Figure 1: High-resolution clonal map obtained through non-destructive genotyping of eelgrass shoots at 31x31 grid intersections (distance 33.3cm). Genotyping was done based on the length polymorphism displayed by microsatellite markers specifically designed for eelgrass. The eight largest clones are marked by different colours.

'selection' or 'sampling' effect, see Box 2). On the contrary, the best eelgrass genotype in monoculture performed badly in mixtures, whereas all 'weak' performers in monoculture were enhanced in their performance in diverse treatments. The latter finding supports a true biodiversity effect, or complementarity, to be responsible for the enhanced performance of diverse treatments (see Box 2).

Many questions remain open. Firstly, experiments using different macrophyte species are urgently needed to assess the generality of the effects identified in *Zostera marina*. Secondly, does genotypic diversity only play a role under stress or disturbance, as the studies in eelgrass beds suggest? Experiments are underway in which the performance of test populations with

DNA microsatellites as genetic markers

low and high genotypic diversity are tested in heat-stressed mesocosms versus non-stressed ones. If genotypic diversity has a positive effect only under stress, this will manifest itself through a 'genetic diversity x temperature' interaction.

As a first step, the above experiments only addressed the level of genotypic (or clonal) diversity as a subset of the total genetic diversity using a clonally reproducing organism as a model. How to define genetic diversity among a collection of unique genotypes, for example in exclusively sexually reproducing organisms, is an open and much-debated question in conservation ecology and population genetics. It is clear that the diversity displayed by neutral genetic markers, the focus of the overwhelming number of studies conducted thus far. is only weakly linked to selectively-relevant genetic diversity. What is needed is a novel generation of genetic markers that measure diversity directly at selectively relevant traits (van Tienderen et al, 2002). Probably, an a priori decision would have to be made as to which traits are relevant under a given ecological challenge that should be assessed and manipulated.

In conclusion, recent experiments allow for a generalisation of ecological theory, because the effects of genotypic and species diversity on ecosystem functioning appear analogous. The further development of molecular tools will soon allow an integrative experimental approach to address consequences of biological diversity at the genotypic, genetic (sensu strictu) and species level.

Decomposition of biodiversity effects into complementarity and selection

Positive biodiversity effects may arise from two different processes. As a statisticallyinevitable consequence, diverse communities are expected to comprise the best performing species (or genotype = clone) within their mixture that may dominate the total response at termination. Whether or not such a 'sampling' (or selection) effect is causally related to biodiversity per se is controversial. On the other hand, true biodiversity effects arise when the average performance of species is enhanced by the presence of other species (or genotypes), for example through facilitation or niche differentiation. Loreau and Hector (2001) developed a statistical procedure that allows a decomposition of the net biodiversity effect into complementarity and selection effects. Based on appropriate experimental designs, the relative roles of complementarity versus selection can be disentangled provided that all species tested in mixtures are also present as experimental monocultures. The procedure can also be adapted for genotypic instead of species diversity (Reusch et al,

Thorsten B.H. Reusch

Max Planck Institute of Limnology August Thienemann Str. 2 24306 Plön, Germany Email: reusch@mpil-ploen.mpg.de

References

Costanza, R, D'Arge, R, De Groot, R, Farber, S, Grasso et al, 1997. The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. *Nature* **387**, 253-260

Duarte, CM, 2002. The future of seagrass meadows. *Environ. Conserv.*, **29**, 192-206

Hughes, AR, & Stachowicz, JJ, 2004. Genetic diversity enhances the resistance of a seagrass ecosystem to disturbance. *Proc. Natl. Acad Sci. USA* 101, 8998-9002

Loreau, M, & Hector, A, 2001. Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. *Nature* **412**, 72-76

Loreau, M, Naeem, S, & Inchausti, P, eds, 2002. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: synthesis and perspective. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

Reusch, TBH, Ehlers, A, Hämmerli, A, & Worm, B, 2005. Ecosystem recovery after climatic extremes enhanced by genotypic diversity. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102, 2826-2831

Schär, C, Vidale, PL, Lüthi, D, Frei, C, Häberli, C, et al, 2004. The role of increasing temperature variability in European summer heatwaves. *Nature* **427**, 332-335

Van Tienderen, PH, De Haan, AA, Van Der Linden, CG, & Vosman, B, 2002. Biodiversity assessment using markers for ecologically important traits. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 17, 577-583

Functional Diversity

The influence of the lugworm (Arenicola marina)

on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning in an intertidal mudflat

By James Saunders, Kirstie Dyson David Paterson



Intertidal mudflat on the German island of Sylt, February 2005.

ON THE GERMAN island of Sylt, just south of the Danish North Sea coast, researchers at the Alfred Wiener Institute established an experiment to examine the influence of *Arenicola marina* upon an intertidal mudflat.

Six 20m x 20m exclusion plots were created (three each at high and low tide) by burying a fine mesh 10cm deep into the sediment, preventing A. marina from burrowing or establishing the U-shaped tubes in which it lives.

Excluding A. marina allowed observations on how its presence influenced the abundance and distribution of other intertidal species and what effect this had on the physical nature and functioning of the system.

The first stage of the experiment was completed in February and March 2005 (supported partly by MarBEF funds) where the sites were studied under winter conditions. Macrofaunal and microphytobenthic communities were sampled from each plot and the adjacent control plots without mesh.

Measurements of ecosystem functioning and environmental factors included nutrient production, algal content and photosynthetic production, sediment grain size, water content and sediment stability.

During the field campaign the mudflat was subjected to extremely cold conditions and was regularly covered in ice. With biofilms present on the sediment surface underneath the ice sheets, measurements of primary productivity and biomass were taken.

Macrofauna abundance was greater on the low shore than high shore but biodiversity was low at all sites as it was dominated by the gastropod *Hydrobia ulvae*. The exclusion of *A. marina* resulted in a small increase in biodiversity due to increasing numbers of smaller worms such as the polychaete *Nereis diversicolor*; oligochaetes and *Spionidea* species.

Sediment stability was low at all sites. However, high-tide sites were slightly more stable than low-tide, although this may have been a result of larger sediment particles in these sites. The exclusion of *A. marina* from high- and low-tide

sites did not affect the sediment stability.

Analysis of the winter samples is still continuing and a return visit in the summer of 2005 will allow comparisons of winter and summer conditions

• Our thanks to Nils Volkenborn and colleagues at the Alfred Wiener Institute, Sylt, and Irvine Davidson from SERG, St Andrews. Work supported by MarBEF.



Production readings under the ice.

James E Saunders, Kirstie Dyson & David M Paterson

Sediment Ecology Research Group, University of St Andrews Email: dpl@st-andrews.ac.uk

ecology ecolutionary perspective gy

Marine Ecology publishes original contributions on the structure and dynamics of marine benthic and pelagic ecosystems, and on the critical links between ecology and evolution of marine organisms.

New for 2005:

- Focus on evolutionary aspects
- Online submission at http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/blackwell/mae
- Revised editorial structure
- Special Topic section
- Rapid peer-review of articles and fast publication after acceptance
- Invited review articles and special issues related to the ecological and evolutionary focus of the journal.

For full author guidelines, aims and scope and subscription information, please visit: www.blackwellpublishing.com/mae.

Editors Maria Cristina Gambi, Naples, Italy

L. Levin, La Jolla, California, USA

Associate Editors
P. Dworschak, Vienna, Austria
M. Ribera D'Alcalà, Naples, Italy

J.P. Barry, Moss Landing, CA, USA

Editorial Board

U. Bathmann, Bremerhaven, Germany
L. Benedetti Cecchi, Pisa, Italy
C.N. Bianchi, Genova, Italy
B. von Bodungen, Rostock, Germany
J. Costello, Providence, RI, USA
H. Felbeck, La Jolla, CA, USA
C. Fisher, University Park, PA, USA
H. Fricke, Seewiesen, Germany
A. Genin, Eilat, Israel
A. Giangrande, Lecce, Italy
G. Herndl, Texel, The Netherlands
M. Keough, Victoria, Australia
E. Koch, Cambridge, MD, USA
W.H.C.F. Kooistra, Naples, Italy
A. Logan, New Brunswick, Canada

N. Marbà, Palma de Majorca, Spain M.G. Mazzocchi, Naples, Italy H. Mukai, Hokkaido, Japan J. Olsen, Haren, The Netherlands G. Procaccini, Naples, Italy K. Rützler, Washington, DC, USA R. Santos, Faro, Portugal T. Schlacher, Queensland, Australia C. Smith, Honolulu, HI, USA P. Snelgrove, St John's, NL, Canada R. Solè, Barcelona, Spain S. Sommer, Kiel, Germany S. Thrush, Hamilton, New Zealand

J.T. Turner, North Darmouth, MA, USA

M. Waycott, Townsville, Australia

C.M. Young, Charleston, OR, USA

16 MarBEF Newsletter Autumn 2005 Autumn 2005