

A new deep-sea species of *Elliptiolucina* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008 (Bivalvia, Lucinida, Lucinidae) from cold seep of the South China Sea

Yingyi Jiao^{1,2}, Minxiao Wang³, Yang Guo³, Junlong Zhang¹

1 Laboratory of Marine Organism Taxonomy and Phylogeny, Qingdao Key Laboratory of Marine Biodiversity and Conservation, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao 266071, China

2 University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

3 Center of Deep-Sea Research, Institute of Oceanology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Qingdao 266071, China

<https://zoobank.org/150C3C31-AF28-4714-8B9A-96A17424DDB5>

Corresponding author: Junlong Zhang (zhangjl@qdio.ac.cn)

Academic editor: M. Glaubrecht ♦ Received 15 February 2023 ♦ Accepted 13 March 2023 ♦ Published 27 March 2023

Abstract

The increasing discoveries of new species of the family Lucinidae in the last two decades indicated a surprising diversity of chemosynthetic lucinids in the deep sea, especially in the Indo-West Pacific. However, only a few records have been reported from the South China Sea. A new lucinid species *Elliptiolucina subovalis* **sp. nov.** is here reported from a deep-sea cold seep site of the South China Sea. The new species is distinct from its congeners by possessing a strong anterior lateral tooth on the right valve and anterior tapering, subrectangular-oval shells. Sequences of three genes (18S rRNA, 28S rRNA, and CytB) were used to analyze its relationships with other species in the subfamily Myrteinae and confirm its taxonomic placement. The result supports the monophyly of Myrteinae but also demonstrates the polyphyly of *Elliptiolucina*. The new species has a close relationship with *E. williamsae* and *Rostrilucina garuda*, but is not closely related to congener *E. ingens*. By comparing morphological characters, we suggest that *E. ingens* should not belong to the genus *Elliptiolucina*. The basal two of three deep-sea clades recognized in Myrteinae may indicate the deep-sea origin of this subfamily. Our results add to the known diversity of deep-sea lucinids and molecular information for poorly studied Myrteinae and highlight the necessity of further investigation on deep-sea lucinids of the South China Sea.

Key Words

Cold seep, *Elliptiolucina*, Lucinidae, Myrteinae, new species, South China Sea

Introduction

Lucinidae J. Fleming, 1828 is a widespread family in Bivalvia with a known latitudinal range from 70°N to 55°S and a depth range from intertidal to 2570 m (Taylor and Glover 2021). Even though they are often diverse and prolific in shallow waters, recent surveys have shown remarkable diversity in deep seas (Cosel and Bouchet 2008; Glover and Taylor 2016). As the most diverse group of Bivalvia in the chemosynthetic environment, lucinids cultivate chemoautotrophic bacteria in the ctenidia, which serve as their primary source of nutrition (Taylor et al. 2011). Nowadays, a total of 374 accepted species in Lucinidae are reported worldwide, classified into seven

subfamilies and 96 genera (WoRMS 2023). The coral reef ecosystems of the Indo-West Pacific (IWP) demonstrate the highest diversity of coastal lucinids (Taylor and Glover 2006). As for the deep-water lucinid diversity, its hotspot was also believed to be located at IWP, in the South-East Asian seas from Taiwan to the Arafura Sea (Cosel and Bouchet 2008). In the last two decades, up to 28 new genera and 93 new species were reported from IWP (Jiao and Zhang 2022). Among the new species, 47 are collected from the deep sea (Taylor and Glover 2002, 2005, 2013, 2021; Glover et al. 2003, 2008; Bouchet and Cosel 2004; Glover and Taylor 2007, 2008, 2016; Cosel and Bouchet 2008; Okutani 2011). Bafflingly, despite the great diversity of deep-sea lucinids in the Okinawa

Trough and the region south of the Philippines in IWP, there are few reports of lucinids in the deep sea of the South China Sea, which represents a substantial part of IWP. We have grounds to expect that the South China Sea, similar to other areas of IWP, will yield a significant number of as yet unrecognized species.

The subfamily Myrteinae Chavan, 1969 currently contains 11 extant genera and one fossil genus. Species of this group are often associated with deep, offshore habitats, with only a few inshore species (Taylor and Glover 2021). Due to difficulties in sampling and investigation caused by deep-sea habitats, Myrteinae also is a poorly studied group. Ongoing molecular investigations have dramatically changed the composition and relationships of this subfamily since its establishment (Chavan 1969; Taylor et al. 2011, 2014, 2016, 2022; Kuhara et al. 2014; Glover et al. 2016). However, many taxonomic issues have not been fully resolved in Myrteinae: 1) status of some genera (*Eulopia* Dall, 1901, *Graecina* Cosel, 2006, *Jorgenia* Taylor & Glover, 2009, and *Tellidorella* S. S. Berry, 1963) have not been validated by molecular evidence due to the lack of sequences of representative species; 2) some genera remain polyphyletic; 3) molecular relationships and morphological similarity sometimes contradict (Taylor et al. 2022). The genus *Elliptiolucina* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008 is a relatively new and poorly studied group of Myrteinae that is strongly associated with deep-sea chemosynthetic habitats. To date, all five living species were reported in the hydrocarbon seeps of the IWP (Cosel and Bouchet 2008; Okutani 2011; Kuhara et al. 2014; Glover and Taylor 2016). Kuhara et al. (2014) confirmed that *Elliptiolucina* belongs to the subfamily Myrteinae using two genes (18S rRNA and 28S rRNA), by revealing a close relationship between *E. ingens* Okutani, 2011 with *Notomyrtea botanica* (Hedley, 1918), *Gloverina rectangularis* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008 and an uncertain species of *Myrtea* W. Turton, 1822. Taylor et al. (2022) demonstrated that *Elliptiolucina* is a paraphyletic group as *E. williamsae* Glover & Taylor, 2016 did not cluster with *E. ingens* in the 18S rRNA gene constructed tree.

The discovery of chemosymbiosis in bivalves and the broad biological interest in chemosynthetic environments such as vents, hydrocarbon seeps, and organically enriched habitats have stimulated many recent offshore samplings. It has been revealed that there is a surprising diversity of Lucinidae in offshore shelf and bathyal depths (Taylor et al. 2014). In recent years, with the increased interest in deep-sea exploration, many cold seep sites have been found in the northern part of the South China Sea (Klaucke et al. 2015; Hsu et al. 2017; Liang et al. 2017; Fang et al. 2019). Various benthic macrofauna were collected and recognized from these cold seeps, and numerous species were reported new to science (Li 2017; Dong et al. 2020; Zhao et al. 2020). In this paper, we describe a new species of *Elliptiolucina* collected from a cold seep in the South China Sea at a depth of 1146 m. Morphological examination and molecular analyses of three genes (18S rRNA, 28S rRNA, and CytB) were used

to confirm the taxonomic status of this specimen. We also discuss the ascription and relationships of species assigned to *Elliptiolucina* and the relationships among shallow water and deep-sea species of Myrteinae. This study adds to the known diversity of deep-sea lucinids providing more molecular information for poorly studied Myrteinae. It also implies a potential uncovered diversity of Lucinidae in the South China Sea.

Materials and methods

Sample collection and morphological observation

The specimen of this study was collected during a survey of Site F (also called Jiaolong Seep No. 1, Formosa Ridge or Taixinan cold seep), a cold seep of the South China Sea, by a TV grab on Aug. 3, 2018 (Fig. 1). The morphological features were observed under a Zeiss STEREO Discovery V12 stereo microscope (Zeiss, Wetzlar, Germany) equipped with a Zeiss AxioCam 503 digital camera. Photos of the specimen were taken with a SONY ILCE-7RM4 camera. The shell length (SL), shell height (SH), shell width (SW), and length of lunule (LL) were measured by a vernier caliper with an accuracy of 0.01 mm.

Marker gene acquisition

Genomic DNA was extracted from the muscle tissue of the specimen using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen). The DNA was fragmented with Covaris E220 and selected using AMPure XP beads to obtain fragments around 200 bp. After that, the DNA was sequenced on the MGI-T7 platform with a layout of pair-end 150 bp. All of the generated short reads were filtered using SOAPnuke v.1.5.2 (Chen et al. 2018), and the draft genome (unpublished data) was assembled using SPAdes v.3.15.0 with the kmer of 31, 51, 71, 91 (Bankevich et al. 2012). Both 18S and 28S rRNA sequences were obtained by aligning the genomic assembly to corresponding gene sequences of *Fimbria fimbriata* (see Suppl. material 1) using BLAST v.36. The mitochondrial genome was assembled using NOVOPlasty v4.2 (Dierckxsens et al. 2017), and the mitochondrial genome of *Loripes lacteus* (GenBank, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/>, accession number: NC_013271.1) (Dreyer and Steiner 2006) as the 'Seed Input' in the configuration file. The genome was annotated using the online tool MITOS (<http://mitos2.bioinf.unileipzig.de/index.py>) (Donath et al. 2019), and the CytB sequence was extracted from the annotation results.

Additional sequences of three genes (18S rRNA, 28S rRNA, and CytB) were retrieved from GenBank according to the accession numbers provided in previous studies on the phylogeny of Lucinidae (Williams et al. 2004; Taylor et al. 2007, 2011, 2014, 2016, 2022; Glover et al.




Figure 1. The locations of *Elliptiolucina* species. Blue triangle, *E. subovalis* sp. nov.; green circle: *E. magnifica*; red circle: *E. labeyriei*; yellow circle: *E. williamsae*; black circle, *E. virginiae*; white circle: *E. ingens*.

2008, 2016; Kuhara et al. 2014; see Suppl. material 1). These studies provided detailed information on mapping different markers to certain individuals and included almost all species of lucinids that possess molecular information. Our analyses included most of the species in these previous studies to increase the stability and reliability of our inferred phylogenetic relationship. Species names of these sequences used in this study followed the latest study rather than the original species name in GenBank. Seven species of Thyasiridae Dall, 1900 (1895) and Cyrenoididae H. Adams & A. Adams, 1857 (1853) were selected as outgroups.

Phylogenetic analysis

Three datasets of different genes were aligned separately using MAFFT v.7.037b (Katoh and Standley 2013) with the iterative refinement method L-INS-i. Then the aligned sequences were uploaded to BioEdit v7.0.9.0 (Hall 1999)

for quality checking and editing. The untrimmed bases from both ends of the sequences were removed manually. Ambiguously aligned sites in the ribosomal gene were removed using GBLOCKS v. 0.91b (Castresana 2000) with the least stringent settings. The final dataset used in phylogenetic trees was constituted of 856 bp of 18S (70% of 1215 bp before Gblock), 1152 bp of 28S (64% of 1774 bp before Gblock), and 324 bp of CytB. The three gene datasets were concatenated into a single dataset by SequenceMatrix v.1.8 (Vaidya et al. 2011) for those individuals with some sequence for at least two genes.

The Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) analysis based on concatenated and 18S datasets were used for phylogenetic analyses. The ML tree was conducted using IQ-TREE v.2.2.0-Linux (Nguyen et al. 2015). The most suitable evolution model was found by ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017) and adopted automatically to infer the ML tree. Bootstrap supports (BS) were calculated with 1,000 replicates to assess branch supports. Gene partition models chosen

for IQ-TREE were 18S rRNA, TNe + FQ + I + R3; 28S rRNA, GTR + F + R4; and cytb, TPM3u + F + I + G4. The concatenated tree was inferred by the edge-proportional partition model with separate substitution models and separate rates across sites. jModeltest v.2.1.10 (Darriba et al. 2012) was used to find the best-fitting evolution models of each group of sequences for Bayesian phylogenetic trees. The best model for all separate genes was GTR + G + I by the Akaike information criterion (AIC). Genes were allowed to have their own model and rate in the concatenated gene analysis. The BI tree was carried out using MrBayes v.3.2.7 (Ronquist et al. 2012) with the best model of each dataset. The posterior probability (PP) was estimated using four chains running 10,000,000 generations and sampled every 1000 generations. The first 25% of sampled trees were discarded as burn-in. The results of ML and BI trees were visualized and rendered using Figtree v.1.4.4 (<http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree/>).

Results

Systematics

Family Lucinidae J. Fleming, 1828

Subfamily Myrteinae Chavan, 1969

Genus *Elliptiolumina* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008

***Elliptiolumina subovalis* sp. nov.**

<https://zoobank.org/3092C19C-3DAA-4D3D-993C-3276F9C0EF98>

Figs 2, 3, 4F

Material examined. Holotype: MBM229033, one complete specimen collected on Aug. 3, 2018, by a TV grab, deposited in the Marine Biological Museum, Chinese Academy of Sciences (MBMCAS), Qingdao.

Description. Shell medium-sized, 44.7 mm long, thick, elongate (SH/SL 0.78), sub-rectangular oval, inequilateral, nearly equivalve, slightly inflated (SW/SL 0.44). Umbones slightly prominent and prosogyrous, situated in front of the vertical midline, anterior umbonal slope slightly concave, postero-dorsal margin straight. Anterior margin narrowly rounded, posterior margin broad, rounded-truncate, and the vertical part slightly convex. Postero-dorsal corner obtuse. Ventral margin broadly rounded but slopes distinctly upswept in front.

Shell white, flaky periostracum pale-brownish, dense, and slightly wrinkled towards the margin of the shell. Exterior with dense, irregular commarginal growth ribs, fine commarginal striae, and few irregular vertical lines which diverge from the commarginal sculpture and running in a more upward direction on the postero-dorsal area (Fig. 3A), visible on 5× magnification. Both of anterior and posterior dorsal area with one very slight radial depression. Lunule rather long, generally symmetrical, deeply sunken, lanceolate. Escutcheon long, completely covered by ligament which almost occupies the whole postero-dorsal margin and situated on a strong nymph.

Inner shell surface dull white to slightly orange inside pallial line, and glossy at the margin. Dense radial stria from umbonal cavity to the shell margin. Hinge plate narrow, with only very faint and low indications of cardinal teeth in both valves, but a strong anterior lateral tooth in right valve, and a corresponding socket in left valve. Anterior adductor scar elongate, detached from pallial line for less than 1/2 of length. A distinct but shallow pedal retractor scar above the anterior adductor scar. Posterior adductor scar reniform, with a dorsal notch, open to the anterior. Pallial line entire. Shell margin smooth.

Etymology. The specific name *subovalis* was derived from the Latin *sub* + *oval* in reference to the shape of the shell, sub-rectangular oval but more ovate than most congeners.

Type locality. An active seepage site (22.1159°N, 119.2854°E), site F, in southwest Taiwan, South China Sea, 1146 m depth (Fig. 1). Buried in the muddy bottom near the seep.

Remarks. The new species possesses thick, elongated shells with fine commarginal sculptures, a relatively short anterior adductor muscle scar, and a narrow hinge, especially a dorsal notch in the posterior adductor scar, which are in accord with the key characteristics of Myrteinae. It corresponds to the genus *Elliptiolumina* in the elongated shells and fine irregular commarginal sculptures. The new species was found from IWP. The West-Atlantic genus *Jorgenia* shares similar general morphology of the outer shell with *Elliptiolumina*. But the limited distribution combined with the different hinge features (small but distinct cardinal teeth in both valves of *Jorgenia*) can differentiate the two genera.

Elliptiolumina subovalis sp. nov. is distinct from other congeners by possessing a strong anterior lateral tooth on the right valve and anterior tapering, subrectangular-oval shells (Table 1, Fig. 4). The new species is morphologically similar to *E. magnifica* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008 in outline but can be distinguished by the more prominent and prosogyrous umbones and the lateral tooth. *Elliptiolumina subovalis* sp. nov. is the second species after *E. williamsae* known to possess a lateral tooth. The distinct cardinal teeth of *E. williamsae* can be used to separate it from the new species. In addition, the tapering anterior shell of *E. subovalis* differentiates the two species. *E. virginiae* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008 can be distinguished from the new species by its almost rectangular outline. It has a straight dorsal margin and vertical posterior margin, while the shell shape of *E. subovalis* sp. nov. is rather sub-ovate. The more compressed shells of *E. virginiae* (SW/SL ratio = 26–29%) and *E. labeyriei* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008 (SW/SL ratio = 24–33%) are distinct from that of *E. subovalis* sp. nov. (SW/SL ratio = 44%). *E. ingens* can be separated from the new species by its ridges in the inner surface running from the umbonal cavity to both posterior and anterior adductor scars and the absence of a posterior dorsal corner on the external surface.

Molecular analysis. The obtained sequences were uploaded in GenBank (see Suppl. material 1). The entire dataset included sequences of 219 individuals from 146




Figure 2. *Elliptiolumina subovalis* sp. nov. **A, B.** Exterior and interior of the right valve; **C, D.** Exterior and interior of the left valve; **E.** Dorsal view; **F, G.** Detail of the hinge of the right and left valves.

species. Because markers are not always available for the same species, somewhat different taxon sets are employed in three-gene and 18S trees. In particular, for *E. williamsae*, only the 18S rRNA gene was available. Phylogenetic frameworks of ML and BI methods showed similar topologies on each dataset. Thus, four trees were

presented in two summary cartoon trees in our study (Figs 5, 6). Our results based on three-gene (Fig. 5) have divided the species of Lucinidae into seven clades, corresponding to seven subfamilies. Phylogenetic results of two datasets displayed similar topologies within Myrteinae. Our new species *Elliptiolumina subovalis* sp. nov. was found to be




Figure 3. Shell sculptures of *Elliptiolumina subovalis* sp. nov. **A.** Posterior surface (~5×); **B.** Anterior surface (~5×); **C.** Middle surface (~5×).

Table 1. Comparison of conchological features in all species of the genus *Elliptiolumina*. Modified by Kuhara (2014). Additional information was adapted from Cosel and Bouchet (2008); Okutani (2011); Glover and Taylor (2016).

	<i>E. ingens</i>	<i>E. magnifica</i>	<i>E. labeyriei</i>	<i>E. virginiae</i>	<i>E. williamsae</i>	<i>E. subovalis</i> sp. nov.
Outline	oval, somewhat discoid	subrectangular-oval	subrectangular-oval	sub-rectangular	subovate	subrectangular-oval
Shell length (mm)	53.2–98.4	80.4	18.5–43.6	23.0–78.5	20.5–44.1	44.7
SH/SL ratio	72–84%	72%	68–80%	63–69%	78–86%	78%
SW/SL ratio	29–45%	38%	27–33%	26–29%	36–44%	44%
Umbones	tumid and low	small and flattened	flattened	small	low	slightly prominent
Hinge teeth	edentulous	edentulous	occasionally cardinal tooth indications	edentulous	small cardinal teeth and lateral teeth	cardinal tooth indications and lateral teeth
Width of lunule	narrow	narrow	very narrow	very narrow	narrow	narrow
Length of lunule	rather long to long	rather long	long	short	–	long
LL/SL ratio	22–30%	ca. 22%	ca. 25%	ca. 14%	–	ca. 25%
Outline of posterodorsal corner	round	angular	rather angular	angular	angular	angular
Position of posterodorsal corner	low	high	slightly low	high	slightly low	slightly low

a member of Myrteinae. *E. williamsae* and *E. subovalis* sp. nov. formed a stable sister group in 18S trees. A close relationship between the group *E. subovalis* sp. nov. (+ *E. williamsae*) and *Rostrilucina garuda* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008 was shown in all trees. The new species formed a sister clade of all other Myrteinae species in conjunction with *Rostrilucina garuda* and *Myrtea flabelliformis* (Prasad, 1932) in concatenated trees. In 18S trees, the three species mentioned above, together with the *E. williamsae*, were also basal to other Myrteinae species. The monophyletic *Elliptiolumina* and *Myrtea* were not supported. *E. ingens* involved in a clade composed of *Gloverina* Cosel &

Bouchet, 2008, *Myrtea catonii* (Glover & J. D. Taylor, 2016), *Myrtea vincentia* (Glover & J. D. Taylor, 2007), and another undescribed *Myrtea* species in all trees. Deep-sea species of Myrteinae formed three monophyletic clades in the concatenated dataset (Fig. 5). Two stable monophyletic deep-sea clades (clade A and C) represented by species of *Elliptiolumina*, *Gloverina*, *Myrtea* and *Rostrilucina* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008. The polyphyletic genus *Elliptiolumina* and *Myrtea* were shared in the two clades. One species of *Taylorina* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008, *T. solomonensis* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008, formed a separate clade, as the sister group of clade A plus all of shallow-sea Myrteinae.




Figure 4. Outline drawing of shells interiors of *Elliptiolumina*. **A.** *E. magnifica*; **B.** *E. labeyriei*; **C.** *E. virginiae*; **D.** *E. ingens*; **E.** *E. williamsae*; **F.** *E. subovalis* sp. nov. Sketches of A–E. were adapted from Kuhara (2014, fig. 4) and Glover and Taylor (2016, figs 19, 20).

Discussion

According to morphological and molecular results, we assign the new species to the genus *Elliptiolumina*. This genus was originally proposed to accommodate three species of Myrteinae, characterized by a large and oval-rectangular outline, compressed shells with irregular fine commarginal sculpture, living in deep-sea hydrocarbon seeps of IWP. Before our species, five living species of *Elliptiolumina* were limited to this region and patchily distributed

in the similar habitat, i.e., *E. magnifica*, *E. labeyriei* and *E. williamsae* from the Philippines, *E. virginiae* from Indonesia, and *E. ingens* from south Japan (Fig. 1). And for a long time, each species has only been found in its type locality. The IWP shows high diversity and endemism of Lucinidae. A total of 50 of 69 genera recorded from there are endemic to the region (Taylor et al. 2022). Many semi-enclosed basins with poor bottom water circulation and wide distribution of organic enrichment habitats (organic falls, hydrothermal vents, cold seeps, etc.) may




Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree inferred by Maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian inference (BI) based on concatenated dataset of 18S, 28S and CytB genes. The species marked with an inverted triangle are deep-sea species.

promote radiation and endemism in IWP deep sea (Cosel and Bouchet 2008; Taylor et al. 2014). As an essential part of IWP, the South China Sea possesses various organic enrichment habitats in its bathyal floor. Numerous chemosynthetic habitats with high species richness and abundance have been recognized. However, only one deep-sea lucinid was previously observed in the South China Sea, namely *Lucinoma rhomboidalis* which is described from southern Taiwan by Cosel and Bouchet (2008). *Meganodontia acetabulum* Bouchet & Cosel, 2004 and *Lucinoma taiwanensis* Cosel & Bouchet, 2008 were large seep-associated lucinids described from the East China Sea, NE coast of Taiwan at a depth of hundreds of meters. However, there is no evidence that they could spread to the South China Sea. In addition, Dong et al. (2020) reported a *Lucinoma* sp. in the Haima cold seep but it is probably a misidentification of a Vesicomidae species. We consider the scarcity of lucinids to be a deceptive consequence of insufficient sampling because the investigation of chemosynthetic habitats in the South China Sea is still in its infancy, and the deep burrowing lifestyle of Lucinidae enhances the difficulty of discovery. The South China Sea, especially the northern part with extensive cold seeps, may contain a plethora of unexplored diversity of Lucinidae.

The molecular relationships within Lucinidae have been discussed since 2004 (Williams et al. 2004). Our trees of three genes or 18S rRNA alone show similar topology with prior studies (Taylor et al. 2011, 2016, 2022). Species of Myrteinae form a well-supported group, but the relationships with other subfamilies are unstable in different datasets. Previous chronogram analysis and fossil records

implied that Lucinidae originated in Silurian in the shallow sea, and several clades invaded deep-sea habitats independently since the Jurassic (Taylor et al. 2011; Stanley 2014). Among the Lucinidae, the greatest diversity of deep taxa is found in the subfamily Myrteinae which split with other clades in the early Cretaceous (Taylor et al. 2011). Our results of three-gene analysis showed that there are three monophyletic deep-sea clades in this subfamily, and two of them (clade B, clade C) represent the basal groups. These results indicated the deep-sea origin of Myrteinae. However, the deep-sea clade consisting of *Elliptiolumina ingens*, *Gloverina* spp., *Myrtea catonii*, *Myrtea vincentia*, and *Myrtea* sp. shares an immediate ancestor with several non-monophyletic shallow-water clades. It is still hard to say whether the evolutionary pattern of this group stems from the multiple invasions onshore from a deep-sea ancestor or the reoccupation of offshore habitat from a shallow-water ancestor because of the obviously inadequate representative species of Myrteinae. The evolutionary history and dispersal pathways of this subfamily may be clarified by increasing molecular information about more species in the future.

Our concatenated trees demonstrate that *E. subovalis* sp. nov. and *E. ingens* belong to two distinct deep-sea clades. *E. williamsae* and *E. subovalis* sp. nov. have a close relationship in our 18S rRNA data. *E. williamsae* most resembles *E. subovalis* sp. nov. in morphology of the hinge. Both possess a developed lateral tooth on the right valve and a corresponding socket on the left. It is noteworthy that the commarginal sculpture of *E. subovalis* sp. nov. is consistent with three *Elliptiolumina* species described by Cosel and Bouchet (2008), which have




Figure 6. Phylogenetic tree inferred by Bayesian inference (BI) and Maximum likelihood (ML) based on 18S rRNA gene.

irregular vertical lines that diverge from other commarginal sculptures and run in a more upward direction in the dorsal area (Fig. 3A). *E. ingens* is distinct from other *Elliptiolumicina* species by the discoid rather than elongated shell (Okutani 2011). But the study involving more live-caught specimens indicated that the original description of *E. ingens* based on several dead shells was ambiguous (Kuhara et al. 2014). This species is highly variable in the outline, symmetry, and adductor scar characteristics. Although many parameters of *E. ingens* overlap with other species of this genus (Table 1), we found several characteristics of *E. ingens* that are obviously different from other species of *Elliptiolumicina*: 1) the postero-dorsal corner is absent in *E. ingens*, resulting in a round rather than a truncated posterior end; 2) the shells of *E. ingens* are more solid than other species; 3) the shell surface of *E. ingens* seems smoother than others with more regular sculptures; 4) the inner surfaces of *E. ingens* are distinct from others by having two ridges running from the umbonal cavity to both adductor scars and more slender slits in posterior adductor scar. According to these characteristics, we considered that *E. ingens* might not belong to the genus *Elliptiolumicina*. However, the assumption still needs more evidence, especially molecular data of the type species *E. magnifica*, which may help to confirm the assumption of relationships within *Elliptiolumicina* and recover the monophyly of this genus.

As the most diverse family of bivalves in the chemosynthetic habitat, Lucinidae is a significant taxon in the study of chemosymbiosis. In addition, it is an ideal subject for research on the evolutionary pattern between onshore and offshore species. We still need to learn more

about the diversity and phylogeny of lucinids, particularly the deep-sea taxa. To fully understand the diversity of deep-sea lucinids, more deep-sea surveys are needed, especially in IWP, the biodiversity hotspot of Lucinidae. And more molecular information on Myrteinae is needed to clarify its phylogeny and confirm its relationships with other subfamilies.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2021YFE0193700), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB42000000, XDA22050203) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31772422).

References

- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA (2012) SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *Journal of Computational Biology* 19(5): 455–477. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021>
- Bouchet P, Cosel Rv (2004) The world’s largest lucinid is an undescribed species from Taiwan (Mollusca: Bivalvia). *Zoological Studies (Taipei, Taiwan)* 43(4): 704–711. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02095>
- Castresana J (2000) Selection of Conserved Blocks from Multiple Alignments for Their Use in Phylogenetic Analysis. *Molecular*

- Biology and Evolution 17(4): 540–552. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026334>
- Chavan A (1969) Superfamily Lucinacea Fleming, 1828. In: Moore RC (Ed.) Treatise on Invertebrate Paleontology, Part N, Mollusca 6, Bivalvia. Geological Society of America and University of Kansas, Boulder, Colorado, 490–951.
- Chen Y, Chen Y, Shi C, Huang Z, Zhang Y, Li S, Li Y, Ye J, Yu C, Li Z, Zhang X, Wang J, Yang H, Fang L, Chen Q (2018) SOAPnuke: A MapReduce acceleration-supported software for integrated quality control and preprocessing of high-throughput sequencing data. *GigaScience* 7(1): 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/gix120>
- Cosel Rv, Bouchet P (2008) Tropical deep-water lucinids (Mollusca: Bivalvia) from the Indo-Pacific: essentially unknown, but diverse and occasionally gigantic. In: Héros V, Cowie RH, Bouchet P (Eds) Tropical Deep Sea Benthos 25. Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, 115–213.
- Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2012) jModelTest 2: More models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* 9(8): 772. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109>
- Dierckxsens N, Mardulyn P, Smits G (2017) NOVOPlasty: De novo assembly of organelle genomes from whole genome data. *Nucleic Acids Research* 45(4): e18. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw955>
- Donath A, Juhling F, Al-Arab M, Bernhart SH, Reinhardt F, Stadler PF, Middendorf M, Bernt M (2019) Improved annotation of protein-coding genes boundaries in metazoan mitochondrial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Research* 47(20): 10543–10552. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz833>
- Dong D, Li X, Yang M, Gong L, Li Y, Sui J, Gan Z, Kou Q, Xiao N, Zhang J (2020) Report of epibenthic macrofauna found from Haima cold seeps and adjacent deep-sea habitats, South China Sea. *Marine Life Science & Technology* 3(1): 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42995-020-00073-9>
- Dreyer H, Steiner G (2006) The complete sequences and gene organisation of the mitochondrial genomes of the heterodont bivalves *Acanthocardia tuberculata* and *Hiattella arctica*—And the first record for a putative Atpase subunit 8 gene in marine bivalves. *Frontiers in Zoology* 3(1): 13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-3-13>
- Fang Y, Wei J, Lu H, Liang J, Lu J, Fu J, Cao J (2019) Chemical and structural characteristics of gas hydrates from the Haima cold seeps in the Qiongdongnan Basin of the South China Sea. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 182: 103924. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.103924>
- Glover EA, Taylor JD (2007) Diversity of chemosymbiotic bivalves on coral reefs: Lucinidae (Mollusca, Bivalvia) of New Caledonia and Lifou. *Zoosystema* 29(1): 109–181.
- Glover EA, Taylor JD (2008) *Callucina* and *Pseudolucinisca* (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Lucinidae) from Australia: revision of genera and description of three new species. *Records of the Western Australian Museum* 24(4): 443–457. [https://doi.org/10.18195/issn.0312-3162.24\(4\).2008.443-457](https://doi.org/10.18195/issn.0312-3162.24(4).2008.443-457)
- Glover EA, Taylor JD (2016) Lucinidae of the Philippines: highest known diversity and ubiquity of chemosymbiotic bivalves from intertidal to bathyal depths (Mollusca: Bivalvia). In: Héros V, Strong E, Bouchet P (Eds) Tropical Deep-Sea Benthos 29. Mémoires du Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, Paris, 65–234.
- Glover EA, Taylor JD, Slack-Smith SM (2003) A new species and genus of lucinid bivalve from Western Australia (Bivalvia: Lucinidae). In: Wells FE, Walker DI, Jones DS (Eds) The marine flora and fauna of dampier, Western Australia. Western Australian Museum, Perth, 419–423.
- Glover EA, Taylor JD, Williams ST (2008) Mangrove associated lucinid bivalves of the central Indo-West Pacific: review of the “*Austriella*” group with a new genus and species. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology supplement* 18: 25–40.
- Glover EA, Williams ST, Taylor JD (2016) Lucinid bivalves of Singapore and their relationships (Bivalvia: Lucinidae). *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology supplement* 34: 539–565.
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: A user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41: 95–98.
- Hsu H-H, Liu C-S, Morita S, Tu S-L, Lin S, Machiyama H, Azuma W, Ku C-Y, Chen S-C (2017) Seismic imaging of the Formosa Ridge cold seep site offshore of southwestern Taiwan. *Marine Geophysical Researches* 39(4): 523–535. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11001-017-9339-y>
- Jiao Y, Zhang J (2022) Progress in the taxonomy and phylogeny of *Lucinida* (Mollusca, Bivalva). *Marine Science* 46(10): 167–176. [in Chinese with English abstract]
- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermini LS (2017) ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods* 14(6): 587–589. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285>
- Katoh K, Standley DM (2013) MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30(4): 772–780. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010>
- Klaucke I, Berndt C, Crutchley G, Chi W-C, Lin S, Muff S (2015) Fluid venting and seepage at accretionary ridges: The Four Way Closure Ridge offshore SW Taiwan. *Geo-Marine Letters* 36(3): 165–174. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-015-0431-5>
- Kuhara T, Kano Y, Yoshikoshi K, Hashimoto J (2014) Shell morphology, anatomy and gill histology of the deep-sea bivalve *Elliptiolucina ingens* and molecular phylogenetic reconstruction of the chemosynthetic family Lucinidae. *Venus (Tokyo)* 72(1–4): 13–27.
- Li XZ (2017) Taxonomic research on deep-sea macrofauna in the South China Sea using the Chinese deep-sea submersible Jiaolong. *Integrative Zoology* 12(4): 270–282. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1749-4877.12254>
- Liang Q, Hu Y, Feng D, Peckmann J, Chen L, Yang S, Liang J, Tao J, Chen D (2017) Authigenic carbonates from newly discovered active cold seeps on the northwestern slope of the South China Sea: Constraints on fluid sources, formation environments, and seepage dynamics. *Deep-sea Research. Part I, Oceanographic Research Papers* 124: 31–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2017.04.015>
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32(1): 268–274. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300>
- Okutani T (2011) Bizarre lucinid bivalves from southwestern Japan, including a new species, and relatives in adjacent waters. *Venus (Tokyo)* 69(3–4): 115–122.
- Ronquist F, Teslenko M, van der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L, Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: Efficient Bayesian phylogenetic inference and model choice across a large model space. *Systematic Biology* 61(3): 539–542. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029>

- Stanley SM (2014) Evolutionary radiation of shallow-water Lucinidae (Bivalvia with endosymbionts) as a result of the rise of seagrasses and mangroves. *Geology* 42(9): 803–806. <https://doi.org/10.1130/G35942.1>
- Taylor JD, Glover EA (2002) *LamelloLucina*: A new genus of lucinid bivalve with four new species from the Indo-West Pacific. *Journal of Conchology* 37(4): 317–336.
- Taylor JD, Glover EA (2005) Cryptic diversity of chemosymbiotic bivalves: A systematic revision of worldwide *Anodontia* (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Lucinidae). *Systematics and Biodiversity* 3(3): 281–338. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1477200005001672>
- Taylor JD, Glover EA (2006) Lucinidae (Bivalvia)—the most diverse group of chemosymbiotic molluscs. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 148(3): 421–438. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2006.00261.x>
- Taylor JD, Glover EA (2013) New lucinid bivalves from shallow and deeper water of the Indian and West Pacific Oceans (Mollusca, Bivalvia, Lucinidae). *ZooKeys* 326: 69–90. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.326.5786>
- Taylor JD, Glover EA (2021) Biology, evolution and generic review of the chemosymbiotic bivalve family Lucinidae. Ray Society, London, 320 pp.
- Taylor JD, Williams ST, Glover EA, Dyal P (2007) A molecular phylogeny of heterodont bivalves (Mollusca: Bivalvia: Heterodonta): new analyses of 18S and 28S rRNA genes. *Zoologica Scripta* 36(6): 587–606. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6409.2007.00299.x>
- Taylor JD, Glover EA, Smith L, Dyal P, Williams ST (2011) Molecular phylogeny and classification of the chemosymbiotic bivalve family Lucinidae (Mollusca: Bivalvia). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 163(1): 15–49. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.2011.00700.x>
- Taylor JD, Glover EA, Williams ST (2014) Diversification of chemosymbiotic bivalves: Origins and relationships of deeper water Lucinidae. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society. Linnean Society of London* 111(2): 401–420. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12208>
- Taylor JD, Glover EA, Smith L, Ikebe C, Williams ST (2016) New molecular phylogeny of Lucinidae: increased taxon base with focus on tropical Western Atlantic species (Mollusca: Bivalvia). *Zootaxa* 4196(3): 381–398. <https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4196.3.2>
- Taylor JD, Glover EA, Yuen B, Williams ST (2022) Closing the gap: a new phylogeny and classification of the chemosymbiotic bivalve family Lucinidae with molecular evidence for 73% of living genera. *Journal of Molluscan Studies* 88(4): eyac025. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/eyac025>
- Vaidya G, Lohman DJ, Meier R (2011) SequenceMatrix: Concatenation software for the fast assembly of multi-gene datasets with character set and codon information. *Cladistics* 27(2): 171–180. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2010.00329.x>
- Williams ST, Taylor JD, Glover EA (2004) Molecular Phylogeny of the Lucinoidea (Bivalvia): Non-Monophyly and Separate Acquisition of Bacterial Chemosymbiosis. *The Journal of Molluscan Studies* 70(2): 187–202. <https://doi.org/10.1093/mollus/70.2.187>
- WoRMS [Editorial Board] (2023) World Register of Marine Species. <https://doi.org/10.14284/170>
- Zhao Y, Xu T, Law YS, Feng D, Li N, Xin RJ, Wang H, Ji FW, Zhou HY, Qiu JW (2020) Ecological characterization of cold-seep epifauna in the South China Sea. *Deep-sea Research. Part I, Oceanographic Research Papers* 163: 103361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsr.2020.103361>

Supplementary material 1

GenBank accession numbers of used sequences

Authors: Yingyi Jiao, Minxiao Wang, Yang Guo, Junlong Zhang

Data type: sequences

Copyright notice: This dataset is made available under the Open Database License (<http://opendatacommons.org/licenses/odbl/1.0/>). The Open Database License (ODbL) is a license agreement intended to allow users to freely share, modify, and use this Dataset while maintaining this same freedom for others, provided that the original source and author(s) are credited.

Link: <https://doi.org/10.3897/zse.99.101795.suppl1>

