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#### Abstract

PREEIDINARY REPORT ON TEEE BRACHEURA AND ANOIIURA  ENGEAND BY THE UNETED STATES FHSH COMMISSION KN 1880, 1881, AND 1882.


## By SIDNEY I. SHIH'RH.

This report is intended to be supplementary to my preliminary notice of the crustacea dredged in the same region in 1880 (these Proceedings, iii, pp. 413-452), and to include all the species of Brachyura and Anomura obtained off Martha's Vineyard at depths greater than 50 fathoms. The crustacea dredged off the mouth of Chesapeake Bay in 1880, and off the capes of the Delaware in 1881, will be the subject of a separate report, but the specimens from these dredgings are included in the following lists of specimens examined as far as the species to which they belong are contained in the present report.

A few of the species described as new in the preliminary notice above referred to were almost simultaneously described by A. Milne-Edwards in one of the reports of the Blake dredgings, under the supervision of Alexander Agassiz, in 1877, 1878, 1879 (Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, vol. viii, No. 1, December 29, 1880), of which I had no knowledge whatever until after my paper was printed (January, 1881), and which was not published until after my last proof had been returned to the Public Printer (December 24, 1880). I have had much difficulty in identifying Milne-Edwards's species, but have adopted his names wherever it was possible to recognize his species. In determining some of these species I hare been greatly aided by the kindness of Prof. Walter Faxon, who has sent me for examination some of the type specimens in the Museum of Comparative Zoology.*

The last season's dredging off Martha's Vineyard reveals the total, or almost total, disappearance of several of the larger species of crustacea which were exceedingly abundant in the same region in 1880 and 1881. The most remarkable cases are those of Euprognatha rastellifera, Collodes robustus, Catapagurus Sharreri, Munida Caribca? Smith, and

[^0]Pontophilus brevirostris, all of which were exceedingly abundant in 1880 and 1881; but of the first two not a specimen was taken the past season, of the Munida only a single specimen, and that on the last trip, and of the other species only a very few specimens. Lambrus Verrillii, Acanthocarpus Alexandri, Latreillia elegans, Homola barbata, and Anoplonoius politus, which were each taken several times in 1880 and 1881, were none of them taken in 1882; they were far less abundant than the other species, however, and the non-occurrence of some of them was very likely accidental; but the disappearance of part of them at least was undoubtedly due to the same causes which occasioned the disappearance of the more abundant species. The disappearance of these species was undoubtedly connected directly with the simılar disappearance of the tile-fish (Lopholatilus) from the same region, and on this account specially I give in detail, for many of the species enumerated beyond, the tables of specimens examined from the region explored by the Fish Commission; and to these I have usually added the specimens which I have examined from the collection made by Alexander Agassiz on the Blake in 1880. All the species mentioned above as having disappeared in 1882 were specially characteristic of the narrow belt of comparatively warm water (approximately $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.), in from 60 to 160 fathoms, which has a more southern fauna than the colder waters either side. Professor Verrill has suggested (Amer. Jour. Sci., III, xxiv, p. 366, 1882) that there was a great destruction of life in this belt, caused by a severe storm, in the winter of 1881-82, which agitated the bottom-water and forced outward the cold water that even in summer occupies the great area of shallow sea along the coast, thus causing a sudden lowering of the temperature along the warmer belt inhabited by the tile-fish and the crustacea referred to.

In the following tables of specimens examined the latitude and longitude, depth, nature of bottom, \&c., are copied from the list of dredging sfations of the Fish Commission for 1880, 1881, and 1882, in the Bulletin of the Fish Commission, vol. ii, pp. 119 to 131, 1882, where further details in regard to temperature, \&c., are given. In indicating the nature of the bottom, the Coast Survey system of abbreviations is used. In the column for the number of specimens examined, $l$ is used to indicate large specimens; $s$, small specimens; and $y$, young. When the sexes were not counted separately the whole number of specimens examined is placed in the middle of the column ; when the sexes were counted separately the number of males is put on the right, the number of females on the left, and the number of young in the middle, followed by the letter $y$. As a basis for ascertaining the breeding season, I have, in a great number of cases, noted the presence or absence of egg-bearing females; when the number of such females was counted it is entered in the appropriate column; when specimens carrying eggs were found, but not counted, a plus sign, + , is used; and when none of the specimens examined were carrying eggs a zero, 0 , is used.

## BRACHYURA.

## MAIOIDEA.

Amathia Agassizii Smith, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., Cambridge, x, p. 1, pl. 2, figs. 2, 3, 1882.

Specimens examined.


In the original description above referred to it is stated that this species resembles Amathia Carpenteri Norman (Scyramathia Carpenteri A. M.-Edwards) ; it is, however, probably not closely allied or even congeneric with that species, but apparently closely allied to Amathia crassa A. M.-Edwards, and possibly identical with it. I was misled in regard to the armament of the carapax of Scyramathia Carpenteri by the woodcut given in the Depths of the Sea (no description of the species has yet appeared), for Milne-Edwards states that the species is closely allied to Scyra umbonata Stimpson, certainly a very different species from Amathia Agassizii, and has united them in his new genus Scyramathia.

As indicated above, all the specimens seen are males. One of these is much larger than the larger of the two original specimens described and figured in my reportabove referred to, but differs very little from it, although the spines of the horizontal series on the branchial region, above the bases of the cheliped and first ambulatory leg, are considerably longer, and there are two well-developed spines, instead of two or three small ones, on the lateral margin back of the anterior angle of the buccal area. Measurements of this specimen are given in the last column of the accompanying table of measurements. The other specimens show all gradations between this and the young specimens originally described.

Measurements in millimeters.

|  | Station- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1032. | 939. | 1113. | 1154. |
| Sex | Young. |  |  |  |
| Length of carapax, including rostral and posterior spines | 15.5 | 21.5 | 26.3 | 53.5 |
| Length of carapax from base of rostral to tip of posterior spines. | 10.0 9.1 | 14.0 12.1 | 18.0 16.5 | 42.5 40.5 |
| Length of rostral horns or spines ............................ | 5.7 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 12.0 |
| Breadth of carapax, including lateral spines. | 11.5 | 16.0 | 19.5 | 39.5 |
| Breadth of carapax, excluding lateral spines | 6. 8 | 9. 0 | 12.3 | 32.0 |
| Length of branchial spine ............... | 3.1 | 4.8 | 5.2 | 6.0 |
| Length of cheliped | 11.0 | 15.0 | 21.5 | 62.0 |
| Length of chela.... | 4.6 0 | 6. 1.3 | 10.0 1.6 | 28.5 4.0 |
| Length of dactylus | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.8 | 5.4 |
| Length of first ambulatory leg | 18.0 | 25.0 | 37.0 |  |
| Length of dactylus | 3.5 | 4.0 | 6.7 |  |
| Length of second ambulatory leg | 15.0 | 22.5 | 31.5 | 95.0 |
| Length of dactylus | 3.2 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 17.5 |

Amathia Tanneri, sp. nov.
Allied to the last species, but readily distinguished from it by the narrower carapax with longer and less diverging rostral horns and fewer and more nearly equal spines, and by having a single spine only on the base of the antenna.

Male.-The carapax, excluding the rostral horns and the spines, is about as broad as long. The rostral horns are nearly straight, much less divergent than in $A$. Agassizii, and, in the larger of the two specimens seen, much more than half as long as the rest of the carapax. The supraorbital spine and the postorbital process are as in A. Agassizii, but the basal segment of the antenna is unarmed except by a single spine at the distal end. There are four long and approximately equidistant spines on the mesial line of the carapax, the two anterior on the gastric region and smaller than the others, which are on the cardiac region, the posterior being near the posterior margin and projecting slightly backward over it. There are no prominent spines on the gastric region except the two median, but there is a minute tubercle or rudimentary spine either side about equidistant from the two median, and on the cardiac region there are no spines or tubercles whatever except the two median. There is a single long hepatic and a great branchial spine, as in A. Agassizii, but there are no other spines or tubercles on the branchial region except two, about as long as the cardiac spines, and about equidistant from each other and from the great branchial, the posterior gastric, and the anterior cardiac. The anterior angle of the buccal area projects in a dentiform process either side, as in A. Agassizii, and back of this the prominent margin of the pleural region is armed with three small tubercles or rudimentary spines. There are no spines or tubercles on the side of the branchial region above the basis of the cheliped and first ambulatory leg, and no tubercles whatever on the postero-lateral margins.

The chelipeds and ambulatory legs are essentially as in A. Agassizii.

The number and arrangement of the dorsal spines of the carapax appear to be nearly as in A. hystrix Stimpson, as figured by A. MilneEdwards (Crust. Région Mexicaine, p. 134, pl. 28, fig. 1, 1878), except that the lateral spines of the gastric region are obsolete in $A$. Tanneri ; but all the spines are very greatly longer in hystrix, which appears to be a very distinct species.

Measurements in millimeters.

|  | Station- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1038. | 1043. |
| Sex | $\sigma$ |  |
| Length of carapax, including rostral and posterior spines. | 15+ |  |
| Length of carapax from base of rostral to tip of posterior spines | 11.0 10.2 | 18.0 16.2 |
| Length of rostral horns or spines .......................... | $4+$ | 11.0 |
| Breadth of carapax, including lateral spines | 10.0 | 18.0 |
| Breadth of carapax, excluding lateral spines | 6.7 | 11.2 |
| Length of branchial spine | 12.9 | 4.0 |
| Length of chela... | 5.5 | 9.0 |
| Breadth of chela | 1.1 | 1.8 |
| Length of dactylus. | 2.0 | 3.5 |
| Length of first ambulatory leg | 21.0 | 35.0 |
| Length of dactylus ............ | 3.7 | 6. 2 |
| Length of second ambulatory leg | 16.0 3.0 | 27.0 5.0 |

Specimens examined.

|  | Locality. <br> N.lat. W. long. | Depth in fathoms. | Nature of bottom. |  | No. of specimens. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1038 \\ & 1043 \end{aligned}$ |  | $146$ $130$ | S. Sh. <br> S. | 1881. Sept. 21 $\begin{aligned} & 1881 . \\ & \text { Oct. } 10 \end{aligned}$ | 19 1 |

Hyas coarctatus Leach.
Taken at a number of stations off Martha's Vineyard, in 86 to 158 fathoms, and also in much shallower water near Block Island and off No Man's Land. Four male specimens were taken off Chesapeake Bay in 1880 , station 900 , N. lat. $37^{\circ} 19^{\prime}$, W. long. $74^{\circ} 41^{\prime}$, 31 fath., sandthe farthest south the species has been noticed.

Collodes robustus, sp. nov.
Collodes depressus Smith, Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 414, 1881 (non A. M.-
Edwards.)
A careful examination of one of the type specimens of $C$. depressus convinces me that the specimens which I have referred to that species
are really a distinct but closely allied and much larger species. Very small specimens, $10{ }^{\mathrm{mm}}$ or less in length of carapax, resemble the depressus very much, but are distinguished from Milne-Edwards's figures and the type specimen referred to by the less regularly triangular outline of the carapax, the hepatic and branchial regions being much more protuberant; by the acute rostral horns, more widely separated at their tips; by the much longer interantennular spine, which is fully as long as in Euprognatha rastellifera ; by the short and conical or even tuberculiform gastric and cardiac spines ; by the spine of the first somite of the abdomen being directed backward instead of upward; and by the more slender chelæ.

Male.-In large males over $20^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in length of carapax, the carapax is a little over three-fourths as broad as long, and thickly covered, as well as nearly all otber parts of the animal except the chelæ, with strongly curved hairs or setæ, which, in every specimen seen, persistantly retain a thick coating of soft mud. The rostral horns are slender and separated by a rounded sinus, at the bottom of which the interantennular spine, or true rostrum, which is much longer than the rostral horns and grooved longitudinally in front, projects downward and about as far forward as the rostral horns. The basal segment of the antenna is armed with a lateral and an inferior ridge, each divided into three to five short spiniform teeth. The postorbital processes are broad, but acutely triangular, and project as far as the tips of the eyes. The dorsal surface is thickly covered with granular tubercles, and there is a slight tubercular elevation, but little more prominent than the tubercles of the general surface, on the gastric region, and another on the cardiac, in place of the spines in the young. The hepatic region is divided obliquely near the middle by a deep sulcus into two lobes, of which the superior projects in a rounded prominence, which is rery conspicuous as seen from above, while the inferior is crossed longitudinally by the pleurotergal suture and below it armed with a short series of small tuberculiform spines. The branchial regions are prominent, swollen, and evenly tuberculated.
The chelipeds are stout and approximately once and a half as long as the carapax; the merus is triquetral with the angles armed more or less with tubercles or tuberculiform spines; the whole outer surface of the carpus is similarly armed. The chela is approximately two-thirds as long as the carapax, naked, smooth, polished, and unarmed, except a very few tubercles on the inner surface and near the proximal ends of the upper and under edges; the body is nearly as long as the digits, thick and swollen; and the digits are compressed, somewhat grooved longitudinally, very slightly curved, gaping at the bases, and with the prehensile edges slightly and irregularly crenate. The ambulatory legs are hairy to very near the tips, but are otherwise unarmed and smooth throughout, and all the segments are subcylindrical ; the first are about two and a half times as long as the carapax, the others successively
shorter, and the last considerably less than twice as long as the carapax; the dactyli are considerably curved, slender, and tapered near the acute chitinous tips.

The sternum is tuberculose, like the dorsal surface of the carapax, except upon the concave portion between the bases of the chelipeds, where it is smooth.

The first somite of the abdomen is tuberculose, like the carapax, and armed with a low tuberculiform prominence, in place of the spine in the young. The second somite is very short and scarcely wider than the first. The third is widest of all, and from it the abdomen is regularly narrowed to the seventh somite, which is anchylosed with the sixth, as in Euprognatha rastellifera, triangular, with the tip obtuse, and nearly as broad as long.

Female.-The females appear not to attain the adult sexual characters until the carapax is about $12 \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in length, apparently never attain as great size as the males, and as usual resemble the young, although they lose the gastric, cardiac, and abdominal spines fully as early as the males. The carapax is slightly more convex and the branchial regions somewhat less swollen than in the male. The chelipeds remain small and weak, the chelæ slender as in the young, and the ambulatory legs proportionally shorter than in the male.

The proportions of the carapax, chelipeds, and ambulatory legs in the young and adults of both sexes are well shown by the accompanying table of measurements.

Measurements in millimeters and lundredths of length of carapax.

|  | Station- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 874 | 949 | 940 | 940 | 940 | 940 | 873 | 1036 | 950 | 940 |
| Sex | $\sigma^{*}$ | $\sigma$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ}$ | $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ | $\sigma^{\circ}$ | ¢* | ¢* | 9 | $q$ |
| Length of carapax, including frontal teeth | 9.7 | 12.5 | 14.8 | 23.3 | 25.7 | 27.0 | 8.2 | 10.7 | 13.3 | 18.3 |
| Greatest breadth of carapax........... | 6. 6 | 9.1 | 10.8 | 17.9 | 20.7 | 21.2 | 5. 8 | 7.0 | 10.3 | 14.1 |
| Same in hundredths of length of carapax | 68 | 73 | 73 | 77 | 77 | 78 | 71 | 68 | 70 | 77 |
| Length of cheliped. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 11.0 | 16.0 | 18.0 | 34.0 | 38.0 | 40.0 | 9.5 | 11.0 | 14.0 | 19.0 |
| Length of chela | 4.8 | 6.2 | 7.8 | 14.8 | 17.6 | 18.5 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 5.5 | 7.9 |
| Same in hundredths of length of carapax | 49 | 50 | 53 | 64 | 66 | 68 | 41 | 42 | 42 |  |
| Height of chela .................... | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 6.6 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 2.1 |
| Same in hundredths of length of carapax | 12 | 17 | 18 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 11 | 10 |  | 12 |
| Length of dactylus .................. | 2.7 | 3.6 | 4.4 | 8.0 | 9.1 | 10.2 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 4. 7 |
| Length of first ambulatory leg | 20.0 | 28.0 | 34.0 | 58.0 | 65. 0 | 680 | 15.0 | 19.0 | 24.0 | 32.0 |
| Length of propodus | 5. 0 | 6.5 | 8.5 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 17.0 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 6. 0 | 7.5 |
| Length of dactylus. | 3.9 | 5.4 | 7. 0 | 12.0 | 13.4 | 14.5 | 2.7 | 3.9 | 5. 2 | 7. 0 |
| Length of fourth ambulatory leg | 17.0 | 22.0 | 27.0 | 42.0 | 4. 0.0 | 47.0 |  | 15. 5 | 20.0 | 25.0 |
| Length of propodus ............ | 3.8 | 5.0 | 6. 9 | 10.1 | 12.0 | 12.6 |  | 2. 9 | 4. 8 | 6. 7 |
| Length of dactylus........ | 3.8 | 5.0 | 6. 3 | 9.2 | 10.0 | 10.2 |  | 2. 8 | 4. 7 | 6.2 |

* Immature individuals.

The number and arrangement of the branchiæ are the same as in Euprognatha rastellifera, but there are well-developed epipods on all
three pairs of maxillipeds, those on the second being narrow, but as long as the merus of the endopod, so that the formula is:

|  | Somite- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | VII. | VIII. | IX. | X. | XI. | XII. | XIII. | XIV. | Total. |
| Epipods ..........Podobranchie..Arthrobranchiæ.Pleurobranchiæ | 1000 | 1100 | 1120 | 0002 | 0001 | 01 | 0000 | 0000 | 2224 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $8+(3)$ |

Specimens examined.


The type specimen of $C$. depressus which I have examined is from the Straits of Florida, and is labeled "Bache, Apr. 2, 5th cast, 54 fms., off Sombrero." This specimen gives the following measurements in millimeters and hundredths of the length of the carapax:

[^1]Length of chela ..... 3.3
Same in hundredths of length of carapax ..... 47
Height of chela ..... 1.2
Same in hundredths of length of carapax ..... 17
Length of dactylus ..... 1.9

Neither Stimpson nor Milne-Edwards mentions the presence of an interantennular spine in any of the species of Collodes, and both of them speak of it in Euprognatha as specially distinguishing that genus from its near allies; but in the two species which I have examined the spine is well developed, though less prominent, and not projecting forward at all in C. depressus.

## Euprognatha rastellifera Stimpson.

Stimpson, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, ii, p. 123, 1870.
A. M.-Edwards, Crust. Région Mexicaine, p. 183, pl. 33, fig. 2, 1878; Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, viii, p. 7, 1880.
Smith, Proc. Nat. Mus., iii, p. 415, 1881 ; Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, x, p. 4, 1882.

Specimens examined.


I have also examined specimens taken by Alexander Agassiz on the Blake in 1880, at the following stations:


Among the vast number of specimens examined there are very few sexually immature individuals. Both sexes ordinarily attain maturity before the carapax is $6^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in length, and the scarcity of immature specimens in the collections may be due to their small size causing them to be overlooked in the great mass of material brought up in the trawl. The largest females seen do not exceed $10^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in length of carapax, and differ very little from the smallest in the form and proportions of chelipeds and ambulatory legs, though the carapax is a little broader in proportion and the spines with which it is armed are much lower, or reduced to tubercles, in the larger specimens. The males attain much greater size than the females, the carapax often exceeding $14^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in length, and there is a very marked and constant increase in the size of the chelipeds, particularly in the height and the thickness of the body of the chelæ, well shown in the accompanying table of measurements. In both sexes there is considerable variation in the length of the spines of the carapax, even in specimens of the same size, and there is a marked decrease in the length of the spines with the growth of the individual. In large specimens the spines upon the orbital arches, upon the gastric, cardiac, and the summits of the branchial regions, and upon the basal segment of the abdomen, are usually reduced to low, and often inconspicuous, tubercles.
The number and arrangement of the branchire and epipods are indicated in the following formula:


The sixth and seventh somites of the abdomen of the male are anchylosed completely, as they are also in Euprognatha rastellifera, Col-
lodes depressus, C. robustus, and Lispognathus furcatus, though neither Stimpson nor Milne-Edwards mentions it, and Milne-Edwards even apparently figures them as separate in E. rastellifera and C. depressus.

Measurements in millimeters and hundredths of length of carapax.

|  | Station- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 865 | 865 | 865 | 878 | 878 | 922 | 865 | 865 | 869 | 878 |
| Sex ................................... | $8^{*}$ |  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Length of carapax, including rostrum. | 3. 2 | 5.1 | $5.6$ | 6.8 | $11.3$ | 14.4 | 5. 8 | $6.0$ | 7.2 | 9.5 |
| Breadth of carapax, excluding spines. | 2.3 | 3.6 | 4.1 | 5.0 | 8.9 | 12.0 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 5. 5 | 7.7 |
| Same in hundredths of length of carapax. | 72 | 71 | 73 | 74 | 79 | 83 | 76 | 75 | 76 | 81 |
| Length of cheliped ....................... | 4.0 | 6.5 | 7.5 | 10.0 | 21. 0 | 29.0 | 6.8 | 7. 0 | 8.0 | 11. 8 |
| Length of chela... | 1.5 | 2. 7 | 3.1 | 4. 2 | 10.0 | 12.8 | 2.6 | 2. 8 | 3.2 | 4.7 |
| Same in hundredths of length of carapax. | 47 | 53 | 55 | 62 | 88 | 89 | 45 | 47 | 44 | 49 |
| Height of ehela.......................... | 0.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 2. 7 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.0 |
| Same in hundredths of length of carapax. | 10 | 12 | 14 | 19 | 24 | 26 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 |
| Length of dactylus .................... | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1. 6 | 2.0 | 4.0 | 5.0 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 2. 3 |
| Length of first ambulatory leg | 5.7 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 16.0 | 32.0 | 35.0 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 13.5 | 19.8 |
| Length of propodus........... | 1.5 | 3.1 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 9.5 | 10.0 | 2.8 | 2. 0 | 3.3 | 5.3 |
| Length of carpus. | 1.0 | 2. 0 | 2. 2 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 5. 5 | 2. 0 | 1. 5 | 2. 2 | 3. 3 |
| Length of fourth ambulatory leg |  | 8. 0 | 9.0 | 10.8 | 20.0 | 22.0 | 9. 0 | 6. 2 | 9.5 | 14.0 |
| Length of propodus....... |  | 2.3 | 2.5 | 3. 2 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 2. 5 | 1. 6 | 2.5 | 4.0 |
| Length of carpus |  | 1. 7 | 1. 8 | 2.1 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 1. 7 | 1. 0 | 1.8 | 3.0 |

* Immature specimens ; the others all adult, the females with eggs, even in the case of the smallest. The first and fourth ambulatory legs in the immature female are apparently reproduced appendages, which may, perhaps, account for the retardation in the sexual development of the individual.

The specimens in the Fish Commission collections and in the Blake collection of 1880 appear to agree much more closely with those originally described by Stimpson and those figured and described by MilneEdwards than they do with a few Caribbean specimens which I have examined and which were labeled by Milne-Edwards as this species and returned to the Museum of Comparative Zoology. These specimens, two males and five females, are trom the Blake collection of 1878-79, station 134, off Santa Cruz, 248 fathoms, and, though fully adult, are all very much smaller than any other adult specimens examined. They are also considerably smaller than the specimens described by Stimpson or Milne-Edwards. The carapax is slightly narrower than in the northern specimens, with the tubercles of the surface larger and all the spines longer and more slender; the postorbital process is slender and spiniform instead of dentiform ; there is a small conical spine, much more acute and more prominent than in the northern specimens, on the eye, at the emargination of the cornea; and the ambulatory legs are more slender and armed with small spiniform tubercles which are much more conspicuous than in the northern specimens. In the males the chelæ are proportionally larger, with the bodies stouter and more swollen; and in both sexes the chelæ and other parts of the chelipeds are armed with larger and more scattered tubercles, many of which, especially on the carpus and merus, become spiniform and conspicuous. Some of these differences are well shown in the following measurements (in mil-
limeters and hundredths of length of carapax) of four of the specimens from off Santa Cruz:


These Caribbean specimens are apparently specifically distinct, but a series of specimens from different parts of the West Indian region would perhaps show them to be a geographical or local variety.

Lispognathus furcatus A. M.-Edwards.
Lispognathus furcatus A. M.-Edwards, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, vii, p. 9, 1880.
? Lispognathus furcillatus A. M.-Edwards, Rapport sur la Faune sous-marine dans les grandes profondeurs de la Méditerranée et de l'Océan Atlantique (Arch. Missions Sci. et Littéraires, ix), pp. 16, 39, 1882 (no description).

To this species I refer, with considerable hesitation, two specimens dredged off Martha's Vineyard: Station 951, N. lat. $39^{\circ}$ 57', W. long. $70^{\circ}$ $31^{\prime} 30^{\prime \prime}, 225$ fath., mud, Aug. 23, 1881 (male); station 1096, N. lat. $39^{\circ}$ $53^{\prime}$, W. long. $69^{\circ} 47^{\prime}, 317$ fath., soft green mud, Aug. 11, 1882 (female carrying eggs).

The carapax, excluding the rostral and lateral spines, is about fourfifths as broad as long in the male, and slightly broader and much thicker and more swollen in the female. The rostral horns are acicular, very slightly divergent, and slightly ascending, and in the male nearly threetenths as long as the rest of the carapax. The three erect gastric and the postorbital spines are subequal and very slender and acute, and the postorbital spine each side is situated slightly in front of a line from the middle to the lateral gastric. The cardiac spine is considerably stouter and a little higher than the gastric spines, and either side of it on the dorsal part of the branchial region there is a much smaller erect spine, and on a line between this and the lateral gastric there is a similar spine in the female, but only a minute spine or tubercle in the male. There are two or three minute spines or tubercles on the protuberant superior lobe of the hepatic region, and about as many more back of these on the side of the branchial region, while on the inferior hepatic lobe, opposite the middle of the buccal area, there is a much larger spine directed downward, and back of this a smaller one, near the base of the cheliped. The supraorbital spine is slender and about as long as the gastric spines, and in the male the interantennular is fully as long, stouter, and directed downward and curved slightly forward. The basal
segment of the antenna is irregularly armed beneath with small spines or teeth, and in the male with a slender spine at the distal end. The eyestalk is armed with a minute spine or tubercle in front, and above with a small tubercle at the emargination of the cornea. The exposed surface of the ischium and merus of the external maxillipeds is armed conspicuously with marginal and submarginal spines, of which one on the inner edge of the merus is very long.

The chelipeds in the male are stout and nearly twice as long as the carapax, including the rostral horns; the merus is a little shorter than the chela and triquetral, with all three of the angles thickly armed with very long and slender spines; the carpus is rounded externally, but armed like the merus; the chela is longer than the carapax, excluding the rostral horns, and naked and unarmed except by a few spines along the proximal part of the dorsal edge; the body is stout and swollen, and the digits slightly shorter than the body, nearly straight vertically but strongly curved laterally, very much compressed, grooved longitudinally on the sides and on the rather broad dorsal edge of the dactylus, and the prehensile edges crenately serrate and in contact throughout. In the female the chelipeds are only about once and a half as long as the carapax, including the rostral spines, much more slender than in the male, and armed with proportionally longer spines; and the chela is much shorter than the carapax, excluding the rostral horns; the body is scarcely at all swollen, and is armed with slender spines along both edges and with minute spines or tubercles on the sides, and the digits are proportionally longer and narrower than in the male.

The ambulatory legs are very long and slender, clothed to the tips of the dactyli with numerous curved setiform hairs which persistently retain mud and other foreign substances, and each is armed with a slender spine on the upper side of the distal end of the merus.

In the male the abdomen is much broader relatively to the sternum than in Euprognatha rastellifera, and has a low tuberculiform elevation on each somite. The first and second somites are narrow, the third broadest of all, the fourth and fifth successively a very little narrower, the fifth fully twice as broad as long, and the sixth and seventh consolidated as in Euprognatha and Collodes, together much broader than long and very broad and obtuse at the tip. The appendages of the first somite reach nearly to the tip of the abdomen, and their tips are stout and curved outward very strongly.

The eggs are numerous, nearly spherical, and approximately $0.6^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in diameter in alcoholic specimens.

Measurements in millimeters.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |

Lumbrus Verrillii Smith, Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 415, 1881.
Specimens examined.


Measurements in millimeters and hundredths of length of carapax.

|  | Station- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 950 | 949 | 950 | 950 | 949 | 949 | 950 | 940 | 950 | 872 |
| Sex ............ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | 17.3 | - 0 | 20.9 |  | ${ }_{17}{ }^{\circ} 2$ | ${ }^{\circ}+$ | ${ }_{5}^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Length of rarapax Breadth, including lateral spines | 15.7 | 16.7 | 17.3 | 20.5 | 20.9 | 25.0 | ${ }_{20} 7$ | 18.5 | 20.4 | 32.8 41.0 |
| Same in hundredths of length ... | 123. | 120 | 121 | 122 | 124 | 125 | 120 | 121 | 123 | 125 |
| Breadth, including lateral spines | 17.0 | 17.3 | 18.0 | ${ }_{22} 0$ | 22.0 | 27.2 | 17.8 | 19.5 | ${ }_{22.0}$ | 35.3 |
| Length of cheliped fully extended.. | 39.0 | 42. 0 | 43.0 | 55.0 | 59.0 |  | 40.0 | 48.0 | 50.0 | 85.0 |
| Same in hundredths of length of carapax. | 248 | 252 | 249 | 268 | 282 |  | 233 | 260 | 245 |  |
| Length of merus of cheliped. | 14.5 | 15.3 | 15.5 | 20.0 | 22.0 |  | 14.0 | 16.3 | 18.0 | 32.0 |
| Length of propodus of cheliped | 19.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 26.0 | 28. $\theta$ |  | 19.0 | 19.0 | 23.0 | 39.0 |

The specimens taken in 1881 are much smaller than the type specimens taken in 1880 ; none of the females are fully adult, and the largest males, though adult, are apparently not fully grown. The largest of the males differ very little from the females originally described, except that the chelipeds are proportionally a little larger. In the smaller specimens of both sexes there are rather fewer small tubercles upon the carapax, and the teeth of the lateral margins of the carapax and angles of the chelipeds are, perhaps, smaller and less lacineated proportionally, but the differences are very slight, and there is no approach to L. Pourtalesii as figured by A. Milne-Edwards. The accompanying table of measurements shows the slight variations in the proportions of the carapax and chelipeds better than description. In some specimens the chelipeds are slightly unequal, but in none conspicuously so, and when the difference was noticeable in the specimens measured the measurements of the cheliped were made from the larger one.

## CANCROIDA.

## Cancer borealis Stimpson.

Taken off Martha's Vineyard, in 1880, 1881, and 1882, at a great number of the stations, in 51 to 317 fathoms, and also in shallow water; off Delaware Bay, 1881, stations 1047 and 1049, 156 and 435 fathoms; and off Chesapeake Bay, 1880, stations 896, 897, 899, and 901, 18 to 157 fathoms. Most of the deep-water specimens taken by the Fish Commission are small, but much larger specimens, among them several from 100 to 130 millimeters in breadth of carapax, were taken in 1880, by Alexander Agassiz, on the Blake, off the Carolina coast, in 142 to 233 fathoms. The largest of these specimens were from Blake station 314; N. lat. $32 \circ 24^{\prime}$, N. long. $78^{\circ} 44^{\prime}, 142$ fathoms.

Cancer irroratus has not been taken in any of the deeper dredgings off Martha's Vineyard, although it is a common littoral and shallowwater species on the whole New England coast, and was taken by Alexander Agassiz at several stations, in 65 to 178 fathoms, off the Carolina coast, even occurring with C. borealis at station 314, just mentioned.
Geryon quinquedens Smith.

> Trans. Conn. Acad., v, p. 35, pl. 9, figs. 1, 2, 1879; Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 417, 1881; Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, x, p. 6, 1862.

Specimens examined.


Specimens examined-Continued.


In the Blake dredgings of 1880 the species was taken at the following stations :

| Station. | N. lat. |  |  | W. long. |  |  | Fathoms. | Specimens. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | , |  | $\bigcirc$ | 1 |  |  |  |
| 325 | 33 | 35 |  | 76 | 0 | 0 | 647 | $10^{*}$ |
| 332 | -35 |  | 30 |  | 48 | 0 | 263 | $2{ }^{\circ}$ |
| 334 |  |  |  |  |  | 40 | 395 | $2 \text { c }$ |
| 337 | 38 | 20 |  |  | 23 | 20 | 740 | Fragments only. |
| 343 |  | 45 |  |  | 55 | 0 | 732 | 3 of with eggs. |
| 309 | 40 | 11 |  |  | 22 | 0 | 304 | $1 \delta^{\circ}, 10$ |
| 312 | 39 | 50 |  |  | 11 | 0 | 466 | - $1 \delta^{\circ}$ |

This species grows to be by far the largest brachyuran in our waters. The largest specimen which I have seen is from the Blake collection of 1880, and was taken off Cape Hatteras. This specimen, measurements of the carapax of which are given in the last line of the following table of measurements, is more than six inches across the carapax and two feet across the outstretched legs. Very large individuals differ considerably from the specimens originally described. In all the large specimens the teeth of the antero-lateral margin of the carapax become reduced to angular tubercles, and in some of the larger ones the fourth tooth becomes entirely obsolete. Specimens of the same size vary much, particularly the larger ones, in the prominence of the anterolateral teeth, so that the propertional breadth of the carapax, including the teeth or spines, varies much more than the breadth excluding the teeth or spines, as shown in the table of measurements. This variation is partially due to the wearing away of the teeth, which probably takes place rapidly on account of the softness of the exoskeleton, which is much less calcareous than usual, the branchial regions of the carapax being so soft as to be readily bent or indented with the finger.

## Vol. VI, No. 2. Washington, D. C. June 18, 1883.

Measurements of the carapax in millimeters and lengths of carapax.

| Station. | Sex. | Length of carapax. | Breadth, including teeth. | Breadth, excluding teeth. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1142 | 8 | $M m .$ $11.7$ | Mm. Length. | Mm. Length. <br> $13.9=1.19$ |
| 947 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 23.0 | $30.5=1.33$ | $25.3=1.10$ |
| 952 | ${ }^{\circ}$ | 33.0 | $42.0=1.27$ | $36.3=1.10$ |
| 1049 | $\delta$ | 35.3 | $44.4=1.26$ | $39.0=1.10$ |
| 947 | ${ }^{*}$ | 37.0 | $46.5=1.26$ | $42.0=1.14$ |
| 1140 | O | 43.7 | $56.1=1.28$ | $50.0=1.14$ |
| 1140 | O | 46.9 | $61.3=1.31$ | $53.0=1.13$ |
| 1140 | O | 95.0 | $113.0=1.20$ | $108.0=1.14$ |
| 994 | \% | 97.0 | $114.0=1.18$ | $105.0=1.08$ |
| 937 | O | 100.0 | $117.0=1.17$ | $109.0=1.09$ |
| 1029 | O | 102.0 | $123.0=1.21$ | $116.0=1.14$ |
| 1140 | O | 103. 0 | $120.0=1.17$ | $113.0=1.10$ |
| 1143 | ס | 103. 0 | $124.0=1.20$ | $115.0=1.11$ |
| 1140 | O | 106. 0 | $125.0=1.18$ | $117.0=1.10$ |
| 937 | O | 106.0 | $126.0=1.19$ | $115.0=1.08$ |
| 1049 | O | 114.0 | 132.0-1.16 | $124.0=1.09$ |
| 937 | $\sigma$ | 114.0 | $133.0=1.17$ | $125.0=1.09$ |
| 1140 | ${ }^{\text {o }}$ | 114.0 | $129.0=1.13$ | $123.0=1.08$ |
| 937 | O | 115.0 | $134.0=1.17$ | $125.0=1.09$ |
| 1142 | ¢ | 11.2 | $15.5=1.38$ | $12.3=1.10$ |
| 1049 | 9 | 11.7 | $15.4=1.32$ | $14.0=1.20$ |
| 1142 | + | 11.7 | $15.5=1.32$ | $13.9=1.19$ |
| 1142 | $\stackrel{+}{8}$ | 15. 2 | $22.2=1.46$ | $17.3=1.14$ |
| 1142 | ¢ | 15. 6 | $21.1=1.35$ | $17.5=1.12$ |
| 947 | 9 | 37.0 | $48.4=1.31$ | $42.0=1.14$ |
| 1142 | $\stackrel{+}{+}$ | 66.0 | $80.0=1.21$ | $73.0=1.10$ |
| 946 | + | 69.0 | $85.0=1.23$ | $78.5=1.14$ |
| 1140 | + | 95.0 | $110.0=1.16$ | $104.0=1.09$ |
| 332 | $\sigma^{\prime}$ | 130.0 | $152.5=1.17$ | $144.0=1.11$ |

## Bathynectes longispina Stimpson.

Bathynectes longispina Stimpson, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, ii, p. 146, 1870 (young đ ) ; A. M.-Edwards, Crust. Région Mexicaine, p. 234, pl. 42, fig. 1, 1879 (young đ ); Smith, Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 418, 1881.
Bathynectes brevispina Stimp., loc. cit., p. 147, 1870 (large \&) ; A. M.-Edwards, op. cit., p. 235, 1879 (=Stimpson).

Specimens examined.

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{0} \\ & 4 \\ & \text { H } \\ & \dot{H} \\ & \stackrel{y}{2} \end{aligned}$ | N. lat | Locality. |  |  |  |  | Nature of bottom. |  | No. of specimens. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | W. long. |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\sigma$ | $\bigcirc$ |  |
|  | Off MARTHA's vineyard. |  |  |  |  | 1158685 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fne. S. M. } \\ & \text { S. G. Sh. sponges. } \\ & \text { s.t. M. } \\ & \text { S. bu. M. } \end{aligned}$ | 1880. <br> Sept. 4 <br> Sept. 4 <br> Sept. 13 <br> Sept. 13 | $1 \mathrm{y} . \begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{y} . \\ & 2 \mathrm{y} \\ & 1 \mathrm{y} . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | - , | " | $\bigcirc$ | , | " |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 871 | $\begin{array}{ll}40 & 02 \\ 40 & 05\end{array}$ | 54 39 |  | 23 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 874 |  | ${ }_{00}^{39}$ |  | 57 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 879 | 3949 | 30 | 70 | 54 |  | 225 |  |  |  |  |  |

Proc. Nat. Mus. $83-2$

Specimens examined-Continued.


Stimpson's B. longispina was based on very young males, the length of carapax in his measurement of a single specimen being equal to $14.5^{\mathrm{mm}}$, and the B. brevispina on a very large female in which the carapax was $49^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in length. A. Milne-Edwards's specimens were evidently small, although he apparently translates the measurements given by Stimpson and does not indicate the exact size of the specimen figured. In the series of specimens which I have examined the largest are connected with the smallest by a complete series, and though none of the specimens are as large as the type of Stimpson's brevispina, the larger ones, both male and female, approach it closely enough in the length of the lateral spines of the carapax, etc., to make it clear that the forms described by Stimpson belong to the same species. The accompanying table of measurements will show this quite as well as any description.

In specimens shortly after being placed in alcohol, and before the colors had changed materially from those in life, the dorsum of the carapax was dull red, the color being almost wholly upon the tubercles and granules, while the ground between was grayish, though the spines and teeth of the margin were brighter red than the general surface from a slight deposit of color between the tubercles and granules. The ventral surface of the carapax, the antennulæ, antennæ, external maxillipeds, sternum, abdomen, and the proximal portions of the ambulatory legs were pale red or tinged with red. The chelipeds were specked and slightly mottled with red; the terminal third of the digits scarlet, some what obscured at the tips by blackish. The meral and carpal segments of the first three pairs of ambulatory legs, and the meral, carpal, and propodal segments of the posterior pair were specked and mottled with scarlet; the propodal segments of the first three pairs, except a narrow band at the distal end, and the whole of the dactyli of all four pairs were bright scarlet.

Measurements in millimeters and hundredths of length of carapax.

|  | Station- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 871 | 879 | 874 | 1125 | 1038 | 940 | 1043 | 1043 |
| Sex ..................................... | Yng. | Yng. | ${ }^{2} \mathrm{y}$. | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{\circ}$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |
| Length of carapax, including frontal teeth.. |  |  | 13.3 | 21.7 | 23.2 | 29.8 | 26.3 | 35.5 |
| Length of carapax, excluding frontal teeth | 8.6 | 9.5 | 12.8 | 21.0 | 22.4 | 28.7 | 25.7 | 34.0 |
| Breadth of carapax in front of lateral spines. | 10.3 | 11.5 | 15.8 | 26.2 | 28.0 | 37.0 | 31. 4 | 42.7 |
| Same in hundredths of length first given ... | 116. | 117. | 119. | 121. | 121. | 124. | 119. | 120. |
| Breadth of carapax, including lateral spines. | 16.2 | 17.0 | 24.2 | 40.8 | 43.8 | 56.0 | 46.0 | 65.0 |
| Length of lateral spines | 3.3 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 8.7 | 9.3 | 10.8 | 7.3 | 12.0 |
| Length of right cheliped | 14.0 | 15.0 | 21.0 | 35.0 | 39.0 | 53.0 | 44.0 | ${ }^{60.0}$ |
| Length of chela | 7.7 | 8.0 | 11.3 | 19.0 | 21.3 | 28.5 | 23.6 | 32.0 |
| Height of chela. | 2.7 | 2. 9 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 7.9 | 10.3 | 8.3 | 12.0 |
| Length of dactylus | 3.7 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 9. 0 | 10.7 | 14.3 | 12.0 | 16.8 |
| Length of left cheliped | 13.5 | 15.5 | 18.0 | 34.0 |  | 51.0 | 42.5 | 57.0 |
| Length of chela. | 7.5 | 7.6 | 9.3 | 18.3 |  | 27.5 | 23.0 | 31.0 |
| Height of chela | 2.5 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 6.0 |  | 9.1 | 7.7 | 10.0 |
| Length of dactylus | 3.7 | 4.0 | 5.7 | 9.1 |  | 14.7 | 12.0 | 17.0 |
| Length of third ambulatory leg | 22.0 | 25.0 | 35.0 | 52.0 | 60.0 | 72.5 | 64.0 | 75.0 |
| Length of fourth ambulatory leg | 14.0 | 15.0 | 21.0 | 33.0 | 38.5 | 46.5 | 41.0 | 55.0 |
| Length of dactylus | 4.4 | 4.6 |  | 9.7 | 11.2 | 14.5 | 12.2 | 16.5 |
| Breadth of dactylus | 1.2 | 1.5 |  | 3.7 | 4.3 | 5.4 | 5.0 | 7.0 |

## OXYSTOMATA.

Acanthocarpus Alexandri Stimpson.
Although this species occurred in considerable abundance in the dredgings off Martha's Vineyard in 1880, being taken at seven out of the fourteen stations in between 50 and 200 fathoms, it was taken but once in 1881, station 944, 128 fathoms, and was not taken at all in 1882.

In the living specimens taken in 1881 the dorsal surface of the carapax and chelipeds was pale reddish orange, deepest in color upon the elevations of the carapax and upon the bases of the carpal spines of the chelipeds; while the carapax beneath, the sternum, abdomen, and the under surfaces of the chelipeds and ambulatory legs were white, very slightly tinged with reddish.

Myropsis quinquespinosa Stimpson, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, ii, p. 157, 1870 ; A. M.-Edwards, ibid., viii, p. 21, 1880.
Station 941, N. lat. $40^{\circ} 1^{\prime}$, W. long. $69^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$.
A single very large male, which gives the following measurements :
Millimeters.
Length of carapax, including frontal lobes and posterior spine.................... 37.0
Length of carapax, excluding frontal lobes and posterior spine ................... 34.0
Breadth of carapax, including lateral tubercles......................................... 31.4
Breadth of carapax, excluding lateral tubercles......................................... 31.0
Length of cheliped ........................................................................... 75.0
Length of merus ................................................................................. 32. 3
Length of chela .................................................................................. 34. 3
Length of dactylus......................................................................... . 21.0
Length of first ambulatory leg............................................................. 56.0
Length of posterior ambulatory leg ....................................................... 36. 3
In life the dorsal surface of the carapax and the chelipeds and ambulatory legs are pale orange red.

Cymopolia gracilis, sp. nov.
This species, of which only one specimen has been obtained, resembles C. cursor, A. Milne-Edwards (Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, viii, p. 29,1880 ), in the great length of the second pair of ambulatory legs, but is at once distinguished by the much smoother carapax without tubercles on the posterior margin, by the broad sinuses of the superior margin of the orbit, and by the conspicuously hook-shaped tips of the first pair of abdominal appendages of the male.

Male.-The front is deeply divided by a sharp median sinus, and is slightly and obtusely bilobed either side, with the inner lobes much more prominent than the lateral. The orbit is very broad and open above. The superior margin is armed with two small teeth, separated from each other and from the inner and outer angles of the orbit by rounded sinuses, of which the inner is very broad and nearly semicircular ; the middle and outer successively smaller; the outer angle is triangular and a little less prominent than the outer suborbital lobe, which is dentiform and separated from it by a shallow sinus; and the inner suborbital process (which is also the dorsal wall of the efferent branchial passage) is narrow, rounded at the tip, reaches nearly as far forward as the lobes of the front, and is separated from the outer suborbital lobe by a very broad and rounded sinus. The antero-lateral margin is unarmed, except by a small dentiform tubercle on the anterior part of the branchial region in place of the sharp tooth in C. cursor. The dorsal surface of the carapax is naked, minutely granulated, and armed with a very few low and obtuse tubercles. There are three faintly indicated tubercles on the middle of the gastric region; two, the largest of all, surmount a transverse ridge on the anterior part of the cardiac region; on either side, and nearly in line with these, are two smaller ones on the branchial region, above and back of the dentiform marginal tubercle already referred to; and in front of these two small ones there is a slight but scarcely tuberculiform elevation.

The eyes are large, the greatest diameter equaling nearly a third the length of the carapax, reniform, and bear upon the upper side of the stalk, near the cornea, two or three minute elevations, which are much less conspicuous than the tubercles similarly situated in C. cursor.

The chelipeds are slightly longer than the breadth of the carapax, and the chelæ are slender, naked, and nearly smooth, and the long, compressed, and very slender digits hooked at the tips and serrate along the prehensile edges. The first ambulatory leg is nearly twice as long as the breadth of the carapax, very slender, naked, and nearly smooth, except a very few minute granular tubercles near the base of the merus, and the dactylus is nearly as long as the propodus, subcylindrical, regularly tapered and slightly curved. The second ambulatory leg is apparently more than twice as long as the first; the merus reaches nearly to the tip of the first leg, is tapered distally, and is armed with a few minute teeth near the distal end of the posterior edge
and along the anterior and dorsal surface with small granular tubercles which become obsolete distally, are much less conspicuous than in C. cursor, and not definitely arranged in several longitudinal lines as in that species; the carpus is about two-fifths as long as the merus, slender and unarmed; the dactylus and the distal part of the propodus are wanting. The third ambulatory leg is a little longer than the first, fully as slender, and very much like it in lack of ornamentation and in the proportions of the segments. The posterior ambulatory legs are shorter than the merus in the third, and very slender.

The abdomen is unarmed externally. The first pair of appendages reach to the second sternal somite, and the distal part of each appendage is straight to near the tip, which is curved outward and backward in a semicircular, blunt-pointed hook, and armed on the outer edge at the base of the hook with a conspicuous tooth.

Station 878, off Martha's Vineyard, 1880, N. lat. $399^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$, W. long. $70^{\circ}$ $54^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, 142 fath., fine sand and mud; one specimen. The measurements in the first column of the accompanying table are from this specimen, while those in the second column are taken from one of the type specimens of $C$. cursor.

Measurements in millimeters and hundredths of length of carapax.

|  | C. gracilis. | C. carsor. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex . |  |  |
| Greatest breadth of carapax... | ${ }_{7.0}$ | ${ }_{8.7}^{6.5}$ |
| Same in hundredths of length | 40 | 134 |
| Length of cheliped |  |  |
| Length of chela.... | 3.1 | 3.6 |
| Height of chela Length of dactilus | ${ }_{1}^{0.7}$ | ${ }_{1}^{0.8}$ |
| Length of first ambulatory leg | 13.0 | 11.5 |
| Length of merus .. |  | 3. |
| Length of propodus | 3.1 3.0 | 3.2 <br> 3.0 <br> 0 |
| Length of second ambulatory leg |  | 30.0 |
| Length of merus. | 11.0 | 10.5 4 4 |
| Length of propodus |  | 4.7 |
| Length of dactylus. |  | 5.4 |
| Length of third ambulatory leg | 14.5 | 18.0 |
| Length of propodus | 5.4 | 5.5 |
| Length of dactylus | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| Length of fourth ambulatory leg | 5.0 | 5.5 |

In C. cursor the teeth of the superior margin of the orbit are much larger than in gracilis and the sinuses smaller and more triangular. The anterior-lateral margin projects in a dentiform tubercle on the hepatic region, and back of this on the anterior part of the branchial region there is an acute and prominent tooth directed somewhat forward, and a smaller but acute tooth, just back of its base.* The first pair of

[^2]abdominal appendages of the male are fully as long as in gracilis, but the tips are slender and styliform instead of hooked.

Ethusa microphthalma Smith, Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 418, 1881.
Station 921, off Martha's Vineyard, N. lat. $40^{\circ} 7^{\prime} 48^{\prime \prime}$, W. long. $70^{\circ} 43^{\prime}$ $54^{\prime \prime}, 67$ fath. (1 九 , 1 우); station 1047, off Delaware Bay, N. lat. $38^{\circ} 31^{\prime}$, W. long. $73^{\circ} 21^{\prime}, 156$ fath. ( $\left.1 \begin{array}{c}\hat{\gamma}\end{array}\right)$. The original specimen was from station 878, off Martha's Vineyard, N. lat. $39^{\circ} 55^{\prime}$, W. long. $70^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime}$, 142 fath.

The female from station 921 is fully adult, but does not differ essentially from the immature female from which the species was originally described; in this fully adult specimen the antero-lateral angles of the carapax, however, project farther forward, reaching a little beyond the spines of the front, and the ambulatory legs are apparently proportionally longer and have proportionally slightly longer and narrower dactyli.

The two males differ very remarkably from one another, and are possibly distinct species. The one from station 921 is only slightly larger than the immature female (from station 878) and differs very little from it in the proportions of the carapax, the form of the front, or in the eyes, external oral appendages, or ambulatory legs, except that the first and second pairs are proportionally longer, with slightly longer and narrower dactyli. The chelipeds, however, are very unequal. The left is slender throughout, and like those of the female, while the right, though very little longer than the left, has a very stout and swollen chela. The right merus is much like the left, but considerably stouter; the carpus is much stouter than the left, and considerably swollen; and the chelais more than twice as thick as the left, smooth and naked throughout, the body longer than the digits and much swollen, and the digits tapered to the tip, the prehensile edges somewhat oblique and unarmed. The male from station 1047, though of about the same size as the other, has a narrower carapax, distinctly longer than broad, but with the front absolutely broader; the ambulatory legs are considerably shorter, and with slightly broader dactyli; and the chelipeds are equal, and like the left one of the other male, except that they are very slightly shorter, and with proportionally slightly shorter chelæ.

## Measurements in millimeters.



In life, the carapax, the proximal part of the abdomen, the chelipeds, and first and second ambulatory legs, are pale orange, the color deepest on the chelæ and the propodi and dactyli of the ambulatory legs; the rest of the animal is grayish white and more pubescent than the more brightly colored parts.

ANOMURA.

## LATREILLIDEA.

## Latreillia elegans Roux.

Specimens examined.


## HOMOLIDEA.

Homola barbata White ex Fabricius.
Specimens examined.

|  | Locality. |  | Nature of bottom. | When collected. | No. of specimens. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N. lat. W.long. |  |  |  | $0 \%$ | 08 80 80 0 0 0 0 |
| 872 | OFF MARTHA'S VINEYARD. | 86 | S. G. Sh. Sponges .. | 1880. Sept. | 2 | 0 |
| 940 949 | $\begin{array}{llllll}39 & 54 & 00 & 69 & 51 & 30 \\ 40 & 03 & 00 & 70 & 31 & 00\end{array}$ | 134 100 | hrd. S. sponges..... | $\begin{aligned} & 1881 . \\ & \text { Aug. } 4 \\ & \text { Aug. } 23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}3 & 1 \\ 1 y . & 1\end{array}$ | 1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 896 \\ & 899 \end{aligned}$ | OFF CHESAPEAKE BAY. | 56 57 | Sh. S <br> S | $\begin{gathered} 1880 . \\ \text { Nov. } 16 \\ \ldots . . \text { do } . . \end{gathered}$ | 1 | 0 |
| 1043 | OFF DELAWARE BAY.      <br> 38 39 00 73 11 00 <br> 38 33 00 73 18 00 | 130 104 | S | 1881. Oct. 10 $\ldots .$. do $\ldots$ |   <br> 1 1 | 0 1 |

This species is also reported from the Straits of Florida and off Barbados, by A. Milne-Edwards (Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, viii., p. 33, 1880).

Four specimens give the following measurements in millimeters:

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## RANINIDEA.

Lyreidus Bairdii Smith, Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 420, 1881.
No specimens of this species have been taken since 1880.

## PORCELLANIDEA.

Porcellana Sigsbeiana A. M.-Edwards, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, viii, p.35, 1880.Station 940, off Martha's Vineyard, N. lat. $39^{\circ} 54^{\prime}$, W. long. $69^{\circ} 51^{\prime}$ $30^{\prime \prime}, 134$ fathoms.
A single male, which, as the following measurements show, is much larger than the specimens described by Milne-Edwards:
Length of carapax ..... 13.0
Breadth of carapax ..... 11.6
Length of right cheliped ..... 25.0
Length of carpus ..... 6.6
Length of chela ..... 13.0
Breadth of chela ..... 4.8
Length of dactylus ..... 5.0
Length of left cheliped ..... 26.0
Length of carpus ..... 6.5
Length of chela ..... 14.5
Breadth of chela ..... 5.7
Length of dactylus ..... 4.5
Lithodes maia Leach.
A fine specimen of this northern species was taken at station 1125,off Martha's Vineyard, N. lat. $40^{\circ} 3^{\prime}$, W. long. $68^{\circ} 56^{\prime}$, 291 fath., sandand mud. It gives the following measurements in millimeters :
Sex. ..... $\delta$
Length of carapax, including rostrum and posterior spines ..... 83
Length of carapax, excluding rostrum and posterior spines ..... 55
Breadth of carapax between tips of hepatic spines ..... 47.3
Breadth of carapax between tips of branchial spines ..... 76.4
Greatest breadth of carapax, excluding spines ..... 53.5
Length of rostrum ..... 26.5
Length of right cheliped ..... 86
Length of right chela ..... 33
Breadth of right chela ..... 13.7
Length of dactylus of right chela ..... 18.6
Length of left cheliped ..... 88
Length of left chela ..... 31
Breadth of left chela ..... 8.8
Length of dactylus of left chela ..... 19
Length of first ambulatory leg ..... 150
Length of second ambulatury leg ..... 155
Length of third ambulatory leg ..... 153
Greatest expanse of ambulatory legs. ..... 325

Lithodes Agassizii Smith, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, x, p. 8, pl. 1, 1882.
Two very small, immature specimens of this interesting species were taken off Martha's Vineyard in 1881, station 1028, N. lat. $39^{\circ} 57^{\prime}$, W. long. $69 \circ 17^{\prime}, 410$ fath., yellow mud; and station 1029, N. lat. $39 \circ 57^{\prime}$ $6^{\prime \prime}$, W. long. $69^{\circ} 16^{\prime}, 458$ fath., yellow mud. Another immature specimen and two adult females were taken by Alexander Agassiz on the Blake, in 1880 ; the immature specimen at station 305, N. lat. $41^{\circ} 33^{\prime}$
$15^{\prime \prime}$, W. long. $65^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}, 810$ fathoms ; the two females off the Carolina coast, stations 326 and 329,464 and 603 fath.

The species is allied to L. maia and L. antarctica in having no scale and only a single spine at the base of the antenna, and in the general form and armament of the carapax and appendages, but differs from them both conspicuously in the rostrum, which is rather short and trispinous, with the lateral spines nearly as long as the rostral spine itself. The spines upon the carapax and appendages are more numerous and much more acute than in L. maia, and the marginal spines of the carapax are not very much larger than the dorsal. The two adults differ remarkably from each other, and from the immature specimens, in the number and length of the spines upon the carapax and legs, the spines being fewer and very much longer and more slender in the small specimens than in the adults, and more slender and more numerous in the smaller than in the larger of the two adult specimens.

Four of the five specimens seen give the following measurements in millimeters :

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## PAGURIDEA.

Eupagurus pubescens Brandt ex Kröyer.
This species appears to be restricted to a very narrow region south of Cape Cod. It has not been taken in over 65 fathoms off Martha's Vineyard, though common in much deeper water north of Cape Cod. None of the specimens seen are large, and all the carcinœcia are composed of Epizoanthus Americanus or entirely overgrown with it.

Specimens examined.

| $\begin{aligned} & \dot{8} \\ & \text { 析 } \\ & \text { \# } \\ & \stackrel{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$ | Locality. |  |  |  | Nature of bottom. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | off martha's vineyard. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - , " | - , |  |  |  | 1881 |  |
| 918 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 20 & 24\end{array}$ | $70 \quad 41$ | 30 | 46 | gn. M. | July 16 | 4 s . |
| 919 | $\begin{array}{lll}40 & 16 & 18\end{array}$ | 7041 | 18 | 53 | gn. M. | July 16 | 2 s . |
| 921 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 07 & 48\end{array}$ | $70 \quad 43$ |  | 67 | gn. M. | July 16 |  |
| 985 | $\begin{array}{lll}41 & 00 & 00\end{array}$ | 70.49 | ${ }^{00}$ | ${ }_{2}^{26}$ | S. | Sept. 7 | 20+ |
| 987 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 54 & 00\end{array}$ | 7048 |  | 28 | ${ }_{S}$ S. | Sept. 7 |  |
| 989 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 49 & 00\end{array}$ | 7047 |  | 30 |  | Sept. 7 | $10+$ |
| 990 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 44 & 00\end{array}$ | 7047 | 00 | 34 | gn. S. M. | Sept. 7 | 12 |

Eupagurus Kröyeri Stimpson.
Nearly all the specimens are small, and in carcinœcia composed of Epizoanthus Americanus or overgrown with it.

Specimens examined.


Eupagurus politus Smith. (Pl. 4, fig. 4.)
Eupagurus, sp., Smith, Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 428, 1881.
Eupagurus politus, Smith, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, x, p. 12, pl. 2, fig. 5, 1882.
The carapax is not suddenly narrowed at the bases of the antennæ, where the breadth is equal to the length in front of the cervical suture, and not rostrated, the median lobe of the front being broadly rounded and not projecting as far forward as the external angles of the orbital sinuses, which are acute and each usually armed with a short spine.

The eyestalks, including the eyes, are nearly four-fifths as long as the breadth of the carapax in front, stout, and expanded at the very large black eyes, which are terminal, not oblique, compressed vertically, and broader than half the length of the stalks. The ophthalmic scales are small, narrow, and spiniform at the tips.

The peduncle of the antennula is about as long as the breadth of the carapax in front, and the ultimate segment about a third longer than the penultimate. The upper flagellum is much longer than the ultimate segment of the peduncle, while the lower is only about half as long as
the upper, slender, and composed of ten to twelve segments. The peduncle of the antenna reaches slightly beyond the eye. The acicle is slender, slightly curved, and reaches to the tip of the peduncle, and inside its base there is a minute tooth, while outside there is a straight spine toothed or spined along its inner edge, acute at the tip and half as long as the acicle itself. The flagellum is nearly naked, and about three times as long as the carapax.

The exposed parts of the oral appendages are very nearly as in $E$. bernhardus.

The chelipeds are longer, much narrower, and more nearly equal in size than in $E$. bernhardus, and, as in that species, are almost entirely naked, but beset with numerous tubercles and low spines. The right cheliped is about as long as the body from the front of the carapax to the tip of the abdomen. The merus and carpus are subequal in length, while the chela is about once and a half as long as the carpus. The carpus and chela are rounded above and armed with numerous tubercles, which are smaller and more crowded on the chela than on the carpus, but the surface between the tubercles is smooth and polished. The dorsal surface of the carpus is limited along the inner edge by a sharp angle armed with a double line of tubercles, while the outer edge is rounded. The chela is very little wider than the carpus, and is narrowed from near the base to the tips of the digits, and both edges are rounded. The digits are rather slender, about half as long as the entire chela, slightly gaping, with acute and strongly incurred chitinous tips, and the prehensile edges armed with a very few obtuse tuberculiform teeth. The left chela is much more slender than the right, but reaches to or a little by the base of its dactylus. The carpus is slender, higher than broad, only slightly expanded distally, and with the narrow dorsal surface flattened, naked, nearly smooth, and margined either side with a single line of spiniform tubercles, while the rest of the surface is beset with low, squamiform, setiferous tubercles. The chela is about a third longer than the carpus, slender, about two and a half times as long as broad, and the dactylus about two-thirds the entire length. The dorsal and outer surface is tuberculose, and a low obtuse ridge extends from near the middle of the base along the propodal digit, which tapers from the base to the tip, while the dactylus is nearly or quite smooth except for a few fascicles of setæ, more slender than the propodal digit, and tapered only near the tip. The chitinous tips of the digits are slender, acute, and strongly incurved, and the prehensile edges are sharp, and armed with a closely set series of slender spines or setæ.

The ambulatory legs reach considerably beyond the right cheliped, and the second pair reach to the tips of the first pair. In both pairs the meri and propodi are approximately equal in length and longer than the carpi, while the dactyli are about once and a half as long as the propodi, slender, strongly curved, and distally strongly twisted. The two
posterior pairs of thoracic legs and the abdominal appendages are very nearly as in $E$. bernhardus.

In life the general color of the exposed parts is pale orange, the tips of the chelæ and of the ambulatory legs white, the eyes black.

The eggs are very large, and few in number as compared with the ordinary species of the genus, being $1.0^{\mathrm{mm}}$ to $1.1^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in diameter in alcoholic specimens, while in E. bernhardus they are only $0.45^{\mathrm{mm}}$ to $0.50^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in diameter.

Measurements in millimeters.


The females apparently never attain as large size as the males, but they do not seem to differ from them in the relative proportions of any of the cephalothoracic appendages.

The accompanying list of specimens examined shows that this is one of the most uniformly distributed and abundant species in from 50 to 400 fathoms from Cape Cod to the Carolina coast. I have already examined specimens from more than three-quarters of the whole number of dredgings made by the Fish Commission during the past three years within this region and between these depths.

Specimens examined.


Specimens examined-Contiuued.

|  | Locality. |  |  | Nature of bottom. |  | No. of specimens. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N. lat. | W. long. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | off MABTH $\qquad$ | vineyard <br> ned. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 870 | $\begin{array}{lll} 40 & 02 & 36 \end{array}$ | $70 \quad 22 \quad 58$ | 155 | M. fne. S. | ${ }_{\text {Sept. }}^{1880}{ }_{4}{ }_{4}$ |  | + |
| 871 872 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 02 & 54 \\ 40 & 05 & 39\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}70 & 23 & 40 \\ 70 & 23 & 50\end{array}$ | 115 86 | M. fne. S. | Sept. <br> Sept. |  | + |
| 873 <br> 874 <br> 8 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 02 & 00\end{array}$ | 70 57 00 <br> 70 57  <br> 00   | 100 85 | sft. M. ${ }_{\text {cte }}$ | Sept. 13 | 10 <br> 15 |  |
| 874 876 | 40 00  <br> 39 57 00 <br> 00   | $\begin{array}{lll}70 & 57 & 00 \\ 70 & 56 & 00\end{array}$ | 85 120 | sft. M. | Sept. 13 | ${ }_{20+}^{15+}$ |  |
| 877 | $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 56 & 00\end{array}$ | 70 54 18 <br> 70 54  | ${ }_{142}^{126}$ | sft. M. | Sept. 13 | ${ }^{100+}$ | $+$ |
| 878 879 | $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 55 & 00 \\ 39 & 49 & 30\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}70 & 54 & 15 \\ 70 & 54 & 00\end{array}$ | ${ }_{225}^{142}$ | S. bu. M. | ( ${ }_{\text {Sept. }} 13$ | ${ }_{15}^{50+}$ | + |
| 880 | $\begin{array}{lll}39 & 48 & 30\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}70 & 54 & 00\end{array}$ | 252 | M. | Sept. 13 | 10 |  |
| 893 | $\begin{array}{lll}39 & 52 & 20\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}70 & 58 & 00\end{array}$ | 372 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { sft. bn. } \mathrm{M} . \text { and } \\ \text { sil. } \end{array}\right\}$ | Oct. | 4 |  |
| 894 | $39 \quad 5300$ | $70 \quad 5830$ | 365 | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} \left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { sft. bn. M. M. and } \\ \text { sml. St. } \end{array}\right\} \end{array}\right.$ | Oct. 2 | 10 |  |
| 895 | $39 \quad 5630$ | $70 \quad 5945$ | 238 | sft. bn. M. | Oct. 2 | $20+$ |  |
| 918 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 20 & 24\end{array}$ | $7041 \quad 30$ | 46 | gn. M. | July 16 | y. |  |
| ${ }_{921}^{919}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 16 & 18 \\ 40 & 07 & 48\end{array}$ | 70 41  <br> 70 43 18 <br> 1   | 53 67 | gn. M. | July 16 | ${ }_{12}^{2 \mathrm{~s}}$. |  |
| ${ }_{922} 92$ | l0 40 | 70 45 54 <br> 70 54  | 71 | gn. M. ${ }_{\text {M and }}$ | July 16 | 71. | 0 |
| 923 | ${ }^{40} 010{ }^{40}$ | 704600 | 164 |  | July 16 | 12 | 1 |
| ${ }_{925}^{924}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 57 & 30 \\ 39 & 55 & 00\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}70 & 46 \\ 70 & 47 & 00 \\ & 00\end{array}$ | ${ }_{229}^{164}$ | S. and M | July 16 | ${ }_{3}^{8}$ |  |
| 939 | $39 \quad 5300$ | $69 \quad 5030$ | 264 | gn. M. and | Aug. 4 | 201. | 2 |
| 940 | 395400 | $69 \quad 5130$ | 134 | hrd. S. and sponges. | Aug. | 281. | 3 |
| 941 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 \\ 40 & 01 & 00 \\ 40 & 00\end{array}$ | $69 \quad 56$ | 79 | hrd. S. and M. | Ang, | 181. | 4 |
| ${ }_{944}^{943}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 00 \\ 40 & 01 & 00 \\ 00\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}71 & 14 & 30 \\ 71 & 14 & 30\end{array}$ | 128 | $\xrightarrow[\text { M. S. }]{ }$ M. and Sh. | Aug. 9 | 13 | 3 |
| 945 | 39 58 <br> 0  | 711300 | 207 | gn. M. and S. | Aug. 9 | 16 | 1 |
| ${ }_{947}^{946}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 55 & 30 \\ 39 & 53 & \\ 30\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}71 & 14 & 00 \\ 71 & 13 & 30\end{array}$ | 247 319 | gn. M. and S. | Aug. | ${ }_{481} 10$ | 3 |
| 949 | ${ }_{40}^{40} 0300$ | 703100 | 100 | yl. M. | Aug. 23 | 34 | 5 |
| 950 | 40  <br> 09 07 <br> 00  | $\begin{array}{llll}70 & 32 & 00 \\ 70 & 31 & \end{array}$ | 71 | S. Sh. and M. | Aug. ${ }^{23}$ |  | 1 |
| 999 | ${ }_{40} 44800$ | $\begin{array}{ll}70 \\ 70 & 47 \\ 00\end{array}$ | 34 | gn. M. and | Aug. ${ }^{\text {Aug }}$ Sept. 7 | ${ }_{2}^{6}$ |  |
| 994 | ${ }^{39} 4000$ | 713000 | 368 | , | Sept | 6 |  |
|  | ${ }^{39} 42$ | ${ }_{71}^{71} 3200$ | 335 |  |  | 35 |  |
| 299 | ${ }^{39} 4300$ | 713200 | 302 | gn. | Sep | 10 |  |
| 102 | $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 45 & 13 \\ 39 & 49 & 00\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}71 & 30 & 00 \\ 71 & 25 & 00\end{array}$ | ${ }_{216}^{266}$ | $\xrightarrow[\text { gn. M. }]{\text { gn }}$ | Sept. ${ }^{8}$ | 10 | 3 |
| 10 | $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 50 & 30\end{array}$ | ${ }_{71}^{71} 2300$ | 182 | gn. M. and S . | Sept. 8 |  |  |
| 102 | ${ }_{39}^{40} 500000$ | 69 <br> 69 <br> 17 <br> 17 | 93 410 | fne. ${ }_{\text {che }}$ | Sept. 14 | 4 |  |
| 1029 | $\begin{array}{lll}39 & 57 & 06\end{array}$ | $69 \quad 1600$ | 458 | yl. M. S. | Sept. 14 | 1 | 0 |
| ${ }_{1035}^{1032}$ | 39 56 <br> 39 57 <br> 00  | $\begin{array}{llll}69 & 22 & 00 \\ 69 & 28 & 00\end{array}$ | ${ }_{120}^{208}$ | ${ }_{\text {cl }} \mathrm{M}$. | Sept. 14 | 18 5 |  |
| 1036 | $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 58 & 00\end{array}$ | ¢9 3000 | 94 |  | Sept. 14 |  |  |
| 103 | $39 \quad 5900$ | 700600 | 130 | S. and |  | 17. | 10 |
| 1091 | ${ }^{40} 0300$ | $69 \quad 44 \quad 00$ |  | gy. S. brk. St | Aug. 11 |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1092 \\ & 1093 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}39 & 58 & 00 \\ 39 & 56 & 00\end{array}$ | 69 <br> 69 <br> 69 <br> 45 <br> 45 | ${ }_{349}^{202}$ | bu. M. S. S. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { Aug. } 11 \\ \text { aug. } 11 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{4}^{24}$ | + |
| 109 | ${ }^{39} 5300$ | 694700 | 317 | sft. gn. M. | Aug. 11 | 14 |  |
| 109 | ${ }^{39} 54 \quad 00$ | $6_{69} 44 \quad 00$ | 158 | fne. | Aug. 11 | 39 | + |
| 10 | ${ }^{39} 3500$ | ${ }^{69}$43 <br> 00 | ${ }^{156}$ | fne. S | Aug. 11 | ${ }^{27}$ | $\dagger$ |
| 1108 1109 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 \\ 40 & 02 & 00 \\ 03 & 00\end{array}$ | ${ }^{70} 38030$ | ${ }_{89}^{101}$ | gy. M. fne | Au |  | ${ }_{8}^{+}$ |
| 1110 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & \mathrm{C} 2 & 00\end{array}$ | 703500 | 100 | gn. M. fne | Au |  | 10 |
| 111 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 01 & 33\end{array}$ | ${ }_{70}^{75} \quad 300$ | 124 | fne. S | $\mathrm{Au}^{\text {g }}$ | $40+$ | + |
| 1112 | 39 56 00 <br> 39 59 00 <br> 0   | $\begin{array}{lll}70 & 35 & 00 \\ 70 & 44 & 00\end{array}$ | ${ }_{144}^{245}$ | gn. M. S. | Aug. ${ }^{\text {Ang. }} 22$ |  | + |
| 111 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 0200\end{array}$ | 704500 | 89 | fne. | Aug. 22 | 5 |  |
| 1118 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 \\ 40 & 03 & 00 \\ 08 & 00 \\ 00\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}70 & 45 & 00 \\ 68 & 45 & 00\end{array}$ | 70 97 | S. brie. S. Sh. | ${ }_{\text {Aug. }}^{\text {Aug. }} 22$ | 12 | 2 |
| 1121 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 & 04 & 00\end{array}$ | 684900 | 234 | fine. S. St. | Aug. 26 | 16 | + |
| 1124 1137 | $\begin{array}{llll}40 \\ 39 & 01 & 40 \\ 40 & 00 \\ 00\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}68 & 54 \\ 71 & 00 \\ 72 & 00\end{array}$ | 640 173 | ne. S. ${ }^{\text {chen. M. }}$ fne.s. f. | Aug. ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Sept. ${ }_{8}$ | - 1 |  |
| 1138 | $\begin{array}{llll}39 & 39 & 00\end{array}$ | 715400 | 168 | ne. S . | Sept. |  |  |
| 1142 | $39 \quad 3200$ | 720000 | 322 | M . with S. and P. | Sept. 8 | 19 | 0 |

Specimens examined-Continued.

|  | N. lat. | Locality. |  |  |  | Depth in fathoms. | Nature of bottom. |  | No. of speci mens. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | lon |  |  |  |  |  | 80 80 80 0 70 0 |
|  | OFF MARTHA'S VINEYARD <br> -Continued. |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 115 \\ & 193 \end{aligned}$ | S. and M. | $\begin{array}{ll}1881 . \\ \text { Oct. } \\ \text { Oct. } & 4 \\ \text { Ofer }\end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \\ 200+ \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1152 | $\begin{array}{cc}\circ & \prime \\ 39 & 58\end{array}$ | "100 | $\stackrel{\circ}{70}$ | ${ }_{35}^{\prime}$ | " 00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1154 | $39 \quad 55$ | 31 | 70 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | off delaware bay. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1881. |  |  |
| 1043 | $38 \quad 39$ | 00 | 73 | 11 | 00 | 130 |  |  |  |  |
| 1045 | $\begin{array}{lll}38 & 35\end{array}$ | 00 | 73 | 13 | 00 | 312 | gy. M. | Oct. 10 | 8 |  |
| 1046 | 38 | 00 |  | 18 | 00 | 104 |  | Oct. 10 | 3 | 1 |
| 1047 | $38 \quad 31$ | 00 | 73 | 21 | 00 | 156 | S. | Oct. 10 | 9 |  |
| 1049 | 38.28 | 00 | 73 | 22 |  | 435 | M. | Oct. 10 | 1 |  |
|  | off chesapeake bay. |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { S. Sh. } \\ & \text { S. M. } \\ & \text { M. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 896 | $\begin{array}{ll}37 & 26\end{array}$ | 00 | 74 | 19 | 00 | 56 |  | Nov. 16 |  |  |
| 897 | $\begin{array}{ll}37 & 25\end{array}$ | 00 | 74 | 18 | 00 | 157 |  | Nov. 16 | 33 |  |
| 898 | $37 \quad 24$ | 00 |  | 17 |  | 300 |  | Nov. 16 | 48 | + |
|  | BLAKE DREDGINGS; A. AGASSIZ. |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1880. |  |  |
| 309 | $40 \quad 11$ | 40 |  | 22 | 00 | 304 | fne. S. M. fne. dk. gn. M. |  | 3 |  |
| 310 | $\begin{array}{lll}39 & 59\end{array}$ | 16 | 70 | 18 | 30 | 260 |  |  | 2 |  |
| ${ }_{336}^{327}$ | $34 \quad 00$ | 30 |  | 10 | 30 | 178 | Glob. ooze. |  | 1 |  |
| 336 | $38 \quad 21$ | 50 | 73 | 32 | 00 | 197 | Bl. M. |  |  |  |

Catapagurus, A. M.-Edwards.
Catapagurus A. M.-Edwards, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, viii, p. 46, 1880.-Smith, ibid., x, p. 14, 1882.

Hemipagurus Smith, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. London, V, vii, p. 143, 1881 ; Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 422, 1881.
Catapagurus Sharreri, A. M.-Edwards. (Pl. 4, Fig. 5.)
Catapagurus Sharreri A. M.-Edwards, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, viii, p. 46, 1880.
Hemipagurus socialis Smith, Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 423, 1881.
Catapagurus socialis Smith, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, x, p. 16, 1882.
I have examined one of the type specimens of Milne-Edwards's species returned to the Museum of Comparative Zoology, and find it identical with my species as indicated above. This specimen is from 200 fathoms, off Barbadoes, station 296, and gives the following measurements in millimeters :
Sex ..... $\sigma$
Length from front of carapax to tip of abdomen ..... 23.0
Length of eye-stalks ..... 2.3
Greatest diameter of eye ..... 1.7
Length of right cheliped ..... 19.0
Length of chela ..... 8.0
Breadth of chela ..... 2.6
Length of dactylus. ..... 4.0
Length of left cheliped ..... 31.0
Length of chela ..... 7.5
Breadth of chela ..... 1. 3
Length of dactylus. ..... 2.8
Length of first ambulatory leg, right side ..... 22.0

Specimens examined.

|  | Locality. |  |  |  |  |  | Nature of bottom. |  | No. of specimens. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N. lat. |  |  | . $10 n$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Off martha's vineyard. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{6}{50}$ |  |
| 865 | $40 \quad 05$ | 00 | 70 | 23 | 00 | 65 |  | Sept. 4 |  |  |
| 870 | $\begin{array}{ll}40 & 02 \\ 40 & 02\end{array}$ | ${ }^{36}$ |  | ${ }_{23}^{22}$ |  | 155 | fne. S. M. | Sept. 4 |  | $\pm$ |
| 871 872 | 40 40 40 | ${ }_{39}^{54}$ | 70 | ${ }_{23}^{23}$ | 40 | 115 86 | S. G. Sh. Sponges.sfr.. M. | Sept. 4 | $500+$ |  |
| 873 | 40 | 00 | 70 | 57 | 00 | 100 |  | Sept. 13 | 1 |  |
| 874 | $40 \quad 00$ | 00 | 70 | 57 | 00 | 85 | sfr. . M. | Sept. 13 | $100+$ | + |
| 876 | 3957 | 00 | 70 | 56 | 00 | 120 | sfr. . M. | Sept. 13 | 50 |  |
| 877 | $\begin{array}{ll}39 & 56 \\ 39\end{array}$ | 00 |  | 54 | 18 | 126 | sfr.. M. | Sept. 13 | $200+$ |  |
| 880 | 39 55 <br> 9  | ${ }^{00}$ | 70 | 54 | 15 | 252 | M. | Sept. 13 | 2 | $+$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{llllll}39 & 48 & 30 & 70 & 54 & 00\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 919 | $40 \quad 16$ | 18 |  | 41 | 18 | 53 | gn. M. | ${ }_{\text {July }}^{1881}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2 |  |
| 920 | 4013 | 00 | 70 | 41 | 54 | 63 | gn. M. | July 16 | 2 |  |
| 921 | $40 \quad 07$ | 48 | 70 | 43 | 54 | 67 | gn. M. | July 16 | 12 | $+$ |
| 922 | $40 \quad 03$ | 48 | 70 | 45 | 54 | 71 | gn. M. S. | July 16 | 48 | $+$ |
| 923 | 4001 | 24 |  | 46 | 00 | 98 | S. | Judy 16 | 5 | $+$ |
| 925 | 3955 |  |  |  |  | 229 | S. and M. | July 16 | 9 | + |
| 939 940 | $\begin{array}{ll}39 & 53 \\ 39 & 54\end{array}$ | 00 00 | 69 69 | 50 51 | 30 30 | 1264 | hrd. ${ }^{\text {gn. S. sponges. }}$ | Aug. Aug. 4 | $\stackrel{1}{1000}$ | + |
| 941 | 4001 | 00 | 69 | 56 | 00 | 79 | hrd. S. M. | Aug. 4 | $200+$ | + |
| 949 | $40 \quad 03$ | 00 | 70 | 31 | 00 | 100 | yl. M. | Aug. 23 | 15 |  |
| 1027 | $40 \quad 00$ | 00 | 69 | 19 | 00 | 93 | fne. S. | Sept. 14 | 37 |  |
| 1035 | 3957 | 00 |  | 28 | 00 | 120 | S. | Sept. 14 | $200+$ |  |
| 1036 | 3958 | 00 | 69 | 30 | 00 | 94 | S. | Sept. 14 | $50+$ |  |
| 1038 | $39 \quad 58$ | 00 |  | 06 |  | 146 | S. and Sh. | Sept. 21 | $60+$ | $+$ |
| 1092 | 3958 | 00 |  | 42 | 00 | 202 | gy. S. | 1882. Aug. 11 | 2 |  |
| 1097 | 3954 | 00 |  | 44 | 00 | 158 | fne. S. | Aug. 11 | 3 |  |
| 1111 | 40 (1) | 33 |  | 35 | 00 | 124 | fne. S . | Aug. 22 | 13 |  |
| 1119 | $40 \quad 08$ | 00 | 68 | 45 | 00 | 97 | S. brk. Sh. | Aug. 26 | 7 |  |
| 1151 | 3958 | 30 |  | 37 |  | 125 |  | Oct. 4 | 10 |  |
| 1152 | 3958 | 00 | 70 | 35 | 00 | 115 | S. | Oct. 4 | 12 |  |
|  | off delaware bay. |  |  |  |  | 130156 | $\stackrel{S}{S}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { 1881. } \\ \text { Oct. } & 10 \\ \text { Oct. } & 10 \end{array}$ | ${ }_{10}^{3}$ |  |
| 1043 | $38 \quad 39$ | 00 |  | 11 | 00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1047 | 3831 | 00 | 73 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 899 | off chesapeake bay. |  |  |  |  | 57 | S. | $\begin{gathered} 1880 . \\ \text { Nov. } 16 \end{gathered}$ | 1 |  |
|  | $37 \quad 22$ | 00 | 74 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | BLAKE DREDGINGS; A. AGASSIz. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 311 | 3959 | 30 |  |  | 00 | 143 | gy. S. | 1880. |  |  |
| 313 | $\begin{array}{ll}32 & 31 \\ 32\end{array}$ | 50 | 78 | 45 | 00 | 75 | fne. gy. S. |  | $\stackrel{2}{1000}+$ |  |
| 314 315 | $\begin{array}{ll}32 & 24 \\ 32 & 18\end{array}$ | 00 20 | 78 | $\stackrel{44}{43}$ | ${ }_{00}^{00}$ | ${ }_{225}^{142}$ | fne.gy. ${ }^{\text {fne.gy. }}$ |  | ${ }_{4} 100$ | + |
| 316 | 3207 | 00 | 78 | 37 | 30 | 229 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 327 | 3400 | 30 |  | 10 | 30 | 178 | Glob. ooze. fne. S. M. gn. M. brk. Sh. S. |  | 8 |  |
| 344 345 | $\begin{array}{ll}40 & 01 \\ 40 & 10\end{array}$ | 00 15 | 70 | 58 04 | 00 30 | 129 71 |  |  | ${ }_{5}^{40+}$ | + |
| 345 | $40 \quad 10$ | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Catapagurus gracilis Smith.
Hemipagurus gracilis Smith, Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 426, 1881.
Catapagurus gracilis Smith, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, x, p. 19, 1882.
Specimens examined.

|  | Locality.N. lat. W.long. |  |  |  |  | -suoqłef प!̣ qдđəa | Nature of bottom. | When collected. | No. of sp mens. | ci- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | OFF MARTHA'S vineyard. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | " | - | 1 |  |  |  | 1880. |  |  |
| 865 | $40 \quad 05$ | 00 |  | 23 |  | 65 | fne. S. M. | Sept. 4 | 1 |  |
| 870 | $40 \quad 02$ | 36 |  | 22 | 58 | 155 | fne. S. M. | Sept. 4 | 4 |  |
| 871 | $40 \quad 02$ | 54 |  | 23 | 40 | 115 | fne. S. M. | Sept. 4 | $30+$ | + |
| 874 | 40 00 | 00 |  | 57 | 00 | 85 | sfr. M. | Sept. 13 | $30+$ | + |
| 877 | 3956 | 00 |  | 54 | 18 | 126 | sfr. M. | Sept. 13 | 3 |  |
| 878 | 3955 | 00 |  | 54 | 15 | 142 | M. | Sept. 13 | 10 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1881. |  |  |
| 919 | $\begin{array}{ll}40 & 16\end{array}$ | 18 |  | 41 | 18 | 53 | gn. M. | July 16 | 1 |  |
| 920 | $40 \quad 13$ | co |  | 41 | 54 | 63 | gn. M. | July 16 | 4 |  |
| 921 | $\begin{array}{ll}40 & 07\end{array}$ | 48 |  | 43 | 54 | $\begin{array}{r}67 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | gn. M. | July 16 | 24 | $+$ |
| 940 | $\begin{array}{ll}39 & 54 \\ 40 & 03\end{array}$ | 00 |  | 51 | 30 | 134 | hrd. S. and sponges. | Aug. 4 | 2 |  |
| 949 1038 | $\begin{array}{ll}40 & 03 \\ 39 & 58\end{array}$ | 00 00 |  |  | 00 00 | 100 146 | Il. M. | Aug. 23 | 12 |  |
|  | OFF CHESAPEAKE BAY. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 896 | $37 \quad 26$ | 00 |  | 19 | 00 | 56 | S. Sh. | Nov. 16 | 1 |  |
| 899 | $37 \quad 22$ | 00 | 74 | 29 | 00 | 57 | S. | Nov. 16 | 1 |  |
|  | BLAKE | DREDGINGS; AGASSIZ. |  |  | A. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 344 | $40 \quad 01$ | 00 | 70 | 58 | 00 | 129 | fne. S. M. |  |  |  |
| 345 | $40 \quad 10$ | 15 |  |  | 30 | 71 | gn. M. brk. Sh. S. |  | 3 |  |

Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith, Trans. Conn. Acad. New Haven, v, p. 51, 1879;
Proc. National Mus. Washington, iii, p. 428, 1881; Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool.
Cambridge, x, p. 20, pl. 2, fig. 4-4 ${ }^{\text {d }} 1882$.
(Pl. 5, Figs. 3-5; Pl. 6, Figs. 1-4a.)
Specimens examined.


Proc. Nat. Mus. $83-3$

Specimens examined-Continued.


The large number of specimens which have been obtained since this species was first described enables me to supplement to a considerable extent the original description, drawn from a single specimen from which the oral appendages were not removed.

The labrum, metastome, mandibles, and the first maxilla are essentially as in Eupagurus bernhardus. The lobes of the protognath of the second maxilla are very nearly as in Eupagurus bernhardus ; the endognath is a little longer than in that species, reaching nearly as far forward as the distal lobe of the protopod; the scaphognath is very different from that of Eupagurus bernhardus, the anterior part being very much larger and narrowed to a triangular tip reaching much beyond the middle of the endognath, while the posterior part is elongated, somewhat ovate in outline, about two-thirds as long as the anterior, and very little more than half as broad as long. The lobes of the protopod and the endopod of the first maxilliped are nearly as in Eupagurus bernhardus except that the endopod is united with the exopod for a considerable distance from the base; the endopod itself, however, is very different, being a simple, unsegmented lamella, shorter than the endopod, broad and truncated at the extremity and setigerous along the outer and terminal edges. Just back of the base of the exopod the edge of the protopod is setigerous and projects laterally in a slight prominence apparently representing the epipod. The second and third (external) maxillipeds are essentially as in Eupagurus bernhardus.

The branchiæ are the same in number and arranged in the same way as in Eupagurus bernhardus, as indicated in the following formula:


But, as stated in the original description, they are trichobranchix, not phyllobranchiæ as in ordinary Paguroids. In the original specimen, and in all those not preserved with special care, the branchiæ are flaccid and the papillæ of which they are composed are collapsed, apparently cylindrical throughout, and without definite arrangement along the stem of the branchia; but in specimens carefully preserved in strong alcohol the papillæ in the thicker parts of the branchiæ are seen to be slightly flattened toward their bases in the direction of the axes of the branchix, and to have a definite arrangement in four longitudinal series, showing, in a transverse section of the branchia, two papillæ either side of the central axis in place of the thin lamella attached by one edge to either side of the lamelliform central stem of the phyllobranchia of ordinary Paguroids. Toward the tips of the branchiæ the papillæ become truly cylindrical as in Homarus or Astacus, and in some of the smaller branchiæ, as in the arthrobranchiæ of the external maxillipeds, the papillæ upon one side of the branchia are very small or rudimentary; but in all cases the ultimate divisions of the branchiæ are apparently strictly trichobranchial in structure, the blood vessels on either side of each papilla giving off capillary branches in opposite directions to the surface of the papilla. The structure is essentially as in Astacus, and the difference is not apparent without close examination. From ordinary Paguroids, like Eupagurus bernhardus, however, it is widely different, but this difference is partially bridged by the structure of the branchiæ in Sympagurus pictus about to be described, although there the branchiæ are essentially phyllobranchiæ.

In the chelipeds the merus, carpus, and chela are very densely clothed, except at the tips of the digits, a space on the under side and at the base of the chela, and the inner side of the merus, with a very fine and soft pubescence usually loaded with fine mud when the specimens are first taken.
Individuals differ considerably in the form and proportions of the chelipeds. In one large male, measurements of which are given in the last column in the accompanying table of measurements, the right cheliped is only very slightly longer and scarcely stouter than the left, and the chela differs from that of the left only slightly in form. The defective development of the right cheliped in this specimen probably resulted
from the loss and reproduction of the limb, but in other specimens there are considerable differences in the form of the right chela which are apparently not the result of loss and reproduction, though it may be possible that all the cases of considerable variation in the form of the chelæ are due to this cause. The right chela is, in both sexes, usually very broad, half or more than half as broad as long, but in some specimens, as shown in the second column of the table of measurements, it is much narrower, only about three-eighths as broad as long.

The appendages of the second abdominal somite of the male are frequently very distinctly unequal in size, the right being longer than the left, but in many specimens they are exactly alike. The appendages of the first somite are apparently perfectly symmetrical in all the specimens examined.

The females appear to be a little smaller than the males, but apparently do not differ in the form or proportions of any of the cephalothoracic appendages. There are four well-developed biramus appendages on the left side of the abdomen as in the species of Eupagurus, and the third, fourth, and fifth somites are each furnished with a diffuse dorsal tuft of long hairs. The eggs are nearly spherical and larger than in Eupagurus bernhardus, being nearly a millimeter in diameter in alcoholic specimens.

In life the general color of the naked and exposed parts is pale, dull orange, darker at the tips of the ambulatory legs, without any of the conspicuous red markings characteristic of Sympagurus pictus.

All of the carcinœcia seen are formed by colonies of Epizoanthus paguriphilus Verrill, which at first invest spiral shells which are finally absorbed by the basal cœuenchyma of the growing polyps. In some of the very small specimens the inresting walls of the polsp are so thin that the form and markings of the inclosed shell are distinctly visible through them, but in all the larger specimens the shell is completely absorbed.

Measurements.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Sympagurus, gen. nov.

The single species of the genus here proposed is readily distinguished from Parapagurus by the shortness of the peduncles of the antennulæ and the well developed eyes, in which respects it agrees essentially with Eupagurus. It differs essentially from Parapagurus in having phyllobranchiæ, which are the same in number and arranged in the same way as in Parapagurus and Eupagurus, but differ much from the branchiæ of Eupagurus and the ordinary Paguroids in having the lamellæ long, narrow, attached by one end to the narrow stem of the branchia and arranged in two loosely packed longitudinal series either side of the axis of the branchia. At the extremity of the branchiæ, however, the lamellæ become very narrow, and at the extreme tips apparently papilliform as at the tips of the branchiæ of Parapagurus. The oral, thoracic, and abdominal appendages are essentially as in Parapagurus, the sexual appendages of the first and second somites of the abdomen of the male are, however, much smaller and less perfectly developed.

Sympagurus pictus, sp. nov. (Pl. 5, Figs. 2, 2a; Pl. 6, Figs. 5-8.)
The carapax is divided by a deep, cervical suture, which is arcuate as in Parapagurus pilosimanus, but is narrowed anteriorly much more than in that species, the breadth at the bases of the antennæ scarcely equaling the length in front of the cervical suture. The anterior margin projects in a prominent triangular rostrum with a distinct longitudinal carina, and either side is considerably oblique, with only a slight prominence between the base of the eyestalk and the peduncle of the antenna.

The eyestalks, including the eyes, are about two-fifths as long as the carapax along the dorsal line, stout, and expanded at the very large black eyes, which are terminal, not oblique, compressed vętically, and from two-fifths to nearly a half as broad as the length of the stalks. The ophthalmic scales are small, spiniform, and acute as in Parapagurus pilosimanus.

The peduncle of the antennula is a little longer than the breadth of the carapax in front, the second segment reaches to the tip of the eye, and the ultimate segment is about half the entire length. The upper flagellum is about as long as the ultimate segment of the peduncle, while the lower is only about half as long, slender, and composed of seven or eight segments. The peduncle of the antenna reaches slightly by the eye and the ultimate segment is nearly twice as long as the penultimate. The acicle is slender, sparsely setigerous, and reaches to the tip of the peduncle, and outside its base there is a dentiform process, but no tooth or spine inside. The flagellum is nearly naked and about four times as long as the carapax.

The oral appendages are all nearly as in Parapagurus pilosimanus, except that, in the second maxilla, the endognath is broader at the base, the anterior lobe of the scaphognath is shorter and broader, though still triangular at the tip, and the posterior lobe is shorter,
broader, and approximately triangular; while, in the first maxilliped, the endopod and exopod are a little shorter and the latter rounded at the extremity.
The chelipeds are densely pubescent, as in Parapagurus pilosimanus, and resemble those of that species closely until the pubescence is removed, when they are seen to be different in form and armament. The right cheliped in fully grown specimens is about three times as long as the carapax along the dorsal line. The carpus is slightly longer than the merus, obscurly angulated along the inner dorsal edge, and the dorsal surface covered with small tubercles which are acute and almost spiniform along the inner edge. The chela is at least once and twothirds as long as the carpus, much less than half as broad as long, compressed vertically, convex, and only slightly tuberculous above and below, but armed along the edges with sharp tubercles, which are most conspicuous along the inner edge and particularly on the dactylus, where they become spiniform. The digits are longitudinal, not turned to the right as in Parapagurus pilosimanus, about as long as the body of the chela, regularly tapered toward the strongly hooked tips, and the prehensile edges armed with irregular, low, and obtuse tubercles. The left cheliped is about two-thirds as long as the right, very slender, and clothed with pubescence like the right. The carpus is scarcely longer or stouter than the merus, and angulated and armed with a few sharp tubercles along the inner dorsal edge. The chela is about once and two-thirds as long as the carpus, scarcely stouter, rounded and unarmed, with the digits much longer than the body, slender, slightly curved downward at the tips, not gaping, and the prehensile edges sharp and armed with a closely set series of minute spines.

The ambulatory legs reach to or a little by the right cheliped, are smooth and nearly naked, except near the tips, and unarmed, except a s mall dentiform tooth at the distal end of the dorsal edge of the carpus. The dactyli are longer than the propodi, slender, laterally compressed, strongly curved toward the acnte tips, and setigerous along the dorsal edge and on the inner side. The fourth and fifth pairs of legs and the sterna of all the thoracic somites are as in Parapagurus pilosimanus.

The appendages of the first and second abdominal somites of the male arise in the same way as in Parapagurus pilosimanus. The appendages of the first somite are like those of Parapagurus pilosimanus in form, but are very much smaller, being scarcely $3 \frac{1}{2}$ millimeters in length in the largest specimen examined, and project only a little way below the coxæ of the posterior thoracic legs. The appendages of the second somite are very unequally developed; the right is nearly as in Parapagurus pilosimanus in form, but is much smaller, being only 7 millimeters long in the largest male examined, and the terminal lamelliform segment is a little broader in proportion, being about a fourth longer than the basal portion and a fourth as broad as long, and is apparently less deeply grooved; while the left is very much smaller, only 4.8 millime-
ters long in the specimen just referred to, and the terminal lamella smaller even than the basal portion, very narrow, and scarcely at all grooved.

The appendages of the left side of the third, fourth, and fifth somites of the abdomen of the male, the four ovigerous appendages of the left side of the abdomen of the female, and the uropods in both sexes, are as in Parapagurus pitosimanus and Eupagurus bernhardus. The telson is about as broad as long, but bilaterally unsymmetrical, the left side being longer than the right, aud the posterior margin oblique, with a slight anal emargination a little to the right of the center.

The carcinœcium of the specimen from station 895 is formed by Epizoanthus Americanus Verrill, but the carcinœcia of all the other specimens examined are formed by the base of a single polyp of Urticina consors Verrill (Amer. Jour. Sci., III, xxiii, p. 225, 1882).

Measurements.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

In the large male from station 924, the appendage of the right side of the second somite of the abdomen is $7^{\mathrm{mm}}$ long, and its terminal lamella $4^{\mathrm{mm}}$ long and $1^{\mathrm{mm}}$ broad; while the appendage of the left side is $4.8^{\mathrm{mm}}$ long, and its terminal lamella only $2.3^{\mathrm{mm}}$ long and $0.5^{\mathrm{mm}}$ broad.
In life the front part of the carapax is orange red bordered with white along the margin. The eye-stalks and the peduncles of the attennulæ and antennæ are white, except the undersides of the eye-stalks, which are vermilion. The flagella of the antennulæ and antennæ are pale orange. A large spot of vermilion covers nearly the whole of the outer surface and extends over upon the inferior edge of the meri of the ambulatory legs, and the inferior edges of the carpi and propodi and the tips of the dactyli are marked with the same color, while the rest of the surface is white. The posterior part of the carapax and the abdomen are translucent whitish specked above with orange red, and the telson and uropods are similarly but more thickly specked with the same color. The eyes are black.

Specimens examined.

|  | N. lat. |  | Locality. |  |  |  | Nature of bottom. |  | No. of specimens. |  | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | W. long. |  |  |  |  |  | $\sigma^{1} 9$ |  |  |
|  | off martha's vineyard. |  |  |  |  | 238 | sft. M. | $\xrightarrow{1880}{ }_{\text {Oct. }}$. | 1 s. |  |  |
| 895 | $\begin{array}{cc} \circ & \prime \\ 39 & 56 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Alc. |
| 924 | $39 \quad 57$ | 30 |  |  |  | 164 |  | $\stackrel{1881}{\text { July } 16}$ |  |  | Alc. |
| 939 | $39 \quad 53$ | 00 | 69 | 50 |  | 264 | gn. M. S. | Aug. 4 | 2 s .1 s . | 0 | Alc. |
| 1114 | $39 \quad 58$ | 00 | 70 | 38 |  | 171 | gn. M. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1882. } \\ & \text { Aug. } 2 \end{aligned}$ | 11. 11. | 0 | Alc. |

## GALATEEIDEA.

Munida Caribæa? Smith. (Pl. 3, Fig. 11.)
Munida Caribaca? Smith, Proc. National Mus., iii, p. 428, 1881.
Munida, sp. indet. Smith, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, x, p. 22, pl. 10, fig. 1, 1882.
? Munida Caribcaa Stimpson, Ann. Lyceum Nat. Hist. New York, vii, p. 244 (116), 1860.-A. M.-Edwards, Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, viii, p. 49, 1880 (Cariboea).

In my preliminary notice of two years ago I referred this species doubtfully, as indicated above, to Stimpson's species described from a single very small specimen which is no longer extant. Almost simultaneously Milne-Edwards published ten new species of the genus from the Blake dredgings in the Caribbean region, and referred specimens of still another to Stimpson's Caribcea, but without describing them at all. It seems best to restrict Stimpson's name to the species called Caribca by Milne-Edwards, whatever that may be, but it is quite impossible to determine from Milne-Edwards's descriptions alone whether the species which I have called Caribata belongs to either of the eleven species enumerated by him and, until it is possible to settle this point satisfactorily, the species may be conveniently designated Munida Caribcea? Smith, as above.

The species attains greater size than any of the specimens taken in 1880 , measurements of some of the largest of which were given in my preliminary notice of two years ago. The specimens from the same station are usually approximately alike in size, those from one station being nearly all small, while those from another, even near by and on the same day, are nearly all large. The largest specimens are from station 1043, off Delaware Bay, and six of these give the following measurements in millimeters :


The specimens from which the last four columns of measurements were taken have the chelæ modified, as usual in the old males of the species of the genus, by the proximal curvature and expansion of the digits, particuiarly the propodal, so as to leave them gaping at base; while the specimen from which the second column of measurements was taken has the chelæ slender and unmodified as in the female.

Specimens examined.


The Blake dredgings of 1880 extend the range southward considerably beyond the above, as the following record of the occurrence of the species in these dredgings shows:

| Station. | N. lat. | W. long. | Fathoms. | Specimens. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - i 11 | - 11 |  |  |
| 311 | $\begin{array}{lll}39 & 59 & 30\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}70 & 12 & 00\end{array}$ | 143 | 1 |
| 314 | $32 \quad 24 \quad 00$ | $\begin{array}{llll}78 & 44 & 00\end{array}$ | 142 | $50+$ |
| 315 | $\begin{array}{llll}32 & 18 & 20\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}78 & 43 & 00\end{array}$ | 225 | 1 |
| 333 | 35 | $\begin{array}{llll}74 & 50 & 30\end{array}$ | 65 | $100+$ |
| 335 | $\begin{array}{lll}38 & 22 & 25\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}73 & 33 & 40\end{array}$ | 89 | 31 |
| 336 | $\begin{array}{lll}38 & 21 & 50\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}73 & 32 & 00\end{array}$ | 197 | 6 |
| 344 | $40 \quad 0100$ | $\begin{array}{llll}70 & 58 & 00\end{array}$ | 129 | 1 |

Munida valida, sp. nov. (Pl. 1.)
A large species with the general appearance of $M$. Bamffia, but at once distinguished from it, and from M. tenuimana, and Caribcea? Smith as well, by the short and obtusely rounded epimera of all the abdominal somites.

Excluding the rostrum, the carapax is about three-fourths as broad as long; including the rostrum, about four-sevenths as broad as long, the rostrum being more than a fourth the entire length. The rostrum and the spines at its base are shorter and stouter than the M. Bamffia, and the latter are about three-fifths as long as the rostrum, strongly divergent and directed somewhat upward, while the rostrum is horizontal. The number and position of the spines on the dorsal surface and along the lateral margins of the carapax are very nearly as in M. Bamffia, except that there are no spines along the raised posterior margin. The orbital part of the anterior margin is more oblique than in M. Bamffia, and the antennal spine is not, as in that species, at the antero-lateral angle, but the margin between the antennal and hepatic spines is only a very little more oblique than the orbital margin, and the antero-lateral angle is really formed by the hepatic spine. The carapax is apparently wider and less convex than in M. Bamffia, the sutures of the dorsal surface are deeper, and the transverse rugæ are apparently fewer and more conspicuous.

The eyes are about as large as in M. Bamffa, but not so strongly compressed.

The basal segment of the antennula is armed with a slender spine arising from the prominence on the outer margin and directed forward, a larger spine on the outer edge of the distal end, and between these two a long spine, two-thirds as long as the segment itself, directed obliquely upward, while at the distal end of the inner side there is only an inconspicuous dentiform spine in place of the very long and slender spine found there in M. Bamffa, tenuimana, and Caribaa? Smith. The flagella of the antennæ are subcylindrical, slender, nearly naked, and not far from twice as long as the entire length of the body.

The merus of the external maxilliped is not distinctly tapered dis-
tally, and the ventral edge is armed with a slender spine at the distal end and a larger one a little way from the proximal end.
The chelipeds are equal, and in the male about two and a half times as long as the carapax, and resemble those of $M$. Bamffia very closely. In the male, the merus is nearly as long as the carapax, the carpus about two-fifths as long as the merus, and the chela much longer than the merus, much more slender, with the digits fully three-fourths as long as the body, slender, straight, and the prehensile edges in contact throughout. Although the single male seen is very large, there is no sign whatever of the expansion of the chela at the base of the digits, due largely to a curvature in the basal part of the propodal digit, which seems to be characteristic of the old males of all the species of the genus.

The dorsal surface of the abdomen is seulptured rery much like the carapax, and the second and third somites are each armed with a series of small spines along the anterior edge above the facet, but there are no similar spines on the succeeding somites. The epimera of the second to the sixth somite are short, and obtusely rounded below, but those of the second and fifth are broader than the others. The telson and uropods are as in M. Bamffia.

As in all the other species of the genus which I have seen, the appendages of the first abdominal somite are shorter than those of the second, and composed of a slender protopod and a single thin lamella, which is much shorter than the protopod, broad, obtuse at the distal extremity, with a few marginal setæ, and rolled together anteriorly into a spoonshaped appendage; while the protopod in the second pair of appendages is much longer than in the first, and bears a narrow, setigerous, and somewhat twisted lamella, with a minute rudiment of a second lamella at its base. The appendages of the third, fourth, and fifth somites are alike, and in each the protopod (apparently) is expanded into a broad oval lamella, margined with long setæ along the outer edge and at the tip, and bearing, on the inside near the tip, a small styliform appendage, composed of two segments. In the female the appendages of the second somite, though apparently not ovigerous, are about half as long as those of the third, with the protopod about as long as the endopod, which is composed of two subequal segments, and all the segments bear numerous long plumose setæ; the appendages of the third, fourth, and fifth somites are ovigerous, alike, nearly equal in size, and the two distal segments are subequal in length, and each about as long as the protopod.

I have seen only two specimens, from which the following measurements, in millimeters, were taken:


Eumunida, gen. nov.
The single species of the genus here proposed has the general appearance of Munida, but is at once distinguished from it and all the allied genera by the five-spined front, the position and structure of the peduncles of the antennæ, the absence of branchiæ at the bases of the external maxillipeds, the very broad and transversely segmented telson, and the absence of appendages upon the first five somites of the abdomen of the male.

The carapax is strongly contracted below anteriorly, so that the peduncles of the astennæ are near together and immediately beneath the well-developed eyes. The proximal segment of the peduncle of antennula is slender, subcylindrical, but with a small protuberance near the base where the auditory organ is situated, and unarmed. The peduncle of the antenna is highly developed and armed with numerous spines, of which one is articulated by a broad base to the second segment and evidently represents the antennal scale. The oral appendages and thoracic legs are similar to those of Munida, but there are neither branchiæ nor epipods at the bases of the external maxillipeds, though in other respects the branchial formula is the same. The telson is short and broad, more or less membranaceous, and divided by a transverse articulation, so that the distal part may be folded beneath the basal part. The female has well-developed appendages, all apparently ovigerous, upon the second to the fifth somite of the abdomen, but there are no appendages whatever on any of the first five somites in the adult male.

Eumunida picta, sp. nov. (Pl. 2, Fig. 2; Pl. 3, Figs. 6-10; Pl. 4, Figs. 1-3a.)
The carapax at the posterior part of the branchial region is about as
broad as the length, excluding the rostrum, but is rapidly narrowed anteriorly, and at the bases of the antennæ is scarcely half as broad. Back of the cervical suture the dorsal surface is regularly convex transversely, but the anterior part of the elevated gastric region is flat or slightly concave, and the orbital margins are perpendicular and hidden from above by the bases of the supraorbital spines. The anterior edge of the front is slightly arcuate and armed with five slender, acute, and subcylindrical spines, a median with two supraorbital each side; the median, or rostrum proper, is about half as long as the rest of the carapax, straight and horizontal; the supraorbital spines each side are approximately parallel with the rostrum, but directed slightly upward so that their tips are a little above the plane of the rostrum, are separated from the rostrum more widely than from each other, and the inner is nearly three-fourths as long as the rostrum while the outer is scarcely half as long as the inner. Immediately back of the outer of these spines there is a prominent and acute spine directed forward, and on a line between this and the hepatic spine of the lateral margin there are two much smaller spines on the steep side of the gastric region back of the orbit. The lateral margin is arcuate in outline and armed with seren acute spiniform teeth directed forward and decreasing successively in size posteriorly; the anterior, or antennal, is separated from the base of the antenna by a considerable space and is nearly as long as the outer supraorbital spine, the second is on the hepatic region, and the remaining five are all on the branchial region, the posterior one being very small in adult specimens and nearly or quite obsolete in young specimens $15^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in length. The dorsal surface is marked with transverse rugæ, is sparsely clothed with minute hairs, and, except the spines already mentioned, is unarmed. The cervical suture is well marked and the gastro-hepatic distinct. The infero-lateral region is of nearly the same form as in the typical species of Munida and terminates anteriorly in an acute spine a little in front of the first lateral spine.

The eyes are black, smaller than in the typical species of Munidu, nearly globular, and are borne on short stalks, the whole length being scarcely more than a fourth greater than the diameter of the cornea.

The peduncle of the antennula reaches to about the tip of the rostrum; the segments are all approximately equal in length, nearly naked, entirely unarmed, slender, and subcylindrical, though the proximal segment is considerably stouter than the others, and has a conspicuous protuberance over the auditory organ. The upper flagellum is about as long as the distal segment of the peduncle, swollen toward the base, and tapered to a very slender tip. The lower flagellum is very slender throughout and shorter than the upper. The peduncle of the antenna reaches to about the tip of the second segment of the peduncle of the antennula, and is armed with numerous spines; the first segment is exposed at the antero-lateral angle of the carapax and projects anteriorly in a sharp tooth; the second segment is very short, armed externally
with a stout dentiform spine directed forward, and above bears a slen. der spiniform appendage curved slightly upward and outward, and a little longer than the fourth segment ; the third segment projects below the fourth segment in a slender spiniform process reaching by the fourth segment; the fourth segment is nearly as long as the diamete of the eye, beyond which it reaches considerably, and is armed at the distal end by a long spine projecting beneath and besond the ultimate segment, and above and on the outer side by two small teeth; the ultimate segment is little more than half as long as the fourth, about once and a half as long as broad, and armed at the distal end with three long and approximately equal and equidistant spines. The flagellum is nearly as long as the whole body, slender, slightly compressed vertically, sparsely armed with minute setæ, and, at long intervals, with a few very long and slender setæ.

The mandibles and maxillæ are very nearly as in Munida Bamffa, but the proximal lobe of the protognath of the first maxilla is broader and less prolonged and more obtusely rounded anteriorly.

The proximal lobe of the protopod of the first maxilliped projects very little anteriorly, and the distal lobe is fully twice as long as broad. The endopod projects considerably beyond the protopod, is less curved than in Munida Bamffia, scarcely at all tapered distally, and clothed with slender setæ along the inner edge and at the obtuse tip. The basal portion of the exopod is longer than the endopod, from a sixth to an eighth as broad as long, sparsely setigerous along the edges, and bears a slender flagellum slightly less than half as long as the basal part, and obscurely multiarticulate distally. The epipod is small, about half as long as the endopod, tapered to the tip, and setigerous distally.

The second maxilliped resembles closely that of Munida Bamffia, but the endopod is shorter and stouter, the merus being scarcely more than twice as long as broad, and the basal part of the exopod is a little shorter, scarcely narrowed distally, and somewhat less setigerous.

The ischium and merus in the external maxilliped are approximately equal in length, the ischium unarmed at the distal end, but with the inner angle dentate as usual ; the merus is only very slightly expanded on the inner side, and bears only a small spine near the distal end; the propodus is narrow, with a very slight expansion on the inner side; and the dactylus is considerably smaller than the propodus, and subeylin. drical. The basal part of the exopod does not reach the distal end of the merus. There are no maxillipedal arthrobranchiæ, as there are in the species of Munida.

The chelipeds are not far from three times as long as the carapax, including the rostrum, and are apparently not much shorter proportionally in the females and young than in the adult males. The merus is subcylindrical, considerably longer than the carapax, including the rostrum, and is armed with four longitudinal series of spines, of which those forming the two series on the inner side are much larger than
those of the outer series, and these larger still than those of the lower series, which are quite small; there are eight to twelve of the larger spines in each series, and the surface between the spines, and also on the carpus and the body of the chela, is roughened with small squamiform and sparsely setigerous elevations. The carpus is short and armed with three distal spines on the inner side, and with a few small spines and tubercles on the outer side. The chela is just about as long as the merus and no stouter; the body is subeylindrical, considerably longer than the digits, and armed along the inner side with two series of spines corresponding with the two inner series on the merus, but the spines are much smaller and more crowded; the digits are slender, nearly straight laterally, but curved slightly downward at the tips, and the prehensile edges are irregularly dentate.

The first pair of ambulatory legs reach about to the middle of the carpi of the chelipeds; the dorsal edge of the merus is compressed and armed with a series of about ten large spines; the antero-inferior angle is armed with a similar series of much smaller spines, and there is, in addition, a large spine on the posterior side below the articulation with the carpus; the carpus is short and crested above with a series of spines like the merus, and the posterior side in both carpus and merus is roughened like the surface of the chelipeds; the propodus is about as long as the merus, slender, compressed laterally, with a few long setæ on the upper edge and a series of short spiniform setæ below, but without true spines or teeth; the dactylus is nearly half as long as the propodus, broad, strongly compressed, terminates in a strong chitinous tip, and is armed below with a closely set series of setiform chitinous spines decreasing in size proximally. The second pair are like the first, except that the merus is unarmed below. The third pair are considerably shorter than the second, reaching scarcely to the tips of the propodi of the second pair, and there is a series of small spines along the middle posterior side of the merus, but in other respects they are like the third pair.

The posterior pair of thoracic legs are much shorter than in the typical species of Munida, being only about as long as the meri of the third pair of ambulatory legs; the merus and carpus are about equal in length, and each is considerably longer than the ischium ; the chela is little more than half as long as the carpus, but swollen distally, so as to be much broader, and the prehensile edge of the propodus and the articulation with short, stout, and strongly curved dactylus is terminal and nearly transverse, the propodal digit being reduced to a slight angular projection. The chela and distal end of the carpus are densely clothed with long setæ.

The consolidated sternal plates between the bases of the chelipeds and true ambulatory legs are marked by a deep longitudinal median sulcus on each somite, are separated from each other by conspicuous sulci, and the plate between the bases of the chelipeds is armed each
side with a small spiniform tooth projecting forward, and the plane of the plate is much below the very narrow sternal plate at the bases of the external maxillipeds. The sternum of the last thoracic somite is entirely membranaceous, without any calcified plate or bar between the bases of the posterior legs.

The abdomen is broad, evenly rounded above, and without longitudinal carinæ; the epimera are all very short; and the sterna of all the somites are almost entirely membranaceous, like that of the last thoracic somite. The dorsum of the first somite rises in a sharp and very narrow transverse ridge back of the facet which slides beneath the carapax, and is inclosed either side by the anterior projection of the epimera of the second somite. The epimeron of the second somite is truncated below, but projects forward in a sharp angle at the side of the carapax, and above the angle is armed with a large, curved, and acute spine, directed forward above the lateral margin of the carapax. The epimera of the third, fourth, and fifth somites are truncated, with the angles more or less rounded, and those of the sixth obtuse. The second and third somites are each marked above by two transverse ciliated rugæ, the fourth and fifth each by three similar but less conspicuous rugæ in adults, or only two in the young, and the sixth somite and all the epimera are marked by broken and irregular rugæ or squamiform elevations. The sixth somite is much longer than the fifth, about a third as long as broad, and the postero-lateral edge outside the articulation of the uropod is oblique and nearly straight.

The telson in full-grown specimens is only as long as the sixth somite, and twice as broad as long, but in young specimens is proportionally longer and narrower. The whole appendage is thin and slightly calcified; the lateral margins are deeply incised about the middle and the incisions connected by a transverse membranous articulation, so that the distal part is readily folded beneath the proximal. The distal part is notched at the middle of the posterior edge and longitudinally divided by a membranous line, so that it appears to be formed of two transverse elliptical plates, each nearly twice as broad as long, and of which the posterior and lateral edges are thickly ciliated. The inner lamella of the uropod is fully as long as the telson, about two-thirds as broad as long, elliptical, the inner and distal edges armed with spines, which are small on the inner and very minute and crowded on the distal edge, and the entire margin, except near the base, is ciliated with numerous long hairs. The outer lamella is longer and broader than the inner, narrowed and somewhat excavated on the inner edge near the base, and margined with hairs like the inner.

There are no appendages whatever on any of the first five abdominal somites in any of the adult males examined. In young specimens, $15^{\mathrm{mm}}$ or less in length, in which the sexual characters are not manifest, but which are possibly immature males, or more probably immature females, there are, hoyvever, on the second to the fifth somite, rudimentary, very
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minute, and naked appendages, obscurely divided into a large proximal and a small distal segment. In the adult female the appendages of the second to the fifth somite are similar, approximately alike in size, apparently all ovigerous, and each appears to be composed of only two segments, of which the distal is about half as long as the proximal. None of the specimens seen are carrying eggs.

Five specimens give the following measurements in millimeters:

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Specimens examined.


In the specimen from station 1152, after preservation in alcohol for a short time, the coloration had apparently not changed very materially, and was very striking. The whole dorsal surface of the carapax and abdomen was light red, lightest on the abdomen and darkest on the rostrum and spines of the carapax. The chelipeds and three pairs of ambulatory legs were very intense bright red, except the digits of the chelæ

Proc. Nat. Mus. 83-4
and the distal extremities of the ambulatory legs to very near the bases of the propodi, which parts were white, the color stopping on each of these appendages very suddenly at the point where they cease to be armed with spines. All the other specimens show more or less distinct indications of the same coloration.

Anoplonotus politus, gen. et'sp. nov. (Pl. 2, Fig. 1; Pl. 3, Figs. 1-5a.)
Excluding the rostrum, the carapax is nearly as broad as long; including the rostrum, seven to eight tenths as broad as long, the rostrum being rather less than a fourth of the entire length. The rostrum is vertically flattened, though obscurely carinated longitudinally above, horizontally triangular, but not acute at the tip, and slightly curved downward distally. There are no spines or tubercles upon the carapax, but the gastric region is somewhat protuberant and separated from the branchial regions by a broad sulcus each side, and from the prominent cardiac region by a still deeper sulcus which extends either side as a shallow sulcus across the branchial region, which is again crossed by a narrower sulcus in front, but the cardiac region is not conspicuously separated from the branchial region either side of it. The orbital portion of the anterior margin is narrow and advanced considerably in front of the antero lateral angles, which are formed by the hepatic regions and are nearly right-angular. The lateral margins are slightly curved, and the greatest breadth is a little back of the middle. The surface of the carapax is granulose, particularly along the sides, where the granules are arranged in transverse lines.

The small eyes are partially beneath the rostrum, and scarcely reach its middle; there is a slight protuberance on the outer side of the stalk near the base; and the eye itself is semitranslucent in the alcoholic specimens; its diameter is rather less than that of the stalk and about half the whole length, and the cornea is apparently entirely without facets.

The basal segment of the peduncle of the antennula is a little shorter than the rostrum, about three-fourths as broad as long, somewhat swollen on the outer side, and armed with two teeth at the distal extremity. The second and third segments are slender, subequal in lengthand each scarcely as long as the basal. The upper flagellum is about as long as the distal segment of the peduncle, the basal portion swollen and composed of numerous short segments, while the distal portion is very slender and composed of about five elongated segments. The lower flagellum is little more than half as long as the upper, slender throughout, and composed of about three segments. The peduncle of the antenna arises just outside the peduncle of the antennula and at some distance from the antero-lateral angle of the carapax, scarcely reaches the tip of the rostrum, and its three distal segments are slender. The flagellum is very slender, and reaches to about the tips of the chelipeds.

The mandibles are of essentially the same form as in Munida; the molar area is transverse to the body of the mandible, narrow, naked, and separated from the broad and edentulous ventral process by a deep excavation; and the palpus is slender, triarticulate, and armed with few and short setæ. The protognathal lobes of the first maxilla are approximately equal in size, rather broad at the ends, and armed as usual with slender spines upon the distal, and numerous setæ upon the proximal lobe. The endognath is much shorter than the distal lobe of the protognath, slender, tapered to an obtuse point, and armed with two series of small setæ, one at the tip and the other below the middle. The protognathal lobes of the second maxilla are approximately equal in size, and each lobe is divided into two lobules very unequal in width, the two middle lobules being approximately a third as wide as the anterior and posterior, though all four of the lobules are of about the same length. The endognath is a little longer than the distal lobe of the protognath, tapers to a slender tip, and is armed with a very few setæ along the middle of its length. The anterior portion of the scaphognath is a little shorter than the endognath, broad, slightly narrowed anteriorly, but broad and obtusely rounded at the tip, while the posterior portion is short, transversely truncated behind, broader than long, and somewhat triangular in outline, with the angles rounded.

The tips of the lobes of the protopod of the first maxilliped are rounded and nearly alike, but the distal lobe is considerably longer than the proximal, being about twice as long as broad. The endopod is about as long as the distal lobe of the protopod, narrow, tapered to an obtuse tip, very strongly curved, the outer edge margined with slender setæ distally, and proximally with a very few setæ near the inner edge. The exopod is lamellar, a little longer than the endopod and much broader, being about a fifth as broad as long, rapidly narrowed at the extremity, and margined with slender setæ along the outer edge. The epipod is about as broad but scarcely as long as the distal lobe of the protopod, triangular at the extremity, and ciliated at the tip and along the outer edge. The endopod of the second maxilliped is of nearly equal breadth from the base to the dactylus; the ischium is scarcely longer than broad; the merus nearly three times as long as broad and about as long as the three terminal segments taken together; the carpus and propodus are subequal in length; the dactylus is shorter and much narrower than the propodus, and rounded at the tip; and all the segments are more or less armed as usual with setæ of different forms, and at the distal end of the inner edge there is a single slender spine or spiniform setæ in addition to a few short setæ. The exopod is much larger than the endopod; the unsegmented basal portion is nearly uniform in breadth for about the proximal two-thirds of its length, where it expands in an obtuse prominence opposite the carpus of the endopod, but from this prominence it tapers to the articulation with the slender flagellum; except near the tip both edges are margined with short setæ, and the
prominence of the inner edge bears in addition a submarginal series of six to eight long setæ; the flagellum is about half as long as the basal part, distinctly articulated near the middle, and the terminal fourth of the whole length very obscurely multiarticulate and furnished with long setæ.

The external maxillipeds, when extended, reach a little by the tip of the rostrum; the ischium is nearly twice as long as broad and triquetral, with the dorso-internal angle sharply and regnlarly dentate; the merus is slightly shorter than the ischium, nearly as broad as long, expanded distally and armed with two obtuse teeth on the inner side and with a single tooth outside the articulation of the carpus; the three distal segments are slender and together about equal in length to the ischium and merus, the propodus being about as long as the merus, and the carpus and dactylus successively a little shorter. The epipod and exopod are well developed, and the basal part of latter reaches considerably by the merus.

The chelipeds are equal and about three times as long as the carapax, the merus being about as long as the carapax, and the chela considerably longer. In the females and young males the chelipeds are very slender and subcylindrical throughout, with the chela scarcely, if at all, stouter than the carpus, and with the digits straight and very slender. In the large males the chelipeds are very much stouter; the body of the chela is expanded and vertically flattened distally, and the digits gape widely at the base, the proximal half of the propodal digit being strongly curved and unarmed, while the distal part of the prehensile edge is straight and minutely serrate like the corresponding part of the dactylus, with which it is in contact when the digits are closed; the basal part of the dactylus is only slightly curved, but is armed with an obtuse tubercle on the prehensile edge near the base; and the whole prehensile edges of both digits are more or less hairy.

The three pairs of ambulatory legs are slender and subequal in length, abont as long as the body, and the dactyli are slender, strongly curved, more than half as long as the propodi, and unarmed. The posterior legs are very small and slender and of essentially the same form as in Munida. There are no epipods at the bases of any of the thoracic legs.

The abdomen is considerably shorter and much narrower than the carapax, and its dorsal surface is nearly smooth and devoid of carinæ, except on the edges of the sixth somite, as described beyond, though there is a slight transverse sulcus on the middle portion of the second somite, which is also raised sharply above the small facet which slides under the caropax. The epimera of the second somite are broad; the third and fourth somites are short, and their epimera very narrow and acute; the fifth somite is a little longer than the fourth, and its epimera broader and more obtuse than those of the fourth; while the sixth somite is slightly longer than the fifth, and the postero-lateral margins
of its epimera are excavated to fit accurately the outer edges of the bases of the uropods, and are margined with a narrow carina.

The telson is approximately two-thirds as long as broad, narrowed posteriorly, with the posterior angles rounded and the posterior edge slightly emarginate in the middle. The telson is stiffened by eight distinct calcified plates; a broad median basal plate, with a small one either side at the base of the uropod and a small median one behind it and between a pair of broad lateral plates, still behind which there is a second pair which meet in the middle line and form the tips and lateral angles.

The lamellæ of the uropods are about as long as the telson, a little longer than wide, the inner slightly longer than the outer, and each widest near the extremity, which is broadly rounded in outline, while the outer edge is nearly straight.

In the male the first and second pairs of abdominal appendages are well developed and of nearly the same form as in the species of Munida. Those of the first pair are about as long as the protopods of the second pair, with the protopod somewhat triquetral and naked except a few setæ along the distal part of the inner edge, and with the single terminal lamella slightly shorter than the protopod but much broader, very thin, margined with setæ distally and along the outer edge, and the edges rolled together on the anterior side. In the second pair the protopod is slender and naked, and bears a narrow, lanceolate lamella a little shorter than the protopod and clothed with numerous setæ along both edges and on the proximal part of the anterior side, and at its base a minute second lamella much narrower than the other, scarcely as broad as long, and naked. The appendages of the third, fourth, and fifth somites are rudimentary, very minute, and almost wholly naked; they are scarcely an eighth as long as the appendages of the second somite, very slender, and with a single terminal lamella smaller than the protopod. In the female there are no appendages upon the first somite of the abdomen, and the appendages of the second somite are very minute, slender, and tipped with a few small setæ. The appendages of the third, fourth, and fifth somites are well developed, uniramous, and ovigerous; they increase in size successively from the third to the fifth, and each appendage is composed of a slender protopod and a shorter terminal portion composed of two segments of which the terminal is the longer.

The eggs in the alcoholic specimens are approximately spherical, $1.50^{\mathrm{mm}}$ to $1.75^{\mathrm{mm}}$ in diameter, and very few in number, the two largest egg-bearing specimens carrying less than thirty eggs each, while the three smaller specimens carry nine, three, and two each, though a very few eggs may have been lost from these last specimens.

Three specimens from station 941 give the following measurements in millimeters:

|  | 1. | 2. | 3. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex | ${ }^{\circ}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | ¢ |
| Length, tip of rostrum to tip of telson | 22.0 | 17.5 | 17.5 |
| Length of carapax, including rostrum. | 12.2 | 9.4 | 9.3 |
| Length of rostrum .................... | 3. 0 | 2.3 | 2.2 |
| Breadth of carapax at anterior angles | 7. 0 | 5.4 | 5.3 |
| Greatest breadth of carapax.......... | 9.0 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| Length of cheliped. | 35.0 | 31.0 | 28.0 |
| Length of merus | 12.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 |
| Length of carpus | 5.0 | 4.3 | 3.8 |
| Length of chela.... | 15.9 | 13.3 | 11.5 |
| Greatest breadth of chela | 4.0 | 2.1 | 1.3 |
| Length of dactylus... | 6.5 | 5. 2 | 4. 6 |
| Length of first ambulatory leg | 22.0 | 19.0 | 17.5 |
| Length of telson... | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Breadth of telson | 4.5 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| Diameter of eye | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |

Specimens examined.


In the manuscript sent to the printer I referred this species to Elasmonotus with considerable hesitation, though it agreed very well with the brief diagnosis given by Milne-Edwards (Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool. Cambridge, viii, p. 60). The recently published figures of E. Vaillantii (Recueil de figures de Crustacés nouveau ou peu connus, April, 1883), however, seem to show that my species is generically as well as specifically distinct from Elasmonotus, being distinguished by the short and broad merus of the external maxilliped, the absence of spines, teeth, or carinæ upon the carapax and abdomen, and by the greater breadth of the carapax, if the measurements given by Milne-Edwards, are correct.* The species here described is apparently also distinguished generically by the small and non-segmented exopod of the first maxilliped, and specially by the rudimentary character of the appendages of the third, fourth, and fifth somites of the abdomen. The number
and arrangement of the branchiæ, as indicated in the following formula, is the same as in Munida:


* There is a perplexing disagreement in Milne-Edwards's characterization of his species between the descriptions of the proportions of the carapax and the accompanying measurements. E. brevimanus and abdominalis are each said to have the carapax narrower ("plus étroite") than E. longimanus, though the measurements given show $E$. brevimanus to be very much, and $E$. abdominalis slightly, broader than $E$. longimanus.

New Haven, Conn., December 28, 1882.

## explanation of plates.

All the figures on Plates I and II ; Figs. 4 and 5, Plate IV ; Figs. 4, $4 a, 4 b$, and 5, Plate V ; and Fig. 5, Plate VI, were drawn by J. H. Emerton. All the other figures were drawn by the author.

## Plate I.

Munida valida Smith. Dorsal view of male, from station 1112, natural size.

## Plate II.

Fig. 1.-Anoplonotus politus Smith. Dorsal view of a male, from station 941, enlarged two diameters.
Fig. 2.-Eumunida picta Smith. Dorsal view of a male, from station 1043, natural size.

## Plate III.

Fig. 1.-Anoplonotus politus. First maxilla of the right side, seen from below, of a male from station 941, enlarged twelve diameters.
Fig. 2.-Second maxilla of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged twelve diameters.
Fig. 3.-First maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged twelve diameters.
Fig. 4.-Second maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged twelve diameters.
Fig. 5.-External maxilliped of the right side of same specimen, enlarged eight diam.eters.
Fig. 5a.-Ischium and merus of the same appendage, seen from above, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 6.-Eumida picta. First maxilla of the right side of a male, from station 1098, seen from below, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 7.-Second maxilla of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 8.-First maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 9.-Posterior thoracic leg of the same specimen, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 10.-Appendage of the fifth somite of the abdomen of a young specimen, 15 mm long, from station 1152, enlarged twenty-four diameters.
Fig. 11.-Munida Caribea? Smith. First maxilliped of a male, from station 1043, enlarged eight diameters.

## Plate IV.

Fig. 1.-Eumida picta. Extremity of the abdomen of a male, from station 1098, dorsal view, enlarged three and a half diameters.
Fig. 2.-Extremity of the abdomen of a young male, from station 1152, enlarged four diameters.
Fig. 3.-Peduncle of right antenna of a male, dorsal view, from station 1152, enlarged eight diameters; $a$, acicle, or articulated spine, of the second segment, representing the antennal scale; $b$, third segment, projecting anteriorly in a long spine.

Fig. 3a.-The same, side view ; $a$, as in last figure.
Fig. 4.-Eupagurus politus Smith. Lateral view of left side of a male, from station 922, natural size.
Fig. 5.-Catapagurus Sharreri A. M.-Edwards. Lateral view of left side of a male in a carcinœcium, formed by Adamsia sociabilis Verrill, from station 940, enlarged two diameters.

## Plate V.

Fig. 1.-Eupagurus bernhardus Brandt. Outline of transverse section through the lower part of the anterior arthrobranchia of the thirteenth somite (penultimate thoracic), showing the form of the lamellæ, enlarged eight diameters; $a$, afferent, and $b$, efferent vessel.
Fig. 2.-Sympagurus pictus Smith. Outline of similar section of the corresponding branchia of a female, from station 924, enlarged eight diameters, and lettered as in the last figure.
Fig. 2a.-Extremity of the same branchia, side view, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 3.-Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith. Outline of similar section of the corresponding branchia of a male, from station 880, enlarged eight diameters, and lettered as in Figs. 1 and 2.
Fig. 3a.-Extremity of the same branchia, side view, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 4.-Parapagurus pilosimanus. Lateral view of the left side of the originally described male specimen, taken on a trawl-line off Nova Scotia, half natural size.
Fig. 4a.-Dorsal view of the carapax and anterior appendages of the same specimen, natural size.
Fig. 4b.-Dorsal view of the chelipeds of the same specimen, half natural size.
Fıg. 5.-Dorsal view of a male in the carcinœcium (Epizoanthus paguriphilus Verrill), from station 947, natural size.

## Plate VI.

Fig. 1.-Parapagurus pilosimanus. First maxilla of the right side, seen from below, of a male from station 880 , enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 2.-Second maxilla of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 3.-First maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 4.-Appendage of the right side of the first somite of the abdomen of the same specimen, seen from behind, enlarged four diameters.
Fig. 4a.-Appendage of the right side of the second somite of the abdomen of the same specimen, seen from behind, enlarged four diameters.
Fig. 5.-Sympagurus pictus. Dorsal view, from life, of a male in the carcinœcium ( Urticina consors Verrill), from station 924, one-half natural size.
Fig. 6.-First maxilla of the right side of a female, from station 1114, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 7.-Second maxilla of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 8.-First maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 9.-Eupagurus bernhardus. First maxilliped of the right side of a male, from station 119 (Halifax, Nova Scotia), enlarged six diameters.

Plate I.
(Drawing by Mr. J. H. Emerton.)
Munida valida Smith. (p. 42.) Dorsal view of male, from station 1112, natural size.


## Plate İI.

(Drawings by Mr. J. H. Emerton.)
Fig. 1.-Anoplonotus politus Smith. (p. 50.) Dorsal view of a male, from station 941, enlarged two diameters.
Fig. 2.-Eumurida picta Smith. (p. 44.) Dorsal view of a male, from station 1043, natural size.


## Plate III.

## (Drawings by Prof. S. I. Smith.)

Fig. 1.-Anoplonotus politus. (p. 50.) First maxilla of the right side, seen from below, of a male from station 941, enlarged twelve diameters.
Fig. 2.-Second maxilla of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged twelve diameters.
Fig. 3.-First maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged twelve diameters.
Fig. 4.-Second maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged twelve diameters.
Fig. 5.-External maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 5a.-Ischinm and merus of the same appendage, seen from above, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 6.-Eumunida picta. (p. 44.) First maxilla of the right side of a male, from station 1098, seen from below, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 7.-Second maxilla of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 8.-First maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 9.-Posterior thoracic leg of the same specimen, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 10.-Appendage of the fifth somite of the abdomen of a young specimen, $15^{\mathrm{mm}}$ long, from station 1152, enlarged twenty-four diameters.
Fig. 11.-Munida Caribcea Smith. (p. 40.) First maxilliped of a male, from station 1043, enlarged eight diameters.


## Plate IV.

(Drawings of Figs. 4 and 5, by Mr. J. H. Emerton; the rest by Prof. S. I. Smith.)
Fig. 1-Eumunida picta. (p. 44.) Extremity of the abdomen of a male, from station 1098, dorsal view, enlarged three and a half diameters.
Fig. 2.-Extremity of the abdomen of a young male, from station 1152, enlarged four diameters.
Fig. 3.-Peduncle of right antenra of a male, dorsal view, from station J152, enlarged eight diameters; $a$, acicle, or articulated spine, of the second segment, representing the antennal scale$b$, third segment, projecting anteriorly in a long spine.
Fig. 3a.-The same, side view ; $a$, as in last figure.
Fig. 4.-Eupagurus politus Smith. (p. 27.) Lateral view of left side of a male, from station 922, natural size.
Fig. 5.-Oatapagurus Sharreri A.M.-Edwards. (p. 31.) Lateral view of left side of a male in a carcinœcium, formed by Adamsia sociabilis Verrill; from station 940, enlarged two diameters.


## Plate V.

(Drawings of Figs. 4, $4 a, 4 b$, and 5, by Mr. J. H. Emerton ; the rest by Prof. S. I. Smith.)
Fig. 1.-Eupagurus bernhardus Brandt. (pp. 28, 29, et seq.) Outline of transverse section through the lower part of the anterior arthrobranchia of the thirteenth somite (penultimate thoracic), showing the form of the lamellæ; enlarged eight diameters; $a$, afferent, and $b$, efferent vessel.
Fig. 2.-Sympagurus pictus Smith. (p. 37.) Outline of similar section of the corresponding branchia of a female, from station 924, enlarged eight diameters, and lettered as in the last figure.
Fig. 2a.-Extremity of the same branchia, side view, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 3.-Parapagurus pilosimanus Smith. (p. 33.) Outline of similar section of the corresponding branchia of a male, from station 880, enlarged eight diameters, and lettered as in Figs. 1 and 2.
Fig. 3a.-Extremity of the same branchia, side view, enlarged eight diameters.
Fig. 4.-Parapagurus pilosimanus. (p. 33.) Lateral view of the left side of the originally described male specimen, taken on a trawl-line off Nova Scotia, half natural size.
Fig. 4a.-Dorsal view of the carapax and anterior appendages of the same specimen, natural size.
Fig. 4b.-Dorsal view of the chelipeds of the same specimen, half natural size.
Fig. 5.-Dorsal view of a male in the carcinœcium (Epizoanthus paguriphilus Verrill), from station 947, natural size.


## Plate VI.

(Drawing of Fig. 5, by Mr. J. H. Emerton; the rest by Prof. S. I. Smith.)
Fig. 1.-Parapagurus pilosimanus. (p. 33.) First maxilla of the right side, seen from below, of a male from station 880, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 2.-Second maxilla of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 3.-First maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 4.-A ppendage of the right side of the first somite of the abdomen of the same specimen, seen from behind, enlarged four diameters.
Fig. 4a.-Appendage of the right side of the second somite of the abdomen of the same specimen, seen from behind, enlarged four diameters.
Fig. 5.-Sympagurus pictus. (p.37.) Dorsal view, from life, of a male in the carcinœcium (Urticina consors Verrill), from station 924 , one-half natural size.
Fig. 6.-First maxilla of the right side of a female, from station 1114, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 7.-Second maxilla of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 8.-First maxilliped of the right side of the same specimen, enlarged six diameters.
Fig. 9.-Eupagurus bernhardus. (pp. 28, 29, et seq.) First maxilliped of the right side of a male, from station 119 (Halifax, Nova Scotia), enlarged six diameters.



[^0]:    * While the manuscript of this report was in the hands of the printer, the following work of Milue-Edwards was received: Recueil de figures de Crustacés nouveaux ou pen connus. 1ère livraison. April, 1883. A considerable number of Milne-EdWu. 's' new species are provisionally figured in this work, but it does not seem to make at, changes in the proofs of the following pages necessary, except under Anoplonotus politus, which was doubtfully referred to Elasmonotus in the original manuscript, but for which the new generic name has been inserted in the proof.-May 29, 1883.

[^1]:    Sex$\delta$
    Leugth of carapax ..... 7.0
    Breadth of carapax ..... 5.2
    Same in hundredths of length ..... 74
    Length of cheliped ..... 8.0

[^2]:    *There is evident confusion in regard to the armament of the antero-lateral margin in Milne-Edwards's description above referred to, for he says, "Le bord latéral ne porte pas des dents, en avant du sillon post-hépatique les régions branchiales sont pourvues des quelques gros tubercules sur leur bord." I have examined four of the original specimens of C. cursor returned to the Museum of Comparative Zoology, and they all have the antero-lateral margin armed, as here described, but agree in all other respects with Milne-Edwards's brief description.

