view, image by
Proyecto Agua)




Pseudo-nitzschia sp.
(SEM, valve view,
x1.5k, 50 μm scale)




Pseudo-nitzschia sp.
(LM, valve view)



Pseudo-nitzschia sp.
(LM, valve view)



Pseudo-nitzschia sp.
(LM, valve view)



Nitzschia sp.
(SEM, valve view,
x1.8k, 50 µm scale)




Nitzschia sp.
(LM, girdle view,
image by K. Peters)



Nitzschia closterium
Synonym: *Cylindrotheca closterium*,
Phaeodactylum tricornutum
(LM, valve view, image by A. Grogan)



Nitzschia longissima
Synonym: *Nitzschiella longissima*
(SEM, valve view,
image by S. Martinez)



Nitzschia sigma
Synonym: *Sigmatella sigma*
(LM, valve view,
image by Z. Mustafaeva and
V. A. Chepurnov)



Image Credits

Unless otherwise indicated, all light microscopy (LM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken by Dr. Deneb Karentz from the University of San Francisco. The following image credits are by order of appearance in this key:

Triceratium alternans LM image (valve view) by Stuart R. Stidolph:

https://planktonnet.awi.de/index.php?contenttype=image_details&itemid=60851#content

Triceratium alternans LM image (girdle view) by Carolina Assadi:

<https://img.algaebase.org/images/5B7BE95A076ca2AD92nMo2C93D7A/r2Ui3hxVKoCe.jpg>

Lauderia confervacea LM image by Ann-Turi Skjevik:

http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Detonula%20confervacea?media_id=Detonula%20confervacea_3.jpg

Paralia sulcata LM image by Gabriela Hannach: <https://green2.kingcounty.gov/marine/Photo/Individual/1/495?photoId=1273>

Melosira moniliformis LM image by Minami Himemiya: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Melosira_moniliformis2.jpg

Pseudosolenia calcar-avis LM image by Ann-Turi Skjevik:

http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Pseudosolenia%20calcar-avis?media_id=Pseudosolenia%20calcar-avis_2.jpg

Rhizosolenia setigera LM image by Sarka Martinez: https://www.inaturalist.org/guide_taxa/356511

Rhizosolenia styliformis LM image by Nancy Penrose: <http://oocruises.ocean.washington.edu/visions11/file/Rhizosolenia+styliformis>

Rhizosolenia hebetata f. semispina by Ann-Turi Skjevik:

http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Rhizosolenia%20hebetata%20f.%20semispina?media_id=Rhizosolenia%20hebetata%20f.%20semispina_2.jpg

Image Credits

Rhizosolenia robusta LM images by Paige Priestler: <https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/19320914>
<https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/29704244>

Chaetoceros constrictus LM image by Regina Hansen Susanne Busch:
https://planktonnet.awi.de/index.php?contenttype=image_details&itemid=15848#content

Chaetoceros curvisetus LM image by Stephanie Anderson: <https://web.uri.edu/gso/research/plankton/data/>

Chaetoceros tortissimus LM image by alexandra: https://planktonnet.awi.de/index.php?contenttype=image_details&itemid=66525#content

Chaetoceros affinis LM image by Ann-Turi Skjevik:
http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Chaetoceros%20affinis?media_id=Chaetoceros%20affinis_2.jpg

Odontella aurita LM image by Ann-Turi Skjevik: http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Odontella%20aurita?media_id=Odontella%20aurita_2.jpg

Odontella obtusa LM image by G. Drebes: https://planktonnet.awi.de/sci_images_detail.php?itemid=12711

Trieres mobiliensis LM by GTMResearchReserve: <https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/47757996>

Asterionella formosa LM by Jan Parmentier: https://diatoms.org/species/asterionella_formosa

Navicula sp. LM image by Y. Tsukii: http://protist.i.hosei.ac.jp/PDB/Images/Heterokontophyta/Raphidineae/Navicula/sp_12b.html

Amphiprora sp. LM image by Y. Tsukii: http://protist.i.hosei.ac.jp/PDB/Images/Heterokontophyta/Raphidineae/Entomoneis/sp_01.html

Gyrosigma balticum LM image by Proyecto Agua: <https://inaturalist.ca/photos/21797665>

Image Credits

Nitzschia sp. LM image by Kristian Peters: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nitzschia#/media/File:Nitzschia_sp.jpeg

Nitzschia closterium LM image by Amy Grogan: <https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/6958139>

Nitzschia longissima LM image by Sarka Martinez: <https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/2614230>

Nitzschia sigma LM image by Z. Mustafaeva and V. A. Chepurnov:
https://bccm.belspo.be/catalogues/dcg-species-details?SPECIES_NAME=Nitzschia+sigma+%28K%C3%BCtzing%29+W.+Smith

Appendix C:

“A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay” contains (1) morphological dichotomous decision trees that include common dinoflagellates and the most significant or well-represented diatom genera and species, based on Keith (2018), found in San Francisco Bay and (2) a taxonomic species list. This basic key is intended for an audience with little to no knowledge of phytoplankton terminology and research, and it is ideal as a supplemental educational tool for school-aged children.

A Basic Key to Common Phytoplankton in San Francisco Bay




SF PHYTOPLANKTON KEYS

UNIVERSITY OF SAN FRANCISCO,
DEPARTMENT OF BIOLOGY

Table of Contents

Morphological Decision Trees - START HERE	3
Cells are solitary	4
Cells associated in a cluster	10
Cells united in a chain	11
Taxonomic List of Species	19
Image Credits	23

>START HERE<




Highlight Key

Green - Centric Diatoms

Purple - Pennate Diatoms

Orange - Dinoflagellates

Cells are solitary



Cell outline is tube-shaped or pill-shaped?

Yes

No

Has spines?

Yes

No

Has one spine on either end,
looks like the cell is skewered?

Yes

No

Ditylum brightwellii



Has spines surrounding
both rounded ends?

Yes

Corethron pennatum



Navicula challengerii



Cell outline is rod-shaped?

Yes

No


Both ends of cell are
sharp like a needle?

Go to p.6

Yes

No

Rhizosolenia semispina



(image by A-T. Skjevik)

Nitzschia sp.



(image by K. Peters)

Cell outline is canoe-shaped?

Yes

Cell is curved into a slight S-shape?

Yes

No

Pleurosigma sp.




Both tips/ends of the cell are rounded (not pointed)?

Yes

No

Navicula sp.



(image by Y. Tsukii)

(image by A. Grogan)

Both tips/ends of the cell are extended into a long, needle-like shape?

Yes

Cell has two distinct yellow-green masses (chloroplasts) inside?

Yes

No

Nitzschia closterium



Nitzschia longissima



(image by
S. Martinez)

Cell outline is crescent moon-shaped?

Yes

No

Pyrocystis lunula



Cell has spikes or horns?

Yes

No

Cell has 3 prominent spikes or horns?

Yes

No


Go to p.8

Cell has more than 3 spikes or horns?

Yes

No

Dictyocha sp.



Go to p.9

Cell has less than three prominent spikes or horns?

Yes

Tripus fusus



Two horns are curved?

Yes

No

Straight horn is off-center?

Yes

No

Tripus gibberus



(image by Shimoda Plankton Team)

Straight horn is centered?

Yes

Tripus muelleri



All horns are straight and cell is pentagon-shaped?

Yes

No

Pentagon-shaped cell is wide?

Yes

No

Tripus furca



Pentagon-shaped cell is narrow?

Yes

Tripus lineatus



Cell is greenish-brown (chloroplasts present)?

Yes

No

Peridinium sp.



(image from PhycoKey)

Proto-peridinium sp.



Cell outline is lilypad-shaped?

Yes

No

Noctiluca scintillans



Cell outline is teardrop-shaped?

Yes

No

Prorocentrum sp.



(image from PhycoKey)

Cell looks segmented like a pill bug?

Yes

No

Polykrikos kofoidii



(image by K. Bruun)

Cell looks like it has a fin?

Yes

No

Dinophysis sp.



Gymnodinium sp.




Cells associated in a cluster

Cells united in chains within the cluster?

Yes

No

Chaetoceros socialis




Cell outline is circular or disk-shaped?

Yes

No


Thalassiosira subtilis




Cell outline looks like a trapezoid or rhombus?

Yes

Isthmia nervosa





Chain is in a spiral or curved?

Yes

No

Cells connected by elevated corners?

Yes

No

Chaetoceros curvisetus



(image by S. Anderson)

Chaetoceros debilis



Chain is straight?


Yes

Cells have a round bump at the center?

Yes

No

Chaetoceros didymus



Chaetoceros decipiens



Cells are bulb-shaped extending into
spokes that point outwards?

Yes

No

Asterionella japonica



Cells are tube-shaped and connected at the corners,
creating circular spaces between cells?

Yes

No

Cells are tube-shaped and no space
between cells?

Yes

Eucampia zodiacus



Eucampia striata



Cell outline is tube-shaped or pill-shaped?

Yes

No

Go to p.15

Cells are shaped like toothpicks (long rods with pointed ends)?

Yes

No

Pseudo-nitzschia sp.



(image by GTMResearchReserve)

Cells connected at the corners by spikes?

Yes

No

Cell outline is dodecagonal (12 sides)?

Yes

No

Trieres mobiliensis




Hobaniella longicuris



Cells connected at the corners by triangular horns?

Yes

Odontella aurita



(image by
A-T. Skjevik)

Cells linked together as pairs within the chain?

Yes


No

Cells linked together with numerous visible spines?

Yes

No

Stephanopyxis turris



Melosira moniliformis



(image by M. Himemiya)

Cells linked together with numerous visible spines?

Yes

No

Skeletonema costatum



Cells connected by visible thread, like a string of beads?

Yes

No

Go to p.16


Go to p.17

Chloroplasts within the cells are arranged in a star-like shape?

Yes

No

Lithodesmium undulatum



Cells are touching?


Yes

No

Porosira sp.



Thalassiosira nordenskiöldii



Cells connected such that they look
like a stack of Oreo cookies?

Yes

No

Paralia sulcata



(image by G. Hannach)

Cell on the end of chain has tiny “teeth”?

Yes

No

Lauderia confervacea



(image by A-T. Skjevik)

Cells are touching from edge to edge?

Yes


No

Cells are touching only at the
center of the cells?

Leptocylindrus danicus



Lauderia sp.




Cells stacked together like a
pile of books and are moving?

Yes

No

Bacillaria paxillifer




Cells linked together at one end, creating a fan shape?

Yes

No

Thalassiothrix sp.



Cells linked together in a zig-zag chain?

Yes

No

Synedra nitzschioides



Rectangular cells in a twisted chain?

Yes

Heliotheca tamesis



Taxonomic List of Species

Domain: Eukarya
Kingdom: Chromista
Phylum: Myzozoa
Class: Dinophyceae
Order: Dinophysiales
Family: Dinophysaceae
Genus: ***Dinophysis***
Order: Gonyaulacales
Family: Ceratiaceae
Genus: *Triplos*
Species: ***T. furca***
T. fusus
T. gibberus
T. lineatus
T. muelleri
Family: Gonyaulacaceae
Genus: ***Gonyaulax***
Order: Gymnodiniales
Family: Gymnodiniaceae
Genus: ***Gymnodinium***
Family: Polykrikaceae
Genus: *Polykrikos*
Species: ***P. kofoidii***
Order: Noctilucales
Family: Noctilucaeae
Genus: *Noctiluca*
Species: ***N. scintillans***
Order: Peridiniales
Family: Peridiniaceae
Genus: ***Peridinium***
Family: Protoperidiniaceae
Genus: ***Protoperidinium***
Order: Prorocentrales
Family: Prorocentraceae
Genus: ***Prorocentrum***
Order: Pyrocystales
Family: Pyrocystaceae
Genus: ***Pyrocystis***

Taxonomic List of Species

Domain: Eukarya

Kingdom: Protista

Phylum: Chrysophyta

Class: Bacillariophyceae

Order: Biddulphiales

Suborder: Biddulphiineae

Family: Chaetocerotaceae

Genus: *Chaetoceros*

Species: ***C. curvisetus***

C. debilis

C. decipiens

C. didymus

C. socialis

Family: Eupodiscaceae

Genus: *Isthmia*

Species: ***I. nervosa***

Genus: *Odontella*

Species: ***O. aurita***

Family: Hemiaulaceae

Genus: *Eucampia*

Species: ***E. striata***

E. zodiacus

Family: Lithodesmiaceae

Genus: *Ditylum*

Species: ***D. brightwellii***

Genus: *Heliotheca*

Species: ***H. tamesis***

Genus: *Lithodesmium*

Species: ***L. undulatum***

Taxonomic List of Species

Domain: Eukarya
Kingdom: Protista
Phylum: Chrysophyta
Class: Bacillariophyceae
Order: Biddulphiales
Suborder: Coscinodiscineae
Family: Asterolampraceae
Genus: **Asteromphalus**
Family: Coscinodiscaceae
Genus: *Coscinodiscus*
Species: **C. oculus-iridis**
Family: Leptocylindraceae
Genus: *Corethron*
Species: **C. pennatum**
Genus: *Leptocylindrus*
Species: **L. danicus**
Family: Melosiraceae
Genus: *Melosira*
Species: **M. moniliformis**
Genus: *Paralia*
Species: **P. sulcata**
Genus: *Stephanopyxis*
Species: **S. turris**
Family: Thalassiociraceae
Genus: **Lauderia**
Species: **L. confervacea**
Genus: **Porosira**
Genus: *Skeletonema*
Species: **S. costatum**
Genus: *Thalassiosira*
Species: **T. nordenskiöldii**
T. subtilis
Suborder: Rhizosoleniineae
Family: Rhizosoleniaceae
Genus: *Rhizosolenia*
Species: **R. semispina**

Taxonomic List of Species

Domain: Eukarya
Kingdom: Protista
Phylum: Chrysophyta
Class: Bacillariophyceae
Order: Bacillariales
Suborder: Bacillariineae
Family: Bacillariaceae
Genus: *Bacillaria*
Species: *B. paxillifer*
Genus: *Nitzschia*
Species: *N. closterium*
N. longissima
Genus: *Pseudo-nitzschia*
Family: Naviculaceae
Genus: *Amphiprora*
Genus: *Navicula*
Species: *N. challenger*
Genus: *Pleurosigma*
Suborder: Fragilariineae
Family: Fragilariaceae
Genus: *Asterionella*
Species: *A. japonica*
Genus: *Synedra*
Species: *S. nitzschioides*
Family: Thalassionemataceae
Genus: *Thalassiothrix*
Order: Triceratiales
Family: Triceratiaceae
Genus: *Hobaniella*
Species: *H. longicuris*
Genus: *Triceratium*
Genus: *Trieres*
Species: *T. mobiliensis*

Image Credits

Unless otherwise indicated, all light microscopy (LM) images were taken by Dr. Deneb Karentz from the University of San Francisco. The following image credits are by order of appearance in this key:

Amphiprora sp. LM image by Y. Tsukii:

http://protist.i.hosei.ac.jp/PDB/Images/Heterokontophyta/Raphidineae/Entomoneis/sp_01.html

Rhizosolenia semispina LM image by Ann-Turi Skjevik:

http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Rhizosolenia%20hebetata%20f.%20semispina?media_id=Rhizosolenia%20hebetata%20f.%20semispina_2.jpg

Nitzschia sp. LM image by Kristian Peters: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nitzschia#/media/File:Nitzschia_sp.jpeg

Navicula sp. LM image by Y. Tsukii:

http://protist.i.hosei.ac.jp/PDB/Images/Heterokontophyta/Raphidineae/Navicula/sp_12b.html

Nitzschia closterium LM image by Amy Grogan: <https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/6958139>

Nitzschia longissima LM image by Sarka Martinez: <https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/2614230>

Tripos gibberus LM image by Shimoda Plankton Team:

https://www.biol.tsukuba.ac.jp/~algae/PS/Dinophyta/Ceratium_gibberum/index.html

Peridinium sp. LM image from PhycoKey:

http://cfb.unh.edu/phycokey/Choices/Dinophyceae/PS_dinos/PERIDINIUM/Peridinium_Image_page.html

Image Credits continued

Prorocentrum sp. LM image from PhycoKey:

http://cfb.unh.edu/phycokey/Choices/Dinophyceae/PS_dinos/PROROCENTRUM/Prorocentrum_Image_page.html

Polykrikos kofoidii LM image by Karl Bruun: https://www.algaebase.org/search/species/detail/?species_id=52591

Chaetoceros curvisetus LM image by Stephanie Anderson: <https://web.uri.edu/gso/research/plankton/data/>

Trieres mobiliensis LM by GTMResearchReserve: <https://www.inaturalist.org/photos/47757996>

Odontella aurita LM image by Ann-Turi Skjevik:

http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Odontella%20aurita?media_id=Odontella%20aurita_2.jpg

Melosira moniliformis LM image by Minami Himemiya: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Melosira_moniliformis2.jpg

Paralia sulcata LM image by Gabriela Hannach: <https://green2.kingcounty.gov/marine/Photo/Individual/1/495?photoId=1273>

Lauderia confervacea LM image by Ann-Turi Skjevik:

http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Detonula%20confervacea?media_id=Detonula%20confervacea_3.jpg

Appendix D:

Open-source phytoplankton taxonomy websites:

- AlgaeBase: <https://www.algaebase.org/>
- California Academy of Sciences Catalogue of Diatom Names: <http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/diatoms/names/index.asp>
- Diatom Base: <https://www.diatombase.org/aphia.php?p=search>
- Diatoms: <https://www.ucl.ac.uk/GeolSci/micropal/diatom.html>
- Diatoms of North America: <https://diatoms.org/>
 - Glossary (helpful for taxonomy terms): <https://diatoms.org/glossary>
- Kudela Lab at the University of California Santa Cruz: <http://oceandatacenter.ucsc.edu/PhytoGallery/index.html>
- Lucidcentral Identification and Diagnostic Tools - Antarctic Marine Diatoms: https://keys.lucidcentral.org/keys/v3/australian-antarctic-division/antarctic_marine_diatoms.html
- Monterey Bay weekly phytoplankton sampling: <http://oceandatacenter.ucsc.edu/PhytoBlog/>
- Nordic Microalgae and Aquatic Protozoa: <http://nordicmicroalgae.org/taxon/Bacillariophyta>
- PhycoKey from University of New Hampshire: <http://cfb.unh.edu/phycokey/phycokey.htm>
- Phyto'pedia - The Phytoplankton Encyclopaedia Project, The University of British Columbia: <https://www.eoas.ubc.ca/research/phytoplankton/>
- PlanktonNet (photo database): https://planktonnet.awi.de/index.php?contenttype=image_details&itemid=59862#content
- Tree of Life Web Project: <http://tolweb.org/Diatoms/>
- WoRMS - World Register of Marine Species: <http://www.marinespecies.org/index.php>